

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Addresses over crowding at Ashford park and Montgomery	I don't think this plan makes sense. If you're going to address overcrowding at These schools, don't pick a random street or two and shift students. Select a larger geographic area and move it. Why is the entire Drew Valley neighborhood not being redistributed to John Lewis but 2 misc streets are? It makes no sense.	Move larger chunks to address overcrowding. Don't pick a few random streets here or there that will feel singled out.
addresses overcrowding in Dresden and carey reynolds	split feeders, split neighborhoods, Ashford Park remains overcrowded	taking small pockets of neighborhoods is disruptive and affects the community (e.g. sexton woods, Ashford Park), if you are going to take parts of neighborhoods you should take half of the entire neighborhood not just a handful of houses
Addresses overcrowding of Dresden Elementary school	The current proposals do not address the current or projected overcrowding of the chamblee cluster. A full redistricting of the chamblee cluster elementary schools is needed. Moving 50 students from Ashford Park breaks up neighborhoods without solving problems.	A future full redistricting of the chamblee cluster elementary schools is needed to address the current and projected crowding at Ashford Park and Montgomery Elem. schools. The current proposals do not address the capacity issues at these schools.
Agree with plan	None	
APES-Helps overcrowding bit more than last round's options. Also, this makes more sense to move current APES kids to a school in closer proximity to their homes than moving those kids less than a mile from APES to Doraville which was previous plan. Staff Plan is much better to justify reasoning and lines and lay groundwork for future redistricting.	Seems like more kids living nearest to JLES could be redistricted there if needed to trim APES. If need to redistrict more kids out of APES, move those who live mile from JLES-still more viable options using that #1 criteria. South side of Dresden (Drew Valley side) needs to be considered if needed. Set precedence for future needs.	DUES not viable redistricting option for any AP kid if trying to trim APES.Can't bus kids > 3 mi. to make new ES work when have ES <1 mile to walk to. Put more walkers on sidewalk, not buses on road to justify new ES built outside APES prob.If proximity is #1, then must follow that.Staff Plan is on right track.Previous APES recs were unjustifiable.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Ashford Park ES highly rated	Don't bring Doraville into this area.	
Considers traffic, proximity, highest needs around Doraville United.	Changes to Ashford Park attendance zone (Richland/wayland) seem punitive or meant to spread the burden but without achieving benefit to Doraville United. This change was presented late in the process without as much opportunity for input, except strong opposition received last year when those streets weren't even part of the John Lewis proposals.	Please solve for Doraville united issue, rather than opening up all schools for reconstructing every year.
Does a nice job alleviating some overcrowding and other concerns.	It is important to keep the public comments from last year's John Lewis redistricting at the front of this discussion. It is important not to erode the lines of the Ashford Park neighborhood even further by moving Skyland/Carlton/Bamby away from Ashford Park ES. While this plan does not contemplate such a move, it makes a future move more likely.	Keep the traffic safety of Ashford Park in mind and do not redistrict Bamby/Carlton/Skyland
Does not require extra traffic on Ashford Dunwoody Rd.	Moves some APE students, which does very little to address overcrowding at APE. Does not take into consideration the GI program at APE.	The projected enrollment is very short-sighted. It does not take into account the projected enrollment in the long-term, which needs to be acknowledged. The school-choice programs are also not considered in the numbers
Dresden and Cary Reynolds are less crowded	Isolating a few kids from Ashford Park does not help the overcrowding in a long-term solution focused way and only breaks up our community. Specifically along the clairmont corridor: the new plan has students that are not crossing any major roads to attend ashford park now crossing clairmont and peachtree, negatively impacting traffic and	The PAC would like to respectfully take APES out of the Cross Keys cluster redistricting until a more long-term focused solution can be reached.
Eases overcrowding at Cary Reynolds and Dresden, reduces total number of portable classrooms	Splits in well defined neighborhoods in Drew Valley/Ashford Park and Huntley Hills; With impending high school and the subsequent redistricting expected as clusters are re-imagined, minor tweaks now to existing zones in the established neighborhoods seems not very necessary or impactful; suggest waiting to create a more comprehensive plan.	Better understanding what will happen with the magnet, German Immersion, STEM, and other programs if clusters are reimagined and possibly creating more disjointed neighbor attendance zones at least in the short term

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Happy to be still in the Ashford Park ES zone for proximity and the community.		
Helps alleviate the overcrowding for the Cross Keys Cluster (Dresden, Hightower, and Cary Reynolds)	The proposed move of students in section G1 to John Lewis is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance (and Wayland Circle area) to remain Ashford Park and an independent redistricting process look at the overcrowding in the Chamblee Cluster. Moving just a few kids does nothing to help with overcrowding and splinters the community.	The number of students projected to leave Ashford Park and go to John Lewis are over-inflated due to the number of children in the German Immersion program. Throwing this change in at the very last minute shows that this plan was not well thought through. We want a strategic plan to address overcrowding and this is not the answer.
I appreciate that the school board has made overcrowding a priority and is trying to work hard to solve the problem.	I have attended a couple of meetings and I am very surprised that it seems the school board has failed to properly plan prior to building the new schools, which has led to Schools being built in places that make it impossible to uphold the principles for attendance zones.	I heard today that there may be an option to move the magnet program from Nancy creek school, and move the kids from Huntley hills theyâ€™re wild at school is rebuilt, and the kids from Ashford Park well thatâ€™s cool is rebuilt. I think the school board should also do it can to reacquire DeKalb path for another elementary school.
I guess overall it reduces the number of trailers. But Ashford Park is willing to endure more trailers if we can come up with our own independent, holistic plan to keep the Chamblee cluster together and redistrict among those 3 schools. Give us more time, perhaps to get funding for a new school.	Taking 40 or so kids from Ashford Park does NOTHING to relieve our overcrowding. It just breaks up neighborhoods, does not take into account School Choice students, and is hasty and rushed simply because you built a new school & need to fill it. We need more time and are willing to be overcrowded in the interim. APS needs a new BUILDING.	Give Ashford Park two years to make redistricting recommendations ourselves. We recognize some must leave our attendance zone, but we are hoping for Montgomery or Huntley Hills, perhaps with Nancy Creek reopened and Kittredge moved to the I-85/Adams Stadium school site. Why not??
<p>It addresses adding kids to Doraville United, which was the intended focus of this redistricting effort</p> <p>The maps were released (but shortly before the meeting instead of before noon. This prevented parents from having time to know about the last minute street additions/attending. Was this on purpose? Happened for Dunwoody meeting also)</p>	Blatantly disregards guiding principles of special program consideration, geographical proximity (we are not the closest!) and keeping neighborhoods INTACT. My street was pulled off alone from our neighborhood/community which is unfair and WRONG. We are an island. Recheck the data- it is inaccurate. Does not solve the problem/isolates our kids	Do not isolate a few kids from APES in a way that is not logical and does not solve (or make a dent) in APES redistricting. This is hurting a handful of families in a shock move last-minute. Wait until 2-4 yrs when Doraville redvlpmt happens (6 apt being redvlpd), new super hired, new HS built, go bond sorted. Plan better for after those things!

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
it alleviates overcrowding at Cary Reynolds & Dresden.	Breaks up Ashford Park & Sexton Woods. AP was blindsided by G1/G2, as they weren't brought up in the first 2 rounds. Mandatory walkers in G2 will be forced to cross a busy road (Dresden) while children living adjacent to JL will be bused to Ashford Park. Moving G1/2 won't reduce AP's numbers much, as it's my understanding many are in immersion.	DeKalb needs to come up with a comprehensive plan for the future. This piecemeal nonsense is just that -- nonsense. If geographic proximity is key, then shake things up, move all of the kids ONCE, and end the gerrymandering. But this nonsense of breaking up neighborhoods on a year by year basis needs to end.
It does better level load capacity across. However please see the big overall con below.	It only incrementally addresses overcrowding at Ashford Park. Your total overcapacity problem in the district is about equal to the overcapacity at Ashford Park. I recommend excluding Ashford Park altogether from this redistricting as it doesn't really solve any problems there (and will be disruptive) and plan a formal expansion for that school.	Exclude Ashford Park from this and plan a longer term expansion there
It relieves overcrowding in the Cross Keys district as it was intended for	Breaking up the intact neighborhoods of Drew Valley and Ashford Park/surrounding area. Would like to see a holistic plan to relieve overcrowding in the Chamblee cluster in the next 2-4 years	Keeping sibling preference for the German Immersion program, not to remove small pockets of our current school to place at other schools, rather look at overcrowding over the next few years
Keep ashford park community together	Only making a few kids from the neighborhood change schools isn't right.	Keep ashford park together
Keep Clairwood Terrace in Ashford Park		It is best to keep APS students where they are
Keeps Ashford Park as an intact neighborhood.	Takes just a small number of children and it doesn't help with the over crowding of APES. Big impact for the few families who have to move schools, little impact for school.	
Keeps Ashford Park neighborhood intact		
Keeps historic APES community together. Decreases the number of major roads students would cross to get to school. School is closer to communities that feed it.	Still really does not address overcrowding in Montgomery area.	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Keeps neighbors together.	Cuts into established Drew Valley neighborhood in non uniform manner.	
Keeps the Residents truly part of Ashford Park going to APS.		
Leaves neighborhoods intact (for example Ashford park proper.) Geographic proximity is taken into consideration which is 1st point for policy. Hightower is pulled into DUES which helps region 1 with overcrowding (there is no where to the N,W, or E to help region 1 so pulling into region 2 makes sense). Public feedback was listened to from round 2.	Should pull more kids from Drew Valley to JLES to help with overcrowding at APES. Then less students are isolated from their community because they will go with a larger chunk. They are geographically closer to JLES anyways and the entire neighborhood should have gone to JLES last year when the first redistricting happened - why weâ€™re crowded now	Always focus on geographic proximity and intact neighborhoods first.
Like this plan because it keeps the Ashford Park community intact. This will app for much safer busing patterns for our children.	None	
Maintain all of Ashford park including North east corner intact attending APES. Keeps the geographic families together. Enables kids to not have to cross TWO major thoroughfares.		
More houses in G1 area should be zoned to JLES.	It doesnâ€™t make sense that G2 (on the other side of Dresden Dr) is singled out to be zoned to John Lewis ES. what is the criteria? How about those houses on the south side at Dresden - why they can stay with APES being so close to JLES. Only a few middle class neighborhâ€™d left in APES. Letâ€™s keep the representative of Dresden Creek Comm to APES.	Letâ€™s use Dresden Dr as a separate line.
More students in Chamblee cluster get to remain in cluster. Hightower students get relief which helps Dunwoody cluster. Drew Valley goes to JLES which they SHOULD. Students don't have to cross Clairmont to go 3 miles to school. Areas E/F on map options 1/2 were allowed to remain at APES - geographic proximity and neighborhood	G2 is part of ashford park proper and should be left in APES attendance zone. More of Drew Valley should be sent to JLES. All of CRES should be sent to DUES so that Kittredge can be moved in the future for Chamblee cluster overcrowding. The redistricting this year should allow for solutions moving forward.	keeping neighborhoods intact regardless of municipal services lines (city lines). Includes Huntley hills, Ashford park, Sexton woods neighborhoods. If you send part of a neighborhood - send them all! If a school resides in a neighborhood -all of those students in the neighborhood should go to that school (HHES, APES)

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
N/A	Breakz up community and is a fractionated approach to temporarily solving a long term problem. We feel a more holistic approach is needed with planning to solve a growing long term issue with overcrowding	Community
No pros based on the data being incorrect.	Moving G1 and G2 from Ashford Park Elementary to John Lewis will single out those few streets from its neighbors and make very little impact to resolving the overcrowding at AP elementary.	We ask that the county allows for the Chamblee Cluster to come up with an independent effort for redistricting in the Chamblee Cluster. We ask that you remove G1 and G2 from this round as to not split up our families by separating our children as well as to keep the community intact. Please continue to honor the School Choice sibling
None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Socially isolating children from their friends and community. Therefore, causing them to be emotionally distraught and not be able to focus academically. - high traffic volume on Dresden to become a walker instead of a school bus rider at Ashford park elementary. - socially excludes them from activities in current school with their friend 	Safety with the road crossings, social exclusion from community and friends
None	Breaking up a community neighborhood historically part of Ashford Park is horrible! Dresden Creek is a small townhouse community of only 7 children attending APES in 2020. Please don't move our children!	Please consider moving first, the children enrolled in the Choice Program that do not live in the district. By doing this, the children of G1 and G2 would not need to be transferred. Ultimately leaving our neighborhood intact and the 7 children living in our community attending Ashford Park Elementary school.
None	I disapprove of this plan because i believe it will drastically change the direction of my child's future education. One of the main reasons we moved to this location was in be in Ashford Park schools. You would change this affecting our kids educational future and at the same time bringing down our home value.	They should could consider the many family this would displace from their child's school district in a neighborhood they moved to for that reason.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
None	I have lived in the G1 area for 21 years and have always been part of ashford park school district. I dont believe the numbers are accurately represented therefore your proposal will not solve the overcrowding. there should be more consideration taken to keep us together with our previous classmates	
NONE	I live in G1 group. Myself and my neighbors consider ourselves part of Drew Valley neighborhood and were surprised to learn that some at the county felt otherwise. Moving us to a different school than other Drew Valley residents and friends was shocking to say the least. We also are curious as to the validity of the number of children in G1.	Intact Neighborhoods: G1 section of the staff recommended map is absolutely part of Drew Valley. Moving this section out of Drew Valley hurts our community and for what? We did a detailed audit and determined that the streets of Wayland Circle + Richwood would only have 6 or 7 children at AP eligible to move in 2020 (non German Immersion).
None	The "enrollment difference" for G1 and G2 says 43 students for 2021. That number is not correct. It does not take into consideration "school choice" (German). Not all of the children are in the same grade - so you would not be able to remove 2 trailers. Secondary criteria-intact neighborhood. This is tearing our neighborhoods apart.	Efficient operations--there are other streets in Drew Valley who will go around us to go to Ashford Park, Safety--my children cannot walk to school on Dresden. No real impact on overcrowding at Ashford Park.
None	The staff recommended plan removes certain streets from current community and forces students to be moved to different schools while making no impact to current overcrowding issues at Ashford Park Elementary. The maps do not take into account students that are currently in German Immersion.	As a member of the Ashford Park/Drew Valley community, we ask that the county leaves the Chamblee cluster intact and allow us as a group to develop an independent effort to resolve the overcrowding. We also ask that the county allow G1 and G2 on the map to stay at Ashford Park so that the community stays intact as well as not to split up families.
None	This plan is short term - a holistic plan is needed that can solve long term goals and will not split apart neighborhoods	The PAC recommendations should be considered.
None	Won't solve the problem in the long run	The fact that the surrounding communities will continue to grow (see Doraville) and we need the infrastructure in place to support that growth

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
None	Ashford Park ES community needs to remain intact Clearly gerrymandering	
None	Wayland Circle And Richwood Drive should be allowed to stay at APE since they are part of Drew Valley. The # of students from these two streets will not alleviate any of the trailers at APE, especially if German students are allowed to stay at APE. It will disrupt the lives of the existing non-German students who have attended APE.	If you redistrict them, wait until you do it for all Drew Valley.
None really. I know in our G2 area of the map, there are maybe only 4 or 5 kids who would end up being moved (the number you have are incorrect). So that will definitely not fix any overcrowding concerns you have. But it will definitely cause negative life altering effects on the few kids you do move, with no positive results for the district.	Aside from not fixing the overcrowding concern you have (bc as noted above, your numbers for G2 are wrong), you will cause severe emotional distress to my kid by isolating her and moving her but very few others. And why our G2 neighborhood but not areas south of us and further away from Ash Park than we are? And Dresden is dangerous to cross for kids	Moving G2 makes no sense. Its a lose/lose situation. Moving only a few kids in G2 will definitely negatively impact the kids lives but do not give you enough kids so fix any overcrowding concerns you have. Please dont do this to us.
None that I can see. There's no gained benefit from moving the few students that would be much of a positive. A lot of discomfort for minimal impact.	For the small impact (7kids from our street) you will greatly impact our family and quality of life. One of our children is in the German program and we don't want to other sibling to be left out. On Richwood and Wayland there would only be a net gain of 7 heads from AP, not enough impact to make the headache worth it.	
None!!!!!! Why not keep Chamblee Cluster intact (PAC recommendation) with an independent review/recommendation in near future (2-4 years). We can decide TOGETHER how to fix the issue instead of breaking apart 2-3 streets. My daughter and son don't understand why their friends one street over get to stay at Ashford Park and they don't.	The numbers represented in G1 and G2 are not accurate. They are inflated. They include German Immersion students (School Choice). So only 3 children on Wayland will be moved next year--2 of which are my children Peyton and Luke Savincki. This is breaking the Ashford Park Elementary school community apart and will have no impact.	Not safe to walk to John Lewis from G2 on Dresden, other streets in Drew Valley will have to go around us to go to Ashford Park, not keeping neighborhoods intact and no impact on Ashford Park overcrowded. Previous redistricting last year and you are doing redistricting again this year with not a lot of time to make a choice to move.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
None, as it concerns G1 and G2 being rezoned from Ashford Park to John Lewis. The numbers the plan are based on are wrong (i.e., fewer children will move to John Lewis than anticipated because of private school attendance and German Immersion students).	Concerning G1 and G2, the staff recommended plan, which was the third, a major surprise, and dropped before the last meeting, is short-sighted and significantly impacts children who currently attend Ashford Park with little long-term benefit to overcrowding. Keep G1 and G2 at Ashford Park until there is a comprehensive plan for all of Drew Valley.	
None, this proposal doesn't even fix over crowding due to inaccurate data.	This proposal separates G1 from the rest of our neighborhood (Drew Valley) and doesn't even solve the over crowding at AP. The number of seats actually being moved isn't even enough for a whole class. Please leave the attendance zones alone until we can come up with a solution that doesn't break up neighborhoods and actually solves overcrowding.	Please stop shuffling kids around from one overcrowded school to another. You just solved overcrowding for the kids at JLES. Don't undue that. Admit we need bigger schools and let's build them
None. It isolates and strips two streets highly integrated into Ashford Park and Drew Valley from the neighborhood. If the goal was to unload Ashford Park, this plan does not accomplish it. Not a single one of my son's friends would be redistricted with him to the new school.	Per your own map, there are only 7 children on Wayland circle. Moving those 7 to JL (section G1 on map) would have little to no impact on either instructional capacity or projected enrollment. Also, many of these children are in German immersion (which doesn't exist at JL). So only a handful of families (1-2) would actually move with us.	What the parents want, which I really do not
None. Unfortunately, this is a short term solution that is being proposed that will not last the test of time and will be negatively impacting the G1 streets in a major way.	Short term solution, breaks the G1 streets away from our current neighbors and friends. Splits the G1 neighborhood up as some children are already in German program and their siblings will follow. This is the second year in a row we have had to go through redistributing process and is short term solution. G1 numbers are inaccurate.	Consider a third party consultant to help derive a long term solution.
Northeast portion of Ashford Park neighborhood re-aligned with the neighborhood school, APES: Community of Interest, Traffic patterns, and % participating in GI will not be disrupted. Peachtree-Dresden-Clairmont form CORE Ashford Park neighborhood. Priority should be to keep that area as CORE of APES attendance zone.	Neighborhoods east of Clairmont Road should be moved to DUES. PDK should not be color coded. Sociodemographic parity should be a primary redistricting goal. Plans for redistricting should be done PRIOR to the investment construction. The current impact studies of the cities needs to be revisited and taken much more seriously.	Making sociodemographic parity a priority will help with future redistricting and realignments (unless of course, the goal is to push people to private schools or to move).

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Offloads Cary Reynolds and Dresden, reappropriates to Doraville	Breaks apart long-standing neighborhood/elementary relationships still leaving long term capacity problems	Enlarging capacities of schools (specifically ashford park) as it is high performing and has good relationship with its students and good support
Please use property tax funds to expand ashford park building. Too many trailers.		Please eliminate trailers at ashford park and expand building.
Provides long-promised relief for overcrowding at CK cluster elementary schools (Dresden & Cary Reynolds).	Chamblee Cluster schools are negatively impacted. Intact neighborhoods are divided and current and future overcrowding are not addressed adequately. Faulty APES data is being used (i.e school choice).The incremental changes of the proposed plan are needlessly disruptive to the learning environments for Chamblee cluster students, faculty and staff.	We (Chamblee Cluster schools) request to be excluded from the DUES redistricting, and propose a new, independent effort to address the significant overcrowding issues within the Chamblee Cluster attendance zone within the next 2-4 years.
Reduces children at APES, but this same issue happened last year. Not sure why we are revisiting so soon.	<p>We have been through multiple redistricting plans in the last few years, how do we know this won't happen again in another 12 months?</p> <p>We are one street away from friends, family who are in the same school and on the same side of Dresden.</p> <p>We purchased this house for our school selection.</p> <p>If elementary changes does our middle and</p>	
Reduces over utilization of some of the heavily impacted schools	Ashford park is still heavily over utilized.	Increased commute times for parents
Reduces overcrowding and portable classrooms at several schools	Several of the specific recommendations (G1 and G2) cause disruptive impacts to a small number of students without accomplishing any positive, significant impact to overcrowding at involved schools. In G1, when taking in account students in German Immersion, only a handful of students would be moved into a school, away from their neighborhoods.	Redistricting impacting the southern part of Ashford Park and Drew Valley should be delayed until the district is prepared to make a larger wholesale redistricting of the elementary schools to align with the new high/middle school. Keep G1 and G2 with Drew Valley/AP until you are ready to move larger portions of the neighborhood
Relieves overcrowding at Cross Keys ES as intended	Needless pulls students from Ashford Park without doing anything to impact the overcrowding there.	Do not impact the Chamblee feeder schools this year. Instead look at a more thorough plan for those schools in the next 2-3 years.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding at dresden	Moving drew valley and ashford park residents into John Lewis (g1 and g2) for only a few student reduction when they were promised last year with John Lewis redistricting that they would not be affect by the doraville United process. They are a part of the neighborhood and would disrupt an already cohesive ashford park community	Keeping neighborhoods together I.e. ashford park and drew valley
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary and Cary Reynolds	Does not actually solve Ashford Park overcrowding while moving a seemingly random area to John Lewis. It would be more effective and efficient to hold off on redistricting students from Ashford Park to other schools until a conclusive decision can be made that solves the overcrowding.	The Superintendent should consider either adding capacity Ashford Park through an addition to the school or adding another school in the Brookhaven area that could be used to relieve overcrowding of Ashford Park and Montgomery. Our community is close and should stay together.
relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary and Carry Reynolds Elementary	The proposal to move students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood to be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing Ashford Park Elementary overcrowding and not a one-off redistricting effort, effecting a small number of children.	The projected number of G1 students that would move from Ashford Park, section G1, seems heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary and Carry Reynolds Elementary.	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	Neighborhood suggested responses: We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan.	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood to be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting. Letter to Superintendent
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary.	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict affecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move from Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden Elementary, Hightower Elementary, and Carry Reynolds Elementary.	We feel the proposed move of students in section G1 to John R. Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	We believe the projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from the G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John R. Lewis redistricting
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden, Carey Reynolds and Hightower elementary schools.	The proposed move of students from G1 to John Lewis Elementary is unfavorable. We would like to see the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood be afforded the opportunity to be part of a larger plan in addressing the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary and not a one off redistrict effecting a small number of children.	I believe projections on number of students that would move to Ashford Park from G1 section may be heavily overstated due to students enrolled in School Choice German Immersion program. Additionally, the community as a whole has not had the appropriate time to provide feedback on John Lewis redistricting.
Relieving overcrowding at area schools.	Splitting up neighborhoods and communities that have worked together to support Ashford Park and help make it the school it is today.	Is there any consideration of making Ashford Park a K-2 school and having a 3-5 located in a different building- similar to what Sarah Smith did to relieve overcrowding?
Resolved overcrowding for some schools	Minimally addresses overcrowding in key growth areas in our community. Ashford park capacity remains concerning.	We need room for growth in our plan. Schools should not be 104 percent of capacity in our rapidly growing community. People purchase homes in our areas specifically for the great schools so we need to invest in them and expand them to allow room for growth. Why can't we rebuild AP and Montgomery as two story buildings?
Temporarily relieving overcrowding at Ashord Park Elementary	The two streets of Richwood Dr and Wayland Circle appear to be cut out in the G1 move. This will isolate our kids from others in our immediate vicinity. This is needlessly disruptive to our children because the estimated number of students is not accurate. The impact of moving our 2 streets over to JLE is far less than the plan likely anticipates.	Consider the impact of the proposed redistricting on our families; please do not separate us from our neighborhood during this current redistricting process as doing so will disrupt our children's education.
Thank you for keeping Carlyle Woods in the Ashford Park ES attendance zone.	This plan does not address the larger issues of overcrowding in the Chamblee Cluster. It will only disrupt children in the Chamblee Cluster without fixing the problem.	Please do not touch the Chamblee Cluster and instead develop a comprehensive holistic plan to address the overcrowding there within the next 2-4 years.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Thank you for the hard work and planning to improve the school District. We live in a very small neighborhood (54 houses), many students are in the German program at Ashford Park, we would like to keep all the Kids in our Neighborhood at the same elementary school.		Keep all the Kids in our Neighborhood at the same elementary school.
The plan keeps together the true Ashford Park neighborhood and sends those children to the neighborhood school. We will still be able to WALK to school and won't have to cross several major intersections to go to another school.	None, I like the current map as it is presented.	Keep the Ashford Park neighborhood together and still attending APES. We love our school and the ability to be able to walk to school. It promotes healthy living for our children.
The plan seems to relieve a lot of the lower rated schools.	I still worry about Ashford Park having far to many students by the time my children would go there. I would rather see households in Drew Valley and Ashford Park stay with there walking distance neighborhood school and move people north of Peachtree to another school since they already can't walk to the one they attend now.	What will overall improve the learning conditions in our schools the most.
There is a need to redraw lines but not until a bigger picture plan is created.	By moving G1 out of its current school zone does not help the numbers. It also creates an island within an entire school zone of two streets separated from the rest of their neighbors. There needs to be a bigger picture plan created to resolve the overcrowding from the top down, not just moving a few streets here and there, with no clear reasoning.	As I said above, there is no clear reason why an island of two streets within a large school zone would be moved to another. The numbers do not help the enrollment. Please do not punish the kids within our G1 zone by alienating them from the rest of the entire neighborhood. If half of the entire school zone were moved over, then it makes more sense
There is little pros with the plan. We understand that the positive of the plan for the redistricting of G1 wayland circle is to help with over crowding at APE however this plan doesn't help. Real student numbers, German Immersion and private school children do now help this plan meet what it was set to do.	G1 and wayland circle are a part of Brookhaven and the Chamblee group. Neighbors- this redistricting not only splits us from our Chamblee and Brookhaven community, with GI students staying at APE it splits our next door neighbors.	How does this move help Ashford Park overcrowding?
We appreciate the DCSD improving map 3 and leaving the streets in APES attendance zone and keeping Ashford park proper intact.	Clearly, Drew Valley should be sent to John Lewis Elementary in the future. The geography of the decision cannot be denied.	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
We would like to propose an independent review of the Chamblee Cluster schools.	We would like to propose an independent review of the Chamblee Cluster schools.	
Will help relieve overcrowding at Hightower and Dresden Elementary schools.	The projection of the number of students in Section G1 to John Lewis Elementary School is unfavorable. Weâ€™d like the Brookhaven Renaissance neighborhood to be afforded the opportunity to be a part of a larger plan to address the overcrowding at Ashford Park Elementary school and not a one-off solution to redistrict a small number of children.	There are students enrolled in the School Choice German Immersion Program in the G1 section that may overstate the projections of students that would move to APES. Brookhaven Renaissance has not had the appropriate amount of time to provide feedback on a John R. Lewis redistricting.
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Moving two streets within a neighborhood doesn't impact issue of overcrowding, but disrupts kids in our streets (in G1) by removing them from classrooms with their neighbors. 2. Potentially separates students with siblings in the German immersion program 	This seems to be a very important issue and I feel strongly that a third party consultant should be brought in to effectively address the issue of overcrowding. The proposed solutions will not address the main issue and will unfairly impact a small number of students, and future students.
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposed plan fails to keep Ashford Park intact as a community that attends Ashford Park Elementary. 2. Unfairly requires just a few kids from the community to change schools 	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recommended plan fails to keep Ashford Park together as a community that goes to Ashford Park elementary. 2. Recommended plan unfairly singles out a few students to change schools 	
	As it pertains to the recommend plan, the redistricting of some of the areas that currently go to APE would not only be breaking up the community in a sense since John Lewis is a part of the Cross Keys Cluster, but it would have minimal impact on the overcrowding situation at APE.	I would recommend taking a holistical view of the Chamblee cluster and address that in a separate redistricting effort in an effort to keep our community together.
	Ashford park elementary change seems unnecessary, and will break up long term neighborhoods	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
	Break up neighborhood	
	Breaking up local community. Buses from different schools on the same street. Kids live on the same street but go to different schools. Segregation of certain ethnic groups and socioeconomic groups. JLE should be districted for Drew Valley instead of JLE pulling from Mainly North Druid Hills rd	Need long term relieve and this plan does not do that.
	Breaking up Sexton Woods and pulling Richmond & Wayland out of APES is terrible. Should all of us live in fear that you guys are just going to snipe individual streets at random every year?	Keeping neighborhoods in tact
	Chamblee Cluster PACs would like to respectfully request to be excluded from the Cross Keys Cluster redistricting efforts due the inability to adequately address the significant overcrowding currently, and projected to be, within the Chamblee Cluster as well as inability to keep our neighborhoods intact. We believe any incremental impact to our att	
	Chamblee Cluster PACs would like to respectfully request to be excluded from the Cross Keys Cluster redistricting efforts due to the inability to adequately address the significant overcrowding currently and projected to be, within the Chamblee Cluster as well as inability to keep our neighborhoods intact	
	Children from my community will have to cross a busy street. It also breaks up the Ashford Park community, and a handful of students would have to change cshools.	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
	<p>Children will be separated from the kids they have grown up with. My son will be forced into a new middle school where he won't know a soul. This can be detrimental to a preteen during a critical time in his development. He's a gifted student and needs to be challenged, which I believe to be unlikely at one of the lowest ranked schools in the state.</p>	
	<p>Disruption to a students routine can be damaging to their future development and learning growth.</p>	
	<p>Here's the letter we have drafted for the county. I'm hoping to get it sent this afternoon. Please let me know if you have any questions or input on the letter. Thanks!</p> <p>Vy</p> <p>Letter to the County</p> <p>Dear Mrs. Tyson,</p> <p>On the proposed redistricting maps, the section labeled G1 includes the homes on Wayland Circle and Richwood Drive that make up Grant</p>	
	<p>It would negatively impact the few kids in our neighborhood in a big way. I have lived in the neighborhood for 18+ years and watched it go from mostly adults to a large school age children population. The neighborhood has built a strong alliance of families, carpooling, socializing to Ashford Park. Changing that would I believe hurt that bond.</p>	<p>Won't help Ashford Park moving this small group of kids. As for a Grandfathering of neighborhood at minimum</p>
	<p>keep ASHFORD PARK PROPER as an intact neighborhood with attendance at APES. Focus should be on geographic proximity and keeping neighborhoods intact.</p>	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
	No benefit of moving 43 students out of Ashford Park as it breaks up the community unnecessarily.	Moving Ashford Park elementary school students out of the Chamblee cluster and into Cross Keys clutter doesn't address the overcrowding in either cluster. You are better off doing nothing with any of the Chamblee cluster schools at this time and address the Chamblee cluster as a separate issue.
	Obvious last second grab of Ashford park neighborhoods recommended for John Lewis will never end up attending - Parents will send to private. The obvious solution is another school in the west central border of Ashford park and mont elem. Plan still has Ashford and Mont most overcrowded - & pay most of the taxes...Brookhaven local control now!	Community wide support for public schools - the plan submitted will 100% guaranteed to push people to private schools and reduce community wide support
	On Archway Drive, you are splitting the street. This line also is being drawn at the new housing, which feels extremely unethical. You are taking families on the same street and moving a portion of them to JLE while leaving the new (more expensive) homes in APES. This is heartbreaking. Additionally, our street is inside AP neighborhood lines.	If moving kids from APES is required, more families should be moved together. This would even out demographics and not make the move feel as isolating to the handful of kids that are being pulled from their neighborhood friends/school.
	Our current school zone is Ashford Park which is within five minutes of our house. To re zone us would mean a 20 minute ride at minimum. It would also make our children go to a different school than their neighbors in the next neighborhood. This school is a part of this entire neighborhood. Please rethink our rezoning for the sake of community.	The areas on the cusp of the rezones should have a say so in the rezoning process. This community is very Involved in this school. To alter this area, which is a small subset, seems unnecessary and hardly seems practical.
	Separating the Wayland Cir/Richwood Dr enclave from Ashford Park Elementary school will not only isolate us from our current well-established school community and relationship that took time to build, but would not result in much of an enrollment shift as only 10 children would be affected and would be removed from their friends at Ashford Park :(New map carves out Wayland Circle/Richwood enclave (Grant Estates neighborhood) as if it's gerrymandering

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
	Some schools will still be over capacity	
	The number of seats proposed to move G1 and G2 are grossly inaccurate because in doesn't factor in kids in private school and in GI. This move does not even solve any problems and only disrupts the families and streets affected by separating them from their neighborhood. Please wait until we have a comprehensive plan to address overcrowding.	If we agreed to make Peachtree Industrial a geographical boarder than that should extend to Peachtree Road as they are the same street after all. Drew Valley and Ashford Park are one community. It makes more sense to draw a geographical boarder at a major 4 lane road than a 2 lane neighborhood street.
	The plan will not adequately address the significant overcrowding at APES and will not keep our community intact. The incremental impact that this will have on overall APES attendance will also be disruptive to our community and negatively impact the APES environment. We would prefer a comprehensive plan which will include middle and high schools	
	The recommended plan will cut our neighborhood/subdivision out of the Ashford Park Community as a whole. The community we identify with socially, academically and physically	Kindly keep the Dresden Creek community with Ashford Park
	The small community of Dresden Creek is being separated from the Ashford Park community. I do not understand why this small section is being singled out to attend John Lewis. These students should remain at APS instead of breaking up the student community. The proposed district lines are not in Dresden Creek's interest.	
	This plan does not solve the overcrowding issue as the numbers for area G1 are inaccurate. Additionally, G1 is part of the Drew Valley neighborhood so this does not keep neighborhoods intact by moving a small handful of students.	As a resident of the area and mom of a APES Kindergartener, I would like the Chamblee cluster to remain intact as it is. Redistricting two streets (G1 proposal) does not solve the issue, alienates the children moved, and does not keep the neighborhood intact. After surveying our neighborhood this redistricting will only save APES 6 seats.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
	<p>We are residents of the G1 section of map. Although we acknowledge that overcrowding at Ashford Park is a real issue, we ask keep us at AP for now and the staff to consider a more comprehensive redistricting strategy to address the problem. The current map separates us from the community we are apart of. We literally are an island in the map.</p>	<p>Building a new school. The Chamblee cluster is exploding and new or renovated schools are needed - shifting in between isn't going to fix the population influx.</p>
	<p>We are very much a part of the Ashford Park and Drew Valley community. Uprooting our children and moving them to John Lewis would be extremely disruptive especially as our small street has only a few school age children. This proposed redistricting would not have any impact on overcrowding at AP yet an extreme impact on our kids.</p>	<p>We ask that the board provide a wholistic plan to the entire community that truly addresses the issue of overcrowding. The current plan does not provide a lasting solution and negatively impacts a handful of kids who feel extremely singled out.</p>
	<p>You are removing only a handful of students from the Ashford Park attendance zone. All you are doing is alienating these children from their neighbors and their classmates they have known.</p>	<p>1) Chamblee Cluster PACs would like to respectfully request to be excluded from the Cross Keys Cluster redistricting efforts due to the inability to adequately address the significant overcrowding currently, and projected to be, within the Chamblee Cluster as well as inability to keep our neighborhoods intact. 2) We believe any incremental impact</p>
		<p>Chamblee Cluster PACs would like to respectfully request to be excluded from the Cross Keys Cluster redistricting efforts due to the inability to adequately address the significant overcrowding currently, and projected to be, within the Chamblee Cluster as well as inability to keep our neighborhoods intact. We believe any incremental impact to our att</p>
		<p>Please use funds to expand building at Ashford Park Elementary School. No more trailers please.</p>
		<p>The strength of a school is rooted in it's community. Maintaining the community should be the priority of the district.</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
		We moved to Osborne road specifically for Ashford Park Elementary. Many of us feel strongly that neighborhoods north of Peachtree should remain in Ashford Park district! Thank you!
It is good to reduce the portable classrooms. It's unclear how these boundaries will influence middle and high-school filtering. That should have been included in this for easy reference. I would not break up neighborhoods ex. Northwoods. Consider re-evaluating the boundary north of the perimeter to keep neighborhoods intact for students.	However, it is strange how boundaries are being proposed. Students in neighborhoods should stay together. It doesn't make sense to split the Northwoods neighborhood in half - half to Cary Reynolds, and half to Doraville United. Similarly, why send 5 students from Montgomery to Doraville United? Did you consider another boundary being the perimeter?	Proximity to schools should be considered. Availability of STEM and gifted programs.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding for Cary Reynolds and Dresden and Hightower. Has a good mix of homes and apartments complexes (more so than past district plans).	Northwoods neighborhood (part of section A) would like to remain in the Cary Reynolds attendance zone. Hightower parents have requested for Jasmine and Cornerstone apartments stay in the same attendance zone (with a preference to stay in the HES zone). Alderwood Trails apartment wants to be in DUES (not CRES). Oakcliff should be a part of plan.	Oakcliff Theme should be given priority in the lottery for attendance to their neighborhood school. Pleasantdale should no longer be an Oakcliff feeder. Hightower should be an Oakcliff feeder school.
	It's ridiculous that kids living in the very most north part of the district who are much closer to Hightower will be bussed to United while Northwoods kids are going to be divided into two different schools.	Cary Reynolds building is neglected.
We DO need to make sure there is capacity and students get the best s/t ratios possible	The manner in which this is split up appears to ignore kids that live practically across the street from other kids needing to go to a different school. These kids being restricted are being slighted - this is not just a perception issue. Their parents moved to their homes knowing they would be at great schools, and now the rug is being pulled out.	Neighborhood children should be kept together
Alleviates some issues with capacity with MES; keeps most communities intact	Sends 90+ HHES students who bring in much diversity to DUES	
Helps with overcrowding to some extent. Considerations on safety so that children don't cross PIB appear to have been taken into consideration.	It splits up communities. It doesn't solve for overcrowding in the Chamblee cluster completely HHES will lose many families which will negatively impact the wonderful diverse nature of our school	If HHES demographics change and we have less lower income families, does that impact Title 1 funding? Also, how does that impact educators and classrooms which are already tight for space?
Keep HHES at same enrollment, while helping with MES overcrowding Keeps Majority of Sexton Woods together, instead of moving a bunch of smaller neighborhoods to HHES Reduces # of portables Helps Hightower	HHES becomes less diverse and what will happen to Title 1 funds? Doesn't help APES overcrowding Doesn't solve MES overcrowding, but helps.	Consider moving PATH Academy to another location in South DeKalb and use that building as an elementary school to relieve overcrowding for APES and MES. AND/OR consider building a new larger elementary school in Dunwoody on Chamblee Dunwoody on the DCSD property to relieve Dunwoody and MES overcrowding. But still wouldn't solve APES.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>Glad to see that there is movement from Ashford Park to John Lewis, but would have like to see students taken from areas that are currently going to Ashford Park, but are geographically closer to John Lewis. This would include the areas of Drew Valley and the areas South of Dresden between apple valley and skyland</p>	<p>would have like to see students taken from areas that are currently going to Ashford Park, but are geographically closer to John Lewis. This would include the areas of Drew Valley and the areas South of Dresden between apple valley and skyland. Ashford Park clearly needs relief, and John Lewis makes the most sense.</p>	
<p>More kids from APS to JLS</p>	<p>Not redistricting where the lines should be. Drew Valley and surrounding neighborhoods should be incorporated with the redistricting to John Lewis. The lines are picking lower income family homes and not fairly being represented across the board.</p>	<p>Look at the neighbors that are NEAR John Lewis.</p>
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Â· Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>Â· Sexton Woods neighborhood is split Â· City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) Â· Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving Â· MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding a</p>	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES Â· Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school Â· Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings Â· Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together Â· Keep the Harts Mill corridor together Â· Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance fr</p>
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Â· Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split; City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson); Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving; MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school;</p>	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES Â· Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school Â· Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate 	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance fro
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • keep things the same until a long term plan can be established. 	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either 	<p>Keep the schools as they are until more is known! Wait for a Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistricting, Keep homes on the EAST side of Chamblee-Dunwoody Rd stay at MES. Why can't Nancy Creek be reopened? Option to keep current students at their current school, grandfather all students with siblings in.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville - No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sexton Woods is split - Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving - violating geographic proximity - MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - please don't create partial solutions but rather create long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings - Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES - Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together - Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school - Keep the Harts Mill corridor together
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Chamblee High Cluster remains intact; - Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Still splits the Sexton Woods community down the center; Uses city boundaries rather than sensible geographic ones (i.e. Chamblee Dunwoody and Donaldson);Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than other neighborhoods that are moving; Severe disruptions to both MES and HHES community, though overcrowding won't meaningfully improve 	<p>With an interim superintendent and other key positions in transition, the Board is biting off more than it can chew at this time. Focus on opening Doraville and alleviating overcrowding in the Cross Keys cluster now. Take up dialogue with Chamblee High cluster next year to allow time for a longer term solution for MES, HHES and Ashford Park.</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No Elem schools will have split cluster feeding effecting enrollment into Chamblee Middle and High - HHES is closer proximity than Doraville Elem - While more impact, students moving to HHES from MES will be with more students from existing community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - MES + HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that still does not eliminate overcrowding - Clear divide between Brookhaven and Chamblee- areas in Brookhaven are closer to HHES than some impacted areas in Chamblee - Safety and traffic concerns at Harts Mill/Chamblee Dunwoody and entrance into HHES. Will take substantially more time in commute 	<p>- Reopen Nancy Creek as a neighborhood school; Leave our cluster alone for now to allow time to create a plan that will actually help eliminate the overcrowding in all of the schools. The current plan is more of a "band-aid" and does not address the overcrowding issues. We will back here in 2 years- very emotionally draining for all families.</p>
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some disrupted areas • MES & HHES will be disrupted for a plan yet it won't not eliminate overcrowding • Number est. don't consider students immersion programs 	<p>Allow accommodations for students moving: o grouping students together in class 1st year and exempt 4th graders</p>
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood split • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either • There is no safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Sexton Woods/Keswick Village to Huntley Hills • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & 	<p>Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood split • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either • There is no safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Sexton Woods/Keswick Village to Huntley Hills • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> '- Peachtree is a natural barrier improving safety and traffic concerns - Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville - No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> '-Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some that are moving -MES and HHES will be disrupted without solving overcrowding at either school -Transferred students would not be eligible to move if Nancy Creek/Kittredge opens despite the fact it used to be their school and is closer than HHES -Sexton Woods n-hood is split up 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> '- Leave MES as is until a long-term solution is found for overcrowding in the Chamblee Cluster; -Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school for Chamblee; - Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Â· Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· Sexton Woods neighborhood is split Â· City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) Â· Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving Â· MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES Â· Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school Â· Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings Â· Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together Â· Keep the Harts Mill corridor together Â· Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking dis
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Â· Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· Sexton Woods neighborhood is split Â· City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) Â· Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving Â· MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES Â· Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school Â· Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings Â· Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together Â· Keep the Harts Mill corridor together Â· Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking dis

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move from MES to HHES - relieve some overcrowding for MES - HHES that are redistributed to Doraville will be closer commute for those family - will create more diversity for HHES 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving 	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES (Move 150 students instead of 100), Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings, Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together, Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES, exempt 4th graders</p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High. 2. Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville. 3. Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools. 	<p>Safety concern for those students in section E crossing a major street (Chamblee Dunwoody) to get to HHES.</p> <p>Geographical proximity violation - splitting up the entire Sexton woods neighborhood only based on city lines.</p> <p>The projected estimates shown are not accurate - not taking into account the students in county programs.</p> <p>Overcrowding not fixed.</p>	<p>Keep Sexton Woods and Keswick together; Keep Harts Mill corridor together; Come up with a separate plan to fix MES, HHES overcrowding at a later date - these schools should never have been impacted when the original goal was to fill Doraville United.</p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Peachtree Industrial Blvd, a major road, is used as a natural barrier. No students crossing the major road. 2. Area E closer to HHES than Doraville United. 3. Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools. 4. Relieves Cary Reynolds and Dresden Elementary, as was the stated goal. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sexton Woods neighborhood is split 2. City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity 3. Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than Section E 4. There is no safe/efficient walking/bicycle route from Sexton Woods to Huntley Hills (no sidewalks) 5. Increased traffic on Chamblee Dunwoody Rd by CCHS 	<p>- Move neighborhoods east of Chamblee Dunwoody to HHES. Keeps neighborhoods intact. Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together at MES</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>• No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <p>• Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville</p> <p>• Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>• Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving</p> <p>• MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school</p> <p>• Impacted students will not be eligible to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens</p> <p>• There is no safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Keswick to HHES</p>	<p>• Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES</p> <p>• Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school</p> <p>• Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings</p> <p>• Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together</p> <p>• Keep the Harts Mill corridor together</p> <p>• Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from</p>
<p>• No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <p>• Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville</p> <p>• Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>• Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <p>• City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson)</p> <p>• Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving</p> <p>• MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at e</p>	<p>• Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES</p> <p>• Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school</p> <p>• Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings</p> <p>• Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together</p> <p>• Keep the Harts Mill corridor together</p> <p>• Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance</p>
<p>• No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <p>• Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville</p> <p>• Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>• Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <p>• City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson)</p> <p>• Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving</p> <p>• MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate</p>	
<p>• HHES is closer geographically than Doraville United for impacted students (Area E)</p> <p>• Moving approx 100 students is better than moving just 20</p> <p>• No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee HS</p> <p>• If we must move schools, an established neighborhood school is more favorable than not</p>	<p>• Sexton Woods neighborhood is split & city boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson)</p> <p>• Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some moving</p> <p>• MES & HHES will be disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding</p> <p>• There is no safe&efficient walking/bicycle route from SW/Kes to HHE</p>	<p>• First off, it is a SHE. :)</p> <p>• Long term strategy for the cluster needed to prevent future redistricting</p> <p>• Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together</p> <p>• Keep the Harts Mill corridor together</p> <p>• Homes along Chamblee Dun are walking distance from HHES</p> <p>• Allow accommodations for students moving: - grouping students in classes 1st year & exempt 4th grader</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking dis
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school • Impacted students will not be able to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens • There is no efficient walking/biking route 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES, Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school, Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistricting, Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together,
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together. Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school. Provide a long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking dis

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sexton Woods neighborhood is split • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Sexton Woods neighborhood is split •City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) •Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving •MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Sexton Woods neighborhood is split •City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) •MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school There is no safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Sexton Woods/Keswick Village to Harts Mill 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school; • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistricting
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Sexton Woods neighborhood is split. City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson). •Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving. MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES. Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school. Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistricting. Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together. Keep the Harts Mill corridor together.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Â· Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split; City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson); Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving; MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school</p>	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES; Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school; long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistricting, keep sexton woods and Keswick village together; keep Harts Mill corridor together</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Â· Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â·Sex. Wds. nbrhds is split Â·City bndries used, violating geo prox (ie. Harts Mill/Donaldson) Â·Some nbrhds remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some nbrhds moving Â·MES & HHES severely disrupted for plan that won't eliminate ovrcrding at either school Â·Impacted students not eligible to move to Nancy Creek if reopened -no safe cham/dun crossing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â·Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES Â·Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school Â·Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings Â·Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together Â·Keep the Harts Mill corridor together Â·Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E, moving to HHES vs. prior proposal to Doraville, makes more sense Â· It does alleviate some of the overcrowding at Montgomery, and most students only go from MES to HHES 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school Â· Sexton Woods neighborhood is split -- KEEP SEXTON WOODS/KESWICK TOGETHER Â· City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) Â· Impacted students will not be eligible to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens 	<p>Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together. Allow any impacted student to also be moved to Nancy Creek if reopened and makes sense. Involve communities now for long term strategy that will guide 2020-2021 cycle. Balance in-person feedback at DCSD sessions between communities. Hold town halls with each school/invite post mortem: 19-20 process.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Â· Violating geographic proximity (e.g. Gainsborough East, Harts Mill & Donaldson) Â· Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving which does NOT make sense Â· MES & HHES will be disrupted for a plan that won't eliminate overcrowding at either school Â· No safe, efficient walk or bike route 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rebuild MES on site with bigger school 2. I stated this in last survey a few years ago - reopen Nancy Creek as a community school either elementary or for grades 3-5 3. Develop and share the long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings 4. Make DeKalb Path Academy local elementary to serve Lynwood area

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>• No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <p>• Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville</p>	<p>• Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <p>• City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson)</p> <p>• Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving</p> <p>• MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school</p>	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES</p> <p>• Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school</p> <p>• Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings</p> <p>• Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together</p> <p>• Keep the Harts Mill corridor together</p> <p>• Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES</p>
<p>• No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <p>• Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville</p> <p>• Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>• *City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson)*</p> <p>• MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that won't eliminate overcrowding at either</p> <p>• No safe and efficient walking/bike route from Sexton Woods/Keswick Village to HH</p> <p>• Estimates don't consider students in county programs (German immersion, etc)</p>	<p>*Keep the Harts Mill corridor together**, homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES</p>
<p>Addresses some overcrowding for some areas in the short term.</p>	<p>Does not address longer term projected enrollment overcrowding.</p>	<p>Please consider public input. Our local community has been clear that longer-term growth projections can be addressed by reopening our neighborhood school, Nancy Creek. We are terribly overcrowded in out of date facilities. Please help.</p>
<p>Agree for overall redistricting in our cluster for long term goals</p>	<p>Some neighborhoods may feel they are being split. But there are no real boundaries to make this work.</p>	<p>Please help us in over crowding now. There is no long term goal and being short sighted will only hurt the Chamblee cluster. Fix us a little now and then we can address the bigger picture. Do the right thing and fill Doraville United from the Chamblee/Cross Keys cluster. We need relief and the PAC resolution is not what the true cluster wants.</p>
<p>Alleviates SOME of MES overcrowding.</p>	<p>'- Splitting of Communities (sexton woods/Keswick/Harts Mill)</p>	<p>Could additional students be moved from MES to HHES in order to further alleviate overcrowding as well as better keep sexton/kiswick/harts mill communities intact?</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Alleviates SOME of the MES overcrowding	Splits neighborhoods/communities (Sexton Woods/ keswick)	Could additional MES kids be moved to HHES and HHES to Doraville to help with keeping neighborhoods together and also with <u>reducing overcrowding</u> ?
Area E is closer to HH than DU; a larger number of students are being moved from MES to HH which will make the kids more comfortable and will likely move with some of their friends; larger portions of neighborhoods get to stay together versus pulling small pieces; relieves some of the overcrowding at MES; it minimizes the main streets kids cross	City boundaries are clearly used, separating Brookhaven and Chamblee which is extremely evident on the Harts Mill Corridor, pulling kids living closer to MES to HH while some neighborhoods that are much closer to HH will literally drive past neighborhoods redistricted to HH to get to MES. It would cause a lot of traffic through the HH neighborhood	I would feel better about this move if the line wasn't drawn specifically on the Chamblee/Brookhaven line. Living on Harts Mill it is frustrating to see my neighbors further away from MES remain there purely because they have a Brookhaven zip code. For this move, I ask that a larger number move from MES and the lines make sense.
Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High	The Nancy Creek region is significantly closer to HHES than Area E. There is no sidewalk infrastructure or regional cut-through to support Area E to HHES. Area E has established sidewalks along Harts Mill Rd. Splitting up an established community. Area E will not have the option to attend Nancy Creek should it reopen.	Keep the Harts Mill corridor together. Â Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school. Â Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistricting. Â In the proposal, why is only one side of Harts impacted? Mendenhall, Wyndale, and homes along Chamblee Dunwoody should also be included.Â
Area E is closer to Huntley Hills	One of your main criteria you aren't following. You mentioned not breaking up intact neighborhoods and this plan does just that to Sexton Woods.	Actually, listen to the feedback that has been submitted to leave Montgomery alone. There has been a wide response from the Montgomery School community on this that <u>has been totally ignored</u>
closer to HH than Doraville.	Splitting up a neighborhood (Sexton Woods). Closer to Montgomery than HH. Does not solve the overcrowding in the area.	Splitting up a neighborhood by city line.
Considers Peachtree as a geographic boundary. Addresses overcrowding at Cary Reynolds and Dresden ES.	Violates intact neighborhood criteria by discriminating along Chamblee/Brkhaven city lines. Does not consider minor alterations. Portables not a concern. Violates safety and traffic criteria as residents of Sexton Woods feed/cross Cham Dunwdy from Harts Mills to HHES. Plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at MES or HHES in near term.	Consider a blend of Rnd2 and Rnd3 options to reduce SW group down to minor. Long term strategy for the MES + HHES zones needed. Many neighborhoods along Chamb-Dunwoody Rd feed onto Chamb-Dunwoody and are walking distance from HHES and should be considered as a minor alteration rather than 100+ from Sexton Woods.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>From the Montgomery community perspective there are no real pros from the plan above. We have repeatedly voiced our desire to be left alone (keep the entire community together, as it is today, in the same school) - we are happy to put up with the existing issues until we can be part of a big, long-term solution, not a piecemeal one.</p>	<p>The plan creates massive disruption for a number of students, breaks up the community, creates worse traffic (esp. by pushing many more families towards Chamblee HS), and still doesn't do much to address the issues with MES. We would rather stay as we are for one more year until we can be part of a big-long term solution..</p>	<p>The MES community has a very strong desire to stay together as we are today - same zoning for the same school - for one more year.</p>
<p>Helps over crowding at Cary Reynolds and Dresden. Please only move students from those schools as that was the intent of the project to begin with.</p>	<p>Takes students from Montgomery Elementary for no good reason. Leave MES alone. Disrupts students from Huntley Hills for no change in enrollment numbers. Leave the students where they are and only address over crowding at the schools asking for assistance in over crowding. I also do not believe your portable classroom numbers in any way.</p>	<p>MES is requesting to be left intact and alone. Please do not take students from MES.</p>
<p>Huntley Hills is the next closest school to us after MES and will feed students to Chamblee MS and HS so we are not being forced out of our neighborhood as we would be if attending Doraville.</p>	<p>Very unfair to zone Chamblee side of Harts Mill to HHES and keep Brookhaven side (which is equidistant from school) at MES. Doesn't solve issue as HHES is also overcrowded; you might as well let us stay at MES where we want to be. We moved to this neighborhood specifically to send our kids to MES :(</p>	<p>Keep Harts Mill corridor intact " do not treat Chamblee and Brookhaven differently! Homes on Chamblee Dunwoody are closer to HHES and should all be there. Please consider waiting one more year and working with school associations to develop a holistic plan so that we don't have to keep redistricting year after year. Thank you!</p>
<p>Huntley Hills managed well, however, overcrowding will occur very quickly once the apartments long PIB are completed (many don't think these will have children, there will be plenty of children living there)</p>	<p>Not a fan of Sexton Woods / Keswick Village being split. These families know each other and protect each other. City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (eg on Harts Mill and Donaldson). Estimates don't take the apartments and 3 new subdivisions being built along Chamblee Dunwoody and Harts Mill Roads.</p>	<p>Keep Sexton Woods and Keswick together, no need to split these neighbors. Homes along Chamblee Dunwoody are in walking distance to HHES and should be routed there. No need for crossing main roads like Ashford Dunwoody or Chamblee Dunwoody for elementary kits.</p>
<p>I don't understand why you can't just pull the people closest to the new school and leave the rest alone</p>	<p>I don't like the idea of splitting my neighborhood (Sexton Woods) in two. I think you are underestimating the importance of keeping neighborhoods in tact. Several of my kid's best friends would be effected. I don't feel that it helps the overcrowding at Montgomery significantly enough to move 100 kids. Our community is tight and we value</p>	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>If redistricting is absolutely inevitable, then this is a workable plan. Since Montgomery is close to the Brookhaven/Chamblee boundary line, it seems like the most fair solution is to make the district follow the Brookhaven/Chamblee line.</p>	<p>There have been a lot of changes over the years, and some past decisions haven't panned out as expected. As such, the Dekalb County School system has lost the confidence of many residents. We need a change that is going to work for the long-term. Folks are tired of the issues and changes.</p>	<p>The final decision needs to be approved by the permanent superintendent and not an interim superintendent. Using an interim superintendent feels like BS politics.</p>
<p>It accomplishes the primary goal of relieving overcrowding at Cary Reynolds / Dresden.</p>	<p>It missed the boat on logic. Breaking up Sexton Woods neighborhood when not necessary or smart. Other closer/better options Mendenhall/Wyndale/Cambden/Exchange at North Haven. MES overcrowded needs more holistic approach and this isn't it (Nancy creek, new school, other long term fix). Address MES/AP overcrowding later with</p>	<p>Follow their own advice. Sexton Woods breakup goes against primary criteria of Geographic Proximity as well as secondary - traffic patterns, previous redistricting and intact neighborhoods.</p>
<p>It adequately satisfies the criteria that was providedâ€”it populates a school.</p>	<p>It merely kicks the can and doesn't solve the gerrymandering and pandering to AP and MES. Everything South of Dresden to JLE, all subdivisions that empty into Windsor into AP, and keep HH confined between ChamDunwoody and Peachtree. Each school is then +/- 10% of 100% utilization. See this image: https://imgur.com/a/K3SNgPt</p>	<p>Immediately cease the policy of treating public schools like privateâ€”the German language program and grandfathering shouldn't be sacrosanct. If someone becomes out of district then they can reapply if there is capacity. If not, too bad.</p>
<p>It relieves Dresden over extreme over crowding. A new school is always a positive for people that LIVE CLOSE TO THAT SCHOOL.</p>	<p>It breaks up our neighborhood and disrupts the bonds that we have built over the years. Sexton Woods is a family community with strong ties to the MES PTA. There is no good "path" to HH from where we live. Our child is expressing disappointment and sadness that he will not be going to MES next year.</p>	
<p>It takes approximately 12% of the student population off an already crowded school.</p>	<p>a large portion of a neighborhood has been sectioned off while other parts are still included in Montgomery. one side of the street is moved while another isn't. Traffic patterns make no sense and the line is arbitrary through peoples yards versus on main streets and leaves people closer to Huntley hills still going to Montgomery.</p>	<p>Keeping school lines to main roads - peachtree blvd and chamblee dunwoody vs chopping off a neighborhood with arbitrary or city lines</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
It uses Peachtree Industrial as a natural boundary.	It brings in students from another cluster. It doesn't solve the overcrowding in our cluster. There are enough open seats in the county, so why not a larger redistricting plan that actually eliminates all trailers? We need to save money and be more efficient by using seats we already have. As tax payers we have a right to those open seats.	Larger scale redistricting that uses open seats in the county.
Looks like it'll bring some relief to the overcrowding. Well done	There are still issues to resolve and a more permanent solution needs to be found (bringing back nancy creek elementary - moving kittridge to somewhere else). Overcrowding will continue in the area as more big residential housing complexes is underway.	
Minimizes the number of trailers, minimizes the number of neighborhoods impacted for MES, uses PIB as a natural dividing line	With the lack of transparency, I'm finding it difficult to see the long term plan here. If these children get shuffled around two or three times in their elementary school career, you will have failed them. I hope you're taking that into account.	Please consider making no changes to MES enrollment area until you have a long-term sustainable solution that will minimize the emotional and educational impact to our children.
Modestly reduces overcrowding	Breaks up the neighborhood of Sexton Woods. There are plenty of other neighborhoods (Gainsborough) and streets along the other side of Chamblee Dunwoody, closer to Huntley Hills Elementary. It would make far more sense to redistrict those homes and leave Sexton Woods in Montgomery. Thank you for your consideration!	
No additional trailers for MES	Splitting of neighborhoods, severe disruption to MES and HH will no alleviation of overcrowding, distance from HH for many of the impacted homes is greater than homes that are staying at MES because city boundaries were used.	Reopening Nancy Creek as a neighborhood school to address overcrowding at MES and HH

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
No elementary schools will have split- cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High	Sexton Woods neighborhood is split City boundaries are used;violating geographic proximity Some neighborhoods that are remaining at MES are actually closer HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving MES and HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school	Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school, long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistricting, Keep Sexton Woods/Harts Mill corridor together
No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High	Section E ~ For Keswick Village Neighborhood...moving to HHES adds a 30% distance, & instead of 2 turns to get to Montgomery, it will take now a total of 7 and must cross over busy Chamblee Dunwoody...proximity, traffic and safety concerns will eliminate the option for walking, where currently Keswick kids have a safe direct path to Montgomery.	In a previous plan, Gainsborough neighborhood was shown to move to HHES, this should be reconsidered due to proximity over Keswick Village. In addition, roads (Wyndale Ct & Chamdun Pl, etc) & subdivisions off of Chamblee-Dunwoody should be considered to move to HHE as they are significantly closer in proximity. Consider proximity over city border
No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools	Sexton Woods neighborhood is now split City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either schools	Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES, Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school, Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance
No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High School; Area E makes more sense to go to HHES than DUES; 100 students is the minimum that should be moved	MES & HHES will be very disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at those schools or others in the Chamblee cluster; Sexton Woods neighborhood is split; City boundaries are used to determine school lines; Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are geographically closer to HHES; Traffic concerns in HHES	This plan does not highlight students in county programs (German Immersion & Montessori) that would be exempt from redistricting; Would like to see a long term strategy for the cluster that addresses overcrowding for all; Homes along Chamblee Dunwoody road make more sense to geographically be zoned for Huntley Hills

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate 	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowdin 	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville • Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools 	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) • Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving • MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate 	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES, Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together, Keep the Harts Mill corridor together, Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance
<p>-no elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville 	<p>City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity. Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving. MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding. Impacted students will not be eligible to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens. No safe walkable route.</p>	<p>Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings • Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together • Keep the Harts Mill corridor together • Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES • Allow accommodations for students moving

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split.City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity.Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving.MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding. Impacted students won't be eligible to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens</p>	<p>Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school. Need a long term strategy for tthe cluster to prevent future redistricting. Keep Sexton Woods and Kesiwck Village together. Homes along Chamblee Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES.</p>
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High. Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville United. Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools.</p>	<p>There is no safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Sexton Woods to Huntley Hills. Sexton Woods neighborhood is split. City boundaries are used. MES will be severely disrupted. Impacted students will not be eligible to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens.</p>	<p>Homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES. Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES. Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school. Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together. Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings. .</p>
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High. Used PIB as border so children arenâ€™t having to cross it</p>	<p>Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving. MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school. Impacted students will not be eligible to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens. There isnt safe and efficient walking/bicycle to HHS</p>	<p>Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school. Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings. Homes along Chamblee Dunwoody are walking distance from HHES</p>
<p>No elementary schools will have split-cluster enrollment impacting Chamblee High Â· Area E is closer to HHES than Doraville Â· Around 100 students is the minimum we feel should move between schools</p>	<p>Sexton Woods neighborhood is split Â· City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) Â· Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving Â· MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school</p>	<p>Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school!!!!!!!!!!!!!!</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>'-no split feeder in all elem school</p>	<p>'-city lines used and violating geographic proximity -plan does not eliminate over crowding at MES or HH -no safe biking or walking route from Keswick to Huntley Hills -those moved will not be eligible for additional move IF Nancy Creek reopens -Sexton woods/Keswick split based on city lines -number estimates seem "off" - German</p>	<p>If disrupting the community, larger movements from HH to DU and MES to HH. Consider reopening of Nancy Creek to address overcrowding in Chamblee. What's the long term plan for overcrowding? Will there be another distributive shift in kids and neighborhoods in 2-3 years? Keep ALL Sexton/Keswick together.</p>
<p>None</p>	<p>Breaks up Sexton Woods neighborhood. Angers a Community that is built around Montgomery Elementary School. Forces families that bought homes in Sexton Woods specifically to join the Montgomery family. The division of Sexton Woods along Brookhaven lines smells like politics and will anger citizens. It is a short term shuffle not a solution</p>	<p>The Montgomery community you are trying to divide is aware of the challenges and consequences of not changing at this point, regarding trailers, capacity, etc. The community is prepared to live with those consequences.</p>
<p>None.</p>	<p>Splitting the Sexton Woods neighborhood in half is divisive to the community. Sexton Woods/Keswick Village are geographically closer to Montgomery than some non-affected areas. Moving 100 students that live in closer proximity & walkable distances to Huntley Hills is a logical strategy (i.e. Gainsboro, Homes/Apts on Chamblee Dunwoody, etc.)</p>	<p>Keep neighborhoods together vs. splitting them in half. Allow accommodations for students moving (i.e. grouping students together in class 1st year, exempt 4th graders, etc.). Allow students that move access to special programs such as Montessori. Consider a plan that avoids the angst/strife of children being affected again in the future.</p>
<p>Not sure, I am firmly against the plan</p>	<p>City lines are being used to plan county schools - Should be more geographical. There will be people living next door and across the street from each other going to different schools. There are othe neighborhoods closer to HHES than Sexton Woods and Keswick - There is no safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Sexton Woods/Keswick</p>	<p>Move more people from HHES to DU, MES and HHES students will be severely disrupted and still over crowded! Keep Sexton Woods together! Keep Harts Mill corridor Together! Reopen Nancy Creek - this is very disruptive for all students.</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Nothing - moving people to only have to move them again in another 2-4 years.	Geographic Proximity - area E is farther from Huntley Hills than Montgomery - you are TAKING AWAY our ability to WALK to school. We safely walk to school now and would take MANY risks walking to HH which is double the distance from us. NO families have expressed concern of portable classrooms - until a long term solution is reached.	The move of kids from Huntley Hills and Hightower to Doraville United is sufficient to set capacity. Move neighborhoods that are in the vicinity of the school and don't break neighbors apart. Visit Sexton Woods to see that the High School and Huntley Hills Elementary traffic would clash and cause larger traffic issues for the Middle School.
Only pro of this plan is that area E is closer to Huntley Hills than Doraville Elementary.	The Sexton woods neighborhood will be split up. Geographic proximity is not taken into consideration. MES and HHES will be disrupted with a plan that does not reduce overcrowding at either school. Safety for children/parents having to cross Chamblee Dunwoody.	Chamblee does not have a voice on the Board because the individual representing us, has a conflict of interest since Dunwoody schools are also involved in this.
Our schools are too crowded. I support the staff recommended plan to reduce numbers in the way that makes most geographical sense.	None	
Provides MES with option of established school without requiring transit over Peachtree. Does not split feeders for MES. Takes at least 90+ students together. Could provide some crowding relief to MES with tweaks to number of students moving to HH verses from HH to DU. Forcing this shift needs to bring HH just under capacity while DU is available.	Take all of Sexton Woods unless a household can demonstrate they can and do regularly walk to MES. Stay true to your criteria by keeping this neighborhood intact with Keswick. This move to HH is unacceptable if you cannot bring the capacity numbers down give us room for the guaranteed growth we will experience. DU needs to be used for Chamblee.	I would like to see Section E families given the chance to make a permanent shift this year to HH but this is only fair if we can use more of DU to relieve the crowding in the Chamblee cluster. Fewer students from MES in comparison to students moving out should be a requirement to provide stability. It will also buy MES stability if number is 150+.
Reasonable traffic flow plan and improved balance in school numbers.	Traffic flow for some schools could be improved. Huntley Hills could pull from neighborhoods down Chamblee dunwoody instead of Sexton woods. John Lewis seems very demographically drawn in that it excludes drew valley. Overcrowding at Montgomery isn't addressed because it is still serviced by SW Brookhaven (Lynwood).	Plans to build another new school. If there are plans, then we don't want to disrupt kids school districting twice in a short few years. Also the lines of Brookhaven and Chamblee seem to dictate county districts, so that seems very political instead of logical.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>Redistricting to Huntley Hills is preferable to Doraville United No split feeders or cluster enrollment</p>	<p>Redistricting being done by city borders instead of true geographical proximity, county schools should not use city borders as a consideration This is a short term solution only as projected enrollment for these schools is likely to require further redistricting. It is better to do a bigger redistricting once later than multiple</p>	<p>The Superintendent should consider a more comprehensive longer term strategy to relieve overcrowding instead of several short term strategies that do not have as much relief but nearly the same amount of disruption.</p>
<p>Reduces Montgomery's overcrowding.</p>		
<p>Relieves Cary Reynolds and Dresden overcrowding Does not pull kids out of the Chamblee cluster</p>	<p>Geographic proximity - Zone E is farther from HH than many homes on Chamblee Dunwoody Safety/Traffic - Zone E must cross Chamblee Dunwoody and go through neighborhood traf Capacity - growth in Zone E will overcrowd HH in next few years, lose title 1 \$ Intact Neighborhoods - Sexton Woods broken, keep families south of Blackburn park together</p>	<p>Keswick/Sexton wants neighborhood school Nancy Creek Back. Dan Drake should represent us, but he has a conflict of interest with Dunwoody. Chamblee residents feel we have no voice. Keep us at MES now and give us Nancy Creek in a few years. I wish someone would listen to us.</p>
<p>Relieves Cary Reynolds and Dresden, and fills Doraville United.</p>	<p>Another gerrymandered district in Huntley Hills. Unfairly targets Chamblee residents for move. Huntley Hills is farther away than 2 other schools (MES and APES) from Keswick Village. Farther and more difficult commute for Keswick Village to Huntley Hills than MES. Can't turn left out of Keswick Village in morning. Leave Keswick Village at MES.</p>	<p>Please draw maps that make sense geographically. Several neighborhoods along Chamblee Dunwoody are closer to HH than Keswick Village.</p>
<p>Relieves overcrowding at Dresden, Cary Reynolds, and Hightower.</p>	<p>Areas not redistricted are closer to Huntley Hills than some of Section E. Section E is being moved from one overcrowded school to another without relieving Huntley Hills. Section E has to cross Chamblee-Dunwoody; worse traffic. E previously redistricted from Nancy Creek. Sexton Woods cut by city lines. Special programs btwn HH and MES not aligned.</p>	<p>The Chamblee cluster elementary schools need a long term, holistic solution. This proposal is a bandaid that moves kids in those schools without addressing overcrowding in those schools. MES is fine keeping our current district in tact despite the current overcrowding in exchange for a longterm solution.</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Relieves overcrowding at Dresden, Cary Reynolds, and Hightower.	Areas not redistricted are closer to Huntley Hills than some of Section E. Section E is being moved from one overcrowded school to another without relieving Huntley Hills. Section E has to cross Chamblee-Dunwoody; worse traffic. E previously redistricted from Nancy Creek. Sexton Woods cut by city lines. Special programs btwn HH and MES not aligned.	The Chamblee cluster elementary schools need a long term, holistic solution. This proposal is a bandaid that moves kids in those schools without addressing overcrowding in those schools. MES is fine keeping our current district in tact despite the current overcrowding in exchange for a longterm solution.
Relieving Hightower Elementary Preventing children from crossing Peachtree Boulevard to get to Doraville United Keeps the Gainsborough neighborhoods together	Splits the Sexton Woods neighborhood.	While there are projections for Huntley Hills there is no way to know what students stay on Montessori. Also there are potentially 74 new homes planned for Chamblee Dunwoody that will feed the Huntley Hills neighborhood.
Removes MES and AP small number moves to DU especially removing a long trek down a heavy traffic corridor. Shifts MES to the only school that is feasible traffic wise though not perfect. Provides some capacity relief to MES but not enough. HH is a closer match to MES neighborhood school feel that families desire who invested in the community.	Families are under stress not knowing where kids will go to school and kicking the can further without drastically addressing crowding should NC not reopen is a no go. Plan must look at shifting enough MES kids to HH and HH to DU in order to bring both schools to under capacity. AP needs to redraw lines south where capacity remains.	AP had an opportunity to balance their community in a new school but opted not to do so. Many at MES are willing to be moved in larger numbers if a true long term solution is in play that can ease anxiety and help families move forward. Parts of HH east side are said to be prime for redevelopment with housing standard changes. City lines are wrong.
Sexton Woods does not cross Peachtree Industrial anymore and will be at an elementary school that feeds into their cluster middle school (Chamblee MS).	Not enough critical mass moving - move 200-250 out of MES to HH, it doesn't provide significant relief for overcrowding, Sexton Woods neighborhood & Harts Mill is being divided based on Chamblee/Brookhaven lines this is discriminatory to Chamblee residents. Keswick Village is further from HH than many neighborhoods along Chamblee - Dunwoody.	Keep Neighborhoods Intact do not split Sexton Woods - moving just Chamblee residents. Brookhaven and Chamblee should both move. Move 200-250 kids out of MES to HH and from HH to DU. Has more communities moving together and larger impacts on reducing overcrowding. Leave cluster alone until long term plan is in place with solutions.
Sexton Woods neighborhoods stay together in the move. I would include Mendenhall and Sidestreet neighborhoods off of Harts Mill to keep neighborhoods together.	Need safe walk/bike path from Harts Mill to Huntley Hills.	We would be in support of the plan if a safe walk/bike path is included. A few sidewalks and crosswalks should be added to get across Chamblee Dunwoody to Admiral. Traffic guards would also be helpful

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>Solves overcrowding at Cary Reynolds and Dresden as intended. Provides needed/requested relief to Hightower Elementary for Dunwoody cluster. Peachtree Industrial is a boundary for traffic/safety concerns.</p>	<p>Chamblee Dunwoody isn't being used as a boundary for traffic/safety concerns. Gainesboro is closer and makes more sense proximity wise to move into Huntley Hills than E. Ideally, do not impact MES at all until a more holistic solution, such as Nancy Creek, is available. Vast majority of MES wants to stay together despite portables in PAC survey.</p>	<p>Please work together with joint PAC of MES, AP, and HH for longer term solution. DUES was not meant to fix our cluster and it is breaking up our community in Area E without solving for Chamblee cluster issues. I'm sure we can work together given time to do something great for our children.</p>
<p>Specifically assists significant over crowding at neighboring Dresden and Cary Reynolds</p>	<p>Shifts small student populations at other overcrowded schools just to fill seats, but does not satisfactorily address their current overcrowding nor projected enrollment which means another redistricting processes would be needed effecting the same student population.</p>	<p>MES, APES and HHES should be left alone; geographically they are contiguous and need a solution of their own that specifically address their overcrowding. Their numbers suggest another facility is needed in that area.</p>
<p>There are no pros in my opinion. The plan does not accomplish any goals of overcrowding, it simply is moving students around and separating neighborhoods. I am fine with overcrowding to keep neighborhoods together and limit the disruption of changing schools.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sexton Woods is split - City boundaries are used, violating geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson) - Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving. - MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school 	<p>Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together</p>
<p>They relieve overcrowding at MES and APS</p>	<p>Not enough kids removed from MES, more from Gainsborough could of gone.</p>	
<p>Truly cannot think of any pros with disrupting almost 1,000 students to remain over capacity at Montgomery and Huntley Hills. Moving children from one school over capacity to another school over capacity doesn't make sense - it provides no benefit for those children - Disruption without potential benefit for those students.</p>	<p>Keswick/Sexton Woods neighborhood is split; too much disruption moving 1,000 kids, many to other overcrowded schools; impacted students will not be eligible to move to Nancy Creek if it reopens; no safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Keswick Village to HHES; Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than those moving</p>	<p>Larger movement from HHES to DU and MES to HHES, in order to get utilization at capacity; Reopen Nancy Creek as a community school; Long term strategy for the cluster to prevent future redistrictings; Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together</p>

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
uses Peachtree Blvd as a natural boarder provides relief to Hightower	Divides the Sexton Woods neighborhood on the city boarder. City lines is NOT a criteria for redistricting. This looks like a political move.	Please do not redistrict MES at this time. This will keep our neighborhood intact and allow us to work with you on a long term solution. Please investigate why the Sexton Woods neighborhood would be divided on a cityline when this is NOT a criteria for redistricting. It looks bad - like there are backdoor deals going on with this city.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -uses Peachtree Industrial Blvd as a boundary, sending kids east of PIB from Huntley Hills Ele. to Doraville United Elem which is closer for them -helps alleviate some overcrowding at Hightower Ele. -does not isolate just 20 kids out of Sexton Woods neighborhood as previous plan did 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> '-splits up Sexton Woods neighborhood using city boundaries instead of geographic proximity -MES and HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that doesn't alleviate overcrowding at either school -impacted students from MES won't get to go to Nancy Creek Elementary if it opens soon -number estimate don't take into account students in choice program 	Just leave MES alone (we don't mind more trailers) until long term solution for overcrowding can be addressed by reopening Nancy Creek Ele. Instead of split up an intact close knit neighborhood of Sexton Woods, if kids need to be moved to HHES then move those that are closest on Chamblee Dunwoody Rd and Harts Mill Rd that don't split a neighborhood
Uses PIB as a natural barrier Area E is closer to Huntley Hills than Doraville United	Sexton Woods neighborhood is split City boundaries are used in stead of DeKalb County criteria	Keep Sexton Woods together
We are not in favor of this plan.	We're on the Chamblee city boundary and our neighbors will be in a different school. This is not how schools should be planned. This should be done geographically. You are breaking up a community, isolating families that are neighbors and doing this on city lines. This will not solve the overcrowding and still disrupt the community.	Pls consider keeping sexton woods as 1 community. Don't split it based on city lines. Keep harts mill corridor as 1 community. We don't want to be isolated based on city lines!
We were very pleased with some of the changes from round 2 to round 3 maps and appreciate that you listened and took these into account. (a) We like that we are now aligned within our cluster and (b) there is a more significant shift this round.	Intact Neighborhoods: Sexton Woods is split. Geog Prox: City boundaries are & violates geog prox. Harts Mill, Donaldson & Chamblee Dunwoody are closer to HHES than some in Area E slated to move to HHES Instructional Capacity: MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school	larger movement (150 -200 students) from HHES to DU & from MES to HHES. Move HHES special ed program to DU. Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together; Keep the Harts Mill corridor together; Include homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody that are walking distance from HHES.

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
<p>We were very pleased with some of the changes from round 2 to round 3 maps and appreciate that you listened and took these into account. (a) We like that we are now aligned within our cluster and (b) there is a more significant shift this round.</p>	<p>Intact Neighborhoods: Sexton Woods is split. Geog Prox: City boundaries are & violates geog prox. Harts Mill, Donaldson & Chamblee Dunwoody are closer to HHES than some in Area E slated to move to HHES Instructional Capacity: MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding at either school</p>	<p>larger movement (150 -200 students) from HHES to DU & from MES to HHES. Move HHES special ed program to DU. Keep Sexton Woods & Keswick Village together; Keep the Harts Mill corridor together; Include homes along Chamblee-Dunwoody that are walking distance from HHES.</p>
<p>You're getting fast and loose with "Pros" but: Area E is closer to Huntley Hills than Doraville United. Area E contains around 100 students and those students are across most all grades that will help to potentially introduce a new culture to Huntley Hills.</p>	<p>City boundaries are used that violate the geographic proximity that is listed as Primary Criteria. Sexton Woods neighborhood is split. Some neighborhoods remaining at MES are closer to HHES than ones being forced to move. Walking to HHES from area E is not a safe option. Moving these students from one overcrowded school to another doesn't help.</p>	<p>Think long term and put yourself in a kid's position that is about to be forced to move to a new school through none of their or their parents doing, but because adults haven't been able to think about the long term solutions.</p>
	<p>No safe and efficient walking/bicycle route from Sexton Woods/Keswick</p> <p>City boundaries are used and violates geographic proximity (e.g. on Harts Mill & Donaldson)</p> <p>Some neighborhoods remaining w/ MES are closer to HHES than some neighborhoods that are moving.</p> <p>MES & HHES will be severely disrupted for a plan that will not eliminate overcrowding</p>	
	<p>Asford Park Concern: You should leave the Drew Valley neighborhood intact and not split families/neighborhoods. Drew Valley is as much a part of Ashford Park as any other place. Pull north from Doraville/Chamblee and leave the Ashford Park/Drew Valley area intact</p>	

Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
	It does not provide a long-term solution to overcrowding. Really, today, overcrowding is a red herring-- there are seats for every child. So, the proposed move harms children (by moving them to underperforming schools) to solve a problem that has not truly come to fruition. Stated another way, there is no net-benefit that results from this plan.	The Superintendent needs to consider a long-term solution. Moving kids from one overcrowded school to another solves nothing. The costs to the kids who are moving (travel time, social challenges by having to make new friends, anxiety from new school, etc.) far outweighs any benefit that will be derived for those kids who are not moved.
	It splits up our Sexton Woods neighborhood	
	Moving a portion of Sexton Woods to Huntley Hills does not relieve overcrowding at Montgomery and Huntley Hills would still be overcrowded too. It doesn't address capacity issues at either school and only serves to split a community (Sexton Woods) in half. Overcrowding at Montgomery/Huntley Hills/Ashford Park needs to be addressed separately.	
NECESITAMOS QUE HIGHTOWER ELEMETARY SCHOOL SE AMPLIÉ Y NO TENER QUE CAMBIAR NUESTROS NIÑOS A DORAVILLE UNITED POR QUE ESTE ES MI VECINDARIO Y ELLOS PERTENECEN A HIGHTOWER ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS	HIGHTOWER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL NECESITA SER AMPLIADA NO ESTOY DE ACUERDO EN MOVER A NUESTROS NIÑOS A DORAVILLE UNITED ESTOY ES SEPARACIÃ“N DE VECINDARIO	DE QUE HIGHTOWER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SE AMPLIÉ
Thank you for the relief at Hightower, I think this is instrumental in having more balance throughout the district from an enrollment perspective.		
Winter Hill is a neighborhood it shouldn't be separate our kids belong in HIGHTOWER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL and NOT IN Doraville United!!!	Winter Hill is our neighborhood our kids shouldn't be separate from their school HIGHTOWER ELEMENTARY	WE NEED OUR SCHOOL HIGHTOWER TO BE BIGGER
	Does not include Oakcliff as an option to return Cary Reynolds families, north of 285 to a neighborhood school. Oakcliff could also serve the east side of Hightower. Does not consider that 650 apartments are coming to the area, one at the Kmart site and one in Hightower. Even with this plan, Hightower will be 38 seats over cap. They need relief.	It would be better to move the Theme program to Pleasantdale or DU which would address geographic proximity, putting Hightower and CR within walking distance to a school, which increases safety and improves traffic patterns in the area. Projected enrollment of 650 apts will likely refill the Oakcliff area. Pleasantdale has a Spanish program too.