

Comments	Response
Ashford Park Elementary: - not addressing overcrowding, - Peachtree is a Boundary - Need long-term projections. Pro: Thank you for Keeping historic Ashford Park together	
Ashford Park concern: The numbers are incorrect for 43 projected enrollment. For 2020, there are 8 children on G1 but only 3 are non-German immersian. The 2021 projection number G1 are incorrect. If you take into consideration school choice. If you are considering Intact Neighborhoods, why not bring all Drew Valley closer proximity to school. Safety is concern. No way G1 could walk to school via Dreaden St. It is also not relieving Ashford Park 148% utilization. Why not pull more north into Doraville and leave neighborhood intact. Balance of special programs to rezoning our kids/families will be split.	
1) Ashford and Montgomery remain over crowded after proposed options. Ashford and Montgomery need to be addressed holistically. 2)Plan does not keep not keep promis of keeping neighborhoods intact. Sexton Woods is arbitrarily split in this plan.	
Why was Ashford Park (G1&G2) left off the maps for the first 2 meetings and thrown back in at the last minute? We already went through this last year and it still stands that the majority of the kids are in German Immersion school choice program.	Please see FAQ# 11
Families in Ashford Park are still being isolated from their neighborhoods while providing very little, if any, benefit to reducing over-crowding. Need to consider net impact after accounting for school choice program.	
Recommendation: Keep Ashford Park neighborhood together, - Pulling Ashford Park neighborhood out takes us from walking to school to potentially having to cross multiple major intersections. Keep kids safe! Use peachtree road a natural divider. Move Drew Valley neighborhood to John Lewis ES to alleviate overcrowding at Ashford Park ES. - Take students on other side Peachtree Rd out of Ashford Park and move them to Montgomery.	
1) Why would we move MES and Ashford Park students without better projects that could serve to make a proper long-term plan? 2) The traffic has gotten worse since 2017. In the previous redistricting talks of moving Sexton Woods area to H.H., we addressed the traffic and decided against the move. How has this changed?	Please see FAQ#
1) Pro: Dyer Circle is a part of Ashford Park. It needs to be to keep the community together. What are the long-term plans for Ashford Park? The current plans do not address the overcrowding issue. The solution should not impact education of the kkids. There should be long-term plan to keep the Chamblee cluster intact while reducing overcrowding.	Please see FAQ# 30
Does not significantly relieve over-crowding in Ashford Park and Montgomery enough to warrant disruption of students from the school. Avoid moving the students twice.	
In comparison to previous redistricting concerns, Ashford Park neighborhoods are not torn apart. Geographically, our family lived 0.6 miles from APS and planned to move to DUES. This plan keeps the top part of AES together and is the safest according to traffic patterns. The neighborhood is in the Ashford Park and should attend APS.	
None of this does enough to long-term relieve overcrowding of Ashford Park or MES. Splitting Sexton Woods straight in half; all kinds of traffic issues; Don't move kids until we know they won't be moved again. Transparency! Wait until permanent superintendant.	
When will the continued forecasted overcrowding of Montgomery Elementary and Ashford Park, Beyond 2020/2021 projections be addressed? This current redistricting only puts a band-aid on the problem. Trailers at these properties cannot solve the problem 2021 and forward.	Please see FAQ# 10

Keep "E at MES or please open Nancy Creek as a neighborhood school to address overcrowding at MES and Ashford

Proposal: Plan works to relieve Hightower, Dresden, Cary Reynolds. Proceed with moving C from Huntley Hills. Address Ashford Park and Montgomery Elementary holistically. This puts 9 schools at acceptable, below capacity levels.

No one has taken into consideration that the school choice students will remain @ their home school (HHES Montessori, Ashford Park German Immersion)

I think the best solution is to open up Nancy Creek Elementary and shift N of Peachtree to Montgomery. Some of Montgomery into NCE will provide substantial long-term relief. There is no need to pull G1 & G2 out of Ashford Park

G1 Map: - Dividing families w/ a student already in a special program (German). -Dividing a neighborhood apart from their community. -G1 affect a total of 8 students - 5 of which are in a special program (German) - Keep Ashford Park together!! -not solving overcrowding program

Why keep on splitting Sexton Woods neighborhood? We are one neighborhood whether in Brookhaven or Chamblee City limits. Why move about 100 kids from MES to HH who will likely be redistricted again in a few years so these kids will have to move schools again? Why not just wait to move MES kids once Nancy Creek can be reopened so that over-crowding at MES and Ashford Park can finally be relieved?

Please see FAQ# 8

Separates Sexton Woods neighborhood between 2 schools, splitting apart community members who support each other for carpool and transportation.

Don't split Sexton Woods neighborhood! Need to address MES and APE overcrowding on it own reopen Nancy Creek or another school.

Area E is breaking up a neighborhood. Sexton Woods is being split. Half of Sexton Woods will have to cross a very busy roadway, a very dangerous path and potentially driver more traffic to Peachtree Blvd to get to Huntley Hills.

1)Con: Option E divides a single community (Sexton Woods). This community should be kept together.

2)Con: Option E pushes 97 students on to Chamblee Dunwoody Road which is highly congested.

-section E is being broken up because the neighborhoods of Keswick Village and Sexton Woods have always been considered one united neighborhood. - Section E: there is a huge traffic issues for us to travel to Huntley Hills crossing Chamblee Dunwoody and fighting Chamblee High School traffic. Why were we given only 4 hours to review?

Please see FAQ# 6

-Discrimination based on City lines for section E of redistricting for Doraville United ES not based on neighborhood Sexton woods is a full neighborhood that stretches Brookhaven & Chamblee. - Not closer to HH than Montgomery. - Not safe to cross Chamblee Dunwoody near 285.

-Peachtree Industrial & Chamblee Dunwoody seem like natural boundaries. -Lots of congestion already on Chamblee Dunwoody. -Separating Sexton Woods -This proposal is heavily based on Chamblee/brookhaven city limits. Redistricting should not be based on this precedent.

This option doesn't solve the overcrowding at most of the schools affected therefore more redistricting in the future - this is a waste. In no shape did people in Sexton Woods ask for a larger portion of people to move and still break up the neighborhood. The city line is not the neighborhood line!! As a parent of CCHS student as well (who drives to school) the traffic and safety of all drivers is a huge concern.

RE: Sect E, G1, G2: 1) Has the number of Montessori students at HHES been evaluated to get a more accurate number of how many students will leave out of HHES? Does not address overcrowding. 2) Chamblee Dunwoody during carpool would be a nightmare. Adding traffic from Sexton Woods and Keswick Village. Would gridlock Chamblee Dunwoody for hours. SW/KV may be redistricted once again in 3-years if NCES as a neighborhood school (section E). Capacity problems are not relieved in the long-term with this plan. Utilization % are not significantly different.

Please see FAQ# 12

Section E should not be split apart from its neighborhood based on city lines. This does not solve the over-crowding for MES, AFES long-term. Move Kittredge.

Section E Cons: Creates a safety concern by pulling additional traffic by the Chamblee Middle and High school; A bottleneck is created at Chamblee/Dunwoody Rd in front of High school; Huntley Hills is further away by mileage.

Section E Recommendation: Chamblee Dunwoody Rd is a natural divider and should be considered; Keep E at MES - maintains safety, -efficient traffic pattern

Section E Cons: -Creates a safety concern for walking students at Chamblee Middle and High. -Creates a traffic bottleneck on Chamblee/Dunwoody Rd in front of HS -Traffic pattern much easier to turn right onto Johns Ferry Road to MES.

Section E - Cons: 1) Geographic proximity - for Section E, doubling distance from section E to Huntley vs Montgomery and it's tripling the turns. 2) Con - Safety and traffic pattern. Section E is creating safety issues by pushing an influx of traffic into Chamblee Middle and High school walkers. 3) Traffic will be a nightmare Chamblee Dunwoody should be a natural barrier by making Section E cross you're adding significant traffic and safety issues.

Section E crossing Chamblee Dunwoody is negative, also creating traffic in school zones for Chamblee Middle/High. Moving Section E, G1, G2 without actually relieving overcrowding. Will we have to move again?

Please see FAQ# 11

Con: From E to add 7 turns to get to Huntley ES from E. Breaks up streets and Neighborhoods. Safety\* Sec E going to Huntley ES passes middle schoolers and high schoolers that are walking to school very unsafe!

As a Chamblee resident in Section E that is closer to MES than many Brookhaven neighborhoods, I am at a loss as to why only Chamblee residents are getting ripped from our beloved school even though part of our neighborhood is Brookhaven. This alone makes trusting the District and believing money isn't driving this process or handshakes. There is no legitimate reason to move MES west of Chamblee Dunwoody or to move residents based on their city. How out of touch w/ your own criteria you are.

-Chamblee Dunwoody is a natural boundary. - Section E does not have a safe path to school/sidewalk - There is no traffic light at Admiral. -Nancy Creek should be reopened with 482 capacity and magnet should be moved to the new Doraville location.

If over-crowding still remains and isn't being addressed here for MES - leave section E and all of MES alone!

Why are the apartments close to Peachtree Ind. Included in Mont. And not also switched with in with Section E into Huntley Hills ES?

Please see FAQ# 3

The recommended plan does not reflect the feedback of Section E. We know we're overcrowded and ok to wait until the next round Cons;; Section E doesn't represent the true feedback of Section E - we agreed on leaving Section E and addressing over-crowding on the next round.

Cons (Sec E) 1) Safety and traffic patterns existing. Sidewalks to Montgomery, but no sidewalk to HH. Adding traffic to already overcrowded Chamblee Dunwoody Rd. 2) Previous redistricting - Secion E residents gone through 2007 redistricting, did not solve issue. 5. Intact Neighborhoods - Dividing community and supportive neighborhoods 4) After school concern school feeder from MES to HH Middle school issue HS issue.

Communication from DCSD has to improve! Hightower parents did not receive any communication for neither Round 1, 2 or 3 for redistricting of DES. Hightower is already included in the Austin ES redistricting meeting. Hightower parents would prefer improvements to building and construction of more classes in current building than this.

What is the long-term plan for APE? Why is moving under 10 kids a proposal? German immersion- are you grandfathering siblings? How do 2 siblings go to different schools?

Please see FAQ# 30

DeKalb completely misunderstood or misrepresented the feedback from the united family/neighborhood associations of Montgomery and Huntley Hills. We wanted to be left along - not to be moved in larger groups.

Should consider larger redistricting to utilize open seats farther south.

What are the future data based on?

Please see FAQ# 5

Why was this not present at the 2nd meeting? Feels like a bait and swith and cull in any opposition. Why are John Lewis and Montclair such gerrymandered attendance areas?

Please see FAQ# 3

This is not a long-term solution and we will absolutely be having this conversation in 3 years, changing it all again.

100% of the Montgomery community understands the repercussions of staying together as a community and the overcrowding that would cause. We are ok with this and want to stay together as a community.

Chamblee Dunwoody Road and Peachtree Rd should be considered natural borders. There will be traffic bottlenecks and issues with children crossing Chamblee Dunwoody as walkers.

This does not provide a holistic solution to overcrowding at MES and APES. The goal of this redistricting was to relieve Cary Reynolds and Dresden. I would like to see a bigger, more impactful plan to address MES and APES.

Suggestion: -Nancy Creek should be re-opened has 483 child capacity -Magnet moved to new location given its already a commuter school. -Wait until Nancy Creek opens to redistrict Montgomery

Cons- 1) Although community members may have chosen the name Doraville United, the population being served is from Chamblee. Therefore, the planning committee should have not approved the name. The school is in Chamblee, population served is Chamblee's and Chamblee's business should be responsible for supporting the school, no Doraville businesses. 2) Predictions have historically been inaccurate, 2 years in a row CRES was under-predicted, then we had to scramble to add classes (move students, move teachers = chaos); 3) Trailers: Reducing overcrowding of students is desirable. Putting students in delapidated trailers is undesirable. However, trailers as ESOL offices are ctually the most wonderful solution I have experienced at CRES (since 2004)

Cons: -G1 & G2 are not accurate there are only 8 kinds enrolled at AP in G1 due to school choice program 5 would stay only 3 would move. In 2021 there would only be 8 kids moving from AP to JLE. This does not fix overcrowding at AP. -G1 & G2 does not keep neighborhoods intact. It doesn't even keep streets intact. It's unfair to move such a small amount of kids from their friends even their friends on the same street. There are other streets closer to JL than G1 & G2

Why is building a new building on Montgomery land (wooded area) not an option to relieve overcrowding? Why not look at building new school in Brookhaven Park? Look at reopen Nancy Creek again.	Please see FAQ# 10
Why is short band aids being considered and not long-term plans? Why move kdis, break neighborhoods, making no relief of crowding in those schools?	Please see FAQ# 30
Why do this now? We don't have a permanent superintendent?	Please see FAQ# 27
Section E, formerly D, Huntley Hills is better than Doraville.	
When will the School Board focus on a long-term solution for the over-crowding at Montgomery?	Please see FAQ# 10
We want to keep all of Montgomery Elementary together until a new school can be opened in our neighborhood. Do not split sexton woods!	
This is not a long-term solutiion. Safety should be #1 priority. No sidewalks to Huntley Hills from Section E	
I want to go to Montgomery please	
1) North of Chamblee is closer to Huntley than the targeted area. Why is Chamblee Being discriminated against?? 2)Chamblee has Hart's Mill Rd, the same as Brookhaven which is a direct path to Montgomery. 3) School Feeder - Middle/High schools do not align with Huntley 4) Not safe. More traffic. Breaking up neighborhood. 5) Montgomery has been loud and clear as a community: do not touch our area.	Please see FAQ# 30
Give more than 4 hours notice to review redistricting plans. Give days. Provide transparency to parents and openness of plans. We feel like we are being jerked around.	
Community's does not feel like they are being heard. Why not listen?	Please see FAQ# 6
Why are you splitting neighborhoods at city line. Mayor have agreed neighborhood is more important to keep intact.	Please see FAQ# 23
Other recommendations: Don't split up established neighborhoods (for example E, G1, G2, sexton woods, etc.) Keep neighborhoods intact!	
Get in the car! Drive the areas you're effecting!	
This is just kicking the can and disrupting kids for no real solution. Seems to be shuffling kids just to fill a school that was built in the wrong place. REOPEN NANCY CREEK! This doesn't solve overcrowding @ several schools so what's the point. None of this considers upcoming developments in each area so the projections seem way off. My understanding was this was for relief for Cary Reynolds and Dresden, so why are we taking on capacity from Hightower? The boundaries do not seem to be natural or make sense. The plan coming out 4 hours before the meeting seems unfair to then ask us to comment. Not enough time to properly review.	
Why is school called Doraville United when most of Children come from Chamblee? Or Brookhaven? Why couldn't Doraville Children have opportunity to have a decent school? Most children moving from Cary Reynolds to Doraville United live in Chamblee.	Please see FAQ# 3
Doraville United - How many homeowners/property tax payers are represented by the new school?	Please see FAQ# 22
Need long-term transparency about MS/HS feeders to make decisions about elementary. No band-aids. Rather be in portables until better solutions are discussed/presented.	
I think that the staff recommeded plan does solve many of the stated problems and took comments into consideration. But Chamblee need new schools. You are pushing a problem down the line that could be solved by building schools where they are actually needed.	
Is moving Kittridge off the table?	Please see FAQ# 28

Why are you moving AP, MES, HH kids without addressing long term projections in addition to current overcrowding holistically? Why shift, to shift again when that specific area can fill a facility specific to that area?	Please see FAQ# 10
What is the long-term pain for overcrowding? Are we facing redistricting next year?	Please see FAQ# 11
Is Kittredge making it a public school again completely off the table?	Please see FAQ# 28
Chamblee Dunwoody should be natural barrier moving students into Huntley Hills.	
Can PATH Academy move to share space with Tapestry (or other)? (To use as neighborhood school for MES and APE)	Please see FAQ# 19
When is Nancy Creek reopening?	Please see FAQ# 28
Is moving Kittridge off the table? Will Nancy Creek reopen?	Please see FAQ# 28

G1 and G2 do not take into account German students. What is the long term plan to solve this? Please see FAQ# 31

By splitting off G1 from APE you're slitting the Drew Valley neighborhood down the middle. As well, the amount of German Immersion families will then be split with younger siblings attending a different school. Both these impact multiple primary and secondary criteria.

We should explore using Kittredge as a neighborhood school. Current projects are too low. Shuffling students will not solve this. MES families are not bothered by portables.

Why can't Kittredge not be used to solve? (Known Bad data provided when they shut it down as a neighborhood school) Please see FAQ# 28

Possible overcrowding Huntley Hills due to montessori. Chamblee Dunwoody - major road issues, traffic due to CMS/CCHS. Sexton Woods/Keswick due to city boundary

-Consider moving PATH academy acquiring land and opening an elementary school in that area to relieve overcrowding. - Rebuild elementary outside perimeter Chamblee Dunwoody Road to relieve overcrowding to Dunwoody and Montgomery.

Why is John Lewis projected to decline significantly in next 5 years? Please see FAQ# 5

While PATH Academy is not a DeKalb property, is it a school that we can buy and use as a way to support school capacity issues in Ashford Park and Montgomery? DeKalb has land that can be used to build an additional school. Why aren't we using land to build school that alleviates numbers in MES and Ashford Park? Please see FAQ# 19

What assurances do constituents have that their legitimate comments and concerns are actually being considered by the "staff" that develops the redistricting plan? Please see FAQ# 6

Instead of thinking immediate districting in short term, you need to think long-term. It is very upsetting to families to be moving every 5 years.

You are still splitting up neighborhoods. In Ashford Park and Sexton Woods.

Why is Ashford Park considered a historical neighborhood but neighborhoods around MES isn't? Please see FAQ# 10

Why is Gainsborough (who is closest to Huntley Hills) is still going to MES but Keswick (who is closest to Ashford Park & then MES goes to Huntley Hills)? Please see FAQ# 30

We are in Section E in School St. Our house was initially assigned to Montgomery and now based on new proposal of the staff we are assigned to Huntley Hills. We are unhappy and not supporting this decision because of the following points: One of our main reason to buy our current house was because of the elementary school ranking. However, we had better options in other locations; Huntley Hills ranking is not as good as Montgomery so I may have to send my kid to private school which I cannot afford; This decision affects our house prices. It will eventually decrease our house price because of lower ranking of Huntley Hills.

What was the long-term redistricting plan for the building of Doraville United. It feels empty of forethought. And more of an afterthought. A lot of money was spent to build a school in a place that will require so much shuffling of students for an ongoing problem. Please see FAQ# 10

Why wouldn't DeKalb Co. move Kittredge to Doraville United? It's big enough to house an elementary school plus Kittredge. Please see FAQ# 28

Who consulted with DeKalb Co. to research best strategies for redistricting? For instance did they hire a consulting company to ensure long-term solutions as opposed to short-term band-aid? Please see FAQ# 21

This is a very disruptive plan that long-term does not fix the problem at MES and Ashford Park. Why not wait on these two schools redistricting until there is a better plan or other options such as reopening Nancy Creek Elementary or a new school? Please see FAQ# 28

Regarding Montgomery and Ashford Park - Wait until the next round until overcrowding can be discussed - postpone until there is a long-term solution. Keep Sexton Woods Intact.

The staff recommended option for Area E violates the following criteria: Primary criteria #1 - it's closer to MES or #2 does not solve MES overcrowding. Secondary #5 - splitting Sexton Woods in half a very strong intact neighborhood. #1/#2

How many of the 93 students in Section C are involved in the Montessori program and will they be allowed to stay at Huntley Hills? What is the long-term plan for MES and Ashford Park overcrowding? Is there any plan for a new school or reopening Nancy Creek? Will Section E be subject to redistricting again in a few years? Please see FAQ# 12

Has safety and traffic patterns been studied for Area E students to travel to Huntley Hills? Limited entrances exist which are difficult to navigate with bus service, and impossible to navigate by foot for these students. Chamblee Dunwoody is a natural boundary that remains a high-traffic thruway with no safety features to travers at Huntley Hills neighborhood entrances. Please see FAQ# 9

Why was the Sexton Woods Neighborhood split down the middle? Please see FAQ# 30

1) Why were these plans released after 3:00 pm? That gives no time to prepare. 2) Why are the numbers incorrect for "Grant Estates" and Renaissance? This is actually Drew Valley. 3) Why if Drew Valley is being split in this proposal were Richwood/Wayland singled out? The following streets are closer: Dresden Creek, Skyland, Carlton, S. Bamby, most of Drew Valley is closer than our street. We are blindsighted by this and confused why we are being singled out? Some of these other streets could safely walk to JES can't because Dresden is unsafe 4) Why are you moving so few kids (impacts 3 kids 2019/less than 10 kids 2020 (3 of which are German) so 7 kids? How does this do anything to relieve AP crowding? Keep community intact. It is cruel to separate our few kids. Please see FAQ# 6

What criteria are used to determine the 2021 projections? Please see FAQ# 5

We would like to know the motivation for splitting the Montgomery cluster along city lines rather than neighborhood lines? Please see FAQ# 23

1) Numbers are inaccurate for G1 when you factor in German enrolled kids. Would be less children next year. 2) Why section out G1 (Wayland Cir and Richwood Dr) from our neighborhood as a whole? Our kids play sports and socialize w/ kids in Drew Valley and Ashford Park - You're splitting Drew Valley in the middle. 3) Would not allow my G1 children to walk to school (which is only possible via Dresden). 4) For proximity there are many other streets closer to John Lewis than G1 (Wayland & Richwood) Please see FAQ# 30

1) Why are you moving kids from over-performing schools to under-performing schools? 2) What objective (non-anecdotal) evidence is there that trailers negatively affect learning? (i.e. why is a reduction a goal?)	Please see FAQ# 1
Are special programs (German Immersion, Montessori, etc. i.e. unmoving students) numbers taken into account when drawing lines?	Please see FAQ# 12
1) School bus drivers need better training. 2) Section E Sexton Woods INTACT!!! 3) Consider the traffic it's obvious it was ignored.	
Why were neighborhoods split by city lines (i.e. Sexton Woods, Section E)? The focus needs to be on long-term solutions rather than short-term. Political concerns are raised by these new lines dividing neighborhoods by city boundaries.	Please see FAQ# 23
1)What are your long-term plans to deal with the anticipated growth in the next 20 years. How are your current plans addressing the pending issue by shuffling 1000 children now? Schools are still overcrowding.	Please see FAQ# 10
Based on projections, MES, APES, and HHES will be 665 students overcapacity in 2022, Redistricting will not solve this. What is the larger plan?	Please see FAQ# 10
Why divide between Chamblee and Bookhaven?	Please see FAQ# 23
Lines look politically motivated and unethical. With all DCSD has been through extreme caution should be shown. Your projection #'s are wrong. Doesn't take into account in-fill and new subdivisions. NOT A LONGTERM FIX ANYTHIN	
City limits should not decide school assignment.	
Lennar Homebuilders plans 73 homes @ 4147 Chamblee Dunwoody road. Plans for redistricting need to account for this subdivision. This subdivision should be sent to Huntley Hills Elementary. Section E should remain with Montgomery.	
Section E is moving traffic towards Chamblee Charter School with this plan rather than away as it is now. It is better to keep traffic spread rather than lump it together.	
Leave Sexton Woods (Section E) ALONE at MES until our school can be the focus.	
Please keep all of Sexton woods together, not by city line	
Please keep all of Sexton Woods together. Please relieve overcrowding in Ashford Park ES.	
Can the redistricting more closely consider the long-term implications of moving children in E per the staff plan?	Please see FAQ# 30
Please do not address overcrowding at Ashford Park and Montgomery with small redistricting. It impacts just a few children that get singled out in a community and forced to start over at a new school with no friends from their community. We'd like the overcrowding at these schools addressed with larger movement of students together. Also the G1 and G2 area contain so many German Immersion students that the projected movement from those areas to John R. Lewis seem overstated. The real impact may be 10 or less.	
Please don't utilize a 'buldge' of group E. We are further away from the newly proposed school!	
This plan is very disruptive and does not solve the long-term problem of overcrowding in Ashford Park and Montgomery Elementary. Please consider delaying this until a long-term solution that actually relieves overcrowding without tearing apart neighborhoods.	
This does not solve for MES or APES or HH overcrowding. Picking small areas now then disrupting again is undesirable. Consider moving Kittredge! It solves for MES and you won't need to move them elsewhere.	



1) G1 and G2 - maximum disruption for minimum impact. Projections are off and actual numbers due to Globe and private and G1 would be actually less. 2) Geographic proximity - there are several other streets that would be closer and make more sense. We are an intact neighborhood and should not be split to a J. Lewis. Huntley Hills GO over. When you keep montessori could kee so more. HH then 125% and smallest school. Do not discriminate against Chamblee residents. You have only moved Chamblee residents to Huntley Hills and not any Brookhaven even though many Brookhaven neighborhoods are geographically closer to Huntley Hills. In addition, Sexton Woods neighborhood has been split at a city line not keeping the neighborhood intact. Sexton Woods neighborhood crosses city lines and the whole neighborhood should move. More large numbers to HH. Don't just take 97 kids to HH. Take more - like 250- 300 kids from MES to HH and move areas closer and keep them together. Geographically doesn't make any sense to pull Keswick and Sexton Woods from Montgomery and put them in Huntley Hills. They now have to cross traffic at Chamblee Dunwoody Rd and many high-school pedestrians. Also feels discriminatory that this is drawn at a city line of Brookhaven and Chamblee.

The criteria for moving Keswick and Sexton Woods is clearly divided by a city line and feels very discriminatory and not done so by the true "geographical" proximity is truly represented.

Cons: Breaking up Sexton Woods/Keswick - Safety: Chamblee Dunwoody traffic will increase and cause safety issues. Also would need to navigate Chamblee Middle/HS traffic. Chamblee Dunwoody is similar to Peachtree Blvd. - Special program @ Huntley Hills - montessori - 120 kids - not all 100 kids will leave 100 Montgomery kids would overcrowd Huntley Hills.

Seems like redistricting Montgomery based on City limits? Breaking a whole community on Harts Mill Rd and Sexton Woods neighborhood because they are in Chamblee. Doesn't make sense to take approx. 100 kids out of Huntley Hills and put in Approx. 100 kids from Montgomery.

Huntley Hills has a montessori program- how many of the 93 students going to Doraville United will be grandfathered in and actually leave Huntley Hills? Will Huntley Hills lose their Title I funding? Adding more kids with potentially less funding.

Please see FAQ# 12

Can we revisit capacity numbers for Montgomery? What if Montgomery families are okay with portables and do not want a change which does not alleviate overcrowding?

Please see FAQ# 6