

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Concern from the Woodlands (North of Zone D). Our 10 kids will be redistricted from Vanderlyn to Kingsley, taken from an established neighborhood BUT ISOLATED from the rest of Kingsley zone by HEAVY TRAFFIC, GAS STATION, SUPERMARKETS and OFFICES. How to handle inclusion of these 10 kids? How will bus route work without U-turn on Dundoddy Club Dr?	
Dunwoody ES	Removes trailers at DES. Places Austin in the same circumstances as the rest of the cluster.	Vanderlyn see no relief. Perimeter area, west of ashford dunwoody, is the principle projected growth area for the Dunwoody cluster and this does not share the burden of that growth amongst the schools. Austin should absorb more of the growth area so as to not disproportionately burden DES.	Split feeder system will not work for Dunwoody cluster. Areas rezoned to Doraville United should be rezoned for Middle and High School as well.
Vanderlyn ES	None. Proposing to move my son from school within walking distance of fully developed community to one that requires a bus is both unsafe and makes no economic sense.	Moving our community from Vanderlyn will require young children to board bus for no reason. It is borderline child abuse. It makes no sense to take 6 children and force to now travel when they are able to walk to school with parents. If talk of education is both parents and teachers you are not showing it.	Proximity. The cost of busing and taking the time away from parents and children walking to school together is exactly the direction our community should not go.
Chesnut ES	Keeps most of Dunwoody North neighborhood intact	Removes some of Dunwoody North neighborhood. Students will now have to cross 141 to go to school.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	NONE	Absolutely ridiculous that Vanderlyn, Chesnut and Kingsley see no change in portables yet Austin has none. I don't personally care about the trailers, but they've become symbolic of how DCSD sees each of our schools. Dunwoody Post should not be in Vanderlyn. Why are Austin parents so afraid of the apartments?	Fair and equitable treatment of non-Caucasian students, including Indians.
Austin ES		If we use Austin as an escape goat for the utter school we are not solving the problem. We continue to grow each year and the school is finally designed to take in that growth. Filling it with other overflows counteracts ant advantages created	
Kingsley ES			Why move 65 students from Hightower to Kingsley when the result is underutilization of Hightower (98%) and rapid overutilization of Kingsley from 102% to 123%? Leaving the Hightower neighborhood intact (as part of J) would provide a more even enrollment and support geographic proximity.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		Dunwoody Green's inclusion in Zone H in the proposed map must be amended. DG is part of the Georgetown community and moving it to Chestnut breaks that intact neighborhood. Preschool programs serving our community serve primarily DES and don't offer services at Chesnut. It also creates cross traffic b/w DG and nearby apartments going opposite ways	All maps submitted in Round 2 did not have DG rezoned. This new map, issued hours before the meeting, likely does not rise to the level required under Georgia law and could be challenged by DG residents. Moving DG back to DES makes the most sense from a practical point of view, as well as from a fairness point of view.
Dunwoody ES	Relieves trailers schools & adds trailers to Austin.	The map doesn't show Ashworth in Vanderlyn. It was shown in Vanderlyn in the previous three maps. Ashworth wants to move to Vanderlyn. C should stay in Vanderlyn. B should go to Austin.	add a new school to the dunwoody cluster
Vanderlyn ES	The disruption to 20% of the total students moving can easily be fixed. Simply keep the students in areas C&D at Vanderlyn, and the same number of students moving from area B now going to the new Austin School. This will realign the staff option back to its primary goal, 1. Geographic Proximity and projected enrollment.	The staff recommendation will hurt Vanderlyn at its core. The foundation of any success school, parent/teacher involvement, will suffer tremendously. 25% of the PTO, 30% of the EC and 30% of BOD will be impacted. The students will suffer needlessly. If the goal is to ensure student success, Why uproot these students to move the same amount in?	The staff recommendation has failed the students in areas C&D. Why would area B be moved to Vanderlyn 2.6 miles away when Austin is 1.9? Why would they then move students from area D .2miles from Vanderlyn, with ability to walk to school, to Kingsley 1.9 miles way? They failed Primary Criteria 1 & No change in projected enrollment fails #3

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Their are none	Takes out involved single family homes in Vanderlyn district and replaces with transient population. Vanderlyn will have 57% of their population coming from historically transient housing. Historically, residents from this population are not as involved in volunteer efforts.	
Dunwoody ES	Appears to alleviate the most overcrowding for des out of all the presented options to date. It makes the most sense to concentrate on des overcrowding based on projected growth and dense housing (now and planned) available in that district.	Some of the new boundaries don't make sense at the NW corner between DES and Aus. Appears the boundaries are intentionally drawn around apartments where it wants to be with the aus district. This is now creating other sporadic boundaries. Some neighborhoods will be traveling further to their schools than their immediate neighbors, ie geographic prox	Future expected growth AND potential growth of districts based on available housing, housing types, future planned developments, etc.
Dunwoody ES	Zero	Moves Dunwoody Green (DG) neighborhood to Chestnut in contradiction to the stated priorities. DG is closer to DES than the neighboring apartment buildings that are still in DES. This also causes traffic issues as there will be cross traffic between DG trying to get to Chestnut to the south while south neighboring apartments will be going north	Moving DG also cuts it off from the rest of Georgetown, which it is a part of. It further appears to be based on a mistaken belief that DG is multi-family. It is primarily single family houses.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Reduces overall number of trailers	The changes at VES add students from a farther geographic proximity (B) while taking away students from a closer proximity (C and D), breakups the neighborhoods of Dunwoody Club Forest and DCF West, does not significantly improve over capacity, takes away very active members of the school and does not take away any portables.	
Dunwoody ES	Decrease in overall trailers; relief to Dunwoody cluster by using Doraville United; relief to DES; fair distribution of trailers and students number wise; more neighborhoods kept intact; less disruption to traffic; moved some high mobility areas from DES based on there geography to other schools in the area; A&B removed from DES	Huntington Trace and Equestrian Way has a small number of students that could remain at DES; long term growth not considered- High Street has a lot of apartments; highly dense areas not evenly distributed	The need for a new school. Look at unique buildings that could be used as charter schools have done this. Relocating Kittredge. Shifting south - moving all the lines to relieve northern crowding.
Dunwoody ES	Austin has trailers. Relieves overcrowding at DES.	We were hoping Ashworth would be moved to Vanderlyn as seen in the map options from the 2nd meeting. Ashworth residents want to move to Vanderlyn, please take us! Please keep section C in Vanderlyn, these families do not want to move schools.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Other	using Tilly Mill as a border between Kingsley and DES	J needs to stay at Hightower - it violates all 3 of the primary criteria, and several of the secondary. Geographic proximity (1 mile to HES, 2.5 to Chestnut, 3 to Kingsley. Greater and more balanced utilization of instructional capacity keeping J in HES. Why put HES under capacity when enrollment projected to decrease and KES projected to increase	Vanderlyn needs greater overcrowding relief. Move more kids from Vanderlyn to Kingsley
Austin ES	Significantly reduces trailers and moves some of the students north of Mt Vernon into Austin district; utilizes new Doraville school.	Line for Austin should be Mount Vernon. Everything south of Mt Vernon (other than maybe Dunwoody Station, which is really part of the Beanches) should be split among Vanderlyn and Dunwoody ES. Everything north of Mount Vernon should be Austin. Would help a lot with traffic.	Every school in county should be redistricted to use empty seats and alleviate crowding in some parts.
Dunwoody ES		Members of area H (Dunwoody green at Georgetown) will have to cross traffic patterns with DES traffic coming from schools South of them to get to Chestnut. The annexation of area H puts undue stress on Chestnut which is already overcrowded. Additionally it breaks up the Georgetown cluster of neighborhoods who share amenities	Traffic patterns and the breakup of efforts such as Georgetown Renaissance by the city which created an intact neighborhood situation of all the Georgetown neighborhoods.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	none	Moving Dunwoody Green families to Chestnut does not make sense. As your own chart shows it would make Chestnut the most overutilized school, increase distance to the school for the children from this subdivision and lower the quality of education for these students. We specifically chose the house we bought because of the school district.	Our subdivision are NOT multifamily housing and should not be considered as such
Vanderlyn ES		Woodsong neighbors have a very awkward drive to vanderlyn. Austin is both closet and much easier to get to. Why are you making Irene's drive all the way across town?	
Dunwoody ES		<p>1. Map Errors - Incorrect Zoning - Dunwoody Green is shown incorrectly as Multi Family on all maps, it is actually 62% Single Family Homes and 38% Attached Paired Homes.</p> <p>2. Isolation - Vermack Swim, Georgetown Rec, MJCCA are used by residents in our neighborhood. This option isolates us from surrounding DES community.</p> <p>3. Seperates us from georgetown</p>	Instructional Capacity/Projected Enrollment- This Option shows Chesnut adding 2 portables going from 5 to 7. 489 students in 2021 with 137% utilization. The school capacity lists as 358. This is the highest utilization of all schools. Austin at 109% utilization, DES at 105%. 137% is unreasonable. Enclave at Pernoshal Park with 36 units to be constr
Vanderlyn ES		this is not good for us at Vanderlyn. We do not need another apartment as we have busloads from the Jefferson.	Austin needs to have apartments.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	Vanderlyn already has a significant number of the apartment communities on Ashford dunwoody and should not be receiving more. The amount of student from apartments should be divided equally through Austin, Vanderlyn and DES. Attendance is currently lopsided	
Dunwoody ES	Relieved the growth from Dunwoody cluster by using Doraville United; shifted some high density areas; equitable distribution of trailers and students based on building capacity; minimized traffic disruption by dividing school lines based on major streets	Based on projected DES growth, the shift of high dense areas away from DES is not enough	Consider a lower school like Sara Smith with APS that may need less space to relieve overcrowding.
Vanderlyn ES	vanderlyn needs to keep Dunwoody club forest west and Austin needs to keep the apartments.		
Austin ES	Does a good job of balancing CURRENT high density areas, and immediate relief for DES, which is exactly what they have been begging for. The east side of Mt Vernon way makes total sense for Austin, as those homes can take backroads, keeping traffic concerns on Roberts (which is a big issue) to a minimum.	May put a strain on Vanderlyn resources.	PLEASE, we need more schools. Not just at the elementary level. Also, this process has been terrible for pinning friends and neighbors against eachother.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		Dunwoody Green & Riva apts located in overhang of Area 'H' being moved to Chesnut Elem don't make sense when geographic proximity is considered. Area south of this are further away from DES. We'd be fighting traffic to get to respective schools. Dunwoody Green neighborhood is part of Georgetown and it would isolate the community if we are moved.	Intact Neighborhoods - Dunwoody Green is part of Georgetown Community and central part of city's revitalization of the area. This option separates us from Georgetown which serves primarily by DES.
Kingsley ES		'- Geographic Proximity/Area J: The option of moving 65 students from Hightower to Kingsley VIOLATES the geographic proximity criteria by driving them 3 miles THROUGH the Chesnut attendance zone. - Instructional capacity: Shuffling trailers and 65 students making Kingsley 123% and Hightower 98% is a waste of money and transferring problem NO BENEFIT	'- Area J: Students in Area J are better off staying at Hightower instead of adding almost 3 miles to their commute and pulling families away from their community.
Dunwoody ES	Section K relieves the cluster as a whole. There is a reduction of portables across the cluster. Tilly Mill Rd as a boundary makes sense. Sections A & B help alleviate the mobility percentage of students at Dunwoody Elementary.	Section H isolates 2 very small neighborhoods & a few kids, Dunwoody Trace & Huntington Hall, from their school community. They will be separated from the nearest Chesnut neighborhoods by Brook Run Park and very busy Peeler Rd, on which there is no sidewalk on their side of the street. It makes no sense in terms of safety & geographic proximity.	In terms of projected enrollment, it makes more sense to move a larger section in the Ashford Dunwoody growth area to Austin and than to shift small numbers of students around and essentially swap one group of students for another, which causes unnecessary disruption.ary

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		<p>We are walking distance- 0.7 miles to Vanderlyn vs 1.6 miles to Kingsley</p> <p>We will now have to cross busy intersections section B is 90 students and CD (99) this is a complete wash why disrupt CD to move in B.</p>	<p>The purpose of the Austin expansion was to alleviate the congestion at Dunwoody ES, so the Dunwoody Students from A&amp;B should be moved to Austin instead of moving CD out of Vanderlyn. This would leave the Vanderlyn students intact and not disrupt so many families who selected this location based on the current zoning.</p>
Dunwoody ES	Reduces overcrowding for Dunwoody Elementary School.	<p>Ashworth Community (across from Dunwoody Village) has been moved from Vanderlyn (in all 3 prior proposals) back to DES. However our community strongly supports moving back to Vanderlyn, and this would make sense as we are right in between the school and another ~300 students living even further away from Vanderlyn</p>	<p>Geographic proximity, and logical districting lines (no gerrymandered-looking maps like what we have above). Please move Ashworth back to VES!</p>
Vanderlyn ES	<p>There is time to fix the unnecessary learning environment disruption to 20% of the total students moving. Simple, keep the students in areas C&amp;D at Vanderlyn and move area B to the new Austin School. This will realign the staff option back to its primary goal, 1. Geographic Proximity, and most important student safety will be improved.</p>	<p>This will hurt every Vanderlyn student. The success of any school, parent/teacher co-evolvment, will suffer tremendously. 25% of the PTO, 30% of the EC and 25% of BOD will be uprooted. The students will suffer needlessly. If the goal is to ensure student success, Why uproot students from their positive learning environment for 0 enrollment change</p>	<p>The staff recommendation has failed the students in areas C&amp;D. Why would area B be moved to Vanderlyn 2.6 miles away when Austin is 1.9? Why would they then move students from area D .2miles from Vanderlyn, with ability to walk to school, to Kingsley 1.9 miles way? They failed Primary Criteria 1 &amp; No change in projected enrollment fails # 3</p>

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	This map shows that the staff has listened to Dunwoody North and kept it intact. It creates more balance of multi-family homes across the schools, and allows for fewer portable classrooms overall	Those leaving Hightower for Doraville United should switch clusters permanently. It's too disruptive for them to go to a new middle school where they will know very few people.	This cluster needs an entire new elementary school, middle school, and high school. Rather than moving around kids at overcrowded schools, opening an additional school will relieve all schools.
Dunwoody ES	I vote for Staff recommendation		
Dunwoody ES	It can improve the education environment of DES a lot.		
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	Balances portables across all schools Reduces student population to more manageable level in over crowded schools	How does this account for growth after 2021	Should a new school be in the future plans for the Western side / Ashford Dunwoody region?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief for DES	Not enough relief for DES	Move all areas West of Ashford Dunwoody rd to the Austin district
Dunwoody ES	agree with the plan as it keeps us in DES and it helps my sons iep undisturbed.		
Dunwoody ES	It most fairly alleviates the current overcrowding conditions at DES and it fairly disburses the portable classrooms.	<p>1- FUTURE DEVELOPMENT WEST OF ASHFORD DUNWOODY ROAD MUST BE REZONED INTO AUSTIN. IF NOT, DES WILL QUICKLY BECOME OVERCROWDED AGAIN.</p> <p>2. ALL THESE CHILDREN WILL BE MOVING TO PEACHTREE MIDDLE AND DUNWOODY HIGH SCHOOL. WE NEED TO REZONE EASTWARD OUT OF THE DUNWOODY DISTRICT OR WE NEED TO BUILD ANOTHER SCHOOL. SOMEONE, PLEASE PAY ATTENTION!</p>	Future development west of Ashford Dunwoody needs to be equitably zoned between Austin and DES.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Increased balance.		The massive amount of approved homes coming into the area, specifically around the mall/Marta area. Populations are only increasing with no major accommodations for schooling increases to match.
Dunwoody ES		For group H why not leave those West of Shallowford in Dunwoody Elementary? This will create a clear division.	
Dunwoody ES	reduces portable classrooms and increases safety for students	Area H including Dunwoody Trace (8 students) and Huntington Hall (4 students) is geographically isolated from Chestnut by Brook Run park on one side and Village Oaks (retirement community) on the back side. There are no sidewalks on our side of Peeler which prevents children from walking to school and to other neighborhoods districted for CES.	There are a number of small neighborhood changes. Why disrupt only a handful of kids for very little relief. Also, the proposed map disrupts over 200 children at Vanderlyn with almost no net gain. Please take into consideration feedback from the first round of community responses.
Vanderlyn ES	Regarding Vanderlyn changes in Round 3, there are no Pros. Our neighborhood is extremely disappointed that the staff completely ignored the primary criteria in creating this plan.	The Primary Criteria was completely ignored and violated for the Vanderlyn redistricting. Not only did a major neighborhood get split, the new area added is further geographically than the partial neighborhood that split off. It provided minimal relief for instructional capacity and added a more dense area that could further overcrowd the school.	
Dunwoody ES	Love it	No concerns	Do not split neighborhoods!!!!!!

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Balances out the overcrowding issue and use of portables across the cluster.	Still concerned about long-term projected growth for Dunwoody ES.	
Austin ES	Balances enrollment! DES had fewer trailers! Hightower had relief! KEEP THIS PLAN! Balances apartments and is sensitive to proximity to schools and doesn't unfairly target EJ and LEP residences. Requests from Vanderlyn and DES to keep single family homes and not apartments, ignoring the proximity is racially motivated and should be ignored!	Still too many trailers in region 1 pointing to a systematic failure to plan at DCSD. Comprehensive redistricting MUST take plan NOW while we wait for schools and additions that may or may not come. This doesn't fix any problems at the middle and high school level. This does not fix the aging and moldy trailers in the region.	Unifying Wynterhall that is in close proximity to Austin is GREAT!! DES and Vanderlyn parents have repeatedly asked for relief and have requested student be moved to Austin. Grumbling about keeping single family homes and moving only apts. to Austin should be seen for what it is-racist and classist. They want "those people" moved not themselves.
Dunwoody ES	None, this is the worst map among all proposed maps. It move 400+ students around and creates longer commutes to many of them.	Why was the B area in the last round of three maps gone? This community on the Ashford Ctr Parkway should have been placed in Vanderlyn years ago! Moving this area from Dunwoody to Vanderlyn makes much more sense than moving the current B area. If traffic and distance is the first priority, please reverse back to the previous plan.	The attendee map of any school should be a connected region. It is laughable that the proposed map for Vanderlyn is not.
Chesnut ES	I was pleased to see the Chesnut / Dunwoody North neighborhood was being kept intact. Since so many of these children live in close proximity and have many activities both in and outside of school, it is good that they will be kept together.		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Thank you for listening to us and allowing our children to walk to our neighborhood school and keeping our neighborhood together.	None	
Dunwoody ES			Vanderlyn needs to keep Dunwoody Club Forest West and Austin needs the apartments.
Dunwoody ES	Alleviates some of the DES congestion	Resident of Dunwoody green, a new single family community of home owners paying high taxes. We are incorrectly classified as multi family and are in area H. This plan does not address DES instructional capacity, causes more overcrowding at chestnut, creates safety and intact neighborhood issues for the Georgetown area community. Couldn't be worse!	Get the facts right. Address future growth in perimeter area
Dunwoody ES		This does not give enough relief to Dunwoody Elementary. Those near Ashford Dunwoody should attend Austin, which is a closer school for those children.	You will lose children to other counties/ private schools if you don't appropriately manage the overcrowding. No parent wants to have trailers at these schools.
Dunwoody ES	Relieves overcrowding at DES, keeps most neighborhoods together	Areas H and I get isolated from their projected school (chestnut)'s community due to proximity and major roads between them and the neighborhoods. Doesn't address long term overcrowding at DES	DES remains overcrowded and gets extreme in projections, consider more ashford dunwoody communities to move to AES or Vanderlyn. Utilize owned land like Shallowford/Chamblee elem land to build a school for ashford dunwoody area.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	You are increasing the % utilization of Austin at the same time decreasing the number of trailers across most schools.	I feel that moving the 40 students in section K is splitting a neighborhood but not making enough impact in the numbers to truly warrant the move. By leaving the 40 students at Dunwoody, you still decrease the number of trailers at Dunwoody and lower the over capacity at Chestnut.	
Dunwoody ES		<p>Chestnut elementary, already at 130% capacity, will move to 137% capacity and be the most crowded percentage wise with the move of Zone H (Dunwoody ES to Chestnut Elementary). Portables will increase by 2 to a total of 7; whereas Dunwoody ES will get to be relieved of 13 to 3.</p> <p>Not a long term solution, with potential redistricting occurring again.</p>	The best solution is to build a new 1000 student school to take on the 533 moves plus the remaining negative availability of 521. If we redistrict now, then build a new school, we will have to redistrict twice in what could be a 5 year period. Let's go straight to the long term solution, avoid disruption and multiple redistricting.
Dunwoody ES		I prefer my son to go to DES. He is a special ed kid and will be nice if he can continue in the same school and teachers which will help with his academics.	
Dunwoody ES	Dunwoody Elementary school gets less number of kids in portables. Most of the neighborhoods stay intact in this option.	Still lot of growth areas on Ashford Dunwoody are under Dunwoody Elementary school.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Some relief for DES, DUES provides relief to Dunwoody cluster, more neighborhoods intact, less traffic congestion as divider lines are based on major roadways, trailers shared, some higher density areas shifted from DES	Not enough relief to DES due to projected growth in the area, county shows DES growth increasing while other schools remain relatively flat but DES continues to have most of the high density areas, no consideration of High Street,	Consider moving all areas west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin. Then, let Vanderlyn keep area C (staff map) & take C (map 2 round 2). DES keeps B & the yellow sections from H. This is a better distribution of areas based on growth projections
Dunwoody ES		Geo. Prox. - illogical that lower part of Area H moved out of DES and area below stays in DES (proximity is farther from DES) Instructional capacity - Chesnut has highest at 137%, not spread evenly among the schools Projected enrollment - doesn't account for future growth of new development in Chesnut area (new homes being built)	Austin should take more areas west of Ashford Dunwoody towards I285 because of geographic proximity. Lower portion of Area H should stay intact with Georgetown community and with DES.
Chesnut ES	Keeping kids in Dunwoody North together	None	None
Dunwoody ES		Dunwoody green is part of the Georgetown community and is isolated from the rest of the community in this map. It is being moved into chestnut from DES. We should remain in DES because the rest of our neighborhood is in there and our single family neighborhood is isolated in this map. It was not an option in any previous version.	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	The plan does a good job balancing geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. Specifically, moves C, H, and I demonstrate this. The plan keeps neighborhoods intact, is considerate of traffic flow, and provides a mix of apartments and residential. The plan allows for the projected enrollment growth at Austin and Dunwoody.	I don't understand the J move. Hightower isn't projected to grow and Kingsley has some projected growth, but move J takes students from Hightower, leaving it underutilized, and sends them to Kingsley, which leaves Kingsley overcrowded.	A new elementary school in this cluster is needed.
Dunwoody ES	Some relief to DES; Reduction in number of trailers in the cluster; Use of DUES to relieve the cluster; Kept more neighborhoods intact. Relief to HES; Good distribution of trailers based on school size.	Did not consider long term growth and High Street. Majority of highly dense areas still in DES	Consider moving everything west of Ashford Dunwoody to AES; Leave C at Vanderlyn; Leave B at Dunwoody; Move the almost square like area (Dunwoody Village) south of Mount Vernon in the NW corner of the DES area to Vanderlyn enlarging that bridge; Leave the yellow section of H with DES. Leave G with Kingsley. Break up less
Vanderlyn ES		I would think that you would want to not move kids/families if not necessary. B & C involve moves of nearly identical numbers of children. Why not leave C in Vanderlyn and move B to Austin. B is closer to Austin. Plus, Under the Staff Recommended Plan, Vanderlyn becomes one of the most overcrowded schools and overcrowding is not equalized.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	The staff took feedback from the Dunwoody North neighborhood community and kept it intact at Chesnut. For that, the community is very grateful.	Dunwoody North is happy with the plan to keep the neighborhood community together. We appreciate the hard work that may be left to do as the staff works with other communities and districts to address other concerns.	The revised plan highlights the Board's focus on the importance of both the primary and secondary criteria.
Vanderlyn ES	Good to see Hightower receiving some relief.	Vanderlyn continues to bear the brunt of the overcrowding in a school facility that is sub-par and has been since my children attended there over 11 years ago.	
Dunwoody ES	One positive of this process is that overcrowding in the Dunwoody area is being acknowledged. We do hope constructive, objective feedback from those of us involved is used to revise the plan and make it the best one possible.	On this most recent map, two small areas in Section H, Dunwoody Trace and Huntington Hall (with a total of eight students affected) are completely isolated. All the neighborhoods surrounding ours are zoned for DES (as we have been). This new map isolates us completely. We implore you to keep us at DES so our children are not negatively impacted.	This most recent map affects a handful of kids negatively with very little impact on relieving overcrowding at any of these schools.
Dunwoody ES	It moves many students out of DES, removes trailers, moves Hightower students to Doraville United, and distributes multifamily housing more equitably. (Student mobility is difficult on a school.)	This must be considered a short-term solution until a new elementary school is built. All of the schools are too crowded. The Perimeter multifamily housing is going to grow even more and an elementary school needs to be built there.	Since Hightower is so close to Doraville, why is it in the Dunwoody cluster? Keeping those students in their home area would reduce pressure on Peachtree Charter Middle and Dunwoody High.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Overall reduction of cluster portables; Some near-term relief to DES; DUES acceptance of students from Dunwoody cluster provides much needed relief overall.	Oddly shaped neighborhood carve-outs/peninsulas appear to have used housing type as a criteria where geographic proximity ignored (areas A & B). DES shoulders all of potential growth areas. Districting the area west of Ashford Dunwoody and south to I-285 would have shared some of that growth with Austin.	The 4-year growth projections need to be considered to avoid another redistricting in 3 years.
Vanderlyn ES	Someone's trying to pull a fast one! Why only reveal major changes to Vanderlyn in the third round, when no further public comment is scheduled?? This process is completely unfair, unjust, and gives the appearance of impropriety with Stan Jester's neighborhood leaving Vanderlyn to Austin, when Vanderlyn goes 60% apartments.	How does Austin escape having high density housing? If we share the load for overcrowding and portables, we just also share high-density housing. Vanderlyn is getting screwed in this proposal. It offers no enrollment # relief, but swaps in the same amount of kids as it swaps out. Who was paid off to make this happen?	The Interim Superintendent must open this back up to public input. This process is a farce with this proposal looking nothing like the previous rounds.
Kingsley ES	None for Kingsley. This plan puts Kingsley at a great disadvantage. See reasons below.	This plan needless shuffles 5 trailers and 65 students from Hightower to Kingsley, with no advantages for these students, and the additional disadvantage of adding 2 miles. It does not make sense to shift more students from a school that is projected to have declining enrollment to a school projected to have growing enrollment.	The staff recommended option gerrymanders the lines around Kingsley in way that violates it's criteria of geographic proximity. It buses students in from hightower through the chesnut zone.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	A - some relief for DES to AES K - Relief for HES to DUE	We need to answer for the future growth of the Ashford-Dunwoody corridor in a serious & meaningful way. The practical answer that hits ALL criteria is moving the entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd to 285 to AES. Accounts for primary criteria & relieves the overcrowding risk (for DES).  B, C & D - Is ridiculous. Robbing Peter to pay Paul.	Look at slide 14 (the growth beyond 2021) when you make every single decision. Look at that sky-rocketing gray line (DES growth). You MUST solve for DES future growth in THIS map at THIS moment. Also, this is simply a band-aid. The Dunwoody cluster is packed to the gills and only getting bigger -- for elementary, middle and high.
Kingsley ES	Balances students across schools.	Not a long term plan to handle high student enrollment	
Dunwoody ES	A - some relief for DES to AES K - Relief for HES to DUE	We need to answer for the future growth of the Ashford-Dunwoody corridor - the gray area. The common sense answer that hits ALL criteria is allocating the area west of Ashford-Dunwoody Rd to 285 to AES. Accounts for primary criteria & relieves the overcrowding risk at DES.	This map needs to solve for the exponential, high risk growth of DES beyond 2021. We cannot hope and pray for a Nancy Creek ES. We need a solution now. Also, revisit the "balanced enrollment with intact communities" map that was humbly submitted by the ACTUAL people & families this will be impacting.
Chesnut ES	Thanks to the DCSD for keeping the Dunwoody North neighborhood together and allowing kids to attend elementary school with their neighbors. I work from home and see kids riding their bikes to Chestnut every day from my office window. This would not be possible if they were to attend Kingsley.		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Balance between schools thru 2021.	Using 2021 enrollment forecast still doesn't incorporate the fact DES has higher growth AFTER 2021. DES will then have to add more portables than Austin. There should be a cap for maximum portables on the campus. Until new school is built, Austin should share the burden of population growth and have students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	
Vanderlyn ES		Staff recommended plan impacts greatly on all the community contributions we made towards the VES to build and grow over years and financial contributions towards taxes, etc to build a great school together. It impacts our house values greatly for which we made this major move due to school district.	Financial impacts on the house owners, Community that kids and parents built together
Vanderlyn ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- this did nothing to help with overcrowding at Vanderlyn</li> <li>- you split it option C, which is part of another neighborhood in Vanderlyn</li> <li>- we still have 11 portables</li> <li>- the section C household makes up much of our volunteer and PTO base</li> </ul>	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None at this time.	Sections C & D consist of single-family homes, which have a finite growth rate. Vanderlyn will be at risk for even more severe overcrowding as an unpredictable number of students could move into Section B. We cannot accommodate more trailers/modulars. If Vanderlyn continues to become more and more overcrowded, we will all be back at square one!	
Vanderlyn ES	Agree with moving kids from Dunwoody elementary school to Austin elementary	Please don't change the boundaries of Vanderlyn elementary school as it will impact our school in a very unfavorable manner and take away our board.	
Vanderlyn ES	Helps with relief for Hightower that's it	It breaks up Dunwoody Club West from Dunwoody Club which are sister neighborhoods. It also takes single family homes and significant volunteers out of Vanderlyn and they can't afford to lose those volunteers. No need to disrupt Vanderlyn to be net 7 kids in capacity. Leave Vanderlyn in tact and not disrupt by multiple moves of the students	Conflict of interest with one of the board members now living in the area being moved

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		The proposal significantly disrupts the Vanderlyn community for no benefit (net number of students remains similar). Additionally, a significant portion of Vanderlyn PTO families, donation base, and other parental support is removed. The quantity of high density housing leaves the school subject to further overcrowding in the future.	
Chesnut ES	Keeps Dunwoody North Neighborhood together at the same school. Thank you so much! Helps relieve Dunwoody Elementary overcrowding by sending some kids to Chesnut.		
Vanderlyn ES	There are not any	You are removing 90 student single family homes from Vanderlyn and moving them to Austin and replacing them with an apartment, all from 7 seats. This does not make sense. Why uproot these students? We rely on these single family homes for donations and to make up our PTO	Please keep Vanderlyn intact
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		This recommendation leaves Vanderlyn and Chesnut severely overcrowded.	balancing over-enrollment burdens across schools
Vanderlyn ES	All existing students and new students in the same community will be more happy to come back again		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		<p>Vanderlyn is already overcrowded and gets no relief with this plan.</p> <p>Breaking up DCF is against one of your rules</p> <p>B is much closer to Austin than Vanderlyn breaking another rule.</p> <p>Moving kids around is traumatic and should be minimized.</p> <p>Increased traffic due to longer routes.</p>	
	For Vanderlyn, none .		
Vanderlyn ES	Option C		
Vanderlyn ES		<p>These specific changes should have been shared with the community for discussion and feedback at the second phase of the process. Many people in the community feel blindsided by the last minute surprises. This survey is an inadequate feedback mechanism. Hold a public hearing!</p> <p>Vanderlyn &amp; Chestnut bear an unfair load of trailers and overpopulation.</p>	
	All schools are required to have some trailers.		



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	N/A	We sincerely request that Post crossing community be allowed to continue to go-to Dunwoody Elementary or Austin. Vanderlyn is graded lower than these hence sending them to Vanderlyn will be unfair to them. Secondly, even from safety point of view, Vanderlyn is on other side of the map for Post crossing, DES or Austin are closer.	School ranking, distance from community.
Dunwoody ES	None for our neighborhood. Extremely unhappy with this plan.	Staff Recommended Map shows us getting redistricted to Chesnut. This is an issue for the Dunwoody Green neighborhood with the following issues: map errors, isolation, we are central to the Georgetown revitalization and this would isolate us, student disruptions, cross path traffic issue, a NHS projected enrollment issue. Contact for more details.	
Vanderlyn ES		Too many changes to VES for net reduction of 7. Traffic already bad. This will make worse with people driving further.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Moves to/from Vanderlyn ("B","C","D","E") result in a net loss of 7 students at Vanderlyn while moving 191 students; leave these areas 'as is' to impact fewer students. Further, the re-alignment of these sections result in more high density areas flowing into Vanderlyn, already at the high utilization rate of 133% and at risk to go even higher.	Moves to/from Vanderlyn ("B","C","D","E") result in longer travel distances for the impacted students, not shorter travel distances. These moves look very much like the gerrymandering of districts.
Vanderlyn ES	Nothing	Vanderlyn's enrollment, with this map, will be 50%+ transient. It makes no sense that Vanderlyn carry the majority of the apartment population, especially when Post is closer to Austin. Why not share the transient population more with Austin. They only have 20%. Our ESOL population will probably almost double. This plan is terrible.	Consider spreading the transient population equally among the schools. Vanderlyn should not carry that majority. It will affect our kids, their friends, the makeup of our staff, etc. This plan is despicable, and clearly favors Austin.
Vanderlyn ES		DCSD has not been equitable in its distribution of the high-density housing pockets within the Dunwoody cluster. We think it is reasonable to ask that all the elementary schools in our cluster have similar percentages of high-density housing students. Sections C and D on the Staff Recommended map remain at Vanderlyn versus being moved to Austin	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pro reasons for the staff plan that I can see. It is not a positive change for our community.	The staff pls presents several cons for our community. First is that you move our children from a highly rated school to a school with sub par educational standards.	We object to the proposed change. Until all schools test equally high.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		I specifically moved to this neighborhood for the Vanderlyn school district and my home value will now be negatively impacted. The amount of students moved is immaterial so why make a change at all? There are 21 students who are going to a materially lower rated school in the proposal now! Move less from Dunwoody to vanderlyn.	
Dunwoody ES	Great! Satisfied with this new map.		
Vanderlyn ES		Moving section D from Vanderlyn to Kingsley does not meet the geographic proximity criteria. Kingsley is further from section D than Vanderlyn and would require buses to go through the Vanderlyn school district to pick up the children. Moving 21 children from Section D also doesn't alleviate any current enrollment problems. It is unnecessary.	Excessive unnecessary movement.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Moving 21 children from Section D doesn't alleviate any current projected enrollment problems. It is unnecessary. Moving section D from Vanderlyn to Kingsley does not meet the geographic proximity criteria. Kingsley is further from section D than Vanderlyn and would require buses to go through the Vanderlyn school district to pick up the children.	Unnecessary and excessive redistricting and the disruptive impact that it has.
Vanderlyn ES	Oxford Chase stays at Vanderlyn	Disruption to many other students	Keeping schools intact as much as possible
Dunwoody ES	Alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster	Separates and isolates Dunwoody Green; creates traffic and safety concerns	Dunwoody Green utilizes community amenities that are in areas districted for DES
Vanderlyn ES	We have always been in the Vanderlyn district and wish to remain there. That school is why we bought into the Woodlands. Please don't change us for 20 students.	We see no reason to disrupt the neighborhood and loose property values over 20 students.	
Vanderlyn ES	less portables in cluster	swapping the same number of students in Option C for same number in Option B ... why? No relief for VES. moves high density complex Option B to VES. VES overcrowded at 135% utilization with 11 portables. VES ONLY school with no reduction in portables. Why? VES only school with no reduction in overcrowding. Why?	the unnecessary disruption to the kids resulting in no relief to VES

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	None	Isolates dunwoody Green neighborhood from Georgetown community AND chestnut community	Traffic and safety concerns
Dunwoody ES		My neighborhood, which is mostly single family detached, and also townhouses, everything is around 2700-4100 square feet, is being treated like a transient apartment complex, moved from DES to Chesnut. Apartments and small condos *south* of us are actually still in DES. This makes no sense.	our neighborhood associates strongly with the G'town, Vermack, JCC communities and wants to stay in DES.
Vanderlyn ES		Moved into The Woodlands to be part of Vanderlyn Elementary school district. I want to remain in this district and NOT be moved to Kingsley Elementary!	
Chesnut ES	As a parent of Chesnut students I am happy with the plan. I feel the staff listened to our concerns (of splitting the close knit neighborhood) and kept it intact. I also feel you listened in regards to the geographic proximity criteria.		
Vanderlyn ES	Less portables, otherwise this is incredibly poor choices for zoning.	Hightower is under capacity. Split up neighborhoods in the Austin/Vanderlyn change where nextdoor neighbor kids will be split, but don't do that with Dunwoody North it's split across a major road (Tilly Mill)! They go to the same swim tennis is going to be a reason when the same is true in the north of Dunwoody as well. Dumb!	Keep neighborhoods together that are actually attached. Dunwoody north is not one neighborhood, it's multiple little ones combined.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	North end of Vanderlyn district trimmed down	Southwest vanderlyn district adds back students keeping Vanderlyn just as overcrowded as ever. This plan does nothing to help Vanderlyn's overcrowding problem	Equal Available Seats across all schools
Dunwoody ES	A reduction in portables overall and a rebalancing of portables across the schools so the outcome is more equitable. Austin and DES have equitable attendance lines.	There are still portables and some neighborhoods will be broken up.	Area will continue to grow. Continue to redistrict across the Entire district. Build another elementary, middle, and high school in Region 1 with the movement of cross keys.
Vanderlyn ES	None	Breaking up neighborhoods that have always been in Vanderlyn Community. (moving Dunwoody Club Forest West away from Dunwoody Club Forest, this is against your criteria of keeping neighborhoods in tact) Redistricting a multiple capacity residence to Vanderlyn that is closer to Austin.	There is so much movement in the Vanderlyn plan and which does not impact our capacity. Why move so many students and families? There is no difference in the number of portables for Vanderlyn from this school year to next. Changing schools, bus routes, carpools, friends, etc and we are still in the same situation the we are now.
Vanderlyn ES		This plan moves 191 students, causing disruption to the Vanderlyn community just to reduce enrollment by 7 students for Vanderlyn.	DCSD has not been equitable in its distribution of the high-density housing pockets within the Dunwoody cluster.
Dunwoody ES		Housing Value Sturdent displacement community committment	dunwoody green residents bought homes based upon school district

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Number of students does not change.	Single homes under 2 miles are removed from Vanderlyn and replaced w/ multi-housing units over 2 miles away . Since # of students is unchanged, leave our community together. Vanderlyn should not be 58% multi-housing units and Austin only 24%. It is unethical/racist to create a school with virtually no lower socioeconomic or transient families.	Equal distribution of multi-housing units throughout the Dunwoody Cluster. Austin should not be allowed to have virtually no multi-housing units as it is discriminatory, racist, and unethical.
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES		Separates Dunwoody Green/Georgetown Park from the Georgetown community with whom we share swim & tennis, daycare, after school programs, and other amenities.  Creates traffic and safety concerns (cross commuters) for Dunwoody Green and the area south of our neighborhood that is still districted to DES.	Pre-Schools and Pre-K programs serving our community (Ashford Academy, Primrose, etc) serve primarily DES and do not offer services at Chesnut. This option separates our students from their current classmates and younger siblings.
Dunwoody ES	Seems to be the most equitable distribution of students It significantly reduces the overcrowding at DES	It still leaves Vanderlyn with 11 portable classrooms and most of our schools still over crowded. It does not provide Dunwoody with a long term solution.	Building another elem. and middle school in our area (near the perimeter/mall area?) and using the Vanderlyn property to expand the High School.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Attempting to reduce enrollment load on Vanderlyn load.	Bringing in High-Density housing (which makes annual enrollment unpredictable) and loss of single family homes (particularly current Vanderlyn PTO members (approximately 30%) to Austin school district.	
Other	test test test this is not a lot of space for comment	test	test
Vanderlyn ES		This plan disrupts the Vanderlyn community yet provides no relief to the school's overcrowding or high number of trailers. Students from Section C and D will not be able to walk to their new schools so traffic congestion will increase. Sections C & D provide student stability, parental involvement, and financial resources crucial to Vanderlyn.	Student achievement should always be the priority of the school board, and currently, Vanderlyn is a top-performing school. The VES population should remain in tact, as is, so as not to disrupt the children's academic achievement and emotional stability unnecessarily.
Vanderlyn ES	Relief from overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary School and Hightower Elementary School. Reduction in number of trailers overall.	Redistricting Sections B&C violates the Geographic Proximity criteria. If students from Section B need to be moved from DES for overcrowding relief they should move to AES, which is closer than VES (2 mi vs. 2.5 mi). Moving B students to VES unnecessarily forces 78 students in C to move to AES.	Efficient & Economical Operations. Sections C&D are 25-30% of volunteer and financial support at VES.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Relief for DES overcrowding.	The huge increase of transient housing (apartments) to Vanderlyn, making it over 50% of its students. Relocating C to Austin and adding B to Vanderlyn when B is closer to Austin and would make Austin's number of apartments more in line with the other Dunwoody cluster schools.	J is significantly closer to Chestnut than it is to Kingsley.
Vanderlyn ES	Adjusts the counts to meet targets	'- Significantly impacts children currently living within walking distance of Vanderlyn (Falkirk subd), and sends them to Kingsley - 99 students are moving from Vanderlyn to Kingsley, and 90 students are moving from Dunwoody to Vanderlyn. It would seem to have less impact on the children if the 90 students from Dunwoody moved to Kingsley instead	25% of PTO committee chairs, 30% of EC, and 25% of BOD from Vanderlyn are being moved with this plan. In addition, the Post Apartments and Jefferson apartments that are both being suggested to move into Vanderlyn are closer to Austin than Vanderlyn
Vanderlyn ES	None	'- Current plan requires families living within walking distance of Vanderlyn to instead need to drive on Mount Vernon road to get to Kinglsey. This road has incredibly heavy traffic in the morning, and will negatively affect the amount of time for those children to get to school as well as make it difficult for parents to be at work on time	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	1) Very happy that Dunwoody North is not being split up!	1) While the distance is minimal, sending kids from section I across Peachtree Industrial is a danger.	It seems pretty clear that an additional school is needed. The alternative is to build up at one or more current schools to add permanent space.
Vanderlyn ES	It removes students from the overcrowded cluster.	It is a major disruption to Vanderlyn because it moves 13 percent of its students for a non-capacity related reason. It is a large shock to a small school, and is completely unnecessary. The gerrymandered district does not maintain the existing school community. It increases the percentage of high-density housing from 43% to 56%.	Vanderlyn is already at capacity. There is no compelling reason to move 13% of the students out of and into the school.
Dunwoody ES	I appreciate the efforts to relieve overcrowding at Dunwoody ES.	This plan does nothing to address anticipated growth! Area A should extend from west of Ashford Dunwoody and extend to 285 to address geographical proximity, instructional capacity& projected enrollment. Why would you move C to Austin and B to Vanderlyn? You move kids unnecessarily, don't adhere to your criteria, & appease Jester's self interest	Please consider the anticipated growth at DES! This is the only school expected to grow at the rates projected by DCSD. Taking a portion of the area of "a" splits an intact community that currently shares a bus stop and leaves DES vulnerable to explosive growth that they aren't able to handle in the next few years. The C/B swap is inane.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	This plan does a good job balancing each of the schools. Chesnut is overcrowded, but their community made it very clear they don't care. Some neighborhoods were moved into the closest school which is a plus. This plan makes the most sense out of previous plans. This map does a fair job in moving single family homes and apts. This is a good plan.	I'm not sure why section J needs to be moved to Kingsley. Section J is closer to Hightower and if it stays at Hightower they school enrollments are more balanced.	It seems like a solution for a lot of homeowners is to just make apartments change schools. Everyone doesn't want their neighborhood to be moved, but someone is going to have to move. Kids are resilient and will adapt to new schools and friends. property values should not have an affect on the redistricting process.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	This plan reduces overall portables.	This plan moves and disrupts kids unnecessarily. Moving 97 kids in Options C&D and replacing with 90 kids from Option B is an unnecessary disruption. There is no gain or relief with doing this. In addition, it puts VES in a precarious position to become even more overcrowded by taking on yet another high density complex.	Please consider ALL the options. Please don't unnecessarily move children. Consider what this does to children and families. Please only move children if it will provide relief.
Vanderlyn ES		I am a teacher at VES and am worried about the new redistricting map. For a small school, we already have a high number of transient students from Jefferson apartments, which is fine. But the proposed plan is taking away our PTO board and long-standing community members and replacing them with more transient students from another apartment complex.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Too many apartments in vanderlyn. So many kids buses just from those places skews neighborhood attendance zones	
Vanderlyn ES	None	This move creates unnecessary disruption to students, does not align with the County's stated primary redistricting objectives and leaves Vanderlyn at roughly 20 percentage points above the average utilization in the cluster and with no reduction in portable classrooms.	As many of our residents moved to DCF in part because of Vanderlyn
Vanderlyn ES		Breaks up neighborhood continuity, Dunwoody club forest. Also single family homes provide the majority of volunteers. You will be depleting that resource for a school that is very strong at this point in time.	
Vanderlyn ES	Limited. The number of portables is more equitable.	This unfairly moves residents of the community from around the community. This negatively impacts a LARGE number of current Vanderlyn families for a net reduction of 7 students. Traffic around the new Austin elementary will be dangerous if you add students from the recommended nap as they will have to cross that area to get to Vanderlyn.	Do not negatively impact hundreds of families since one of the main criteria is geographic location. Parent and economic involvement is also being ignored with the plan. TRY AGAIN!

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	Vanderlyn appears to be receiving the raw end of this deal. Remains highly over utilized while having a large change in student population creating disruption. The number of portable classrooms remains dramatically too high and even more so as a % of the student population. Overall, unacceptable.	Equitableness and limited disruption to current students.
Vanderlyn ES	None	This plan breaks up the Dunwoody Club Forest neighborhood by removing Dunwoody Club Forest West neighbors from Vanderlyn thus splitting an intact neighborhood. Why wouldn't the new condos on Dunwoody Village parkway go to Austin due to proximity?	The map needs to keep neighborhoods intact and also look at geographic proximity. Why are there so many cutouts of neighborhoods going to different schools not as close and crossing through another school's zone? This is especially true in the Hightower map.
Vanderlyn ES		you are counting student moves rather than impact to entire neighborhood Dunwoody Club Forest which is very self contained and belongs to Vanderlyn. It is within walking distance for a lot of the subdivision and that is not an option with current plan to Austin. current moves does not show impact of future growth . Keep Vanderlyn as DCF allocation	walking distance to schools and maintaing continuoiyt . There are apartments on Ashford Dunwoody slotted fro Vanderlyn when they are actually much closer to Austin. Does not make sense again distance impact is too great.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	'- Good effort to reduce overcrowding and reduction in trailers	Items B, C and D violate Criteria: - Geographic proximity: B closer to Austin than Vanderlyn & C is closer to Vanderlyn than Austin - Projected enrollment disregarded by shifting apartments to Vanderlyn rather than Austin, which has the lowest % of volatile apartment population - Traffic/Safety - further distance and increased neighborhood traffic	Proximity & Capacity should remain the first criteria, but student populations that mirror the overall population of Dunwoody (i.e. efforts to evenly distribute socioeconomic population % of single-family and multi-family) should also be considered as a secondary criteria. Uniform socioeconomic distribution better equalizes opportunities for all.
Vanderlyn ES	From Vanderlyn perspective nothing improves	No reduction in portable classrooms for Vanderlyn and unnecessarily moves students without benefit. It removes students from single family homes and adds an apartment complex that is subject to increase in number of student residents. Without increase VES is 133%, any increase would be very difficult and further unbalance it vs other area schools	distribution of multi-family dwellings
Dunwoody ES	Relief for Hightower and DES which are the most overcrowded schools; Some distribution of high density areas; Use of Doraville United to relieve the cluster; equitable distribution of trailers based on building capacity	Did not consider long term growth based on the DES growth projection; this plan seems to be a way to force citizens to pay for the Go Bond.	Consider moving everything west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin (including A); Vanderlyn keeps C& B; Dunwoody keeps H & G ; this yields less disruption; A larger group of students currently zoned to DES in the same proximity go to AES. A lot of these neighborhoods are full of elderly couples. As houses sell, younger families w/ children are moving in

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Dunwoody Club Forest residents have chosen this location because of th proximity of Vanderlyn elementary school at sacrificial expense and these students should not be disrupted in favor of students who have temporarily moved into outlying areas.		
Vanderlyn ES	It is evident that the staff's recommendation is an attempt to improve the overall utilization of all schools except Hightower ES that are greater than 100%.	While moving 99 students from Vanderlyn ES to Kingsley ES and Austin ES, 92 are moved to Vanderlyn, offering a net loss of 7 students. This solution is not beneficial to at least 2 students per grade and disrupts the lives of 92 students, traffic patterns and overall student learning experience.	Disrupting friendships, familiarity and already established rapport with teachers and environment.
Vanderlyn ES		The plan causes disruption for Vanderlyn students without significantly improving overcrowding at the school. It leaves Vanderlyn at risk of even further crowding in the future. It will also increase traffic congestion on Tilly Mill and Mount Vernon Rd. and creates unsafe walking conditions for students in Wyntercreek and surrounding neighborhoods.	Consider leaving Sections C and D at Vanderlyn vs. moving to Austin and Kingsley. And instead move Section B to Austin, keeping Vanderlyn's current boundaries intact and providing equitable distribution of high-density housing.
Vanderlyn ES	Whim only	Disturbing neighborhoods	Leave Vanderlyn alone

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		<p>Removing kids from neighborhood schools</p> <p>Safety and traffic concerns- increasing cars in residential areas</p> <p>High density housing is not equitable</p> <p>Leadership and volunteerism will drop at Vanderlyn with removal of neighborhoods</p> <p>Potential for overcrowding and need for portables</p> <p>Geographic proximity-gaining students who are closer to other schools</p>	
Kingsley ES		The current redistricting will add to the already uneven demographic distribution and also will put Kingsley at over capacity	Do not support
Vanderlyn ES		This option unnecessarily moves students that already attend Vanderlyn to another school and swaps them with slightly more kids that do not currently go to Vanderlyn that live farther away from Vanderlyn than the existing Vanderlyn students.	Add the Post apartment children to the new Austin school and add a portable for 2020. Vanderlyn gets no capacity relief in this option.
Vanderlyn ES		I disagree with the recommendation to rezone my townhouse community from Vanderlyn elementary with a high score rating to Kingsley elementary with a low rating. This will also decrease the property value of my home if this rezoning occur.	Please consider the input of property owners because we purchase our homes based on the school zone.
Vanderlyn ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		1. Severe negative economic impact on the market value of 50 townhomes (-20%?) 2. 3 students currently attending Vanderlyn ES	
Vanderlyn ES		It is not in the best interest of the Dunwoody cluster to unnecessarily move 191 students, causing disruption to the Vanderlyn community and emotional unrest for our children, only for a net reduction in enrollment of 7 students from our school.	Sections C and D on the Staff Recommended map should remain at Vanderlyn versus being moved to Austin and Kingsley. My family implores you to consider moving Section B to Austin, which will keep our current boundaries intact and provide equitable distribution of the high-density housing in our cluster.
Chesnut ES	It keeps Dunwoody North intact. The students can continue to walk and bike to Chesnut safely. This is not possible if they are redistricted to neighboring schools		Consider building a new Chesnut Elementary in the future. The school is small and old with a large land footprint. A larger school would be a benefit in the future.
Vanderlyn ES		Alienates students in zone D from their school friends in the neighborhood of Dunwoody club forest. Zone D is separated by the retail shopping complex. Currently bus 747 services students impacted by Option C & D. This change would require 3 additional buses along the same route, thus increasing traffic at rush hour Net displacement of kids is high	Net displacement of kids and keeping neighborhoods intact

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None.	It moves students from schools in their communities that they are prepared to attend to schools that they will find challenging to thrive in. It completely disregards the wellbeing of the children it would forcibly relocate. The fact that this is even being considered as an option is outrageous.	
Chesnut ES	<p>Thank you for incorporating the community's feedback.</p> <p>This new map keeping Dunwoody North intact supports the DCSD redistricting criteria based on:</p> <p>Geographic proximity Instructional capacity Projected enrollment Secondary Criteria:</p> <p>Safety and traffic patterns Previous redistricting</p>		
Chesnut ES	Keeps Dunwoody North neighborhood intact and aligns with geographic proximity criteria. Thank you for listening to our feedback!		
Chesnut ES	Keeps proximity from Chesnut very close and walkable, alleviates major traffic along Tilly Mill. Keeps Dunwoody North neighborhood intact		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Thank you for keeping the Dunwoody North Neighborhood intact.		
Vanderlyn ES	The new map addressed geographic proximity & safety/traffic criteria (i.e. distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Also addressed the bulk of our community-wide concerns. Thank you DCSD! Spreads enrollment across Dunwoody (DES, VES, AES) to address future growth in PC. Decreased portables	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. Lack of trust on the DCSD forecast. Forecasting methods must become transparent in order to restore faith in this process now and for the future.	Projected enrollment numbers have varied in every step of this process. Please provide details on the forecasting process for current and future use. Build a new school in Dunwoody cluster. Re-open Nancy Creek and Oak Cliff Elementary to service the communities in Dunwoody/Chamblee/Brookhaven/Doraville.
Vanderlyn ES	Improves utilization, minimal geographic disruption		Bus schedules
Chesnut ES	Keeping Dunwoody North neighborhood intact at Chesnut		
Chesnut ES			Keep Dunwoody North together at Chestnut
Vanderlyn ES	Maintained safe traffic pattern for Oxford Chase. Provided relief to DES For the most part stuck to the criteria listed	Uneven distribution of high-growth. DES and Vanderlyn take on an disproportionate amount of high density/high-growth areas. Austin has a very limited amount of high-growth areas. Lot of kids move in and out of Vanderlyn with an overall small net impact to total number of students. It's not worth interrupting the lives of so many for little gain	I think a fair and equitable share of the burden of growth should be shared across all schools. We genuinely want to improve all the schools rather than gerrymandering maps to protect one or two schools.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	You know what? My child was a special needs student at Chesnut and we were very pleased. Please quit faulting the system. MORE SO, tell these jerks to quit trying to build high rise apartments so the less working baby making jerks take our children's seats at school and we end up paying for them	You know what? My child was a special needs student at Chesnut and we were very pleased. Please quit messing with the system. MORE SO, tell these jerks to quit trying to build high rise apartments so the less working baby making jerks take our children's seats at school and we end up paying for them	It's about money, I get it. But we pay plenty in school taxes to warrant a decent education. Quit trying to make it bigger, just make it better
Dunwoody ES	alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster	1) separates Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown park from Georgetown community, our intact neighborhood with shared amenities (swim & tennis, daycare, after-school program, etc) 2) creates traffic and safety concerns (cross commuters) for Dunwoody green and area south of our neighborhood that is still districted to DES.	1) section H separates Dunwoody green @ Georgetown park from the Georgetown community, our intact neighborhood (swim and tennis, daycare, after-school program, etc. 2) section H disrupts DG families with younger siblings. pre-school and pre-k programs in the Georgetown area do not provide after care transportation from Chestnut.
Dunwoody ES	reduces number of portable classrooms / trailers.	1) Dunwoody green neighborhood is isolated from both the Georgetown community and chesnut community. 2) creates traffic and safety concerns (cross commuters) for Dunwoody green and area south of our neighborhood that is still districted to DES.	1) section H isolates Dunwoody Green from both Georgetown & Chesnut communities as DG is geographically located in Georgetown while Chesnut neighborhoods are far east. 2) Section H creates traffic and safety concerns due to cross commuter traffic between DG families and area south that still attends DES. 3) KEEP DUNWOODY GREEN IN DES DISTRICT.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	NONE	Makes Chestnut EVEN MORE CROWDED! No! NO!!! NO!!!!!!!!!!!!	capacity should NOT be increased at an already overcrowded school!!! This is TOTALLY unacceptable!
Vanderlyn ES		This move creates unnecessary disruption to students, does not align with the County's stated primary redistricting objectives and leaves Vanderlyn at roughly 20 percentage points above the average utilization in the cluster and with no reduction in portable classrooms. Enrollment is likely to increase more rapidly because of the apartments.	Keep neighborhoods together!
Chesnut ES	This plan keeps the Dunwoody North community children together at Chestnut. These kids have grown up together in this community and play together all summer.	Need for better long term planning.	Make our schools the best possible destinations for the Dunwoody community.
Chesnut ES			
Chesnut ES	Keeps the Chesnut community together and meets most of the DCSD criteria.	There is still overcrowding in the Dunwoody Cluster. 32 trailers is another school that needs to be built.	Hightower Elementary needs to go to Chamblee or Cross Keys due to overcrowding at DHS.
Chesnut ES	It keeps the Dunwoody North Neighborhood intact. We are a close knit neighborhood and breaking it in half would be very upsetting for many kids and families. It keeps kids safer with current traffic patterns. It is equitable in regards to overcrowding issues.	B should go to Austin. C should stay at Vanderlyn.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Severely disruptive to Vanderlyn for no benefit (-7 students). Area B moving to Vanderlyn violates Primary Criteria #1 and Secondary Criteria #1. Not efficient for Kingsley, highest increase in utilization and 500% increase in trailers. Area D "small" neighborhoods no longer intact w/ larger "sister" communities (Oxford Chase, Dunwoody Club Fo)	Don't overlook small neighborhoods with only a few students (Area D), these neighborhoods are "intact" with some of the larger communities (Dunwoody Club Forest, Oxford Chase) in terms of friend groups. Vanderlyn is already overcrowded, moving a large multi-family like Area B puts Vanderlyn at high risk (vs. finite growth single family homes).
Vanderlyn ES			Why was the new Austin Elementary built large enough to accommodate increased enrollment? Portables next to a new building is inexcusable!
Vanderlyn ES	provides overcrowding relief to Dunwoody Elementary  Notes: Single Family ("SF"); high density ("HD")	VES balance of SF/HD housing negatively impacted while AES, who sits closest to these complexes, largely maintains its current ratio. 30%+ of VES PTO funding comes from the 78 SF you are redistricting to AES. Its unreasonable to think that these funds will be replaced \$4\$ by the more transient families in the HD complexes, this impacts our school	1.dont redistrict SF homes that feed each school, these are invested HOA's vital to the success of each school. 2.Equally disperse the 759 kids in HD homes that straddle Ash Dun Rd so each school gets equal share of HD enrollment as a % of school facility capacity allowing the schools to equally share unprecedented growth of kids in these complexes
Chesnut ES			
Chesnut ES	Keeping Chesnut neighborhood in tact, keeping residents on East side of Tilly Mill at Chesnut to support geographic proximity and safe routes (thanks for the plan reflecting Chesnut supporter's wishes).	capacity number for Chesnut does not look accurate at 358.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	A positive for any students and neighborhoods moving out of Hightower.	Large negative impact on education quality and real estate for neighborhoods moving to lower quality school areas (Vanderlyn to Kingsley, Chestnut to Hightower). Large disruptions for little change - Vanderlyn is a 7 student net change that moves almost 200 students. Chestnut is a small change too and its students should not be moved to Hightower.	Traffic impact should be a consideration. Future growth in the neighborhoods moved to Vanderlyn could easily put it over capacity.
Vanderlyn ES	Decreasing number of students at Hightower	Increased traffic due to kids traveling further to school, unnecessary disruption of almost 200 children for minimal impact to our school, significantly devaluing my home that was purchased with the intent of having access to Vanderlyn	Traffic patterns, future growth in neighborhoods impacted by change, social impact
Vanderlyn ES		Devinger subdivision is not in support of leaving the Vanderlyn ES . We are the closest to Oxford chase subdivision and our community is closely united . Our children need to be allowed to go to Vanderlyn ES so they can be with there friends at Oxford chase. Vanderlyn is walking distance from our community. Please consider leaving us in Vanderlyn	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Overall drop in portables, but the extra movement of students is troubling.	I am in Austin, but the biggest issue is moving 190 students in or out of Vanderlyn for no impact on enrollment/portables. Why do that to so many children/families, neighborhood breakups and school community?? Solution: Eliminate C and D. Move B to Austin to improve apartment distribution, improve proximity and help with bus planning/backup.	The Dunwoody Cluster expansion will require out of the box thinking and planning to address growth!!
Dunwoody ES			
Chesnut ES			
Dunwoody ES	Goes outside the cluster to help relieve overcrowding and reduces trailers	This plan has a lot of unnecessary movement. For instance why are 90 (B) kids moving from DES to VES and then 78 kids (C) are moving from VES to AES? Why not just move the students from DES to AES? This also more evenly distributes higher density housing between AES, VES & DES. Also my neighborhood Ashworth looks like it should be in Vanderlyn	Ashworth should be included in VES as it links the disconnected portion of the VES district. Also, DES needs more relief and Multi-family housing needs to be distributed evenly across the cluster. Almost all new high density housing development will occur in DES district and will continue the trend of overcrowding at DES
Austin ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	New Austin is getting apartments.	<p>1)DES area has a lot of new apartment complex developments. I don't see that on the numbers forecast. So for 2024 probably a new redistricting process should be put in place.</p> <p>2) Following the Geographic Proximity criteria, Option A should be bigger, should transfer more apartment complex to New Austin school.</p>	Perimeter area that currently goes to DES has many new apartment complex developments. The forecasts should include that..We need a new school!
Austin ES	it is important to keep neighborhoods together and I hope you will plan to keep Dunwoody North together and have kids attend Chesnut		
Vanderlyn ES		I agree with the Vanderlyn PTO opposition to redistricting of Vanderlyn. The high density areas of Vanderlyn are currently way above the other schools. Section B needs to go to Austin and Secs C & B returned to Vanderlyn. These neighborhoods were established over 40 yrs. ago. Residents bought these homes due to Vanderlyn's reputation.	Add™I high density housing would make Vanderlyn less desirable resulting in less tax revenue.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	It helps alleviate the over crowding in Dunwoody elementary schools by utilization of DUES.	The plan violates its own criteria. Geographic proximity in section J by bussing kids 3 miles away through another elementary school zone. This plan makes KES inaccessible by the parents who rely on walking or taxis to be involved at HES. Area D should have included Oxford chase and thereby providing much relief for VES overcrowding	Equal distribution of special programs- sped the esol/ell population more evenly. Kingsley needs more native English speakers to help even out curriculum demands
Dunwoody ES	Redistricting neighborhoods into the Doraville ES is correct. Dunwoody cluster is overcrowded and Hightower is not even part of Dunwoody city.	Ashworth Neighborhood should be zoned in Vanderlyn ES or Austin ES. Dunwoody ES is overcrowded and projected to stay that way while Vanderlyn and Austin are projected to decline in crowding. Ashworth is closer to Vanderlyn and Austin and is a natural continuous neighbor of the Vanderlyn district. Traffic congestion is much easier to Vanderlyn.	Ashworth has only 17 at DES this year. Ashworth was also part of Vanderlyn in the past.
Vanderlyn ES		The recommended plan's impact to Vanderlyn does not at all comply with the primary qualifications. High density units are replacing single family homes which are 1) closer to Austin than Vanderlyn, 2) adds to future overcrowding at Vanderlyn where there is no land/space for more modulars; however, Austin has the room for more modulars.	The County's enrollment projections are consistently wrong. We have, in two of my 3 years at Vanderlyn, had to add additional classes/teachers due to overcrowding. The County should position Austin to have more high capacity units given their land to accommodate such growth.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Love the strategy and to continue to keep BOTH sides of Dunwoody North assigned to attend Chestnut	None	Continue to keep schools walkable for majority of students-encourage walking majority of days.
Vanderlyn ES	No pros for moving Dunwoody Club Townhomes to Kinsley Elementary School.	This change would impact the children attending Vanderlyn. Dunwoody Club THS has been a part of the Vanderlyn community for many years. It is a selling point for our community that offers affordable housing to families wanting to be part of Vanderlyn that could not afford to buy into the district otherwise. Our values would be negatively impacted.	
Vanderlyn ES	None	I find it highly unnecessarily to move 191 students, causing disruption to the Vanderlyn community and emotional unrest for our children, all for a net reduction in enrollment of 7 students from our school. Makes NO sense!!!	
Chesnut ES	This option keeps Dunwoody North neighborhood together. This is the preferred option		Keeping neighborhood together should be a priority regardless of zip code lines
Vanderlyn ES			
Chesnut ES	Keeping CES together	None	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	Seriously??? This does not relieve Vanderlyn. Removing single family homes and replacing with an Apartment community is going to lead to overcrowding at Vanderlyn. Look at what happened at the Jefferson apartments. We have history to look back on. Let's use it.	This staff plan hurts Vanderlyn
Vanderlyn ES	There are none	This is unbelievable. You are moving 21 students from a highly rated school to a very low rated school because DCSB failed the low rated school and thinks this will help them. You are failing these 21 students. We moved to this location because of Vanderlyn. If I wanted my child to attend Kinsley I would have bought in that district.	The children you want to move from Vanderlyn to Kinsley have no physical association with the students at Kinsley. We are divided by a main road and two shopping centers. Their friends are at Vanderlyn and Austin. Why didn't you just keep the old Austin school and put kids in there versus moving children to low rated schools.
Vanderlyn ES		I hate the idea of allowing more apartment complexes to be included in the Vanderlyn district. The number of enrolled children can increase exponentially with this dense housing. The school is already overcrowded.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	The only pros I can see are for the Austin population.	<p>This displaces 191 Vanderlyn students to reduce the overall student count by 7! The plan displaces 533 students overall. It just hurts so many more than it helps.</p> <p>Additionally, part D creates an unsafe left turn onto Mt Vernon for our street (Northchester Court) or adds to already congested traffic on Tilly Mill.</p>	Please just leave C and D at Vanderlyn and put B in Austin!
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	It does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when a long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads all Dunwoody to address growth and better enrollment across distributes	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. area should not change and be revisited VES zoning when long term plan is introduced .	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	I appreciate your listening to the bulk of our community-wide concerns. The fact that you have considered geographic proximity and the tremendous safety and traffic concerns such as the dangerous left turn and the safety of our children as the walk to school shows me that you realize the importance of Oxford Chase remaining in the Vanderlyn cluster.	Please consider the long-term capacity issues in the cluster. All Changes suggested to Vanderlyn are really negligible gains.	Please keep safety concerns at the top of your priorities as you share details on forecasting methods. Can you consider building a new school in the DUnwoody cluster and perhaps reopen Nancy Creek and Oakcliff. For our children's sake, please minimize the disruptions and changes until DeKalb maintains stability at the senior level.
Kingsley ES		Kingsley will be overcrowded when it is not currently overcrowded. KES will also see a significant amount of trailers. Due to our geographic location trailers either take away parking from the school, or will take away from our play field.	
Vanderlyn ES	It gives DES and Hightower some relief from overcrowding but not nearly enough for DES. And Austin gets trailers since they seem to believe they should be immune from shouldering some of the burden of the Dunwoody cluster overcrowding simply because they have a new school.	Inequity of distribution of high-density housing students. Austin would be at 27% and Vanderlyn would be at 56%. How is this possibly considered fair? Your own proximity rule you now seem to be disregarding. In Option B these students are more than half a mile closer to Austin Elementary. Please follow your own established criteria.	The way this "Staff Recommended Option" was rolled out blindsided the community, and 2 days before the break seems incredibly dirty and politically motivated. Dan Drake's saying that PAC reps approved this map prior to distribution is a BLATANT LIE.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	Use of Doraville United ES to relieve Hightower ES. Moving students from Dunwoody ES and Vanderlyn ES to Kingsley ES (Areas G and D).	Moving area J from Hightower ES to Kingsley ES makes NO SENSE. It would just move children to a further away school (violating geographic proximity - primary criteria 1), makes no net impact to instructional capacity improvements (primary criteria 2) and ignores KES's growing projected enrollment, compared to HES's declining (primary criteria 3).	KES has the special ed program not reflected in the school capacity numbers. VES needs relief that KES is in logical position to provide, specifically from Oxford Chase and Area D
Kingsley ES	I agree that this proposal makes sense in terms of geography.	Redistricting is not the solution to the long-term problem of overcrowding and improper and neglectful asset allocation. Making ALL schools overcrowded doesn't address the underlying problems. Until the community sees signs that DCSD is prepared to address this, don't expect much support.	
Dunwoody ES		Dunwoody Green is a new development, with \$800k+ homes that are districted in DES. The homes are part of Georgetown and mislabeled as multi-family homes. Redistricting them to Chestnut does not logistically make sense, and the bubbled area to include them looks targeted by misrepresentation.	Keep Dunwoody Green districted to DES. I just purchased my home to be part of Georgetown and Dunwoody, and this would be detrimental to neighbors and myself.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES		Kingsley is a hidden gem of a school. because of its size and small community. New districting and I floated student population would threaten the close knit feel of the school!	
Vanderlyn ES	Evenly dispersed student enrollments.	With the recent staff plan the percentage of students at Vanderlyn coming from apartment complexes grows significantly to over 54%. This more transient population has impact on school resources. Also No reduction in the current overcrowding at Vanderlyn.	More equitable share of apartment complexes for Austin
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	Relief for Hightower and mildly for Dunwoody Elementary.	Utilization capacity between schools is not equitable in staff recommended plan. Hightower goes from -146 to +10. Meanwhile Austin opens with trailers.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	The disruption to 20% of the total students moving can easily be fixed. Simply keep the students in areas C&D at Vanderlyn, and the same number of students moving from area B now going to the new Austin School. This will realign the staff option back to its primary goal, 1. Geographic Proximity and projected enrollment.	The staff recommendation will hurt Vanderlyn at its core. The foundation of any success school, parent/teacher involvement, will suffer tremendously. 25% of the PTO and 30% of the EC will be impacted. The students will suffer needlessly. If the goal is to ensure student success, Why uproot these students from their positive learning environment?	The staff recommendation has failed the students in areas C&D. Why would area B be moved to Vanderlyn 2.6 miles away when Austin is 1.9? Why would they then move students from area D .2miles from Vanderlyn, with ability to walk to school, to Kingsley 1.9 miles way? They failed Primary Criteria 1 & No change in projected enrollment fails # 3
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	We like that this map relieves DES!	It doesn't help future growth in Dunwoody. We want section C to be able to stay in Vanderlyn. We want Ashworth moved back to Vanderlyn. Our neighbors want to move to Vanderlyn.	Move Ashworth to Vanderlyn
Chesnut ES			
Hightower ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Mostly sticks with the stated criteria- though based on the current situation- overcrowding and schools in close proximity to eachother	With all due respect? This map appears to be gerrymandered by carving Oxford Chase out of Kingsley.	Please note: The Chesnut PAC NEVER approved option 4. In fact there is no option 4 other than a map drawn in the best interest of that resident. Please don't allow anything that claims to be endorsed by Chesnut as this would only happen in accordance with open records requirement. Notice would need to be given and a there is typically a diverse set
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	Relieves overcrowding at DES and gives Austin trailers	Ashworth was being moved to Vanderlyn in all other map options , but not in this map. Ashworth wants to be moved to Vanderkyn. Please move us. We also want B to attend Austin and section C to be moved back to Vanderlyn.	Ashworth should go to Vanderlyn
Dunwoody ES	Portables at Austin, less portables throughout cluster	C should stay at Vanderlyn. B needs to go to Austin. Ashworth residents wants to be moved back into Vanderlyn district.	Build an addtl school
Kingsley ES	Not sure	I don't want traitors in my neighborhood. I want my kid to go to school not a trailer park.	Think how it impacts neighborhoods. Kingsley is in a residential neighborhood with houses Nextdoor. Don't cram in trailers.
Kingsley ES			
Chesnut ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES		The proposed plan violates the Geographic Proximity criteria by moving Post Crossing to Vanderlyn ES, instead of the closer Austin ES. The proposed plan provides minimal relief to the Instructional Capacity at Vanderlyn ES, but splits the intact neighborhoods of Dunwoody Club Forest and Dunwoody Club Forest West.	The proposed plan adds an additional high-density multi-family development to Vanderlyn ES (Post Crossing), which will negatively impact Projected Enrollments for the school which has the highest utilization percentage (134%) of all in the DHS cluster.
Dunwoody ES		Ashworth neighborhood should be moved to Vanderlyn. This option was taken away from the last 3 maps and we would like it to be added back to Vanderlyn.	build another school

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	reduces overcrowding at DES	Doesnt put enough students at Austin, send B to Austin or the apts to the Left of A. This plan also should keep C at Vanderyln, this is a Vanderlyn neighborhood that should be kept there. Ashworth neighborhood wants to be in Vanderlyn. Please put Ashworth back in Vanderlyn.	
Dunwoody ES		Ashworth wants to be moved back to Vanderlyn, please move us! A & B should both attend Austin. Dunwoody Club Forest West wants to stay in Vanderlyn (section C).	please help build another schools to reduce overcrowding in the cluster
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing our community-wide concerns on geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford Chase. More spread enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	B and C seems over-moving students, why not simply keep C in-tact in VES, and move B to Austin directly. VES still over-utilization, why not remove pre-K program from VES (about 40 students), and use other elementary or funds private schools for such program, this might be better for longer term.	Have a new school near Perimeter mall area should be a better solution for longer term due to the continuous development

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school & potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three criteria and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. This also addresses the high potential for growth in many areas that are being added to the Austin Elementary district.
Kingsley ES	Creo que demasiado aumento el el n�mero de ni�os	Aumentar tal vez en un 5% seria lo mejor nuestra escuela es una escuela peque�a pero maravillosa	-
Vanderlyn ES		I think we should keep C in Vanderlyn and move B to Austin. Move Ashworth back to Vanderlyn as proposed in all map options from meeting #2.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	Remove all of Jefferson apartment students out of DES. I don�t care where they attend; keep them out of DES.	Remove all of Jefferson apartment students out of DES. I don�t care where they attend; keep them out of DES.	Remove all of Jefferson apartment students out of DES. I don�t care where they attend; keep them out of DES.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Does a great job of evenly distributing the overcrowding in our cluster.	I don't think the Hightower kids going to Doraville United should stay in the PCMS and DHS zones, they should go where the rest of the DUES kids will go. Cross feeder seems unfair and disruptive for the students.	Please keep the even distribution of the overcrowding the main focus, even as you tweak this map until it reaches its final rendering.
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES		This plan does not equally distribute students nor does it alleviate overcrowding now and in the future. This plan makes kids some kids travel past their current elementary school to get to another one. This plan was not one of the 3 original proposed plans...why?	I don't understand why a plan 4 was created and the first 3 options were fairly ignored. Also, you provided very little time from proposed plan to the community meeting for people to fully understand what had changed between options 1-3 to option 4.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Dunwoody ES			
Chesnut ES	Geographic Proximity - We support the recommendation to keep DNCA neighborhoods east of Tilly Mill Road within Chesnut district, as Chesnut is the closest school with available seats. Instructional Capacity - We appreciate the recommendation to slightly increase and not reduce Chesnut's size. This will allow us to retain funding for teachers.	None	Instructional Capacity - We appreciate the recommendation to slightly increase and not reduce Chesnut's size. This will allow us to retain funding for teachers and instructional support staff necessary to our working education model. Secondary Criteria Intact Neighborhoods - We thank the district for recommending the DNCA be kept intact.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	<p>Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns about the geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford Chase neighborhood, in terms of distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact.</p> <p>Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.</p>	<p>Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.</p> <p>For B and C, why not directly move area B 90 students from DES to Austin and keep area C no change.</p>	<p>Keep safety concerns high (Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster near Perimeter mall area; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes</p>
Austin ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Relieving overcrowding in Dunwoody Elementary and Hightower Elementary</li> <li>- Keeps Greater Branches and Dunwoody North communities together</li> <li>- More efficient transportation routes near area C, one bus vs two</li> <li>- Reduction of trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Moving more kids than the three original plans</li> <li>- Not addressing long term issue of Peachtree Middle School or Dunwoody High School overcrowding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consider using land at Shallford/Peeler/Chamblee Dunwoody. Site of old Chamblee Middle, as possible land to open new school- Do conc</li> </ul>
Dunwoody ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Dunwoody North stays together	Dunwoody Trace is being moved. Itâ€™ll be unwalkable as we have a highly dangerous intersection to cross to leave our neighborhood since we donâ€™t have a sidewalk on this side of Peeler. Itâ€™s a small neighborhood being isolated from the rest of Chesnut. Many of us are part of the Village Mill HOA and that neighborhood is staying at DES.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		It makes no sense to move 90 kids into Vanderlyn and then 78 kids out. Why not just move the 90 directly to Austin. Plus the families moving out are 40% of the PTO and unfortunately based on single family v. apartment have more involved parents. This puts the demographics at Vanderlyn much worse compared to the other schools.	
Dunwoody ES	Balances enrollment in the near term	I just don't understand why Dekalb wants all future planned growth to come into Dunwoody Elementary.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		This plan doesn't move Ashworth to Vanderlyn as the other map options did. Please move Ashworth to Vanderlyn or Austin!	
Dunwoody ES		Please move C back to Vanderlyn and move B to Austin. Please move Ashworth to Vanderlyn, we are in DES and want to move. Thank you	please build an addtl school
Vanderlyn ES	Reduced overcrowding.	Is simply moving 90 kids out of Dunwoody elem to Vanderlyn and 99 out of Vanderlyn. Why are you replacing 99 kids with 90 kids. Makes no sense! Just leave the 99 at Vanderlyn and move the 90 up to Austin. It's closer anyway 1.5 as opposed to 2.5 miles. It's a better traffic pattern for the 90	Leave c and D at Vanderlyn. Then move the 90 from b up to Austin. That way 99 kids don't have to leave Vanderlyn.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster.
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Goes outside the cluster for relief. Reduces trailers at some schools.	This plan has a lot of unnecessary movement. For instance why are 90 (B) kids moving from DES to VES and then 78 kids (C) are moving from VES to AES? Why not just move the students from DES to AES? This will evenly distribute higher density housing between AES, VES & DES. Ashworth should be moved from DES to VES as shown on all previous plans	Ashworth should be included in VES as it links the disconnected portion of the VES district. Also, DES needs more relief and Multi-family housing needs to be distributed evenly across the cluster. Almost all new high density housing development will occur in DES district and will continue the trend of overcrowding at DES
Dunwoody ES	I am satisfied with the map. Thank you!		
Dunwoody ES	Perfect! This works for us.		
Dunwoody ES	Perfect map! I'm glad to see Ashworth in DES.		
Dunwoody ES	It's great news Ashworth is back in DES. Thank you!		
Kingsley ES	Nothing who made this recommendation?	Traffic, moving kids to new schools is never a good idea mid year. Kingsley already has enough Spanish speaking kids and needs no more. Your hurting Kingsley if this move happens because I know multiple kids will go to private school.	
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	Releaves DES. Minimizes trailers.	The section B should go to AES and section C should stay at VES. Also, the neighborhood Ashworth should be zoned for VES instead of DES to keep a congruent map.	AES should take more of the high growth areas down Ashford Dunwoody road to share in the growth of the dunwoody cluster and so it doesn't all fall into DES.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Moves many kids out of DES	Moves long term family homes out DES keeps the Ashford dunwoody area and the potential growth in that area. DES will be overcrowded again in 3 years if all those projects are approved. We need austin to take the burden off of DES. That is why you built a 950 student school.	Austin needs more apartment complexes. We need another elementary school, an additional to the middle school and a large addition to the high school. You are slowly turning us into a cross keys disaster #2...
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	None	Removes the fewest number of students from Vanderlyn. Trailers and modulars will still be there. 60% of student body will come from 2 apartment complexes.	DES and Austin are newer schools with better facilities and are therefore better equipped to deal with more students. Vanderlyns facilities are stretched to the breaking point. It's just a matter of time before some catastrophe strikes and puts DCSD in the hook for legal settlements.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		We are losing students of our school community to be replaced with the same number of students from another community where the final numbers show minimal difference but a massive disruption. We lose large pto support and money with this plan. Multi family housing may lend to future overcrowding of our school where we have no additional space.	Percentage of high density housing that comprises each school population.
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Horrible. There are no pros for this other than hurting Vanderlyn. Is that what DCSB wants to accomplish?	Vanderlyn is already the most over crowded and has the most portable classroom at 11. If stable housing is eliminated and housing where the student population can increase at an alarming rate. Where will the students go. Have classroom on the ball field? There is no more room for portable classrooms.	Vanderlyn lives need to stay the same. The changes are not beneficial of helping the overcrowding issues that Vanderlyn already has. If you want to take students from Vanderlyn then don't add more and don't put more unstable housing wit
Vanderlyn ES		Complete garbage and underhanded. Presenting a new option without discussion after all the meetings discussing other options. Involve the tax payers in a fair process	
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	None	<p>Removal of 99 students from single family homes, adding 2</p> <p>Replacing with 90 new students from multi-family homes</p> <p>Achieves 7 students decrease, VES -169 available seats, highest in area</p> <p>Violation of the primary and secondary criteria detailed in the evaluation process</p> <p>Student turnover in multi-family has negative affect on learning environments</p>	<p>The percentage of multi-family housing should be represented at each school. Leave communities C and D in the Vanderlyn district.</p>
Dunwoody ES		<p>This plan separates our neighborhood (Dunwoody Green) from the Georgetown community, our intact neighborhood with shared amenities (swim &amp; tennis, after school programs). The result is that our community becomes isolated from both the Georgetown and Chesnut communities.</p>	<p>It doesn't make sense that our community (Dunwoody Green) would be redistricted to Chesnut but the community south of us is still Dunwoody ES. They would need to pass by our community to get to Dunwoody ES. Makes more sense to send them to Chesnut. and reduce traffic through our community.</p>
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	<p>â€œ</p> <p>Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood . Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.</p>	<p>Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced</p>	<p>It is appreciated if DCSD could:keep safety concerns high (ie Oxford Chaseâ€™s current safe,right traffic turn &amp; safe walk/bike route to VES);share details on forecasting methodology;build a new school in Dunwoody cluster;re open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff El. to serve nearby communities&amp;minimize disruption until there is stability</p>
Vanderlyn ES		<p>Austin Elementary should have been built to accommodate more students and alleviate overcrowding in our district. Vanderlyn Elementary already has to use trailers for two entire grades. Austin should carry this same weight. All of our elementary schools are having to use trailers to house students, Austin should not be exempt from having to use</p>	<p>All schools should balance the burden of overcrowding, Austin Elementary should not be exempt from having to use trailers just because they are new.</p>
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	<p>It was developed with a lot of community input.</p>	<p>Please add back Dunwoody Trace and Huntington Hall to DES to keep the communities connected.</p>	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		My neighborhood (Dunwoody Trace) was never shown to be redistricted previously and now all of sudden it is slated for Chesnut. There is no other Chesnut neighborhood within a mile from us. Seems very isolating and unfair to all 12 students in this neighborhood. It's also concerning that map was changed last minute giving minimal time to refute.	Transparency
Vanderlyn ES		Passing through 2 different business district 2!differnet schools on the same bus route causing more traffic Uprooting my child from a stem centered school	Keep the same district. This is very sudden
Dunwoody ES	Relief to Hightower. With the amount of ESOL students there, and the overcrowding of that area in general, Hightower needs a break so that can properly serve each and every student in the best way possible.	Really only benefits Austin (DES gets a small amount of relief) and breaks up communities. Why on earth would DCSD move handfuls of students from one school to another? Seriously, 2 kids from Kingsley to Vanderlyn? My neighborhood (H) has 6 moving and what the map doesn't show is that it puts us more than 1.5 miles to the next Chesnut community.	Well, SHE should consider the overall disruption since this is not a real solution and we will face the same issue in just a few years if projections hold.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	â€¢ Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	â€¢ It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chaseâ€™s current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes u
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Non	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chaseâ€™s current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	DES gets some relief from overcrowding.	Still concerned about growth around the Perimeter Mall area.	
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Rebalances attendance lines the entire region</li> <li>-Accounts for schools that project increases and decreases in attendance forecasts</li> <li>-Accounts for spreading high transient populations (Vanderlyn complaining about gaining Part B has nothing to complain about especially with declining attendance projected).</li> <li>-Less portables overall.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Moves a lot of students (worth it if projections are correct &amp; children are resilient, it's the parents that need to stop putting stress on their kids. Parents need to help their kids w/ accepting change &amp; support the transitions instead of complaining &amp; pushing stress to kids)</li> <li>-DES still needs relief given projections. Open new school @ perimeter</li> </ul>	Support C moving to Austin as neighborhood streets can be used to get there. Support A moving to Austin and Support B moving to Vanderlyn as major streets will help that change. Moving this high transient housing between 2 school helps to spread it would better(esp Vanderlyn decrease forecast) vs all of it going to 1 school & helps alleviate DES.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	DES is getting relief under this plan as it is one of the most over crowded school	The New Austin school is still not full utilized Does not account for growth beyond 2021 DES still will have to bear the brunt of new growth in this area	
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (ie: distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distribute portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (ie: Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster, and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level.
Vanderlyn ES		The current plan merely shifts students around and doesn't do anything to relieve the overcrowding at Vanderlyn. Why uproot these students when the students plan isn't doing anything about relieving overcrowding? Keep Vanderlyn boundaries intact.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Moves B, C, and D have a negligible net enrollment outcome for Vanderlyn, but result in Vanderlyn having 55-60% of it's students coming from 2 apartment complexes. It would make more sense for Move B to go to Austin and Moves C and D to not happen. Disrupting 99 additional students does not make sense.	For Vanderlyn, the staff approved plan does not appear to iterate on any of the previously shown options. It appears underhanded for a last minute change like this to be undertaken.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		This plan will cause 60% of Vanderlyn's students to come from two apartment complexes -in comparison to other elementary schools, this is an undue burden. We have no more room for more portables and with student population derived from that highest apartment population means the student population has a potential to explode! This makes no sense.	The superintendent should consider the negative impact the staff recommendation plan would have on neighborhood communities as schools like Vanderlyn heavily rely on neighborhood/parent volunteer support.
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros. DCSD obviously didn't listen to many of the comments at the input sessions. More business as usual at DCSD.	Moving 1-10 people from various pockets is not helpful. You are shifting around folks so that Vanderlyn has a net loss of 7 people. You are dividing up a community that already exists at that school as if to stick it to us. The apartment you have moved in to Vanderlyn is closer to Austin, breaking your proximity rule, as well as enrollment #s.	Listen to the people. Make a SENSIBLE redistricting plan and make a new plan for all the other overcrowding issues that this one doesn't solve.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Small relieve of school capacity for other schools	immediate overcrowding of new school .. unprepared staff to deal with overcrowding capacity	School directors and teachers are unprepared and not used to deal with more kids and are culturally unused!
Austin ES		We should not need any trailers at a brand new school. More students need to go to Kingsley since they will only have one trailer. Pull those kids from Dunwoody and allow them to keep some of the kids they already have.	
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Austin ES	Plan seems good.	No words from Austin	
Austin ES	While an improvement to No Change, the highlight is the overcapacity issue that continues to mount, and must be addressed immediately by plans for an additional school. The portable classrooms should be a temporary measure during a time when a longer term / more permanent solution is created. The New Austin helps, but in no way is a remedy.	While an improvement to No Change, the highlight is the overcapacity issue that continues to mount, and must be addressed immediately by plans for an additional school. The portable classrooms should be a temporary measure during a time when a longer term / more permanent solution is created. The New Austin helps, but in no way is a remedy.	A LONG TERM COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. Stop addressing the sizable problem with small band aids and start looking at longer horizon population issues and school overcrowding at all levels. Prepare, Plan, and Implement. Engage stakeholders in the process of implementation. Put politics aside and get it done.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Seems to make the most sense from location and traffic perspective for the neighborhoods.	Still a lot of students from apartments going to Austin.	
Austin ES	Dunwoody ES will get massive relief	Austin ES becomes immediately overcrowded; Vanderlyn gets almost no relief; Chestnut ES becomes more overcrowded; Dunwoody Village area still attends Vanderlyn - this doesn't make sense geographically; all schools are at capacity or overcrowded;	Where a new proposed elementary school will be built
Austin ES	Do we have a choice? The African American (black) talking heads in Chamblee are making all the calls on this to screw local owners.	Overcrowding at the NEW Austin. Trailers - which we were told would NOT have. Apartment students - which devalues the school and has higher truancy and withdrawal (Indian/Asia-Pacific Islander).	WHAT Superintendent? Do we HAVE one? Is Ramona Tyson a *HE*? Or is Stephen Green back from the grave?
Austin ES	It is logical to move some students to Austin if its capacity is increasing.	It seems like Hightower ES and Dunwoody ES will be under utilized under this plan with Vanderlyn and Chesnut taking the brunt of the overcrowding.  From a traffic standpoint, it seems as if there is a pocket of Vanderlyn students who would be better served by being moved to Austin, rather than moving Dunwoody students to Austin.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	DES & Hightower get some relief - DES does NOT get enough relief Austin gets portable classrooms	'- Not enough relief for DES - For a net loss of 7 students to Vanderlyn, 191 students are changing schools. You are swapping kids for kids - Vanderlyn is losing a key contributing neighborhood to it's volunteer base and financial support base - 25-30% by removing Section C. This will negatively impact the school's ability to provide programming	Adehring to the proximity criteria - moving another large apartment complex to Vanderlyn to that is CLOSER to Austin is ridiculous. All schools should have relatively equal shares of the high-densit housing & all that comes with it. Traffic patterns - this map increases traffic for families to have to cut through walkable areas.
Vanderlyn ES	Evening out of use of trailers.	The additional percentage of high density housing designated to Vanderlyn is highly unfair and will ultimately result in additional overcrowding at Venderlyn because of the uncertainty and inconsistency in the population. Also, breaking up zones C and D years apart the Vanderlyn community of highly involved parent.	
Austin ES		You are already recommending that schools operate at above capacity, that is poor planning for long term.	
Austin ES	Equitable distribution of high-population areas, necessary relief to Dunwoody and Hightower ES, aligns section of current Vanderlyn with neighborhood by moving homes east of Mount Vernon Way to Austin, minimizing portables (huge plus!).	Remains overcrowded, but best possible solution of those presented.	Consideration of building a Year 6 academy or another solution to alleviate middle and high school overcrowding.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	It moves the least amount of students and has the least amount of impact on traffic and communities.	None	The cluster is in desperate need of a new additional school that should be expedited
Vanderlyn ES	Thanks for listening and addressing community-wide concerns, geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e.distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and aggravation of Mt Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase neighborhood.Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth & fairly distribute portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy Creek & Oakcliff Elementary; minimize disruption/ changes until stability is reached at high level
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood . Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change now and be revisited when long term plan is introduced	Safety and traffic issues with request to keep intact neighborhoods



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Any plan with less student overcrowding per school are excellent. Moving kids around temporarily alleviates the problem a little. Just shifting kidd moves the over crowding to another area and isn't a solution. It just creates problems for another area.	NO portables! Why does Austin new school that hasn't even opened have portables and not built bigger? A new school needs to be established for the district. Mixed feelings on Portables. They are dangerous during any weather or terrorists threats. Kids have feelings of disconnection from the school. Water/bathroom breaks disrupt learning time.	New school with new redistricting
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	T his plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables	T his plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Vanderlyn ES	Big thank you to the DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. This plan addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria including: distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bake path safety, intact concerns, as well as further aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion of Oxford Chase neighborhood.	This plan does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provided negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is highly appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff elementary to serve the nearby communities.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	his plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	
Vanderlyn ES	Moving 108 students to Doraville United ES helps to alleviate the universal overcrowding in the Dunwoody Cluster and will give the cluster's lowest-performing school the opportunity to dedicate more resources per student to each child remaining in the school.	Area D (or at least the portion north of Mount Vernon) should remain in Vanderlyn: 1) It is separated by a major commercial area from the rest of the Kingsley community, leaving our children unable to walk to classmates' houses 2) Kingsley is growing and Vanderlyn is shrinking, so we will likely be moved back to Vanderlyn in 2 years. 3) Bus routes	Even with the changes, we will still be 700 students over capacity by 2021. That over-capacity population is in fact higher than the capacity for all but 2 of the districts' schools. We urgently need a new school in the cluster to service the high-growth area at the Perimeter. This is not long-term; the new school needs to be in service by 2023.
Austin ES	This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		My neighborhood Ashworth should be in Vanderlyn ES	Ashworth was in Vanderlyn ES and was moved out. PLease put it back
Vanderlyn ES	NONE	It would appear to be easier to shift section B to austin leaving the students at vanderlyn at vanderlyn. instead of moving people to each school. if Section B were to go to Austin directly it would be approximately the same number of kids without affecting an additional 78 kids being moved from section C	As section B is geographically removed from the rest of the students in the Vanderlyn district it makes it nearly impossible for the children to walk or bike safely to and from their friends houses as they would have to cross major roads putting themselves at danger. If one of the recommendations is to foster community then this plan is crazy
Vanderlyn ES			
Chesnut ES	Thank you for this plan. You kept the Dunwoody Neighborhood intact. You addressed traffic and safety issues and geographic proximity. All the schools share the burden of trailers, yet you reduced the overall number of trailers	None really. It's a good plan in my opinion. I understand the families of 20 children in Dunwoody Green are unhappy to leave DES, as I assume the 17 in Carver Hills might be to leave Chesnut. Perhaps Chesnut can keep the Carver Hills students instead? I appreciate if they are closer to Hightower, however, and respect the criteria you apply.	We wish for the BOE and the Superintendent to understand , as you seem to, geographic proximity to Chesnut of the Dunwoody North Civic Association homes on the east side of Tily Mill. We drive through our neighborhood via Stonington or crossing over Dunkerrin - the school is very close. Families walk. It's not a left on N'Ptree to Chesnut.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster.</li> <li>* Reduction of the number of portables/ trailers at various schools</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown Park is separated from Georgetown &amp; DES community(our intact neighborhood w/ shared amenities)</li> <li>* Dunwoody Green neighborhood is isolated from both the Georgetown community &amp; Chesnut community (conflicts w/ intact neighborhood criteria)</li> <li>* Creates traffic &amp; safety concerns - cross traffic w/ area south that is still DES.</li> </ul>	Consider Area E, which was originally proposed in Round 2, Option 2 map zoned to Chesnut instead of Area H in staff recommended option, as this area is more aligned geographically and would mitigate traffic & safety concerns of cross commuting. Area E is closer to CES, and Dunwoody Green is closer to DES. Keep Dunwoody Green in DES district!
Dunwoody ES			I live in the Ashworth subdivision and per the rezoning maps will stay with DES. But the 2 huge apartment complexes south of me are zoned for Vanderlyn. Houses in my neighborhood are not selling to families with young kids because they don't want to send their children to a school that is severely overcrowded. Please rezone Ashworth to Vanderlyn
Vanderlyn ES	Want to stay in vanderlyn school district		Make the cutoff for Kingsley at Jett ferry road.
Austin ES		Why no utilize Doraville United to the full extent that is available? Remove more portables, and allow Austin to open with zero portable class room units?	Give more people to option to stay at their current school for another year so they can make plans to move if the redistricting is negatively affecting their student.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Vanderlyn ES		the line that determines vanderlyn elementary district is almost arbitrary. it cuts out 5 or so homes in the meadowlake subdivision from the vanderlyn school district. the homes are located on mt vernon place. it would seem appropriate to keep a subdivision's children population in tact. please reconsider the line to include all of meadowlake	
Vanderlyn ES	Appreciate staff effort in considering parent/neighborhood input and conducting meetings and discussions.	Vanderlyn overcrowded (135% utilization). Inequitable distribution of trailers (11 vs. 4 for Austin). The 90 students from high density apts. in Option B should be moved to Austin. Those 90 students are closer to Austin than Vanderlyn. 78 students from Option C, should remain in Vanderlyn to help ensure volunteer req'mts are met. .	Plan appears to show unfair favoritism to Austin relative to the limited number of high density area students. Significant overcrowding of Vanderlyn results in stress to main building facility, i.e. cafeteria, food services, rest rooms, and safety concerns. Equitable trailer distribution should be shared by all schools being considered.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	addresses graphic proximity & safety/traffic criteria (ie distance, left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distribution of portables.	does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	DCSD should keep safety concerns high (e.g. Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn & safe walk/bike route to VES); share forecasting methodology details; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek & Oakcliff ES to serve nearby communities; minimize disruption & changes until there is stability at DCSD senior level
Vanderlyn ES		The distribution of high-density housing is not equitable in the staff recommended plan. Staff's proposal has Vanderlyn going from 43% to 56% high-density housing while Austin goes from 24% to 27%, almost half of Vanderlyn's percentage. Please move Section B to Austin and keep Sections C and D at Vanderlyn to keep our current boundaries intact.	I think the equitable distribution of high-density housing should be considered. If Section B moves to Austin and Sections C&D stay in Vanderlyn this would be the more equitable distribution of high-density housing: Austin: 35%, DES: 40%, Kingsley: 51% and Vanderlyn: 43%
Chesnut ES	The staff recommended plan is ideal because it will keep the Dunwoody North Community intact and supports the DCSD redistricting criteria based on: geographic proximity and safety and traffic patterns.	None, the staff recommended plan is ideal.	Thank to the DCSD leadership for incorporating the community's feedback regarding Dunwoody North. I understand the redistricting process is challenging and appreciate your effort to keep the Dunwoody North community together.
Austin ES	Balances the kids and types of housing (apartments, single family homes, etc.)	A new school has been built and will already be over-capacity, especially considering growth expected in the Austin	Why a new school is not being built or existing schools being expanded, not through the use of trailers/portables.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thanks for providing a vehicle to raise our concerns for our kids. Safety is our biggest concern, not which school our kids will attend.	I'm not comfortable with what future plans there may be to address growth.	Please be sure our kids remain safe. New schools should be considered to handle growth to the Dunwoody area.
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	I am pleased that DCSD listened to my family's concerns. The system addressed the numerous safety concerns that we have with traffic turning left and the danger of having a longer walk to school than our children currently have. It is so important to address the growth that Dunwoody has.	It doesn't address the long term capacity in our cluster. All of the suggested VES changes to date provide negligible gains. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	As parents and grandparents our children's safety is of utmost importance and concern. I cannot imagine the worry we would have if our children lost their safe, right turn and their safe walk route to school. Although the cost would be considered the Dunwoody cluster needs a new school and by reopening Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary Schools.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Fairer movement of a smaller number of student across the board.	None, I approve while heartedly.	
Dunwoody ES	Relief for DES in the short term Relief for the Dunwoody cluster, with the move to Doraville United	All growth along the Ashford Dunwoody rd is in DES; All future Dunwoody growth (high st) is DES, should be Austin due to traffic and proximity, at least west of Ashford Dunwoody is clearly Austin. Isolated communities- Dunwoody Trace and Huntington Hall, not close to other communities in their prospected school, both having only about 10 DES kid	Future growth of Dunwoody should split between DES and other schools, mainly Austin. New schools in Dunwoody are a necessity, over crowing is dreadful in elementary, middle, and high school level. Dunwoody cluster needs immediate relief, i.e more kids to Doraville United

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Other		it is clear that Ashworth Dunwoody complex should be in the same district as Vanderlyn ES. Even the map does look weird and unnatural the way it is (it seems someone was trying so hard to put Ashworth in another district as Vanderlyn ES). Really disappointed about it. Hope you reconsider the redistricting plans.	
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic concerns. For Oxford Chase students it will be safer for biking and walking. It avoids a left hand turn onto Mt Vernon Rd which is dangerous. Spreads enrollment across Dunwoody schools and better distributes portable classrooms. Thank you for listening and addressing feedback from the community,	Does not address long time capacity issues.	Please keep safety concerns a high priority. Please share details of enrollment forecasting and long term plans.
Dunwoody ES	Going outside the cluster to help relieve overcrowding and reducing trailers	Needs more even distribution of apartment complexes between schools. My neighborhood, Ashworth, should be in Vanderlin	Ashworth should be included in Vanderlin as it links the disconnected portion of the Vanderlin district



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Chesnut is encouraging of more students attending the school, as opposed to losing a number of very involved and dedicated families from the Chesnut community.	Chesnut's stated School Capacity on Oct. 23 was misreported as 358, inflating Chesnut's utilization rate to 135%, making Chesnut appear to be as overcrowded as Vanderlyn and more overcrowded than DES. Our school building's actual Instructional Capacity is 428 students, as reported on the corrected Oct. 28th Facility Capacity Report.	While this staff recommended plan works for now, there needs to be action towards building an entirely new elementary school for the Dunwoody cluster. Otherwise, we will have this same issue 5 years from now.
Dunwoody ES	better for an extremely overcrowded Dunwoody Elementary School	It does not accommodate the new projected growth for the area. DES has all of the new projected growth areas and it should be shared with Austin Elementary	The final conclusion should be what is best for the children not based on political decisions or pressures.
Vanderlyn ES	Not muxh	The unnecessary displacement of students with no benefits. Leaves Vanderlyn vulnerable to even more overcrowding.	Just leave Vanderlyn as is.
Vanderlyn ES	Improves overcrowding at Hightower and DES	Vanderlyn is seeing no change in numbers with a significant change in population.	Higher capcity housing affects planning
Dunwoody ES			
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Recommended plan results in a, small, net reduction of 7 students in Vanderlyn ES	<p>'- Our single-family home is within less than a mile away from Vanderlyn ES and our kids can safely walk through Meadowlake Dr, Moving to Kingsley ES which is 1.5miles away, means our kids have to walk along busy Tilly Mill Rd intersections and morning rush hour traffic</p> <p>- Would isolate our kids from their neighborhood friends who attend Vanderlyn</p>	<p>'- Our many years of commitment, contributions and investment to Vanderlyn ES community to make it one of the best schools in Dunwoody. We strongly urge you to reconsider and reject the Staff Recommend Option D and leave Vanderlyn ES boundary as it was</p>
Vanderlyn ES	Recommended plan results in a, small, net reduction of 7 students in Vanderlyn ES	<p>'- Our single-family home is within less than a mile away from Vanderlyn ES and our kids can safely walk through Meadowlake Dr, Moving to Kingsley ES which is 1.5miles away, means our kids have to walk along busy Tilly Mill Rd intersections and morning rush hour traffic</p> <p>- Would isolate our kids from their neighborhood friends who attend Vanderlyn</p>	<p>Our many years of commitment, contributions and investment to Vanderlyn ES community to make it one of the best schools in Dunwoody</p>
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros for this plan. It's fundamental racism to keep "apartments" a.k.a people of color from benefiting from the newest facilities in the district. It doesn't even make numerical sense with the student population. Where is the leadership? How is this even a consideration? How could Dekalb support such systematic racism?	This plan is obviously a racially biased gerrymandering of districts to keep people of color out of Austin. Any unbiased party can look at the map and tell that it does not make geographical sense. The thought that Dekalb County would cower to the loudest voices in the room and approve a plan that is so obviously racist is beyond comprehension.	They should consider a lawsuit from every social justice organization and the negative press that would be generated from approving such an obviously racist plan to appease the privileged at the expense of those that most need what Dekalb county schools have to offer.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES			
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Relieves some of the Dunwoody overcrowding by moving students from Hightower ES to new Doraville United ES. Moves students into new Austin ES to relieve overcrowding at other cluster schools.	Plan continues to disregard the needs and wants of the Dunwoody community. Overcrowding is rearranged, but not reduced at most schools. Community members would prefer to keep current districting (or close to it) with only minor changes to increase enrollment at Austin and Doraville rather than shift the entire city around.	If Vanderlyn is to remain the most overcrowded school in the cluster, the school community should suffer the least amount of changes to enrollment.
Vanderlyn ES		You are overcrowding Vanderlyn way too much! You can not give us another apartment complex. There are too many kids that would be attending. You have also taken away too many single family homes from our community that are givers of the annual PTO fund and contribute much to our community. Please reconsider.	
Austin ES	Probably appeases parents of some students.	Failure. Opening a brand new school with portable classrooms (trailers) is absolutely unacceptable and is an indictment of the administrations' inability to plan and execute. There is no reasonable expectation that any other plan is sound. Total waste of my tax dollars.	There should be a minimum five year period after opening a new school during which portable classroom are not allowed.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Maintains good geographic proximity but most importantly considers traffic and safety for our community. It also addresses the main issues of overcrowding just as well as any other option.	Does not take care of the long term issues, but none of the plans really do that.	Please think of the traffic and safety issues on Mount Vernon. That massive construction project that just recently finished helped a lot. Let's not go one step forward and then one step backwards.
Chesnut ES	No change for my family and keeps the Chesnut community more intact. Helps with Hightower and Dunwoody.	A lot of Hightower students move into another area and have to come back for middle school.	
Vanderlyn ES	Deals with some overcrowding. Keeps Oxford Chase in Vanderlyn. Sets Tilly Mill as a hard boundary. Moves significantly out of Dunwoody Elementary.	Sections B and C should switch (B to Austin and C to Vanderlyn).	
Chesnut ES	Geographic Proximity: Keeps Dunwoody North east of Tilly Mill in Chesnut zone. Closest school with available seats Instructional Capacity. Slightly increasing Chesnut's population and not decreasing allows to retain funding for teacher and support staff to achieve school's educational model. Intact neighborhood. Does not split Dunwoody North	None.	Capacity numbers seem off. Not overcrowded so plan works b/c a lot of kids not moved for no reason from their closes school. Last redistricting our families were moved to DES and Kingsley and we suffered with a loss of these families. Our teachers and admin have shown they can bridge the gap and welcome any student, ESOL & struggling learners too
Kingsley ES	'- It helps the overcrowding at Hightower and Dunwoody Elementary. - Decreases trailers at those schools as well.	'- Taking section J and moving to Kingsley is taking those students through two other school zones to get to Kingsley plus it's 2 miles more for those students than their current route.	Why not leave section J at Hightower? The capacity numbers at Hightower can handle it. It's less students getting disrupted in this process. It keeps Kingsley capacity numbers lower.
Dunwoody ES	I think it does a great job of providing short term relief.	I know it isn't ideal to move kids, but it has to happen.	Thank you for making these difficult decisions.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pro's for this plan for Vanderlyn.	This plan moves 100 children for no net benefit for Vanderlyn. The plan moves more students from section B to Vanderlyn which is farther away than Austin. The students moved adds a disproportionate number of Vanderlyn PTO and board members (hard working volunteers) away from Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn should be left alone which would keep 100 children	Making a plan that impacts the least number of children, keeping the children geographically close to their schools.
Dunwoody ES	None	We are part of the Georgetown neighborhood and moving us to Chesnut would break up our neighborhood and the children. We are physically closer to DES than to Chesnut. This would prove detrimental to the children's well-being, going to a school much further away. This plan would already cause more overcrowding to Chesnut which is counterproductive.	Please consider what it will do for the children as the friends in their neighborhood go to a completely different school

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	The new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17. Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders !	The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Vanderlyn ES	For Vanderlyn there are no pros. The plan takes away 90 students, including those that are significantly involved with the school and adds 90 students from other areas. There is no relief for overcrowding so just leave Vanderlyn alone. Disrupting that many families for negligible relief makes no sense.	See above. No reason to disrupt the school when there is no relief for overcrowding. Just leave Vanderlyn alone.	Disruption to the school. Leave it alone.
Dunwoody ES	DES is less over crowded than it is now.	Most of the schools are still overcrowded, and future growth will only make this problem worse.	New schools need to be built at the elementary, middle, and high school levels to provide children with the proper resources and education.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	All above being stted, I believe the designated "C" and "D" area changes along Mt. Vernon Way and the other Vanderlyn area are the proper/best current approach for shifting students to the New Austin.
Austin ES		Intact Neighborhood - we are part of Georgetown, redistricting will isolate us. Geographical proximity - we are closer to DES then other unaffected neighborhoods. Instructional capacity - chesnut will be more overcrowded with this option. Safety and Traffic patterns - school traffic will be crossing paths.	Efficient and Economical Operations - programs we utilize do not serve chesnut.
Kingsley ES	There are NO pros for the Kingsley cluster	Racial inequality will be even greater at Kingsley. Currently 60% Latino and will increase to 80%. This will hurt the value of our properties as the neighborhood enrollment at Kingsley will be reduced due to this inequality.	Racial make up of all the schools needs to be addressed and adjusted.
Vanderlyn ES	would help over crowding	The fact that District C is the same miles from the current school (Vanderlyn) to possible new school (Austin) doesn't make sense to displace these kids.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Do not move us out of Vanderlyn. This is a very established neighborhood & has been associated with Vanderlyn since the beginning. Disrupting 21 children and creating stress for this neighborhood is not going to solve the much larger problem of overcrowding at other schools. The other 2 options seem to address a larger picture solution.	
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Based on 2021 prognosis for school enrollment, it seems that Dunwoody Elementary school will be in a better position that this and last year.	There is a concern that this redistribution does not consider long term prognosis for the school enrollment, which potentially mean that DES will be overcrowded even to a greater extend.	Please consider long term prognosis for the school enrollment, so that there will not be a need for replanning in a couple of years.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES		Not a significant reshuffle. Original problem still exists	
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES	use of the new DUES is great for Hightower.	This takes Hightower to under capacity when their projection # are declining and Kingsley's are increasing? it's basically just moving trailers around and not accounting for the growth projections. LaCota is .9 miles away from Hightower and 2.7 from Kingsley and passes through another school district, how is that far to those students?	School proximity should be a major factor as well as overall resource balance. this is not accounted for between Hightower, Kingsley and Vanderlyn.
Vanderlyn ES		Splitting Dunwoody Club Forest West out of Vanderlyn is a split for the cohesive DCF neighborhood.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		The staff recommendation heavily favors Austin and puts Vanderlyn last. Vanderlyn loses a major portion of their supportive families and replaces them with uncertainty. The current school dynamic will flip to predominantly apartment-based families that change constantly where currently there are more rooted and committed families in community.	
Vanderlyn ES		This plan causes unnecessary disruption to the Vanderlyn Elementary school and removes a large portion of our most active PTO families and members that support and love our school.	Disruption to the Vanderlyn community and PTO. Vanderlyn is already overcrowded and house two entire grades in trailers outside.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	Changes A-C, J and K are all moving 65-108 kids, meaning at least 2 classrooms of kids making for better utilization without overcrowding.	Changes D-I are moving 0-40 kids, meaning little change for the school, while a big disruption for kids and parents. They also isolate many neighborhoods.	Changing districts should be a last resort, as it disrupts kids so much.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	The overcrowding at DES is addressed for the short term. DES line goes to Tilly Mill on the east, which includes walkable areas of Cherring and Shenandoah in DES zoning.	High growth areas west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd should be zoned to geographically closer AES. Residential areas are impacted in this map that make little overall impact to overcrowding where as splitting area west of A-D would make more sense and fit criteria. KES gets apts not meeting geographic proximity criteria. So much movement of kids!	Continue to keep the walkable, geographically close area of Cherring & Shenandoah with DES.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Reduces the strain on DES. Reduces the number of portable classrooms (although not for Vanderlyn)	# of students moving schools is high and puts stress on a lot of families. Primary redistricting criteria is geographic proximity, many students asked to move are closer to the schools they currently attend (e.g., C, D, E). Vanderlyn's portable space is maxed and the addition of high-density housing (i.e., B) could increase utilization above 135%.	We ask that sections C & D on the staff recommended map remain at Vanderlyn and section B be moved to Austin to keep our current boundaries intact and provide an equitable distribution of the high-density housing in our cluster.
Vanderlyn ES		This change will decrease my property value by 20% and also cause children already enrolled in Vanderlyn Elementary to need to change schools.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Achieves equity in the short run for the two large capacity schools, AES and DES	Does not effectively take into account the exponential growth forecasted for the DES zone in the years to come, well exceeding that of any other zone, to include AES, requiring another disruptive redistricting in the near future. KES, CHES, and VES remain excessively over capacity	Setting the stage for the longer term growth now, versus deferring the issue until a later date. Redistrict according to the growth known to be coming, minimizing the need for another disruptive redistricting. Concurrently present an indication about the plan to meet the Dunwoody population explosion for which the current footprint is unprepared
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	Students at Dunwoody ES could have a better environment than now. My daughter really wants to escape from the current portable classrooms!	Nothing!	
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	There are none	This plan creates disruption for students and families across the entire area. The existing boundaries should remain in tact. Our love to Dunwoody's Club Forest was based on Vanderlyn elementary and it's reputation, it's close proximity to the neighborhood, strong PTO support, option to walk to school, etc. this plan creates unnecessary disruption.	Keeping neighborhood attendance in tact for schools, keep existing boundaries in tact. Encourage minimal student/family disruption.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES			I am here to raise concern that my subdivision was in Vanderlyn district in all three options before. But this staff version suddenly moved us to Dunwoody elementary. I urge you to change it back because it doesn't reflect the information we received.
Dunwoody ES	Perfect! Great news Ashworth is maintained in DES and not Vanderlyn		
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new schools in the Dunwoody area. Dunwoody High School needs to be addressed NOW before it becomes a crisis.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Dunwoody ES	Provides somewhat equitable immediate relief to Dunwoody elementary schools in line with the stated primary and secondary criteria.	Does not address the issue of DES being "very overcrowded" past 2021. The projected growth of the entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd. and extending to 285 is not considered. Austin E. is geographically closer and in better position to provide instructional capacity in this area of Dunwoody. Changing this zone to Austin E. is more equitable.	The projected growth of the entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd. and extending to 285. Austin E. is geographically closer and in better position to provide instructional capacity for these students.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Fair and balanced redistribution across all schools with a future based vision	There is still a long term issue where all schools will be above capacity. Ultimately a longer term resolution, such as an additional school, needs to be agreed and acted on.	You really need to consider the future based projections so all schools equally share the burden of this expected increase.
Dunwoody ES	Austin needs to get the high growth/high density areas west of Ashford Dunwoody.	The move of H to Chesnut is isolating for 2 neighborhoods in top east of H, Dunwoody Trace and Huntington Hall. These 2 neighborhoods (12 kids) are >mile away from the nearest Chesnut community. There is no connection to the Chesnut communities southwest of these 2 neighborhoods. And Chesnut buses would have to travel Peeler for JUST these kids!	
Dunwoody ES	Less Porable Classrooms, reliefs DES		
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	addresses geographic proximity and safety concerns for our subdivision. Better distribution of portables across Dunwoody elementary schools	lacks Vanderlyn school capacity compatibility	An addition of a new elementary school to the dunwoody cluster. Keeping the Safety patter of our kids in Oxford Chase
Dunwoody ES	good	n/a	n/a
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		As part of the Georgetown community, Dunwoody Green should remain in Dunwoody ES zone. It doesn't make sense to zone the neighborhood that is SOUTH of us to Dunwoody ES and us to Chesnut. I feel that this new redistricting will isolate our neighborhood from the rest of the Georgetown community.	
Austin ES	None	How does enrollment go up for Austin (beyond capacity), but the portables go down? This does not make any sense	You are not considering in this plan the boom from new families moving in where elderly residents currently live
Dunwoody ES	relieves some of DES overcrowding although is not a balanced approach	Intact Neighborhood - Chesnut will separate us from Georgetown community where we are located, (emphasis of the City's revitalization plan) Instructional Capacity- Chesnut at 137% utilization traffic concerns as DES school buses and our families cross paths Efficient Operations - we use pre-K & after care that have no transportation from chestnut	Chesnut PAC stated in their letter to DCSD dated November 1, 2019 that, "If Perimeter Gardens were to be rezoned to Chesnut, they could utilize the existing DCSD bus route that serves Chestnut students at Columns at Lake Ridge. please do this instead of Dunwoody green move to chestnut from DES
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Thank you for taking recommendations to keep the Dunwoody North neighborhoods intact. With the new safer crosswalk being built it will be that much easier to walk to Chesnut which is significantly closer than Kingsley Elementary.		
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	Section K relieves the cluster as a whole. There is a reduction of portables across the cluster. Tilly Mill Rd as a boundary makes sense. Sections A & B help alleviate the mobility percentage of students at Dunwoody Elementary.	Section H isolates 2 very small neighborhoods & a few kids, Dunwoody Trace & Huntington Hall, from their school community. They will be separated from the nearest Chesnut neighborhoods by Brook Run Park and very busy Peeler Rd, on which there is no sidewalk on their side of the street. It makes no sense in terms of safety & geographic proximity.	In terms of projected enrollment, it makes more sense to move a larger section in the Ashford Dunwoody growth area to Austin and than to shift small numbers of students around and essentially swap one group of students for another, which causes unnecessary disruption. Why move a child from one overcrowded school to another?
Kingsley ES			I recommend the Kingsley Community Coalitions proposal!!



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Section K relieves the cluster as a whole. There is a reduction of portables across the cluster. Tilly Mill Rd as a boundary makes sense. Sections A & B help alleviate the mobility percentage of students at Dunwoody Elementary.	Section H isolates 2 very small neighborhoods & a few kids, Dunwoody Trace & Huntington Hall, from their school community. They will be separated from the nearest Chesnut neighborhoods by Brook Run Park and very busy Peeler Rd, on which there is no sidewalk on their side of the street. It makes no sense in terms of safety & geographic proximity.	In terms of projected enrollment, it makes more sense to move a larger section in the Ashford Dunwoody growth area to Austin and than to shift small numbers of students around and essentially swap one group of students for another, which causes unnecessary disruption. Why move a child from one overcrowded school to another?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to DES by moving approximately 240 students out of the school	It does not take into account projected growth. The entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody Road extending to 285 should be redistricted to Austin since this would meet the geographical proximity issue while addressing the projected enrollment/growth and instructional capacity issues.	
Kingsley ES	None.	Moving students in area J from Hightower to Kingsley is against nearly every district criteria. It is more than 3 miles from Kingsley, and causes Kingsley to become more overcrowded than Hightower. Area J is not in walking distance to ANY other student in the Kingsley district, and only accessible by one way access road on Peachtree Industrial.	The significant distance from the edge of the Kingsley district to area J would make it difficult for these students to benefit from the after school homework support offered at either Hightower or Kingsley. The overcrowding at Kingsley puts needless stress on our school, and does not help those moving there.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>Relief for entire cluster provided throughout the Doraville united</p> <p>Dunwoody north remains intact which is important for them although they should NOT overcrowd Chesnut with current DES students to achieve this goal</p> <p>Austin ES opens with portables, sharing the burden with other schools</p> <p>DES gets relief - but Chesnut and Vanderlyn remain overcrowded</p>	<p>Dunwoody Green (Sec. H) is part of Georgetown and should NOT be redistricted from DES to Chesnut-This will isolate n'hood from Georgetown Community</p> <p>Area south of Dunwoody Green remains at DES causing traffic concerns (paths will cross)</p> <p>Students in Dunwoody Green will not see relief, Chesnut will be more overcrowded(137%) than current DES (130%)</p>	<p>Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park is part of the City's Revitalization of the Georgetown Area. Georgetown should remain intact at DES and include Dunwoody green. Georgetown area pre-schools serve DES, not Chesnut -students will be isolated from the community/afterschool programs - and separated from younger siblings in preschool.</p>
Vanderlyn ES	<p>It is a bandaid to fix a bigger issue with our overcrowded schools.</p>	<p>It removes households from Vanderlyn and replaces them with multi-housing units for absolutely zero relief, but LOWERS the amount of financial and volunteer support to the school.</p> <p>Transient housing does not add value to the schools.</p>	<p>Add another school and redistribute south to relieve the north.</p>
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES		<p>This redistricting plan separates Dunwoody Green Community from Georgetown Community. Georgetown Kids Playgroud and Georgetown Park are located in Dunwoody Green Community. Dunwoody Green is always a key part of Georgetown Community and has close relationship with other Georgetown neighbors. This plan will cut Dunwoody Green's social relationship.</p>	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		By adding Dunwoody Green Community to Chestnut ES deteriorates Chestnut ES utilization ratio. Chestnut ES has the highest utilization ratio under this plan. Keep Dunwoody Green in Dunwoody ES can help to balance both schools' utilization ratio.	
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief for DES, though temporary Immediate relief for the Dunwoody cluster- this relief is badly needed as both middle and high schools in Dunwoody are extremely overcrowded and trailers are not a good option- long term only redistricting outside the cluster help, like the move from hightower to Doraville United	Areas west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd should be assigned to Austin due to proximity and traffic and safety improvement. All future growth areas in Dunwoody are assigned to DES, including high st. Projected growth is only assumed for Austin (new school bump) not for all other elementary schools. Areas H and create an isolated island disrupting ~15 kids	Areas west of Ashford Dunwoody should assign to Austin (proximity and traffic safety), it also better distributes future growth and improve instructional capacity. Distribute projected growth equally, it shouldn't be all in DES. Areas H and C are isolated from their communities
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief for DES, though temporary Immediate relief for Dunwoody cluster with the move of over 100 kids from Hightower to Doraville Initd- this should be the route to relieve Dunwoody's middle and high schools that are extremely overcrowded and need relief not in addition of more trailers but in shifting kids and building new schools	Growth is inequitably assigned to DES with over 3900 residencies planned along Ashford Dunwoody Rd, all given to DES regardless of the fact many of them are closer to Austin and traffic is relieved. Areas H and C are isolated without reason, less that 20 kids total go to DES. Projected growth is only assumed for Austin (new school bump), unfairly	Assign areas west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin due to proximity, better traffic patterns and distribution of projected growth. Areas H and C shouldn't be isolated from their closest communities

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief for DES, though temporary Immediate relief for the Dunwoody cluster, with over 100 kids moving from hightower to Doraville united. There should be more of that as the middle and high school are extremely overcrowded, new schools should be built. Trailers are not the best solution	Growth inequitably assigned to DES, with almost 4000 residences planned for Ashford Dunwoody area. Projected growth only assumed for Austin as new school bump, not accounted for all other school. Areas C and H are isolated and split from their communities.	Assign areas west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin as it solves proximity, traffic patterns, better distribution of projected growth and instructional capacity. Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas C and H shouldn't be isolated from their closest communities
Vanderlyn ES	Looks at geographic proximity & safety/traffic criteria (ie distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth & better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change & should be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	DCSD should keep safety concerns high (e.g. Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn & safe walk/bike route to VES; share info on forecasting methodology; build new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek ES & Oakcliff ES to serve nearby communities; minimize disruption & changes till there is stability at DCSD senior level
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			Start putting together funds to renovate all the schools to accommodate for the ever growing population

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	A-C, J and K changes can resolve some overcrowding issues.	Moving H to Chestnut is not a good plan. 1. Dunwoody Green within H is part of Georgetown, redistricting will isolate the whole community. 2. DG community is closer to DES then other unaffected neighborhoods, so why would the DG need to be moved not the other neighborhoods that are less close to DES? 3. Chestnut will be overcrowded with this option	School traffic will be crossing the path if moving H to Chestnut.
Chesnut ES	It removes emotion from the picture by taking proximity, real actual neighborhoods, etc into account	with all due respect, the small area next to D that includes Oxford Chase et al appears to be gerrymandered. Making a left turn on Ms. Vernon is not part of the criteria.	Thank you for listening. Please continue to uphold guidelines. In tact neighborhoods is a criteria, but that doesn't mean they can't move the neighborhood to a new school. While there are some valid concerns, some of the "dangerous traffic turns" are grossly overstated. Many comments are not inclusive- That's not what
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Most of the homeowners who live at 4101 Dunwoody Club Drive are divorced and widowed females who moved here to keep our kids in their same community schools, churches, and sports teams-one less thing to impose upon the children. It is the only affordable housing left in our area and without it, weâ€™d lose even more personally.	These few school aged children in our townhomes need consistency! They need to be able to attend the school they were attending with their friends from their old neighborhood. They need to be accepted as being okay even if their parents have divorced and their world has been turned upside down. Thank you!
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Vanderlyn ES	DES gets much needed relief.	Austin is no closer to the homes in Section C than Vanderlyn is. The families will not be walking that far, and without sidewalks on Meadowcreek, you are going to add 50+ cars to that residential street. The homes on Mt. Vernon Way connect to Dunwoody Club Forest and Woodsong.	Please do not divide communities and disrupt students unnecessarily. Section B could easily go to Austin, leaving Section C at Vanderlyn. Please consider that Vanderlyn is one of the districtâ€™s top schools, even while at 135% capacity. Please do not unnecessarily disrupt the Vanderlyn children.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other school</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES		The overcrowding at DES is ridiculous. This must be addressed. BUILD ANOTHER SCHOOL.	
Dunwoody ES	Going outside the cluster to help relieve overcrowding and reducing trailers	My neighborhood Ashworth should be in Austin Elem due to close proximity and more balanced dist of student volume.	
Dunwoody ES	n/a	<p>we will be isolated from Georgetown residents by moving to chestnut. our neighborhood has hoa meetings at georgetown recreation club because we are a part of GEORGETOWN and should remain</p> <p>we will not be able to participate in many resources (after school programs) that are right next to our neighborhood due to redistricting. we are closer to DES.</p>	it makes sense to include us with the rest of the georgetown residents and keep us in the same school district.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	None.	Over simplifies what should have been a thoughtful approach. This isolates our neighborhood that is part of the Georgetown area. The Dunwoody Green neighborhood is closer to DES and we are at the heart of the Georgetown area. This plan pierces a sharp dagger right into the heart of the community and scatters friends, families, and fellowship.	Please consider the tight communities that revolve around the children's well being: the church they go to, the friends they have, and the extracurricular activities critical to the children's development. This is not a math game. Do not make our children go through unnecessary trauma.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	My kids go to Dunwoody elementary school for a while. They love the school, education and theyâ€™ve made good friendships there.	We do not wish to isolate the neighbors from Georgetown community. It might cause traffic concerns.	Weâ€™d love to keep our kids in the same school district for siblings childhood growth and development.
Dunwoody ES		Redistricting Dunwoody Green to Chestnut doesn't make sense. 1st, redistricting will isolate us from other part of Georgetown. 2nd, we are closer to DES then other unaffected neighborhoods. 3rd, chesnut will be more overcrowded with this option. 4th, this redistricting will create cross traffic and worsen the already crowded roads.	
Dunwoody ES	Younger siblings want to be in the same elementary school district.	Separated from young siblings in school	Dunwoody Green is city of the Georgetown are. It should remain intact at Dunwoody Elementary School



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	This spreads distribution of students across all of Dunwoody and better shares portables and makes it more equitable. Does a good job dealing with Oxford Chase community issue of geographic proximity and safety/traffic concerns resulting in shorter distance to school. with sidewalk, avoiding dangerous left turns during rush hour/morning commute	Long term capacity in the cluster are not adequately addressed. The VES zoned area should not change and should be reevaluated when a long term plan is developed.	Thank you for listening to our concerns. particularly keeping our neighborhood safety as an important issue - maintaining the current much safer right turn out of neighborhood during morning commute and allowing our kids to continue to use the current safe bike and walk paths to VES. Consider building a new school?
Dunwoody ES		This plan gives Dunwoody Elementary too much near term growth along Ashford Dunwoody and appears to purposely exclude all of Ashford Dunwoody areas.	
Dunwoody ES	Dunwoody Elementary School should Archie their goals. They should remain intact and it is important for them	Causing traffic concerns.	Separating the kids in the household
Vanderlyn ES	Dunwoody North remains intact at Chestnut. Dunwoody and Hightower get relief from overcrowding.	No relief for overcrowding at VES. Unnecessarily moves 191 students net change of 7. Extreme loss of financial and volunteer support at VES by replacing C&D with B. Over 56% of students in apartments at VES in plan, compared to below 50% at DES, Austin & Kingsley (this should be borne equally at all schools). Moving J to Kingsley unacceptable.	Families in C & D equal 25-30% of VES's current volunteer participation & PTO leadership & financial resources. Volunteer hours & financial support from parents directly impacts the resources available to teachers & students. Inequitable distribution of apartment housing.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	shares the pain in Region 1, which won't have a real solution until there is a new elementary (and in a few years, MS and HS) built here. Keeps main portion of Dunwoody North neighborhood together. Despite claims of other school PACs, DunNorth has been a 'thing' for decades, there are walk-to-school paths even from the 'far' side, etc. We're one!		PACs trying to cite apartment/house ratio, talk about the volunteer hours and money base of a school, etc. should be disregarded. This is the 3rd redistricting in my time of involvement with Chesnut, and we have been repeatedly told by DCSD that those concerns can never be part of any calculation of attendance. Don't start now!
Chesnut ES			
Chesnut ES	To keep the Dunwoody North neighborhood together.		
Dunwoody ES	Relieving over crowded schools. Removing trailers at some schools	Splitting some neighborhoods to different elementary schools, splitting feeder school patterns. Sending kids to elementary schools that don't feed into the middle school they will attend creates social issues for kids. Lack of equal distribution of multi family housing among all elementary schools.	What impact does this have on the middle and high school. Add Kittredge into the equation. Increase its enrollment, add trailers to the school. Kittredge should not be considered untouchable. Or remove Kittredge and use the property as a K-5 school.
Vanderlyn ES		The staff does not live in Dunwoody Club Forest, we do. It appears the elementary schools are suffering from the same poor planning the high school is suffering from = overcrowded schools today. The Dunwoody district needs simply needs more REGULAR CLASSROOMS, NO TRAILERS. SECOND FLOOR TO SCHOOLS = EXERCISE for older kids + cheaper = no land	It's time to think outside the box = Second Floors in schools = more exercise for older kids + cheaper

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	Make some schools less crowded.	Staff recommended plan map, for some reason, deviated from previous three options for Ashworth Preserve subdivision without informing residents. In all three options in previous meeting, our subdivision belonged to Vanderlyn which we want. But now it's in DES again.	I, along with many residents in our subdivision, strongly prefer being put in Vanderlyn rather than DES. We don't know why the Jackson apartment to the south of our subdivision belongs to Vanderlyn, but we were singled out to be put in DES. Please reverse this decision!
Other	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES		As a resident of The Dunwoody Green community, I'm a part of the Georgetown neighborhood & my child is a part of programs that also serve DES, not Chestnut. In terms of proximity I live closer to DES than Chestnut, and with the proposed changes traffic to DES and chestnut will CROSS paths, adding to congestion. Please keep Dunwoody Green with DES.	
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	I support the recommendation to keep DNCA neighborhoods east of Tilly Mill Road within Chesnut district, as Chesnut is the closest school with available seats, fulfilling the geographic proximity requirement. We appreciate the recommendation to slightly increase and not reduce Chesnut's size, which will allow us to retain funding for teachers.	It appears that Vanderlyn is doing a relatively equal swap of students, and may be better to keep Vanderlyn intact.	Thank you for your hard work.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	New school for Austin. DES overcrowding is reduced.	There is no help for Vanderlyn at all and unnecessary movement of students concerning areas B and C is disruptive. Primary criteria is "geographic proximity" and this violates that due to distance. "Instructional capacity" is also being violated due to swapping out one group of kids for another (basically the same amount)...not helping capacity.	The Austin area part of the district does not have room for the growth going on. New schools at all three levels are the only solutions to the massive overcrowding problems.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	I thank tremendously to keep our Dunwoody North neighborhood together in Chesnut. The current staff recommendation keeps the geographically proximity accurate for CES, We live 1 mile from it. Chesnut is not overcrowded,. Also it keeps the safety patterns and intact neighborhoods. Thanks for keeping Dunwoody North together and walk to school real.	We understand the daunting task of redistricting but there must be a better way that parents, teachers, city council members and community in general have enough time to understand the process and be participant. Also this would avoid issues on splitting neighborhoods because people do not know the whole cluster.and how they are set.	1. Keeping neighborhoods together 2.A new school needs to be build along with a clear plan for expansion for MS and HS
Dunwoody ES	There are no positives but lots of questions regarding the redistribution. Merely looking at the map highlights inconsistencies with the rationale and actual redistribution proposed. Please reconsider A and B.	Going by the primary reasons presented. A and B should be sent to Austin. However, I would prefer to stay at Dunwoody if given the option. The rationale for moving to Vanderlyn is unclear as maybe there is another reason for the move not that is not expressed. I support Austin or Dunwoody placement in the redistribution.	
Vanderlyn ES		It appears several homes in Meadowlake and the ones on the perimeter of Meadowlake subdivisions are excluded from Vanderlyn. It would seem a better option to keep the children of the Meadowlake subdiv attending the same school. Please reconsider and keep Mt. Vernon Place in the Vanderlyn district.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Moving Dunwoody Club West and Woodlands with a Post Ashford is a horrible switch. It is taking a transient population in apartments with a neighborhood for Vanderlyn. There is no reason to disrupt Vanderlyn in the redistricting.	
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES	The only true pro I see in the staff plan is the reduction of overcrowding and portables for Dunwoody ES.	The staff plan will do nothing to help Vanderlyn ES. Our school is grossly overcrowded, yet the plan will not reduce our number of portables at all. Breaking up neighborhoods and communities to enrollment by SEVEN students is pointless. My children will be forced to go to a different school than their next door neighbors on the new plan for 7 kids.	Traffic flow. The children on Manhasset Cove could walk to Vanderlyn but will be forced to cross they neighborhoods and traffic to weed over to Austin with the new plan.
Vanderlyn ES		We relocated to the Woodlands community based on being in the Vanderlyn school district and itâ€™s positive impact on home values. The proposed move to Kingsley, an inferior quality school, could negatively impact our home value and also make an already underperforming school, Kingsley, even more crowded. We need a new, additional school nothing less	If you want to play these kinds of games improve the quality of existing schools rather than making an underperforming school like Kingsley even more crowded

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Does a really good job protecting the existing footprint and community currently assigned to Chesnut.	The whole Austin, Vanderlyn and Dunwoody ES area makes no sense other than politics. The map could be much better distributed and serve the community as a whole more effectively. The carve outs on the southern borders for Austin and Vanderlyn are clearly politically motivated and do not meet any of the supposed criteria used.	
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES		The redistricting does not solve the problems that you are trying to address, in addition you have created longer distance from Section D to attend school. Being in Section D, it also creates a dangerous path for walking to school-as there are not sidewalks for kids to travel safely to school.	The Superintendent needs to consider what is best for the children, in moving kids from their existing schools-where they have created life long friends-makes this hard on them-is this not what we should be considering the effect on the children as the most important part- Moving my neighborhood will have a neg. impact on my kids.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		This is the worst option. It doesn't keep neighborhoods intact and the shift of students from schools is disruptive without actually helping the cluster. There were proposals submitted from parents that should be considered. This plan from DCSS splits the Vanderlyn and Chesnut communities with negligible positive effect.	Option 6
Dunwoody ES	Good relief for Dunwoody E.	Can Kingsley handle the additional portables?	
Kingsley ES	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition	
Chesnut ES	We love this plan. Chesnut is happy to take more students to relieve the cluster crowding if that means that Dunwoody North Neighborhood can stay together. Thank you DeKalb County Public Schools.	None	Please keep Dunwoody North Neighborhood together at Chesnut.
Chesnut ES	Fewer trailers at Vanderlyn	Not many	
Other	Reduces trailers	Vanderlyn is the MOST OVERCROWDED by utilization, but Dunwoody Elementary and Hightower are represented as the most overcrowded. Why? You relieve overcrowding at those schools, but do nothing to relieve Vanderlyn AND you disrupt kids, for what?	Follow your top 3 primary criteria for starters. Stop gerrymandering districts. Children should not be moved from one school to drive past another to go to a third.
Kingsley ES	I formally support the Kingsley community coalition plan and letter		



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school and opens with portables like the rest of the Dunwoody Cluster. DES and Hightower get SOME relief of overcrowding.	Unnecessarily moving 190 students for only a net change of 7 at Vanderlyn. Using geographic proximity, Section B of 90 students is 0.5m CLOSER to Austin than Vanderlyn. Inequitable in the distribution of high density housing. In this plan, Vanderlyn will be 56% whereas Austin will only be 27% and DES 40%. This plan is detrimental to Vanderlyn!	Do what's right for the Dunwoody Cluster and do not give Austin Elementary preferred treatment. Keep Vanderlyn in tact and move section B to Austin instead. Your overall results will be the same.
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES	This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity for the other schools, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	I find it shameful that a brand new school will be opening with trailers. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably among the schools.
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	Fair distribution of students among all schools. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Chesnut ES	Geographic Proximity - We support the recommendation to keep DNCA neighborhoods east of Tilly Mill Road within Chesnut district, as Chesnut is the closest school with available seats. Intact Neighborhoods - We thank the district for recommending the DNCA be kept intact.		We appreciate the recommendation to slightly increase and not reduce Chesnut's size. This will allow us to retain funding for teachers and instructional support staff necessary to our working education model.
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also reduces the number of portables.		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	1. Relief of 240 students from DES mostly from Ash-Dun Rd corridor (geographically closer to AES). 2. Neighborhood remains intact (North Springs, Georgetown, Heathwood, Chateau Springs, Village Springs, and Chateau Woods) 3. Great reduction of portables across cluster. 4. Use of Doraville United to help Hightower.	1. High-growth areas (Ash-Dun Rd) STILL concentrated in DES district. Area west of Ash-Dun Rd should ALL be districted for AES. It is geographically closer to AES than DES. 2. Section J should remain with Hightower or DUES. Including it with Kingsley will over-stress ESL instructional capacity at KES. 3. Sec. B should go to AES. Sec C remain at VES	1) ELIMINATE the "New School Bump" concept related to the projected enrollment. It lacks transparency. Creates suspicion. Seem to be "phantom" numbers. Where is the data to back up this concept? 2) Use projected enrollment numbers beyond 2021...i.e. 2024+.
Austin ES	Plan looks good and makes sense.		
Chesnut ES			
Austin ES	Portables decrease by 17 and resources are being redistributed.	These solutions are only temporary based on the projected growth rates of the area for the next 10 years. Also, this plan still does not address the overcrowding in Dunwoody ES and Chestnut ES.	Must focus on how to address skyrocketing population and issues from elementary school overcrowding to middle to high school.
Austin ES	Thank you DCSD for listening to input from stakeholders and making new map as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Does not take "proximity" or "traffic patterns" into full consideration. "B" is closer to Austin. "B" to Austin would have a much smaller impact on traffic. Moves 99 kids from Vanderlyn without a material change in over-utilization rate. Shuffling kids around for no net benefit is illogical and gives the appearance of favoritism towards Austin.	
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Austin ES	It seems reasonable	Still need more capacity created in the district!	PLEASE consider added more busses so elementary school kids are not picked up at 6:40am (my pick up time). This would be a big relief on congestion

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None.	No real benefit for Vanderlyn. Will not solve traffic issues. Will not solve overcrowding. A disruption to school district, families, students.	Re-open conversation with residents. Solve the overcrowding issue with another elementary school for dist.1. Stop tinkering and meddling with redistricting. Leave it alone and let the market decide.
Austin ES	proximity	Drastically reduces portables at Dunwoody HS by sacrificing Vanderlyn (no reduction) and adding portables to a brand new school (Austin) Why not start the redistricting process with at least 1 school with no portables?	
Kingsley ES		The current staff recommended Option conflicts with all 3 evaluation criteria. The map does not correlate with Kingsley ES vs. Hightower ES enrollment forecasts. Vanderlyn ES needs relief. The staff recommended option "Area D" should include Oxford Chase.	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition that you received as well as the letter you received from TFH Legal via FedEx on 12/3/2019
Dunwoody ES	The staff recommended the our HOA, Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, be redistricted from Dunwoody ES to Chestnut ES	Would result in unsafe conditions for children due to change in bus routes; causing further traffic congestion and further delays; isolating Dunwoody Green community with established infrastructure	Use of least amount of resources for maximum gains, the proposed option would yield more interruptions to people and the infrastructure. Thank you for your consideration.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	We do see immediate relief in capacity at Dunwoody Elementary, but I believe this relief is temporary, as we're using projections only 18 months out.	The recommendation feels fair for 2021 projections, but I know we're expected to grow significantly well beyond 2021 -- why are we only planning for 18 months out? Shouldn't we be using the data for 2025? Otherwise with anticipated growth beyond 2021 we will again find ourselves in the position of redistricting. This data feels short sighted.	I've heard/read parents from Austin express concern for "disruption" amongst their student body as one of their primary concern. I was familiar with the redistricting done in 2012, and in that case (and in this) Austin seems to be disproportionately protected from the inevitable disruptions that school redistricting creates.
Chesnut ES	relieves overcrowding at Hightower keeps neighborhoods intact	Section J doesnt seem to make sense for those residents. Crossing over two zones to go to a different school when they have proximity where they are.	draw the new lines with a new ES in mind so there is less shifting down the road.
Dunwoody ES		My home is part of Dunwoody Green which is apart of the Georgetown (GT) community. We are next to GT Park, use GT facilities, and we were a part of Project Renaissance who's goal was to revitalize the GT Community. Yet, with this zoning, we are excluded from the rest of the GT community. We should be zoned to Dunwoody ES.	We are the only community south of Shallowford road that is being included to Chestnut where communities south of Dunwoody Green are zoned to Dunwoody ES. This doesn't make any sense and those communities are also apartments as opposed to the Single Family Homes found in Dunwoody Green.
Austin ES	4 trailers is better than 7, but this lets leadership off the hook. Why does a new school need any trailers?	Trailers should be temporary solutions. Trailers are a sign of poor planning. Where is the long term solution of having proper facilities for our students. Shouldn't a plan incorporate redistricting ALL of Dekalb so that we try to use eliminate trailers everywhere?	A long term solution to eliminating trailers throughout Dekalb. by 2025..that should be enough time

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		“Geographic Proximity”, “Safety and Traffic Patterns”, “Intact Neighborhoods” and, “Previous Redistricting” for the staff recommended map section “D”	No change
Vanderlyn ES	Offloads some of the already overcrowded schools, move)™s kids in section C to a school closer in proximity	Moves more kids then seems necessary.	
Chesnut ES	Adheres to all three primary criteria. Helps relieve some of the overcrowding at all Dunwoody Cluster ES in the short term and lays the ground work to address future long term growth. Maintains safe routes to school Does not negatively impact current traffic issues		This option along with a Chesnut Elementary rebuild will further facilitate growth in the Dunwoody Cluster in the most efficient and cost effective manner as Chesnut has the space to grow. Our community greatly appreciates the thought, effort and collaboration that went into the development of this third option.
Dunwoody ES	I think the main concern for the redistricting should be to honor people's property. In this redistricting the only school change for the worse should be to rental communities. Not because they are less important, but since they have much less “steaks” in the game and much more flexible to change.	Someone who bought their home in a specific school district, and that fact was part of the buying consideration, should not need to change to a less rated school. Renters, on the other hand, can move elsewhere, easier and with less cost or effect.	
Kingsley ES		Split. Neighborhood into 2 schools	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Thank you for considering community feedback in keeping the Dunwoody North neighborhood intact. I believe this plan aligns well with the Primary and Secondary redistricting criteria. I know this is a challenging task and I appreciate the effort DCSD leadership has put forth!	Not really a con with the plan, but I do have concerns over school capacity numbers changing over time, and the method behind these changes is not clear. For Chesnut specifically it seems that the capacity is now significantly smaller than it used to be, which is difficult to understand.	Long term plan for redrawing middle/high school cluster lines.
Dunwoody ES	None for our neighborhood.	Dunwoody green single family neighborhood is part of the Georgetown community and is being split into two in this. We are being redistributed to chestnut. We are part of Georgetown. Keeping neighborhoods intact is very important. We need to remain with the rest of our neighbors in Dunwoody ES	
Vanderlyn ES		Geographic Proximity, Safety and Traffic Patterns, Intact Neighborhoods	
Dunwoody ES	'- Short term relief to Dunwoody Elementary	'- Does not address tremendous growth projections through 2026, up to 150% of capacity for DES, while other schools do not have such high growth projections - Will require the community to go through this process again in 2 years - Does not equitably divide projected growth/overcrowding burden between Dunwoody Elementary and Austin Elementary	Suggest that the entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody and extending to I-285 be zoned for Austin Elementary based on 1) geographic proximity, 2) projected enrollment and instructional capacity at Dunwoody Elementary would better balance utilization ratios. Thank you!



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		The cons for the Staff Recommended Plan include the negative effects of removing students who have become acclimated with DES and placing them in another school despite the fact that Dunwoody Green is closer to DES than to Chestnut, it appears Chestnut will be more so overcrowded with this change, opposed to slightly increasing at DES.	Traffic; Bus Routing; Overcapacity; Proximity
Vanderlyn ES	It is good and appreciated that DCSD listened to and addressed the concerns of Oxford Chase and Dunwoody North residents, especially the safety/traffic concerns and geographic proximity. The plan also spreads enrollment across all dunwoody, and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems. All the changes suggested to Vanderlyn Elementary enrollment are hugely disruptive with negligible gain - there is no point moving out 78 students (map C) and then replacing them with 90 students (map B). Vanderlyn zoning areas should remain unchanged to minimize disruption and be revisited later.	Long term overcrowding will not be resolved by re-districting. A new school is desperately needed in the Dunwoody cluster, as well as re-opening Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementaries to serve the nearby communities. Within the plan provided, the superintendent should keep safety concerns (i.e. traffic and safe walk/bike routes) at top of mind.
Kingsley ES		In the staff option Kingsley would gain another multi family complex making our ESOL community 75%. If your primary criteria is proximity then they should attend Hightower. Busing to Kingsley would add 2 miles to the commute. In the staff option, the trailers are just moving to Kingsley. My child has asthma and I will move before he goes in a trail	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Relief to DES - but not much, and we will be in the same boat in just a few years.	Moving 533 kids unnecessarily, isolating areas H and I from their school communities, and sacrificing serious overcrowding issues at Chesnut, Vanderlyn and Kingsley	Consider the least amount of disruption, and the most logical future solution, since this redistricting effort will not solve a long term problem.
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief for overcrowding at DES	Still doesn't address future growth projections	
Vanderlyn ES	Geographic proximity and safety are both addressed here. Also spreads enrollment across all dunwoody schools	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to vanderlyn to date provide negligible gain.	Keep safety concerns at forefront . Kids need to be able to walk and bike to school .building a new school in the Dunwoody cluster is essential to address longer term issues
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	listened to many concerns regarding geographic proximity & safety/traffic concerns. For oxford Chase it is dangerous to take a left turn, concerns re: walk/bike path safety, concerns left turn would increase existing mt vernon traffic congestion---it also spreads enrollment across dunwoody to address growth and better distribute portables.	does not address long term capacity problem in the cluster- changes suggested to Vanderlyn provide negligible gain-VES zoning area should be left as is and revisited when a long term plan is introduced	would appreciate keeping safety concerns high (ex. Oxford chase's current safe right turn and walk/bike path to VES); share info on forecasting methodology, build a new school in dunwoody; re-open Nancy Creek and OAkcliff Elementary to serve nearby communities and min disruption and changes until there is a solid long term plan
Vanderlyn ES	Takes care of geographic proximity and massive safety concerns. left turn out of oxford chase is impossible in the morning. Helps spread enrollment across Dunwoody and addresses growth to some degree as well as distributing portables better. No sidewalks for Oxford Chase kids to Kingsley make it a huge safety concern as well! Thank you.	Maybe doesn't address long term growth as well as it should.	Keep safety concerns at the highest. Building a new school in Dunwoody. Oxford Chase currently has a nice safe (sidewalk route to Vanderlyn) Please keep that for our kids sake.
Kingsley ES		Map Does Not Correlate with Kingsley ES vs. Hightower ES Enrollment Forecasts 2. Kingsley ES's Geographic Position is Critical to the Long-Term Redistricting 4Staff Recommended Option "Area D" Should Include Oxford Chase 6. This proposal does not Meet the primary nor secondary criteria you use for redistricting	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES		Did not utilize geographic proximity to its best for students coming to Kingsley. Did not spread out percentage enrollments to make them even across the schools. One school is at 98 and 105 % and sent other schools to 137%.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	None	Dunwoody Trace is an isolated subdivision in which our nearest neighborhood are the folks in Village Mill. Dunwoody Trace has unofficially become part of Village Mill due to proximity. Unfortunately, this proposed map will isolate us further from children in that community and really any neighborhood. Please reconsider H and moving us to Chesnut.	Look at section H and how it isolates the children
Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The entire cluster is provided some relief through Doraville United</li> <li>-Austin shares the burden of overcrowding by opening with some portables</li> <li>-DES gets the relief it needs, albeit at the expense of Chesnut and Vanderlyn</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>'-Dunwoody Green (section H) is part of the Georgetown community and this n'hood will be isolated from the community it was meant to revitalize</li> <li>-There are areas south of Dunwoody Green and section H that remain at DES, causing traffic flow in the opposite directions</li> <li>-Section H wasn't presented for rezoning in all previous maps in the meetings</li> </ul>	Dunwoody Green is part of Dunwoody's revitalization of the area, rezoning to Chesnut isolates Dunwoody Green from the rest of the community. Georgetown pre-schools and after school programs serve DES and not Chesnut, further isolating students.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Distributes portables among all elementary schools. Addresses Oxford Chase neighborhood's concerns about safety, providing a safe walk/bike/carpool route to school.	Long term capacity problems need to be addressed for the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	Build an additional elementary school in the cluster and plan for long term with additions to PCMS and DHS.
Austin ES		Too many children in the school very crowded. I like no change.	
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES	None	Moving Hightower ES to Kingsley ES blatantly violates the proximity criteria as well as projected school growth and overcrowding criteria. Hightower is projected to decline in enrollment while Kingsley is projected to increase in enrollment. Therefore moving kids to a school making it 123% capacity from a school making it 98% capacity for what?	Long term solutions!!
Vanderlyn ES	Some overcrowding of the Dunwoody cluster is addressed by shifting some students to the new DUES. The plan may reduce the number of portables within the cluster, though the available enrollment data indicates that this is likely only a short-term gain. DES is temporarily provided some relief.	The plan violates Geographic Proximity, moving the high-density Section B to VES instead of the much closer AES. The plan does not provide equitable relief to the Instructional Capacity in the cluster's schools and creates greater uncertainty for Projected Enrollment at VES, which has the highest utilization percentage of any in the DHS cluster.	The proposed plan unnecessarily shifts student communities between schools in the cluster (e.g. C & D), negatively impacting safety, traffic patterns and neighborhoods. This actually reduces the efficient and economical operations for some schools, particularly VES.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	This is close to being a good plan.	More students for Kingsleyâ€™s should come from Dunwoody neighborhoods. The proximity of some of the proposed students for Kingsleyâ€™s are closer to Hightower.	
Kingsley ES		This is a lot of juggling but no real relief. Trailers aren't the answer. Austin, Dunwoody and Hightower Elementary Schools need to shoulder much more of the burden	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition
Vanderlyn ES	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan  Some overcrowding of the Dunwoody cluster is addressed by shifting some students to the new DUES. The plan may reduce the number of portables within the cluster, though the available enrollment data indicates that this is likely only a short-term gain. DES is temporarily provided some relief.	The plan violates Geographic Proximity, moving the high-density Section B to VES instead of the much closer AES. The plan does not provide equitable relief to the Instructional Capacity in the clusterâ€™s schools and creates greater uncertainty for Projected Enrollment at VES, which has the highest utilization percentage of any in the DHS cluster.	The proposed plan unnecessarily shifts student communities between schools in the cluster (e.g. C & D), negatively impacting safety, traffic patterns and neighborhoods. This actually reduces the efficient and economical operations for some schools, particularly VES.
Vanderlyn ES	Some overcrowding of the Dunwoody cluster is addressed by shifting some students to the new DUES. The plan may reduce the number of portables within the cluster, though the available enrollment data indicates that this is likely only a short-term gain. DES is temporarily provided some relief.	The plan violates Geographic Proximity, moving the high-density Section B to VES instead of the much closer AES. The plan does not provide equitable relief to the Instructional Capacity in the clusterâ€™s schools and creates greater uncertainty for Projected Enrollment at VES, which has the highest utilization percentage of any in the DHS cluster.	The proposed plan unnecessarily shifts student communities between schools in the cluster (e.g. C & D), negatively impacting safety, traffic patterns and neighborhoods. This actually reduces the efficient and economical operations for some schools, particularly VES.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	I support the official letter of the Kingsley Communities Coalition.		
Vanderlyn ES	Distributes portables among all elementary schools. Addresses Oxford Chase neighborhood's concerns about safety, providing a safe walk/bike/carpool route to school.	Long term capacity problems need to be addressed for the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	Build an additional elementary school in the cluster and formulate a long term plan with additions to PCMS and DHS
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for for listening to our community-wide concerns. The plan addresses proximity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford Chase neighborhood, such as distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety and Mt Vernon traffic congestion. Plan spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could keep safety concerns high (the current safe right turn and walk/bike route); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption until there is stability at the DCSD senior.
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition.	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition.	support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition.
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes un
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster.
Kingsley ES		I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition and their recommendations against the staff recommended plan	
Dunwoody ES	I am pleased with the map. Ashworth is zoned into DES		



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We see no pros with the proposed plan on Nov 20 which would isolate our homes on Wellesley Place, if our kids are moved out of Vanderlyn	Cons:Our children would have to walk longer, almost 1/2 a mile longer, through busy MJCCA entrance and busy intersections with higher speed traffic on Tilly MillOur neighborhood has no impact on the instructional capacity or enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn We share backyards with Meadowlake families and our kids walk and carpool together	Please consider that we have pre-school children that would be going to Vanderlyn in 2020 and our contributions to Vanderlyn for over 10 years
Kingsley ES	amount of trailers being removed	I agree with the letter sunbmitted by Kingsley Coalition	
Vanderlyn ES	Relief for Hightower by way of Doraville United.	Vanderlyn risks even more overcrowding with addition of so many apartment families, as their population is fluid. Vanderlyn loses almost a third of its financial & PTO supportive single family homes with this option. Some students now zoned for Vanderlyn will have to travel further to get to school, which goes against the guidelines DeKalb proposed	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Keeps Chesnut Elementary community together. Seems to do a better job of balancing capacity. Less trailers in all.	I don't understand the Kingsley/Hightower switch. Doesn't seem fair to Kingsley in that Hightower will lose trailers and Kingsley will gain trailers as a result. Why is B going to Vanderlyn? Should go to Austin. Seems Austin is avoiding a great deal of apartments as a result.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	This addresses our concerns with keeping the community intact as well as potentially dangerous patterns associated with restricting our neighborhood. Students are able to safely walk or bike to school.	This does not address the long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide a negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and should be revisited when a long term plan is presented.	Safety concerns should be highly considered. A new school in the Dunwoody cluster as well as re-opening Nancy Creek and Oakcliff would minimize disruption.
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	Relieves some overcrowding at Hightower and Dunwoody ES.	Increases enrollment in an already overcrowded Chestnut and Kingsley ES. Area H relieves (specifically Huntington Hall and Dunwoody Trace) students from DES but requires students to travel down main, busy roads past houses closer Chestnut ES who are zoned for DES. The closest neighborhood to area H that also goes to Chestnut is 1.5 miles away.	How the changes impact distance traveled to and from school and safety of children getting to and from school. Also consider how the changes impact the area as a whole versus accommodating one area of the cluster.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Families and students are established at Vanderlyn and have a strong network of community and friends therein. The new boundaries look like a gerrymandering map. Why cut off 5 small dead end residential streets? Makes much more sense to use main arteries as the district lines ( ie, Mt. Vernon, Jett Ferry, Dnwdy Club.	
Dunwoody ES	For someone in our position, none	My wife and I bought our house less than 6 months ago and the elementary school was one our most important criteria. After months of searching, we decided to invest for the Dunwoody ES district, so being told that we are being moved to another school is a slap in the face. There are many other young families in Dunwoody Green that feel similarly.	
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school.Â Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood.Â DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need.Â But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn.Â Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted.Â Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain.Â Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn.Â Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient.Â No easy bus turnaround.Â Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools.Â DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley.Â This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities.Â Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J to reduce the scope of this redistricting..

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	moves local students in single family homes - a finite group., out of their school district, moving in apartment home dwellers which can fluctuate widely. Moves support for the teachers and schools (Groups C&D away from Vanderlyn, downgrading the character of the school	
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief for DES Relief for Dunwoody cluster as over a hundred kids are moving to Doraville United	Relief for DES is merely temporary- Ashford Dunwoody is a high growth area all zoned for DES, plus future high St and hundred s more future apartments. These are geographically closer to Austin and reduce traffic within Dunwoody. Dunwoody Trace and Huntington Hall can't be an island zoned for Chestnut where their neighbors are DES, that's isolating	Dunwoody schools are over populated, need immediate relief with more elementary, middle and high school buildings. The city is growing and the schools need to follow. Trailers are not the solution, think long term. Dunwoody cluster needs relief, more kids zoned to Doraville United
Kingsley ES	Relieving some of the overcrowding at schools	Plan does not account for future enrollment forecasts at Kingsley and Hightower. Hightower does not make sense to shift to Kingsley. The staff recommended option area D should include Oxford Chase. Makes most sense. Distance to schools is not aligned. Current plan moves Hightower to Kingsley and geographic distance is very far.	Please note: I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition. This letter outlines my concerns and recommendations.I hope the superintendent will read the letter from the Kingsley communities coalition and revise the recommendation based on the input from the Kingsley community. We must be have a voice in the decision.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Devinger community in section D should remain in VES as it is a safer walk and closer than Kingsley. Our community has historically been aligned with our friends across the street at Oxford Chase and would like to remain with them at VES.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at DES; Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move students from Hightower to DUES.	Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. A lot of overall disruption ; Projected growth not accounted for at schools other than Austin. Stan Jester has conveniently moved his home to the new Austin school.	Assign area west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin; DES keeps area B and Vanderlyn keeps area C in the staff recommended map. Vanderlyn gains the area known as C from round 2 option 2 map. DES keeps the yellow area of Section H in the staff recommended map. Do that give DES all of section H as it continues multi-use housing.
Austin ES	pro = less portables and more equitable distribution of over-capacity students; also clearly addresses the overcapacity at dunwoody elementary school which seemed as if it was at dangerous levels	con = seems silly (and isolating for that family) to move 2 students from kingsley to vanderlyn; does not seem to have addressed any of the capacity issue in Chestnut or Vanderlyn elementary - made them worse actually.	well, its no longer a "he" - consider not doing the small shift in students "2" and "6" - seems more disruptive than helpful.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. The map Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distribute portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES district should not change.	It would be appreciated if the board would share details about the forecasting methodology.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Recommended plan results in a, small, net reduction of 7 students in Vanderlyn ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- doesn't cut much load from Vanderlyn</li> <li>- Our single-family home is within less than a mile away from Vanderlyn ES and our kids can safely walk through Meadowlake Dr, Moving to Kingsley ES which is 1.5miles away, means our kids have to walk along busy Tilly Mill Rd intersection</li> <li>- Would isolate our kids from their neighborhood friends</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Our many years of commitment, contributions and investment to Vanderlyn ES community to make it one of the best schools in Dunwoody</li> </ul>
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption/changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level
Dunwoody ES		With so few children affected, we do not understand why the county would cut off less than 10 kids from their closest communities. We would be surrounded by Dunwoody Elementary students and isolated from our Chesnut community.	The county is disrupting 533 kids for very little relief at any of the schools We will be in the same boat in a few years.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Reflects the established fact that education and enrichment is a community driven activity, and is much more than what takes place within the walls of the classroom. This plan is better suited to address the problem of overcrowding without creating worse problems in dividing neighborhoods up and sending some children across 141.	The plan still is just patching a broken system. The only real solution to what it is trying to address is construction of new schools and improving existing facilities.	
Kingsley ES	None	1. Map Does Not Correlate with Kingsley ES vs. Hightower ES Enrollment Forecasts 2. Kingsley ES's Geographic Position is Critical to the Long-Term Redistricting Strategy 3. Shift Attendance Zones From West to East 4. Vanderlyn ES Needs Relief 5. Staff Recommended Option "Area D" Should Include Oxford Chase	Area J would stay at Hightower ES (65 students) & Area D would expand to include the Oxford Chase neighborhood, moving 20 more students from Vanderlyn ES to Kingsley ES (Area D increases to equal 41 students)
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you! Addresses the key criteria of geographic proximity/distance to school and the issues raised by me to provide for safe traffic flow and walk/bike options out of the Oxford Chase neighborhood onto Mt. Vernon Road. Spreads enrollment fairly and spreads trailers fairly.	Does not address the long term issue of overall over-crowding in our schools. As there is minimal net change to Vanderlyn, it doesn't make sense to move this many children to new schools just to have them replaced with other disrupted children. Keep Vanderlyn in-tact as is until a long term solution is found.	Please please please keep distance to school, safety and traffic flow at the top of your priority list. If students do not feel safe getting to/from school they will not learn effectively. Also, please minimize the disruption by keeping the number of children impacted to a low number. Teachers are impacted too when students move around.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (ie, distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate MT Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase neighborhood.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning areas should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high(Oxford Chase current safe, right traffic turn and walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption.
Vanderlyn ES	Those who don't live in Oxford chase have no idea how dangerous traffic and left turn is. So thanks for listening. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		<p>As for Geographic Proximity to school:</p> <p>1. The Post property that is being added to Vanderlyn is 1.9 miles from Austin and 2.6 to Vanderlyn.</p> <p>2. Adding DCFW to AES will greatly increase the traffic on Roberts Drive and increase the # of cars coming in and out of the single AES entrance.</p>	<p>3. Under this plan, VES has the highest overcapacity rate of any elementary school. VES also has the most portables.</p>
Vanderlyn ES		<p>I strongly oppose the Option #3-Part D which moves Devinger subdivision to another school on basis of (1) Geographic Proximity (2) Instructional Capacity (3) Projected Enrollment (3) Safety and Traffic Patterns (4) Intact Neighborhoods (5) Previous Redistricting. We have been in Vanderlyn since initial of subdivision (2000) and closer to Vanderlyn.</p>	<p>I appreciate the school board's effort but the Option 3 of the Staff Recommended Plan regarding moving Devinger from Vanderlyn to Kingsley violates all above principles. Devinger is on the same side of the Mount Vernon Rd as Vanderlyn and has strong tie with the school and attending neighborhood.</p>
Vanderlyn ES	A & F make sense	<p>D does not make sense at all - not enough kids to make a big difference while negatively impacting the home values of these neighborhoods</p>	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros.	The 11/20 option presented by DCSD will have adverse impact on Dunwoody community without really solving the problems of overcrowding. Families in C and D account for 25 to 30% of Vanderlyn's volunteers. The financial support of Vanderlyn will be adversely impacted by the transfer of these students to Kingsley Elementary.	The fairest and most equitable solution is to keep the Vanderlyn Elementary community intact. This is the same recommendation of the Vanderlyn Elementary School Parent Teacher Organization.
Dunwoody ES	Alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster Reduces number of portable classrooms/trailers	Separates Dunwoody Green @ Georgeown Park from Georgetown community, our intact neighborhood with shared amenities (swim & tennis, daycare, afterschool programs, etc.) Creates traffic and safety concerns (cross commuters) for Dunwoody Green and area south of our neighborhood that is still districted to DES.	Keep Dunwoody Green in DES district. Section Area E is closer to Chestnut Elementary and Dunwoody Green is closer to Dunwoody Elementary.
Dunwoody ES	Less students at DES	My son will be losing ALL of his neighborhood/school friends. Removing more single family neighborhoods for our district. I feel like DES is getting the short end of the stick and that preference is always being given to Austin and Vanderlyn. From the gerrymandering going on here it looks as though they are cherry picking their student population	Look at some of the parent/ community suggested maps
Dunwoody ES	I am all for any option that reduces the number of students at DES.	None	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Recommended plan results in a, small, net reduction of 7 students in Vanderlyn ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recommended plan results in a, small, net reduction of 7 students in Vanderlyn ES - no considerable load reduced from Vanderlyn</li> <li>- Would isolate our kids from their neighborhood friends who attend Vanderlyn</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Our many years of commitment, contributions and investment to Vanderlyn ES community to make it one of the best schools in Dunwoody</li> </ul>
Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Immediate relief is provided to Dunwoody Elementary for current overcrowding, this relief will only be temporary with the staff recommended map.</li> <li>- Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</li> <li>- 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior.</li> <li>- Instead of staying to the west of Ash-Dun, this map creates traffic concerns for residential areas.</li> </ul>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Austin ES	This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	none	I find it appalling that you are adding even more Hispanics to Kingsley which will make them a majority and will hurt the other children as the teachers will have to focus even more time on children that do not speak English well or at all and it's already a problem.	
Austin ES		Overcrowding >100% capacity for brand new school	
Austin ES	This plan does a good job of keeping neighborhoods intact and for the most part, going to their closest school. The way the lines are drawn will also enable a lot of people to use back roads, which is especially important as traffic is ridiculous on Dunwoody's main roads. Lastly, it shares the burden of imminent growth among schools equally.	I'm not sure about the Hightower kids crossing through Dunwoody North (and past Chestnut) to get to Kingsley. I recommend putting those kids in Chestnut or leaving them in Hightower. Walking to school is the preference for many of those students, and Kingsley is just too far.	He should consider balancing growth for the future, but also that opening a new school over capacity (or over capacity in two years) is a poor reflection on the county and its ability to plan. The current proposed plan is the best solution to the current challenges of the cluster, and I support it.
Austin ES	Taking in consideration all of the concerns and trying to make the staff recommendation as fair as possible. It also reduces the number of portables overall.	This still doesn't address the overall concern that our schools will still be overcrowded and that we are opening a brand new school with portables. You need to start now planning for an additional elementary school in our district to relieve all dunwoody schools from the portables.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Iâ€™m happy that you are not attempting to move my kids out of Austin ES.	The plan does not address the larger issues of overcrowding at the Elementary, Middle School, and High School levels. The plan is too narrow in scope.	A long term plan to ease the overcrowding issue system wide.
Vanderlyn ES	That you are moving some kids who live close to a new elementary school to that school. I really donâ€™t see many pros as we are just moving people, upsetting community bonds, making gerrymandered type lines, etc that will Just need to be redone in 2-3 years because it does not solve the underlying issue that you should build 3-4 story schools.	Moving a geographical closer neighborhood (devinger) to a further school that is not safe to walk to for a net projected change in about 10 less kids at Vanderlyn. Our neighborhood has only a few houses but the map now puts in high density housing in Vanderlyn which means Vanderlynâ€™s #s will probably go up, not down.	Number of kids in a neighborhood and that high density housing will likely allot for many more elementary school kids than projected
Austin ES	This plan provides the least amount of portable classroom usage. The portable classrooms do not provide safe entry and exit points for students in the event of an active shooter situation. This will also allow for better student to teacher ratios, making a more conducive learning environment.		Please work on reducing the number of portable classrooms around the entire Dunwoody cluster. This area is growing and pretty soon the middle and high schools will be ridiculously over capacity!!
Austin ES	Fair distribution of kids while keeping Austin families intact. Good use of new school Doraville United to alleviate east Dunwoody overcrowding		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	I am in favor of the staff recommended plan. I feel that the board has listen to the parties and have done the best job of consolidating he previous options 1, 2 and 3. This option appears to equally address the majority of the issues.	No cons--	I think proximity and neighborhoods cohesion are the most important issues.
Chesnut ES	I agree with the staff recommended plan and commend DCSD staff for doing a fantastic job on this. The staff has been professional and calm during the process, and I appreciate this. This plan respects all of the Board-approved criteria to keep neighborhoods in tact and maintain close geographic proximity to schools.	None.	The Superintendent should abide by the Board-approved criteria when developing his or her recommnedtaion. These criteria have been set forth and formally adopted, and should be followed. Thank you-
Other		WE WANT TO STAY IN VANDERLYN SCHOOL DISTRICT.	PROBABLE LOSS OF PROPERTY VALUES DUE TO A CHANGE FROM VANDERLYN...A HIGHLY RATED SCHOOL.
Austin ES	None	There should be no portables installed to a brand new Austin school that will be over capacity less than year after it is built	Acquire funds to add on the existing schools to handle capacity issues.
Kingsley ES	None	This map does not incorporate long term planning and is not a strategic approach- the shift to Kingsley should be from the West to East rather than the way the map reads today. I fully support the letter sent to the DCSD on behalf of the Kingsley Communities Coalition that outlines a proposal that meets the district's criteria for redistricting.	Projected increasing enrollment in schools must be considered for a long term solution in Dunwoody.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	This addresses the traffic & safety concerns shows growth across all dunwoody areas, & better spreads out the trailers	Long term population growth is still an issue	Please keep safety and traffic concerns high on the priority list.
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	NA	Why bus students to new school ? It will have negative on learning. Pressure on young students to adjust to new school.	Plan must be less stressful to students. Changing schools will have negative effect on learning.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Chesnut ES			If a student is currently able to walk to school, then he/she should be allowed to continue to be able to walk to school rather than be required to be bussed or driven to a school.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES.	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chesnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and neighborhood wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation.
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets hammered in this plan.	Vanderlyn has way MORE high density area than Austin. Twice than Austin. It is not fair to community. We are paying same tax rate!!!!!!	
Dunwoody ES	1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary; however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary. 2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elementary. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to Mt Vernon Flats (high growth apt). 2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior	Continue to keep Peeler Neighborhood Association (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring) as part of DES due to their walkability. Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential areas
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Supports DCSD's need to undertake a redistricting effort on behalf of the newly built Austin Elementary School in the Dunwoody Cluster, as well as maximizing the efficient use of the District resources	Geographic Proximity - Our walking and driving distance is closer to Vanderlyn than to Kingsley Elementary School. Safety and Traffic Patterns - Our walking and driving distance is safer to Vanderlyn than to Kingsley Elementary School; has better school signage and sidewalks with minimum blind spots. Intact Neighborhoods - break our community bond	
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES	Balances enrollment.	Dunwoody students should stay in Dunwoody. Students who are zoned in Hightower shouldn't come into Dunwoody. Moved to Dunwoody since all ES went to one MS which went to one HS. There were no split schools. Austin should have no trailers - we have a large school which is our trailers.	Future: Demolish Vanderlyn and give to HS. Build new Vanderlyn in north of Mt Vernon Rd in Dunwoody Club Forest neighborhood. Renovate/expand Chesnut as planned. Renovate and expand Kingsley.
Kingsley ES	I am parent of two bilingual girls but 6 trailers at Kingsley is an absolutely terrible idea. the city of dunwoody reputation will not recover from this for many many years.	My kid is 4 in 30 in her class that are fluent in English. If you follow your plan I will have to sell my home and move to another city. This will give dunwoody the reputation of city with put educational outlook where only parents with kids in private schools can reside. You will ruin any prospect of middle income families residing in dunwoody.	6 trailers at Kingsley is a terrible recommendation.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	ThankyouDCSDforlisteningandaddressingbulkofc ommunity-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn & safe walk/bike route to VES); share forecasting methodology; build new school in Dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy Creek & Oakcliff Elem. to serve nearby communities & min disruption & changes until there is stability at DCSD sr. level
Vanderlyn ES	ThankyouDCSDforlisteningandaddressingbulkofc ommunity-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn & safe walk/bike route to VES); share forecasting methodology; build new school in Dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy Creek & Oakcliff Elem. to serve nearby communities & min disruption & changes until there is stability at DCSD sr. level
Vanderlyn ES	ThankyouDCSDforlisteningandaddressingbulkofc ommunity-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	ThankyouDCSDforlisteningandaddressingbulkofc ommunity-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES	None	Kingsley classrooms are already at capacity and the testing scores for the school are already sub-par vs other schools in Dunwoody. Focus on quality education is becoming less is a priority. Testing results will continue to deteriorate with the addition of more students	
Vanderlyn ES		My home is currently in Vanderlyn. You will try to rezone it to Kingsley, the worst school in Dunwoody. My previous home was in the Kingsley zone, and the poor school cost me \$50,000 in resale value Your lack of planning for growth should not cost me money and our kids a great education..	Stop paying for incompetent admn. and build new schools where they are needed
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided for overcrowding at Dunwoody ES, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended Map, this relief will be temporary. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody ES. Over 3900 residences are planned along the Ashford-Dunwoody Rd, ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt). 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools.	Areas H&C are isolated and/or split from their new communities. Assign area west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic and safety-this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES. Distribute projected growth equitably
Kingsley ES		I support the official letter of the Kingsley's communities coalition	
Dunwoody ES	Relief for DES	Our move from DES to Chestnut would isolate two small neighborhoods with 12 students total of elementary-school age from the rest of our school community. We would be surrounded by neighborhoods zoned for Dunwoody Elementary (given that our neighborhoods are so small, we are very closely aligned with both Village Mill and Adams Walk)	
Dunwoody ES	Temporary relief from overcrowding for DES (making it a temporary pro)	Area H - This zoning plan would result in isolation of two small neighborhoods with 12 students total of elementary-school age from the rest of our school community. Surrounding neighbors all go to DES & would be well over a mile to the closest Chestnut neighborhood, across a large park, and a busy street.	Please consider equal benefit to all schools, not just the ones with political pull.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Kingsley ES		Kingsley is nestled in a neighborhood. This plan creates traffic and brings kids from far away to our school. 6 Portables are unnecessary and unhealthy for both students and staff. The quality of education will suffer as a result of this plan. Please rethink this plan and raise the quality and level of education in Dunwoody.	Effect on our property values and desirability of Dunwoody homes to attract new residents.
Dunwoody ES	For my address, students can continue @ DES which can be walked w/o having to cross major roads.		Safety, convenience & neighborhood connectivity.
Kingsley ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	The plan generally alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster, and reduces the number of portable classrooms overall in the cluster.	Specifically referencing Section H - this siphons out the Dunwoody Green community, separating those homes from the larger Georgetown community of which their development is a part (in tact neighborhoods). It also creates traffic and safety concerns for those traveling from Dunwoody Green and the area south of that neighborhood which is still DES	Section H separates Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown Park from the Georgetown community, and isolates those community members from both DES and Chesnut communities. Consider assigning Section E (originally posed in Round 2, Option 2) as its more aligned geographically and would mitigate traffic and safety concerns.
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity Our neighborhood has minimal impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Kingsley ES			Any school not within the city limits of Dunwoody should be removed from the Dunwoody Cluster and moved to the Cross Keys cluster. That would give more flexibility to move students.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Vanderlyn ES		Looking at the map, there clearly appears to be political gerrymandering designed to reduce multifamily students at Austin at the expense of long-term neighborhood students at Vanderlyn. The logistics/proximity/traffic argument does not hold water based on the map and numbers. I support keeping the Vanderlyn district lines materially the same.	Please consider the statistics that purportedly support the logistics argument for the Vanderlyn redistricting - to my knowledge they have not been disclosed publicly, but seem suspect at best.
Austin ES	A more egalitarian-oriented standard deviation of school overcrowding.	A utilization rate of 109% for a school (Austin) within a year of opening clearly indicates poor planning. What will the utilization rate be in 5 years or 10? Hightower and Dunwoody benefit at the expense of Kingsley and Austin for no discernible reason. Given the opportunity of new school construction, size should have been a bigger factor.	To build confidence in school planners, the superintendent should recommend a plan that does not suggest such poor short term planning.
Kingsley ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	No pros	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Chesnut ES	Thank you for incorporating the feedback of the Dunwoody North Community and Madison Homeowners Association to keep our neighborhood intact at Chesnut. Chesnut is the closest elementary school geographically and its safer for our kids to walk there and traffic will be less congested.	None	I would encourage the Superintendent to present the staff recommended option to the Board as it incorporates the community's feedback during the redistricting process.
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	DES will benefit temporarily from the decrease in enrollment using Round 3 Staff Recommended Map. Relief is also provided Hightower by moving 108 students to Doraville United.	DES is to be assigned all the students from the growth planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Road which increases the number of residences by 3,900.	Continue to keep Peeler Neighborhood Assoc(Shenandoah Estates and Cherring)part of DES as it is a walkable distance to Des. Assigning students west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin follows the Board's criteria for redistricting by considering proximity, projected growth, instructional capacity & traffic and safety & would distribute growth equitably.
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Very disruptive to current Vanderlyn students and families who will be redistricted for not enough change in school capacities to make a difference.	
Vanderlyn ES	First of all, thank you so much for listening to our concerns regarding safety and proximity to school in this latest plan. Oxford Chase students will be able to continue to travel, walk and bike to school in a much safer way.	Ultimately, this district will need an additional elementary school to accommodate the more than 600 students that make up the overcrowding situation we find ourselves in year after year.	People as a whole don't like change, but if the change is for the better...easier to get to, safer, newer facility, it's easier to swallow. Most schools in our district need improvement, but more than that, we need another, large new school!
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Relieves overcrowding in most schools. Reduces amount of trailers	Doesn't take into account future enrollment projections past 2021 - DES is projected to have a very large increase in enrollment past 2021, so redistricting might have to happen again in 2 years.	Move more of the apartments off of Ashford-Dunwoody into Austin district. Austin is closer geographically and growth is not projected to be a large in the Austin area.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Relieves some overcrowding from DES; Moves students from cluster to Doraville United; Good distribution on trailers based on school size and resources	No consideration of long term growth at DES; Most high density areas are zoned to DES and they should be split between DES and Austin; Stan Jester's house went to Austin...how ironic. A lot of student disruption.	Move all property west of Ashford Dunwoody to DES; Based on staff recommend map - Keep B at Dunwoody; B at Vanderlyn and the single housing (yellow section of H) at DES. Open up the Vanderlyn bridge by moving Round 2 Option 2 Section C to Vanderlyn. Based on the Dekalb County growth map this is a more equitable distribution.
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at DES; however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to DUES. More consideration was granted to keeping neighborhoods intact, Luray Drive students can still walk to DES.	Still without long term growth plan. Areas of potential high growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elementary. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to Mt Vernon Flats (high growth apt).	Continue to keep Peeler Neighborhood Association (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring) as part of DES due to their walkability. Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety. Dekalb county must start making long term plans for growth.
Dunwoody ES	1. Immediate relief may be provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary; however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, the relief will be TEMPORARY. 2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elementary. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Road; ALL are given to DES in addition to Mt. Vernon Flats (high growth apt). 2. 533 children are disrupted, far MORE than any other option presented prior.	Continue to keep Peeler Neighborhood Association (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring) as part of DES due to their walkability. Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve PROXIMITY, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety. Do not isolate areas H & C from their communities.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	The plan reduces the number of portables in the elementary cluster.	This plan does not address the immediate or future overcrowding issue. A new elementary school in the Perimeter area needs to be built to help alleviate overcrowding in the elementary cluster.	This plan addresses the three primary criteria but another elementary school is needed.
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a new school with trailers to help with the overcrowding. Chestnut retains Dunwoody Club North Neighborhood. Hightower gets some relief.	Most disruptive plan with 1 in 8 children impacted. Disruption is not equitable across all schools. The move of areas C & D would introduce safety concerns and inefficient operations. Area C will have walkers with no sidewalks. Area D will have additional buses along the same route for (3) schools as there's no turnaround for Dunwoody Club drive.	This plan doesn't take into account the future overcrowding that will happen thus making the situation even worse due to the growth in the cluster. A new school is needed at the elementary, middle and high school level.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Vanderlyn ES	There are none....	The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approx. 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn. The walking/biking route is longer & more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn. no sidewalk	This has not been thought out well, please reconsider .....
Vanderlyn ES	Vanderlyn is deeply disrupted by this plan. Austin get a brand new school. It appears there is no advantage for Kingsley or Vanderlyn as opposed to benefits for DES and Hightower.	Plan is very disruptive, much worse than Round 2. Many more students disrupted. Area J is closer to Hightower. Area D is closer proximity to Vanderlyn and allows for more efficient bus routes. Should redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D and J.	This plan further overcrowds schools with deteriorating conditions.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a new school with trailers. Chestnut retains Dunwoody north. Dunwoody elementary and Hightower gets some relief.	Most disruptive plan that impacts 1 in 8 students. Area C will now be walkers and will have to commute where there is no sidewalks thus bringing safety concerns. Area D will introduce 3 buses transporting kids along the same route to (3) different school which introduces operational inefficiencies.	Vanderlyn has the clusters best portables which provides safer conditions. The inequities of the plan introduces variability that will impact the leadership and instructional capacity at the VES.
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Vanderlyn - Disrupting 200 kids to only change their net enrollment by 7 seems very disruptive for very little impact. Area D - This plan will make for inefficient bus routes along Dunwoody Club Drive Woodlands/Dunwoody Club Townhomes if they switch schools since the current Vanderlyn bus will continue to drive right past these neighborhoods	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	DES will experience relief.	<p>The plan is imbalanced and provides no relief to VES, unnecessarily moving 99 students from VES to only backfil with 90 students from DES that are closer to Austin.</p> <p>The way the lines are drawn give me incredible discomfort as it seems as if they are drawn to avoid area B from going to AES. Area B is closer to Austin than it is to DES or VES.</p>	Minimizing disruption to the entire cluster, economic impact of the proposed changes to VES. Doing what is right for the CLUSTER, not for Austin Elementary.
Austin ES	some crowding is eased	the region is still ghastly overcrowded - too many portables - too much available space in other schools beyond our region - too many still flowing to an already beyond overcrowded middle and high school	how many UNDERUTILIZED schools outside of our region!! cascading redistricting is what is needed
Vanderlyn ES	I do not see any benefit for Vanderlyn, just disruption for students.	Firstly, section D is geographically closer to Vanderlyn. "safety and traffic issues"- I dread my child crossing Jett Ferry area to reach school. Friendships developed through school due to proximity with other Vanderlyn neighborhoods would be severed. We are far away from Kingsley neighbors. Section D area bus routes would be inefficient.	keeping original neighborhoods intact- importance of friendships developed between kids of neighboring Vanderlyn communities.
Other	My kids recently graduated but map seems to make sense. We went through multiple redistrictings and each time people complained, some real loud.	None.	Having a bunch of trailers at the new super large school would not make sense, logistics for so many cars, busses, etc makes no sense.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	This looks fair for all elementary schools.	None	None
Austin ES	reduces the number of portables for the cluster	We are still too overcrowded. We need another school where the growth is. Along Ashford Dunwoody near perimeter mall.	We need to redistrict the middle and high school. They are too overcrowded.
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17	This plan does not address the need for long term needs of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. Growth will continue in this cluster and this plan does the best with current state, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables New Austin wasn't supposed to have portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Dunwoody ES		The Dunwoody Green neighborhood (Zone H) should not be redistricted to Chestnut. DG is geographically closer to DES than other unaffected neighborhoods and is a part of the Georgetown community, which is DES. This map will isolate DG from its neighborhood community. Also, programs/preschools residents use do not serve Chesnut, they only serve DES.	
Vanderlyn ES	Reduction of portables overall, DES overcrowding is reduced.	AES utilization is lower than most, with a small percentage of the projected high growth areas. VES gets no relief in overcrowding or portables, and will receive more students from high density housing. How is this the best for the Dunwoody community as a whole?	Percentages of high density housing vs. single family homes.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	It really helps with the projected growth of Austin and Dunwoody.	I still think we need to think long term. We need another new school to truly help with the years to come. This puts a bandaid on the growth.	
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity.</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	We support the decision to move 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	'- This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Dunwoody ES	Seems to relieve overcrowding at DES.	Isolates Dunwoody Green in area H from Georgetown community (intact neighborhood); Dunwoody Green is closer to DES than other unaffected neighborhoods (geographical proximity); Chesnut will be more overcrowded (instructional capacity); School traffic crossing paths (safety & traffic patterns) Activities/programs we use don't serve Chesnut	
Vanderlyn ES	None	It is so unfair to Vanderlyn. It will completely change the amount of parent volunteers we have and the financial contributions to the school. It does not follow the criteria stated in the guidelines. Taking dunwoody club west to Austin would be a terrible loss for Vanderlyn.	They need to follow the criteria stated in the guidelines.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Dunwoody north won't be affected.	Section H (Dunwoody Green) would be isolated from Georgetown (Dunwoody Green is part of Georgetown). In addition to cause big traffic concerns to an area that is already congested.	Georgetown area is being revitalized, and should remain the same at DES. Dunwoody Green being part of Georgetown should be included at DES
Vanderlyn ES	Pros only for Austin. Why should Vanderlyn families suffer? There's no benefit for Vanderlyn, only unnecessary disruption in student life.	Area D geographically closer to Vanderlyn. Safety and Traffic pattern pathetic at Jett Ferry which students will need to pass daily to go to Kingsley. Severing friendships developed in school among kids living in neighboring communities. Far away from any Kingsley neighbors for kids to mix and play. Inefficient bus route, increasing traffic enroute.	Intact neighborhoods so that our kids maintain friendships developed due to school.
Kingsley ES	Hightower students to Doraville United	Kingsley enrollment is growing and Hightower enrollment is declining according to projections. This plan just swaps trailers and puts more trailers on a smaller piece of land at Kingsley. LaCota apartment is 0.5 from Hightower and 3 miles from Kingsley making it hard for parents to volunteer at school farther away.	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	Moving the 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United will provide relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan does not take into account Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The high utilization at Hightower, which is already projected to have declining enrollment, is just shifted to Kingsley, which is projected to increase enrollment in the future.	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communités Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Kingsley ES	None	When I attended meeting 2 I was very disturbed by IOxford Chase wearing homeowner tags. Really sent a wrong impression to all. They are the group that did not want to go to Kingsley claiming traffic? I am concerned about the la Kota apt, going to Kingsley. I don't think it is the closest school. It also gives them too many ESOL students.	Spread the apartments out through the schools-that is really the underlying issue. Austin sounded like they did not want any. How are all these children going to fit at the middle and high school? Why didn't the district see that the middle school should have been bigger and a bigger addition at the high school. It saddens me to see the trailers .
Kingsley ES	I support the decision to move 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them, for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communités Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Net enrollment at Vanderlyn is not reduced . All of the 5th graders at Vanderlyn will still remain in modular trailers, as well as the Health class and other specialty teachers whose classrooms are also in old, leaky modulares. The children redistricted will be no closer to new schools. Significant impact to Vanderlyn PTO	A plan that aligns with criteria as initially shared.
Vanderlyn ES		Please keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balancing Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin. Section B is located closer to Austin Elementary. Also, the high density housing needs to be distributed equally throughout the Dunwoody Elementary District. Vanderlyn should not remain at 133% utiliz	
Kingsley ES	Gives Hightower ES much needed relief and a practical solution for future enrollment. Hightower students go from trailer to a new school.	1. Oxford Chase should be included in Area D, as originally intended, and there is a safe route to the school. 2. Projected enrollment #s for Hightower and Kingsley swap by 2021 projections and is not logical. Moving students east and then south (previous Option 3) makes logical sense concurrent with future enrollment forecasts.	
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Renters on Ashford-Dunwoody should not take precedence over property owners. Most aren't even US citizens, and when their children finish at Vanderlyn they leave the area.	Property owners children would be faced with discontinuity, being separated from their friends. They would also be at great risk crossing Mt Vernon if they had to walk to school. There would also have to be new bus routes, costing the school systems more money.	There should be another school built for the renters out around Ashford-Dunwoody
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Vanderlyn ES		I was moved in the third grade and could not make the transition. After a psychological evaluation my parents moved back to my original school based in what the doctor said. This kind of enforced changes in a child's life is far worse than being a little crowded and should not be done where parents have no control.	Friendships of children at this age are critical to their emotional and academic well being.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	From our perspective. I don't see any benefits for our kids. They presently go to pre-school and Kindergarten at St. Luke and usually walk when the weather permits. It's a comparable walk to Vanderlyn ES where my daughter is suppose to start 1st grade next year. Kingley ES is further and has no sidewalks. Walking will be significantly riskier.	<p>1. 1/2 mile longer walk than Vanderlyn from our neighborhood- Wellesley Place</p> <p>2. Walk/Bike route more dangerous for our kids</p> <p>3. Leaving Area C/D in Vanderlyn, and moving B to Austin makes more sense from a proximity and safety in commuting standpoint. And it more neutral in terms of moving kids</p> <p>This wouldn't affect the rest of your above proposal</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES	The benefits are unclear. The plan addresses overcrowding, but doesn't evenly distribute the load. Most helped is Hightower and Dunwoody ES. Most impacted with either no relief, is Vanderlyn, or increased overcrowding is Chestnut. Some old, leaky portables will be removed. Some kids will go to better performing schools, others to poorer performing.	Inclusive, community input has been ignored. Vanderlyn was led to believe the school would be untouched in this process--it was "Austin Redistricting" with some minor relief to overcrowding. We got the opposite. Disruption, uncertainty, tenuous position for further overcrowding in outdated, packed to the gills school for only a net change of 7.	In the absence of a longer-term plan to address overcrowding, i.e. no new schools planned, a balanced approach to overcrowd for even burden sharing is a must. It shouldn't matter if the school is new or old. Reopen the process and evaluate where there is room for children on campuses—consider school condition & age, acreage, parking.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None.	Vanderlyn: Net enrollment is not reduced. 5th graders will remain in modular trailers, as will Health classes & other classes, which are in old, leaky modulars. Children redistricted will be no closer to their new schools, afterschool arrangements will have to be changed & major financial & volunteer support loss - 30% of Vanderlyn's resources.	
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood . Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	No long term plan for Dunwoody- All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase safety concerns turning onto Mt Vernon and inability for children to walk to school due to lack of sidewalks. build a new school in the Dunwoody area
Vanderlyn ES		Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	I do not see any Pros that effect our school only negatives	This recommendation would take many of our invested families away from our school. It would effect our schools PTO, parent volunteers and financial assistance that comes from our school. It would not reduce the number of trailers or students. Taking single family homes away that have proven to be more invested in the school and community VES create	If no reduction in trailers or number of students no change is needed. It will reduce our schools ability to have PTO support with all the assistance our current PTO provides therefore changing our school systemwide and effect each student there. This brings no positives to our school.
Vanderlyn ES	Some relief to DES; Relief to Hightower; Dunwoody North stays intact	moves 200 children around VES without reducing enrollment. Not an equitable distribution of high growth areas- projected enrollment is unpredictable. Area C & D are geographically closer to VES & will increase traffic to Roberts along safe walking routes around Wyntercreek going to Austin. DCFW and DCF not intact. Plan only benefits Austin.	Move as few as children as possible. Keep Vanderlyn intact. Give DES relief by sending Area B and areas west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin
Vanderlyn ES	I would like for Dunwoody Club Drive, our community, to remain in the Vanderlyn school districe. it has served us and our neighbors well in the past and we would like to see it remain.		Attempt to keep the area on the same tact as it had been in the past.
Chesnut ES	All these plans are garbage. We need to decrease capacity at chestnut	All these plans are garbage. We need to decrease capacity at chestnut	All these plans are garbage. We need to decrease capacity at chestnut

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	The plan is excellent. It keeps the Dunwoody North community intact. Dunwoody North already experiences the loss of many families from Chesnut when the were moved to Kingsley and their absence is devastating.	None. It's perfect.	Keeping the Dunwoody North Community in the Chesnut zone. No other priority for us.
Austin ES	It seems to better even out the overcrowding and we all understand that there is really no good solution other than building a new school. It also evens out the portable classrooms. It is better than some of the previous options.	None of the options solve the issue and moves some kids away from neighbors. Clearly Dunwoody is growing with retirees moving away and young families moving in. It's only going to get worse. I also really hate that we built this amazing new school and didn't think ahead to the growing community so we don't have portable classrooms from day one.	Think about the impact to the kids and community. Let's start talking about a new school or rebuilding bigger schools that can accommodate the future!
Chesnut ES	Neighborhoods remaining intact		
Chesnut ES	Keeping Dunwoody North intact supports the DCSD redistricting criteria based on: Geographic proximity, Instructional capacity, Projected enrollment. Additionally, Secondary Criteria: Safety and traffic patterns, Previous redistricting, Balancing of special programs, School feeder alignment, Intact neighborhoods, Efficient and economical operations	None. Its awesome!	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to Vanderlyn Elementary (VES) to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Vanderlyn ES		Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody Elementary's growth by moving Section B to Austin Elementary.	
Vanderlyn ES		Options B, C, and D are redundant. B should move with A to Austin keeping the students and neighborhoods on that side of Dunwoody together. C and D should remain at Vanderlyn. There is no school relief benefit of reorganizing the students that go to Vanderlyn. The school will remain overcrowded with the staff proposal and therefore isn't logical.	Please consider the strength of all DeKalb county schools when making your proposal. Please keep ALL of our Dunwoody cluster schools strong by allowing us to continue to support the schools we wish to stay in with our resources and financial contributions. Please listen to our plea.
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a new school. Dunwoody ES and Chestnut get some relief. No positives for Kingsley or Vanderlyn	Very disruptive plan with 1 in 8 students impacted. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club would be duplicated/inefficient. Switch of areas B and C impact more students.	Plan overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Oxford Chase subdivision is now back to stay with Vanderlyn, as aligns with established criteria on geo-proximity, intact community, and safety.	The proposal to move sec D from Vanderlyn to Kesley makes it less safe for kids (lack of sidewalks for 2/3 of the travel), longer travel, and breaks neighborhoods our subdivision (Devinger) with adjacent subdivisions such as Oxford chase.	to increase transparency on capacity planning by sharing data, model, and results on confidence%
Vanderlyn ES	?	Options B, C, and D do not represent the best interest of the Dunwoody cluster. A and B should go to Austin (keep the communities together). Leave C and D at Vanderlyn. This plan does not relieve Vanderlyn. It will still remain at 135% capacity. Therefore, it isn't in the best interest of the community, financial planning, or DeKalb school system.	This plan does not meet all the criteria set forth by the county. Please consider revising. Thank you.
Dunwoody ES	The only real pro for this plan is that is greatly relieves overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary. It also significantly relieves overcrowding at Hightower Elementary and better balances enrollment at some of the schools. Portable classrooms are also better balanced.	For families in the Georgetown neighborhood of Dunwoody Green, this plan violates several of the criteria. First, it splits Dunwoody Green from the rest of the Georgetown neighborhood thus isolating us from our neighbors. It presents a serious safety concern b/c of traffic patterns. The programs that many families use don't support the new plan.	Our neighborhood of Dunwoody Green is geographically closer to DES. Lastly, moving our neighborhood to Chestnut will actually cause that school to be more overcrowded.
Vanderlyn ES		Doesn't resolve the overcrowding issue	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Gratefully for DCSD listening and addressing bulk of the community-wide concerns, including geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portable classrooms.	This plan does not address long term school capacity problem in the cluster. All changes suggested to Ves to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	Please keep safety concerns high; share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the cluster; reopen schools to serve the near by communities, minimize disruption and changes to the in school kids.
Dunwoody ES	1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary; however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary. 2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elementary. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to Mt Vernon Flats (high growth apt). 2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Dunwoody ES	This plan very appropriately helps relieve some cluster overcrowding by moving some students to DES. It also helps relieve substantial overcrowding at DES, which is helpful.	Doesn't account for future growth. Could move students west of Ashford Dunwoody to AES (closet proximity) to split areas of projected growth across 2 largest schools (helps instructional capacity for students). Area H breaks up intact neighborhoods. Keeping C in VES, B in DES, and moving all west of Ashford-Dunwoody to AES would lower disruption.	Areas of projected growth should be considered beyond 2021.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*provides overall relief for Dunwoody cluster.</li> <li>* Austin opens with portables, thus sharing in the overcrowding</li> <li>* Dunwoody North neighborhood stays intact</li> <li>* utilizes Doraville United to alleviate overcrowding in Dunwoody cluster</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Separates Dunwoody Green (Sec. H) from intact Georgetown community. Dunwoody Green would be isolated from both Georgetown &amp; Chesnut communities, due to geographic proximity.</li> <li>*Creates safety and traffic concerns as creates cross commuting issues with Dunwoody Green traffic southbound to CES and areas south of DG still traveling north to DES</li> </ul>	*If Chesnut prefers to absorb more students, consider Area E of Option 2 map, as this area is in closer proximity to CES than current Area H, eliminates safety & traffic cross commuting issues, Chesnut PAC requested Area E to be districted to CES.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Chestnut Elementary has been part of Dunwoody North since it's inception and like any community we want to keep and have our traditions. When committees not involved in our community try to make decisions about our community without understanding, it seems to be a little unfair.		
Vanderlyn ES	I can't say anything in favor of your proposal.	I am against this re-districting because it does NOT address any of your priorities. It disrupts students and families and doesn't releive over crowding. Please do NOTHING.	
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	minimal disruption to current enrollment numbers	Short term solution only and doesn't solve overcrowding challenge over long term.	Some large neighborhoods on detailed maps are broken out into individual zones - we should show all neighborhoods in same zone irrespective of size. I know this is a guiding principle but need to be shown as such on maps.
Chesnut ES	Keeps the Dunwoody North neighborhood together which is critical to the success and valued learning environment of the school. Maintains the geographic proximity and intact neighborhood criteria.		Please do not allow neighborhoods to be separated/divided.
Chesnut ES		Parents picking up park up and down the side streets of Dunwoody North making it impassable and dangerous. I was entering in Dunwoody North several times and cars were trying to pass in blind spots. They can't see over the parked cars waiting for pickup and are driving on my side of the road. The school or the police need to do something.	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	All schools are sharing portables which helps balance the overcrowding. However school utilization varies widely. For example, Chesnut, the smallest school, is at 137% capacity - the highest of all schools. Portables also increase in Chesnut, and being the smallest school, any planning mistakes will be disproportionately felt at Chesnut.	Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown should remain with Dunwoody Elementary rather than Chesnut. Areas to south / east of Dunwoody Green are districted to DES and will cause cross traffic issues as they head north. This plan isolates us from our communities. Geographic Proximity not being followed. DNCA (Chesnut) requested Area E in round 2, option 2 map.	Dunwoody Green should remain with DES and the Georgetown neighborhood. Area E from round 2, option 2 map should be considered instead. It resolves the Geographic Proximity, Intact Neighborhood, and Safety and Traffic Pattern criteria issues. Dunwoody Green concerns should be given the same consideration as neighborhoods impacted on round 2 maps.
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros. This latest map was developed in a vacuum and it appears to have significant issues that don't equally distribute population in a fair matter.	The plan violates Geographic Proximity, moving the high-density Section B to VES instead of the much closer Austin. The plan does not provide equitable relief to the Instructional Capacity in the cluster's schools and creates greater uncertainty for Projected Enrollment at VES, which has the highest utilization percentage of any in the DHS cluster.	The proposed plan unnecessarily shifts student communities (e.g. C&D) between schools in the cluster, negatively impacting safety, traffic patterns and neighborhoods. This actually reduces the efficient and economical operations for some schools, particularly VES.
Chesnut ES	Keeps Dunwoody North together. Chesnut operates better with 450+ students so the extra portables are a good thing. Also good for Kingsley.	You'll get a lot of complaints with having portables at the new Austin. I'm also worried it's too many kids taken away from Hightower. The way the neighborhood there has been split up seems strange. Hightower is a great school with a tight-knit community. Having extra kids there is not a bad thing.	Thank you for this much improved plan. We Dunwoody North folks appreciate it.
Vanderlyn ES		Vanderlyn Elementary School is the necessary school for our community.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Thanks to DCSD leadership for incorporating the community's feedback and letting the public have an opportunity for feedback! I am in strong support of the staff recommended plan. This keeps Dunwoody North community intact. I absolutely appreciate the challenging nature of the redistricting process and understand how hard it is.	I am in support of staff recommended plan. However, projected enrollment data through 2029 should be considered.	This staff recommended plan supports geographic proximity, instructional capacity & projected enrollment and keeping neighborhoods intact!
Dunwoody ES	Chestnut neighborhood stayed together. DES overcrowding addressed	Students moved out of VES for no reason because same number moved back in Note single Family homes need to be districted to Kingsley. More high growth areas should be districted to Austin so DES does not immediately become overwhelmed again!	Future growth, school resources, Dunwoody residents remaining in Dunwoody Mid and High
Vanderlyn ES		It breaks up my neighborhood (dunwoody club forest) and does not balance the multi-home communities with Austin.	Please keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros for this plan.	This plan would very negatively impact Vanderlyn Elementary. It would unnecessarily uproot students from Vanderlyn, it would cause inequitable distribution of high density housing residents throughout the Dunwoody Elementary System, and it would SIGNIFICANTLY WEAKEN Vanderlyn's financial and volunteer resources.	For the sake of the children, please keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Moving E (2 students in the Meadowlake neighborhood) to VES from Kingsley makes sense because the children in E are closest geographically to VES (they can easily walk to VES) and it keeps the Meadowlake community together at VES. Plan gives overcrowding relief to DES but at cost of putting VES at severe risk of overcrowding.	Unnecessarily disrupts 191 students. C&D are closest to VES and should remain at VES. B is closest to Austin and should move to Austin. Plan will cause VES to be at risk for severe overcrowding. Inequitably distributes high density housing (unpredictable # of students) to VES and single family neighborhoods (finite # of students) to Austin.	Families in C & D acct for 25-30% of VES's volunteer participation. Moving C&D away from VES will negatively impact the efficient and economical operations of VES and the Dunwoody cluster. Please move B to AES and leave C&D at VES, which will keep VES boundaries intact and equitably distribute high density housing in Dunwoody cluster.
Dunwoody ES	Utilize new school - moving 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United. Moving approximate 200 DES students in A & B to Vanderlyn and Austin to ease overcrowding DES.	Moving school and educational environment has some amount of impact on student's performance. Adding portables and redistricting to ease the burden on overcrowded school is one option but it is only temporary solution. As we see continuous #s of students at certain school, we need a new school or DES is the one to be given a new building.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		<p>Unnecessarily uprooting almost 100 students from Vanderlyn Elementary (Section C &amp; D).            Creating additional traffic congestion on already overburdened city roads            â€¢Creating the potential for new pedestrian safety hazards            â€¢Inequitable distribution of high-density housing developments throughout the Dunwoody Elementary System</p>	<p>Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C &amp; D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoodyâ€™s growth by moving Section B to Austin</p>
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	<p>None for Vanderlyn, it is not ok to help one school, and then turn around and burden another. What is the point?</p>	<p>Vanderlyn is already overcrowded, I see it every time I walk my children into the school. People move to Dunwoody (such as ourselves) because the schools have such a wonderful reputation. We are going to lose that if we continue with the plan as it stands. Disperse the kids equally, and where they fit.</p>	<p>Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C &amp; D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoodyâ€™s growth by moving Section B to Austin!</p>
Vanderlyn ES	<p>Austin gets a brand new school and Dunwoody gets lower class sizes.</p>	<p>It is way too much movement of students switching schools. As a parent impacted by the last redistricting, both of my sons, two different ages had to switch schools for one year then were moved back to their original school. This is too disruptive for children that age. The bus situation for Area D makes no sense is completely inefficient.</p>	<p>The most efficient plan is to redistrict Area B to Austin and get rid of Areas C, D, and J. Vanderlyn can handle more students due to the portable quads.</p>

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Unnecessarily uprooting almost 100 students from Vanderlyn Elementary (Section C & D) Creating additional traffic congestion on already overburdened city roads Creating the potential for new pedestrian safety hazards Inequitable distribution of high-density housing developments throughout the Dunwoody Elementary System Significantly weakening the	
Vanderlyn ES	Decrease in modulars for some schools. Not many pros.	Vanderlyn will have the most negative impact of any school for zero net gain. Loss of families that volunteer, provide leadership and financial resources to the school. Extremely small neighborhood feel when over half the school lives in multifamily homes. Increase in traffic on major roads (Mt. V, Womack) and going by DHS that are now overcrowded.	Unfair distribution of multifamily homes and high density areas. Look at the percentage of students living in these homes per school and it will be clear that Austin is the lowest of any school and Vanderlyn is the highest.
Vanderlyn ES	Moving E to Vanderlyn makes sense because the children in E are able to walk to VES but would have to drive to Kingsley, and it keeps the Meadowlake community together at VES. Plan gives overcrowding relief to DES but does overcrowd Vanderlyn.	Unnecessarily disrupts 190+ students. C&D are closest to VES and should remain at VES. B is closest to Austin and should move to Austin. Plan will cause VES to be at risk for severe overcrowding. Inequitably distributes high density housing (variable # of students) to VES and single family neighborhoods (finite # of students) to Austin	Families in C & D are 25-30% Vanderlyn's volunteers. Moving C&D away from VES will negatively impact the efficient and economical operations of VES and the Dunwoody cluster. Please move B to Austin and leave C&D at VES, which will keep VES boundaries intact and equitably distribute high density housing in Dunwoody cluster.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros	The safety of our children going to Kingsley, we live right on Tilly mill and mount Vernon, there are no side walks along the way to get to school, also it's a longer distance.	There are only not more than 5 children going to Vanderlyn from our neighborhood, that is a very small number on children and has no impact on Vanderlyn, it has more impact on our children.
Vanderlyn ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unnecessarily uprooting almost 100 students from Vanderlyn Elementary (Section C &amp; D)</li> <li>Creating additional traffic congestion on already overburdened city roads</li> <li>Creating the potential for new pedestrian safety hazards</li> <li>Inequitable distribution of high-density housing developments throughout the Dunwoody Elementary System</li> <li>Significantly weak</li> </ul>	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!
Vanderlyn ES		This plan will negatively impact Vanderlyn Families removing 100 students from our family, creating addition traffic congestion, weakening Vanderlyn's financial and volunteer resources.	The high density housing is closer to Austin than Vanderlyn.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. Dunwoody Elementary and Hightower Elementary become less crowded.	Area D would add a 3rd duplicate bus route, one for Austin, Vanderlyn and Kingsley. Students who walk would be endangered at the 4-way stop at Dunwoody Club/ Jet Ferry intersection.	
Austin ES	it, seeks to re-align students that live in close proximity to their closest elementary school, best accomplishes an equitable distribution of students and portable units, provides substantial and immediate relief for Dunwoody Elementary and Hightower Elementary, and takes a comprehensive and equitable view of projected enrollment (high growth)		
Vanderlyn ES		Staff recommended plan is inequitable to Vanderlyn ES. Bottom line - the high density clusters need to be evenly distributed among all the elementary schools.	
Vanderlyn ES		C & D should stay with Vanderlyn and Section B should go to Austin	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	The plan goes outside the cluster to help relieve overcrowding and reduce trailers.	There is unnecessary movement in the plan. There are 90 students moving from DES to VES and 78 students moving from VES to AES when these 90 could just be moved from DES to AES. Then the higher density housing would be more evenly distributed between AES, VES, and DES. Ashworth looks like it should be in VES based on the proposed plan.	Ashworth should be in the Vanderlyn district as it links the disconnected portion of the VES district. DES needs more relief. The majority of the new high density housing developments are zoned for DES and will increase the overcrowding at DES.
Chesnut ES	The East Side of the Dunwoody North neighborhood is located 1 mile closer to Chesnut Elementary. The neighborhood students often walk/bike to school. The map above promotes better safety for the kids and less congested traffic. Keeping Dunwoody North intact More efficient and economical for Chestnut as Dun. Nor is primary supporter for this school		Dunwoody North is 1 neighborhood on both sides of Tilly Mill. Keep our neighborhood intact! Safer, less traffic congestion, better support economically for school and neighborhood as we, The Dunwoody North neighborhood share one amenity/social facility, the Dunwoody North Driving Club. Families and children of this neighborhood are closely bonded.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES		We have an "intact neighborhood" that is individual homes although we're being wrongfully classed as apartments/townhomes and Plan is causing us to drive against "Geographic Proximity" thereby not "efficient or economical". Should stay in DES, or Austin, not break up and go to Chestnut.	Safety and Traffic Patterns as the Plan has negative impact
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Need to keep the Vanderlyn community together. It does not make practical sense from a perspective of a student's physical and emotional context to change schools Midway.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	I do not see any pros for the staff recommended plan. Its seems arbitrary and contrary to the needs of the community and schools.	This plan does not take into consideration the needs and desires of the community.	Listen to the community stakeholders concerns and perspectives! I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition.
Vanderlyn ES	None. I think the recommended plan is highly disruptive without providing necessary benefits. Further, it is not sufficient to evaluate one recommended plan against As-Is. there are components of previous options that had merit but we can't compare against that by not seeing it.	For Vanderlyn specifically, the net change in over capacity is 7 kid improvement but requires a lot of kids to move. If there is no material change in addressing the over capacity issue why are so many kids being moved.	Equitable distribution of high density housing. Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!
Vanderlyn ES		This proposal will affect the city of Dunwoody 1) The solution can be achieved by moving area B ( closer) to Austin instead of two areas, keep C& D in Vanderlyn. The current change will create Traffic congestion. It will take away volunteer pools and PTO donations. Does not solve the issue long term and will end up with the same issue in few years	While no new Multi unit building being added. More baby boomer are selling single family homes to younger family.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood . Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Vanderlyn ES	None.	This plan simply shuffles students around and does not reduce the overcrowding in Vanderlyn. Therefore, it does not make sense to move students from Vanderlyn when it does nothing to ease the overcrowding at Vanderlyn. I appears you are shuffling students just to shuffle.	Proximity to school is very important for redistricting.
Vanderlyn ES		Moving students closer to Austin to Vanderlyn Uprooting students from Vanderlyn Creating traffic conjection Unequitable distribution of high density housing developments in Dunwoody Current plan only benefits Dunwoody Elementary and hurts Dunwoody Club Forest	
Vanderlyn ES			Keep Vanderlyn Elementary School intact by keeping sections c & d at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving section b to Austin

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Takes many cars off road by adding Mount Vernon Way area kids to Austin. Excellent map for reducing traffic and filling seats.	No cons. Mr Drake did an excellent job	For starters, the Super is now a â€œsheâ€. Superintendent should consider ignoring the whiners and complainers who donâ€™t want their kids mixing with kids from apartments
Dunwoody ES		Section A isn't closer to Austin as determined by some groups. My child doesn't want to move to a new school her last year in elementary school.	
Vanderlyn ES	NONE	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact. My property value is devalued if the boundaries are changed. Families move into Dunwoody Club Forest so that their children can go to Vanderlyn. KEEP sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin.	I am opposed to redistricting. Listen to Dunwoody Club Forest residents.
Vanderlyn ES	Limited Pros for Staff Recommended Plan Only move Cluster A (106 students) to Austin where the 101 seat exist. Don't disturb anything else..	Staff moved 533 students to pick up only 108 seats. Almost all available seats now exist at New Austin(101). Staff moved 191 students in/out of Vanderlyn to decrease over crowding by only 7 students Not worth trouble/pain for DCSS or students/families. Don't change Vanderlyn District.	A new school is needed in Perimeter Mall area near the new housing and future housing development

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	allocating extra austin seats across elementary schools	carving up areas of schools that do not make any sense and seem politically motivated. hightower ends up with less than capacity vs. every other school.	full transparency. specific boundaries were never discussed in meetings and then appeared
Vanderlyn ES	None	Moving kids already settled in a school. Keep C & D as is	
Austin ES		This plan doesn't take into account the massive crowding at the high school level. The Dunwoody cluster may need to allocate Hightower ES to the Doraville school district or another DeKalb school district to deal with overall Dunwoody crowding.	
Vanderlyn ES		Keep C and D at Vanderlyn and move B to either Austin or keep it at DES. It is closer to both of those schools than it is to Vanderlyn. Thanks.	By keeping the single family homes at Vanderlyn it increases our schools ability to keep funding at a high level and the integrity of the school at a high level. Thanks.
Vanderlyn ES	None for Vanderlyn. That is where my grandchildren go.	Does not reduce the number of children at the school or the number of trailers used at Vanderlyn. Overcrowding is exactly the same as it currently is. This redistricting takes away many of the volunteers and supporters of the school. It would take away 30% of the PTO and parent volunteers.	Vanderlyn should be able to keep their district intact. High density housing should be shared with all the schools.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	As a parent of three Dunwoody children who all succeeded at Kingsley, I support the decision to move 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	M children thrived at Kingsley. This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them, for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communities Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Vanderlyn ES	It is alleviating some of the overcrowding in the Dunwoody ES cluster, but not much.	It does not make sense that the two newest schools (DES & Austin) will be at 105% & 109% while the very old buildings (Vanderlyn, Chessnut & Kingsley) are at 133%, 137% & 123%. There needs to be a balance, since newer buildings are far more comfortable. DCS's need to look to move more students to Austin and DES (unless renovations/rebuild plans).	He needs to consider funding a rebuild of Vanderlyn, Chesnut and Kingsley. These schools are older than the original Austin Elementary. Why Austin was chosen for a rebuild is still a mystery. Also, it would be wise to consider using the old & empty Chamblee Middle School property to build another elementary school to alleviate the overcrowding.
Dunwoody ES	I am pleased with this map. Glad Ashworth is maintained in DES.		
Dunwoody ES	This plan gives Austin trailers.	This plan does not move Ashworth to Vanderlyn. Please move Ashworth to Vanderlyn as the old map options showed. C should stay at Vanderlyn and B should move to Austin.	Move Ashworth to Austin or Vanderlyn
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	None	Kingsley's official response is correct in it's assessment: Zoning Hightower students to Kingsley is discriminatory. It would force Hightower students to be bussed further due to its demographic makeup. Out of all the schools, Kingsley already has the highest # of Hispanic, low income, non English speaking students. Zoning needs to be fair for ALL.	
Vanderlyn ES	NOTHING	High-density housing (which leaves the most potential for unexpected growth) is not equitably distributed amongst the cluster It moves students located significantly closer to AES (SECTION B) to VES Unnecessarily moving over 100 students from VES from SECTION C & D (both sections are closer to VES then the schools they would move to)	Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone) Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster and shifted in the proposed plan
Vanderlyn ES	addresses geographic proximity and safety concerns (I.e. distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) for Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all of dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity concerns. All changes suggested for VES provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when a long term plan is introduced	It is appreciated if DCDS could: keep safety concerns high (eg Oxford chases current safe, right turn traffic pattern and safe walk/bike route to VES; share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy creek and oak cliff to serve the nearby communities

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	This plan takes into account the safety and traffic issues for Oxford Chase specifically.	This plan does not address long term capacity problem in the cluster. All changes suggested for Vanderlyn provide negligible gain. The Vanderlyn community should stay intact and should be revisited when a long term plan is introduced. There is no reason to move a large number of kids and add back in the same number from somewhere else.	It is appreciated if DCSD could keep the safety concerns high and build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level.
Vanderlyn ES	None	Uproots a small number of students from their school. It is such a small number it will not benefit the overcrowding problem, yet it will uproot these children who need continuity and superior school, which is Vanderlyn.	
Dunwoody ES	Reduce the utilization on DES from 130% to 105% and the overall number of portables	For our community (Ashworth) it doesn't resolve an issue. Our community is assigned to farthest school from our community (DES) instead of the closest one to our community - AES. Representatives from our community have participated in all sessions and stated this position several times including to county representatives but we have not been heard	Move Ashworth community from DES to AES which is the closest school to our community. With current traffic issues in the city of Dunwoody the closest schools would help with current traffic issues in Womack rd
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Even distribution of portable classrooms across all elementary schools including Austin.	Vanderlyn losing key part of PTA and annual fund participants (Move C). Getting more apartment complex (Move B) will have negative impact at Vanderlyn with school kids projection, participation. Vanderlyn still remains at the highest on ~utilization %™.	Even utilization spread among all schools.
Vanderlyn ES	I frankly don't see any pros for the recommended plan. The margin of error in estimating enrollment is larger than the current total projected decline in enrollment if the plan is enacted (7 fewer students). As such, I cannot support the plan.	Seems to violate the #1 stated goal of close proximity.	Need to address solutions for overcrowding in general in Dekalb and Vanderlyn in particular.
Vanderlyn ES	Keeping Oxford Chase neighborhood within Vanderlyn ES	For Vanderlyn ES (VES): removing areas C and D destroys the efficient and economic operation of VES by removing 25% of VES PTO board, volunteers and fund donors that economically support VES for no reduction in capacity. Instead, keep C, D; move B (closer to Austin ES anyways!) to Austin. Capacity at Austin increases 1% and is still 20% below VES.	Minimizing student disruption and maintaining current community in each school as much as possible

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		VES is strained as is. Keep C and D in place Disrupting the current community will weaken it. Newer larger schools should absorb higher density housing students from B. It is safer for B students to be on buses and let the walking community remain.	
Vanderlyn ES	Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. Dunwoody Elementary and Hightower Elementary become less crowded.	Area D effects only 21 students but would have devastating impact on current/future families since homes were sold targeting attendance at VES. Students would loose interaction with friends in next door Dunwoody Club Forest, while non-effected Ashford-Dunwoody transients & those in A & B would maintain/gain objective of living in VES/AES district.	Ashford-Dunwoody should have had a new/separate school built when area became zoned as residential.
Dunwoody ES	Appears to reduce over crowding at most schools	Austin still has significant capacity while others are still over crowded. The Hightower school is split into two different high school districts, making it difficult for students to reconnect with friends as they transition to middle.	Put more students at the new Austin!
Vanderlyn ES	None, it clearly is a result of catering to specific requests by Austin parents to limit multi-family enrollment from the original 3 options.	Does not follow primary and secondary criteria. Location B is much closer to Austin. This plan moves way more kids than needed just to cater to specific Austin requests. Why move established Vanderlyn kids out to make room for kids that don't feed to vanderlyn today?	Don't move more kids than necessary just to appease parents at 1 school.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	B and A should go to AES. This map is ridiculous. Geographical proximity should be the primary factor as it would in any non racially based school redistricting decision any where else in the US.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES		Parents have been truly invested in Austin and Vanderlyn to stay in the city of DUNWOODY..	
Dunwoody ES	Alleviates overcrowding at Dunwoody ES. Yay! And other schools get equitable number of students. All for this plan.		
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt)</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other school</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Aligns geography (1.2 miles w walk through neighborhoods vs 2.7 miles with unsafe walking route to Kingsley), enrollment (if accurate numbers were actually used) lines up, considers safety and traffic patterns more adequately than prior options, and keeps our neighborhood intact.	None that I see	Long term development. This is simply another bandaid.
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Dunwoody ES	None.	Completely unacceptable. Most disruptive out of all the plans. Redistricting students from 10/10 district to a 6/10. Or 8/10 to a 6/10. Move students between austin/vanderlyn/dunwoody and Kingsley/Chestnut. This plan is hurting families and homeowners. Unacceptable!	Redistribute students between similarly-rated schools. Thats whats fair to people who bought their homes for the school districts.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Kingsley ES			I support the proposal by Kingsley communities coalition, and support initial west to east moves.
Dunwoody ES	I am satisfied with the new map. Ashworth is currently in DES. Thank you!		
Kingsley ES	Leaves Dunwoody North neighborhood in tact	Moves 65 HES students to KES when HES enrollment is projected to go down. Perhaps it would be better to move VES (Oxford Chase neighborhood) students to KES as VES enrollment is projected to increase	SHE (not he) should consider longer term enrollment projections when moving students.
Vanderlyn ES	Appears to help overcrowding at Hightower	Unnecessarily uproot almost 100 students from VE; Create additional traffic congestion; Inequitable distribution of high density housing; Moving students who are closer to Austin to Vanderlyn; Potentially creating new pedestrian safety hazards	Keep sections C and D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving section B to Austin

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!		
Dunwoody ES	Everyone bears the portable problem	Vanderlyn gets zero relief and continues to be ignored. Our older schools like Vanderlyn should not be prioritized ahead of newer schools like Austin. Also, I think if we looked at this map from free lunch programs it will prove that Austin/Vanderlyn continue to redline.	Diversity and Inclusion, Free lunch ratios, cafeteria, gym, and outdoor capacity. Seats and portables are only part of it.
Austin ES	Less trailers	Still have trailers	
Vanderlyn ES	We moved here because the students in our neighborhood went to Vanderlyn es. We want to remain at Vanderlyn		
Vanderlyn ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unnecessarily uprooting almost 100 students from Vanderlyn Elementary</li> <li>Creating the potential for new pedestrian safety hazards</li> <li>Inequitable distribution of high-density housing developments throughout the Dunwoody Elementary System</li> <li>Significantly weakening the Vanderlyn's financial and volunteer resources</li> <li>Moving students located signifi</li> </ul>	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		We would prefer to keep the areas marked as C & D assigned to Vanderlyn, as they currently are. They are a part of our neighborhood and natural, physical divides keep them in our territory. It would cause great harm to our neighborhood's sense of community and belonging to be split in the way suggested above.	equitable distribution of multifamily housing among all relatively close schools.
Vanderlyn ES	The proposed plan provides no benefits significant enough to warrant uprooting and relocating 533 students. This is especially true for transfers alone and as whole when no improvement is made to overall utilization. There has to be a more efficient way to reduce crowding. This only moves the problem elsewhere, affecting 533 families in the process	Staff changes provide Vanderlyn with no real improvement in utilization (1.3% reduction) while putting Kingsley at a drastic disadvantage (21% increase). By ratio of available classrooms to # of students enrolled, Vanderlyn is far better equipped for crowding. I see no reason to move 21 kids to Kingsley if keeping them only impacts utilization 2.7%	School test scores the at schools proposed to increase in utilization and total enrolled students.
Dunwoody ES	DES gets much needed relief Most neighborhoods are intact	Relief is for short term - high growth areas still under DES	
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody schools	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build new school in cluster; re-open Nancy Creek & Oakcliff to serve nearby communities; minimize disruption/changes until there is stability at the DCSD leadership
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	I believe this plan is probably the best option for all concerned.		
Vanderlyn ES	We DO NOT see any pros to November 20, 2019 proposed plan	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Dunwoody ES	Plan incorporates partial feedback to reduce overall cluster crowding and keep neighborhoods together to the detriment of DES in years beyond 2022.	<p>Dec. 6 lockdown at DES demonstrates unsafe nature of trailers. Trailer-housed third grade packed into library and watched movies during the instructional day because trailers were not considered safe! Transition exposed students. This redistricting should spread cluster overcrowding and limit to minimize portables in cluster.</p>	Projected growth in the next 5 years for DES and not other schools based on perimeter growth which is closest to Austin but districted youâ€™re DES.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United	Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-1 Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt). 2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior 3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Vanderlyn ES		Vanderlyn will still be well over capacity with no reduction to the number of portables at all!	
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	None	This proposed redistricting of Wellesley Place affects our children because it is half of a mile longer which is against the Geographic Proximity criteria listed We have no impact on the Instructional capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn The walking\biking route is much longer and dangerous than our current to Vanderlyn	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood currently has parents who volunteer, provide resources and financial support to Vanderlyn. This proposal will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical Operations of Vanderlyn ES
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!	Too many students attending Vanderlyn for the acreage of the school. No space for recess. Do not need to take more outdoor space with trailers. Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!
Kingsley ES	reconize there is over crowding	If geogrical location important, why move students through chestnut to kingsley. Flip flops utiliztion between hightower and kingsley, does not improve. I support letter from kingsley communication	use the land of old peachtree high school to build another elementary school, parents in more affluent neighborhoods can afford lawyers
Vanderlyn ES	Austin and Dunwoody ES benefit significantly from this plan in terms of utilization and high density housing.	Increased overcrowding at Vanderlyn due to more high density housing makeup that isn't equitable across all of Dunwoody and does not consider geographic proximity to schools fairly. Lots of disruption to Vanderlyn with no positive gains. Keep Section C & D at Vanderlyn. Move Section B to Austin to minimize overcrowding At Vanderlyn and Dunwoody ES.	Keep Vanderlyn together. I am in support of the of the letter from the Vanderlyn Principal Advisory Council.
Vanderlyn ES			Statistical error and projection errors 2 years out with such small numbers of students being changed in schools already over capacity. Let them stay with their existing peer group.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening to a lot of the concerns addressed by my neighborhood. This plan addresses geographic proximity issue and very important to me this plan also addresses the safety/traffic issues of the Oxford Chase neighborhood. This plan also helps lessen the burden of enrollment in Dunwoody ES and spreads enrollment across schools.	This plan still does not address the long term capacity problems of the clusters and instead is a temporary fix. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when a long term plan is introduced.	Most important to me would be that the DCSD keeps safety concerns and traffic congestion issues as the highest priority when making their decision on which plan to choose. For the Oxford Chase district making a left turn during busy traffic times is very unsafe. I would also like to keep the safe walking route to VES intact.
Chesnut ES	Keeps Dunwoody North Neighborhood intact. We are very gracious to the board for this plan as we feel it is crucial to Chesnut's success to have our neighborhood stay together with the East side of Tilly Mill staying at Chesnut. We are happy to embrace section H of the plan into Chesnut as well.	None, we are happy with this for Chesnut's success and grateful to the board for the work they put into this.	Please keep Chesnut's district as proposed. It is crucial to keep Dunwoody North Neighborhood together. Thank you so much for listening to us in Round 2 and addressing in Round 3! We are very happy with this plan.
Chesnut ES	Keeps Dunwoody North Neighborhood intact. We are very gracious to the board for this plan as we feel it is crucial to Chesnut's success to have our neighborhood stay together with the East side of Tilly Mill staying at Chesnut. We are happy to embrace section H of the plan into Chesnut as well.	None, we are happy with this for Chesnut's success and grateful to the board for the work they put into this.	Please keep Chesnut's district as proposed. It is crucial to keep Dunwoody North Neighborhood together. Thank you so much for listening to us in Round 2 and addressing in Round 3! We are very happy with this plan.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide DES is getting the attention it needs to alleviate their extreme overcrowding and huge school size	High-density housing (which leaves the most potential for unexpected growth) is not equitably distributed amongst the cluster Unnecessarily moving over 100 students from VES from SECTION C&D (both sections are closer to VES than the schools they would move to) Provides no relief for VES's overcrowding Provides no reduction in # of VES trailers	Vanderlyn Elementary has been overcrowded for years and our population has never once complained. We have been a quiet community, going about our business of being one of the top elementary schools in the county and state without ever complaining about our overcrowding. Please hear our complaints now and respect our population's wishes.
Vanderlyn ES	Geographic Proximity and our safety concerns	Negligible gain	I think listening to the communities and trying to be as fair as possible is all you can do. Good luck as you make this decisions. Wish there was a way to make everyone happy, but life doesn't work that way!
Vanderlyn ES	Hightower and Dunwoody elementary schools have some relief from overcrowding.	Uprooting almost 100 students from Vanderlyn (Sections C&D) Inequitable distribution of high-density housing developments throughout the Dunwoody Elementary System Significantly weakening Vanderlyn's financial and volunteer resources Moving students located significantly closer to Austin Elementary (Section B) to Vanderlyn Elementary.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school.Â Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood.Â DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need.Â But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn.Â Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted.Â Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain.Â Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn.Â Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient.Â No easy bus turnaround.Â Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools.Â DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley.Â This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities.Â Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Dunwoody ES	More facilities like access to books ,study material for tests and sports	Funding for the above through Grant's or donations or both	Creative robotics,chess competetions

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced	keep safety concerns high (Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn& safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities;&minimize disruption&changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	keep safety concerns high (Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn& safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities;&minimize disruption&changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level
Vanderlyn ES	Safety/traffic issues are a primary concern with respect to distance, walk/bike path safety, and the traffic congestion that would be caused by school buses trying to turn left onto Mt. Vernon from Oxford Chase in the morning (to school) hours; the latter is very dangerous.	The plan does not address the need for a long-term plan. Hence, I believe it is best that further Vanderlyn planning be held in abeyance until such time that a long-term plan has been developed and approved by all stake-holders.	I believe that the current method of routing Oxford Chase children to Vanderlyn is safe and should be regarded as the most favorable option. We would also like to see more details on forecasting methods with respect to elementary school loading as well as other options such as new schools and stability in the senior levels of DCSD staffing.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES	Oxford Chase students now have sidewalks and crosswalk all the way to school. When I drive there, I can (more or less!) easily turn right onto Mt Vernon to begin the drive. Kinglsey is totally unwalkable --- too far and many road without sidewalk. Worse still, Sandel Drive is a commuter cut-thru! It has speed bumps because of so much traffic.	Not a sure long-term solution. Does not address the fact that more students are living in the apartments on Ashford-Dunwoody. (Until about 10 years ago, most residents there were mostly childless working professionals)	Sidewalks to school. Traffic flow of Perimeter Mall commuter traffic.
Vanderlyn ES	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn.	Fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Looks to be a good step forward in reducing portables.	Too many students moving. Vanderlyn portables remains unchanged while Austin could take more portables and students. It looks like the most common sense thing to do on a map is to move B to Austin. Maybe keep C in Vanderlyn and you reduce overall moves.	I live in ashworth neighborhood. We are closest to Austin and closer to vanderlyn than Dunwoody elementary. I think many in our neighborhood would support being moved to a closer school.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes un
Vanderlyn ES	maintains same enrollment levels at Vanderlyn	Does not address overcrowding or portable classrooms	The balance of apartments that may come into the Vanderlyn District. This should be equal amongst all the ES in the area
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide	High-density housing is not equitably distributed amongst the cluster It moves students located significantly closer to AES (SECTION B) to VES Unnecessarily moving over 100 students from VES from SECTION C & D Provides no reduction in the number of trailers/modulars at VES Significantly weakens VES's volunteer resources	Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone) Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster are shifted in the proposed plan



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Keep Vanderlyn Elem as is keeping sections c & d at vanderlyn and move section b to Austin	
Vanderlyn ES	none	Vanderlyn will still be overcrowded and it has a higher level of overcrowding than Austin and many other elementary schools. Under this plan Vanderlyn will experience an unpredictable level of growth and shoulder the highest level of transient students who move in and out of apartments.	The age and condition of each elementary school should be considered as well as the size of the plot of land that school sits on. Dunwoody elementary has 17 acres on which to place trailers and Vanderlyn has only 9 acres of land. This plan was put forth without any community input. Incorrect statements of support for this plan were also made.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	It gave some relief to Dunwoody but at the sacrifice of unfairness to many neighborhoods	DUNWOODY TRACE sits on the opposite end of Brookrun Park and Chesnut which isolates us from any Chesnut neighborhoods. If DT is moved in Section H, the nearest Chesnut neighbors will be 1.5 miles away while DES children are right across the street. Community isolation and the students social/emotional needs to be addressed in Section H.	Section H needs to be looked at closer. Where are the neighborhoods? Which areas are non-developed areas without children. Drawing a map along roads is not key to how communities are actually built.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediately relieves overcrowding at DES; provides relief to the cluster by moving some kids to Doraville United.	Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem; need to consider growth past 2021. AES will not grow like DES. Our community does not want to go through redistricting again soon. It is disruptive and stressful for everyone. Area H is separated from their community.	Do not consider opening Nancy Creek as a community school for Dunwoody students. I-285 is a major geographically boundary separating communities. It will be an even bigger boundary when the construction on the I-285/Chamblee-Dunwoody Road Intersection begins. It would make getting across I-285 more difficult and more unsafe than it already is.
Vanderlyn ES	It addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria concerns for the Oxford Chase neighborhood.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested for VES to date provide negligible gain	More transparency on process and methodologies. Investment in a long term solution to the aging school facilities.
Kingsley ES	None	Hightower students proposed to come to Kingsley are currently .9 miles from their school. Moving them to Kingsley would be 2.9 miles. Walkable distance to school is very important for parent involvement, not a luxury.	Moving as few students as possible.
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, biggest % students impacted. Area D in geographic prox. w/ Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient; & no easy bus turnaround. We would be separated from the rest of Kingsley area by a commercial district - no safety. 21 students in D would contribute to overcrowding at Kingsley	Please, do not move area D to Kingsley, keep it with Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn can manage its current enrollment, but moving Area D to Kingsley would make Kingsley overcrowding with no necessity.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	'- reduced overcapacity by adding 108 spots at Doraville United elementary	'- Broke up Dunwoody Green Neighborhood (Section H west of Shallowford) from rest of Georgetown community - Dunwoody Green Neighborhood (Section H west of Shallowford) is closer to DES than Chestnut	'- Dunwoody Green Neighborhood (Section H west of Shallowford) was not listed as option to move during first two rounds. It seems unfair to move Dunwoody Green to Chestnut as part of staff recommendation when it was never listed as option in the past for public hearing/discussion
Vanderlyn ES	Okay except for moving existing Vanderlyn students out of their district just to accommodate other Dunwoody Elementary students.	Why are Vanderlyn students being sent to Austin (C) then Dunwoody students moving into Vanderlyn (B)? Leave lines between those two schools alone. Move more Dunwoody students into Kingsley instead.	
Vanderlyn ES	*Overcrowding relief to DES and HES â€¢ Reduction in portables cluster-wide	*It moves students located significantly closer to AES (SECTION B) to VES *Unnecessarily moves 100 students from VES from SECTION C & D â€¢ Provides no relief for VESâ€™ overcrowding â€¢ Provides no reduction in the number of trailers at VES â€¢ High-density housing (which has the most potential for unexpected growth) is not equitably distributed	Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars. Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone). Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster are shifted in the proposed plan

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide	High-density housing not equally distributed moves students located much closer to AES (SEC. B) to VES moves over 100 students from VES from C & D (both closer to VES) Provides no relief for VES overcrowding Provides no reduction # of trailers at VES Significantly weakening VES volunteers Adds street congestion New pedestrian hazards	Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone) Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster are shifted in the proposed plan
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide	High-density housing not equally distributed moves students located much closer to AES (SEC. B) to VES moves over 100 students from VES from C & D (both closer to VES) Provides no relief for VES overcrowding Provides no reduction # of trailers at VES Significantly weakening VES volunteers Adds street congestion New pedestrian hazards	Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone) Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster are shifted in the proposed plan

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Unnecessarily uprooting almost 100 students from Vanderlyn Elementary (Section C & D) Creating additional traffic congestion on already overburdened roads, Inequitable distribution of high-density housing developments throughout the Dunwoody Elementary System .	
Austin ES	No pro for staff plan. No additional students and no portable classrooms.	I think it is truly a disgrace to add portable classrooms to a brand new school. Why did the county not project the number of students prior to building a brand new school. With adequate planning no trailers would have been needed & maybe an extra floor built instead. Drive on Roberts Rd in Am prior to adding all these additional students. Nightmare	Please build ANOTHER new school for all over crowding and start making plans for continued overcrowding in Dunwoody Cluster.
Hightower ES	HIGHTOWER BIGGER SCHOOL THAT WHAT WE NEED . HIGHTOWER BELONGS TOGETHER	Because they are separating the neighborhood, And besides it's to far from our neighborhood Doraville United. what we need Hightower to be bigger school, moving the kids to other school doesn't resolve the overcrowding HIGHTOWER BELONGS TOGETHER	Make Hightower bigger.
Hightower ES	Ninguna de esto recomendaciones esta bien LO QUE HIGHTOWER NECESITA ES AMPLIARLA MÁS GRANDE	NOSOTROS NIÑOS DE HIGHTOWER NECESITAN SU ESCUELA MÁS GRANDE SEPARÁNDOLOS NO SOLUCIONAN NADA	QUE NECESITAN REBISAR BIEN ESE MAPA QUE SE ME ASE UN LA RIDICULES SEPARAR NIÑOS DE SU VECINDARIO.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	reduction in trailers?? but for how long	Moving Dunwoody Trace to Chesnut from DES Isolates our neighborhood from our neighbors at Village Mill. Most of us are members of Village Mill swim and tennis and have social events together. We are a very small community with only 6 kids being affected. Concerns of traffic patterns/safety. Closest Chesnut neighborhood is over a mile away.	Geographic Proximity, Safety and Traffic Patterns, Intact Neighborhoods
Dunwoody ES	Not sure pros for our neighborhood Dunwoody Trace	Dunwoody Trace kids and neighbors are very close to Adams Walk and Village Mill. They are the closes neighborhoods for DT. We trick or treat together and are part of Village Mill Swim and tennis. Proximity to Chesnut neighbor hood is not walking distance	Proximity, neighborhood relations, Redistributing few kids for what gain? 6 kids in our neighborhood
Vanderlyn ES		It differs significantly from prior plans and increases student disruption. The proposal of sending students in Section B, a high growth area, to Vanderlyn does not make sense when Section B is much closer to the New Austin Elementary which has the most capacity. Section C & D should remain at Vanderlyn!	Recommendations from the Vanderlyn PTO and Principal Advisory Council

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Section B should not be moved to Vanderlyn as it goes against the primary criteria. Section B is closer to the new Austin Elementary and should go there. Section C&D are closer to Vanderlyn and should remain there. Section B has a larger percentage of high-density housing. Moving B to Vanderlyn which has the least amount of capacity is a mistake	Review the recommendation from the Vanderlyn PTO and Principals Advisory Committee.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. This plan addresses the geographic proximity, the dangerous left turn and walk/bike path safety of Oxford Chase neighborhood. It also spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	It does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is very appreciated if DCSD could keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES). Please build new schools in the Dunwoody cluster, not only elementary schools, but also middle and high schools.
Vanderlyn ES		Will affect property value	
Hightower ES	HIGHTOWER BELONGS TOGETHER.	THE BEST SOLUTION IS FIX OUR SCHOOL HIGHTOWER MAKE IT BIGGER.THE SCHOOL DORAVILLE UNITED IS TO FAR FROM OUR NEIGHBORHOOD AND THATS SEPARATING NEIGHBORHOOD	GO OVER THE MAP AGAIN AND SEE THAT ITS SO RIDICULOUS IS TO FAR FROM OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. MOST OF OUR PATENTS GO WALKING TO OUR HIGHTOWER MOVING KIDS THATS MAKE SO DIFFICULT FOR PARENTS TO BE INVOLVE IN SCHOOL BECAUSE IS TO FAR

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Surveys were honors and we were able to keep Chesnut communities intact.	Section H is now being moved from DES to Chesnut. We do not need additional students that would push our enrollment over capacity. In addition these communities are far from any other standing Chesnut neighborhoods. We donâ€™t endorse taking section H.	
Vanderlyn ES	Reasonable distribution of overcrowding across Dunwoody (except as noted below) Sensible geographic boundaries	Some moves seem unnecessary, such as moving section C to Austin from VES and moving section B to VES (leaving DES at a low utilization compared to VES.	
Dunwoody ES	Reduces number of clusters and alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster	Isolates Dunwoody Green(DG) in Area H from the Georgetown community. Most daycares, after schools and swim/tennis that is attended by the neighborhood serve DES/Vanderlyn. Our kids will be isolated from Chesnut and the Georgetown communities. Traffic and safety concerns for DG and the area south of DG that is still attends DES.(cross commuters)	
Vanderlyn ES	VES experiences major disruption. AES gets a new school. Positive benefit to VES and KES isn't clear.	This is a significantly disruptive plan! 1 in 8 students are disrupted.Area D is close to VES. No easy bus turn around and area D bus routes on Dunwoody Club Drive are inefficient or duplicates.	Higher FCA scores at VES, DES and HES than Chestnut or Kingsly. The plan adds to overcrowding in schools with deteriorating facilities. POrtable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. Redistrict Area B to AES and eliminate Areas C, D and J



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Portable class room reductions.	Disruption to existing students for minimal gain . Bus routes will be inefficient as bus will pick up few students but need to have strange routes to cover Dunwoody club road. Significant impact to home prices to impacted neighborhood. The impact to counts from the woodlands change isnt worth the headaches.	
Dunwoody ES		We are an apartment complex and our very few children are getting zoned for Chesnut in H when they have gone to DES for years. They have very little impact on the whole problem at DES. Why are all the places chosen to leave DES are apartments like us? is it our income level or race. Apartments have equal rights to stay at DES in H.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES	This plan takes geographic proximity at it's best and balances the high growth areas evenly amongst three elementary schools! This plan also substantially reduces the portables in the cluster.	This plan does not address the need for another elementary school in the cluster to fully relieve the overcrowding and projected enrollment.	Thank you for listening to the community and making this as fair as possible.
Vanderlyn ES	None	Keep Vanderlyn intact by Keeping Sections C&D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	<p>â€¢ Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.</p>	<p>â€¢ Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.</p>	<p>Recommendation to the Board? â€¢ It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chaseâ€™s current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities.</p>
Vanderlyn ES		<p>Not highly efficient in reducing congestion. Removing us from a small area that has the closest geographic proximity to Vanderlyn and have had great community ties( have served as Co Chair for International Committee and other PTO functions) ; is not serving the purpose of congestion and unfairly removing our community ties.</p>	<p>The ratio of who they are removing to who they are letting into Vanderlyn. Makes no sense if all this is still resulting in congestion, which is the case.</p>
Vanderlyn ES	<p>Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide</p>	<p>High-density housing (which leaves the most potential for unexpected growth) is not equitably distributed amongst the cluster It moves students located significantly closer to AES (SECTION B) to VES Unnecessarily moving over 100 students from VES from SECTION C &amp; D (both sections are closer to VES then the schools they would move to)</p>	<p>Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone) Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster are shifted in the proposed plan</p>

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros, other than manipulating numbers	It does not keep neighborhoods intact, as the plan moves C and D from Vanderlyn and B into Vanderlyn. C and D are an integral part of Vanderlyn. Section B should move to Austin, as it is geographically closer to Austin than Vanderlyn. It disrupts both populations. I want high-density housing shared equitably among ALL of the schools.	The Superintendent should consider these factors when making her recommendations. The real problem is that all of the schools are over capacity.
Vanderlyn ES		With the staff recommend option, Vanderlyn would drop from 692 to 685 which is only 7 students. I cannot see how that would be beneficial for the overall community that is currently zoned for Vanderlyn but would be moved to Kingsley under this change. That is the smallest affected zone out of the entire proposal. Please keep section D as it is!	
Vanderlyn ES	Closest school to our home. Kingsley is considerably further away.	Is this a short-term fix?	
Other		Plan disregards criteria for keeping neighborhoods intact and geographical proximity. There is no reason to disrupt Vanderlyn students in areas C and D while moving a like # of students from area B from DES to Vanderlyn. Areas A and B should move together from DES to Austin to make geographical sense and to more fairly distribute high-density.	These factors plus a long term solution to the entire DHS cluster.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster Reduces number of portable classrooms/trailers	Separates Dunwoody Green from Georgetown Park, our intact neighborhood with shared amenities (swim/tennis, daycare, etc.) Creates traffic and safety concerns (cross commuters) for Dunwoody Green and area south of our neighborhood that is still districted to DES Dunwoody Green neighborhood is isolated from both the Georgetown community & Chesnut	Section H separates the intact neighborhood of Dunwoody Green from the Georgetown community. oSection H creates traffic and safety concerns due to cross commuter traffic between DG families and area south that still attends DES. Round 2 Area E is closer to Chesnut Elementary and Dunwoody Green is closer to Dunwoody Elementary.
Austin ES			
Austin ES		Portables and overcrowding at a brand new school for students that have been very overcrowded for years.	
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Vanderlyn ES		Uprooting Vanderlyn students unnecessarily. Additional traffic congestion. Negatively effecting the value of our home!	We, the residents of Dunwoody don't think this move is in the best interest of our community. There are more effective ways to solve the problem!

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		The shift of C and D from Vanderlyn consists of 99 students and the shift from Dunwoody to Vanderlyn consists of 90 students. Moving the 90 from Dunwoody to Austin rather than redistricting all of the families that moved into the the Vanderlyn school district seems to make more sense. Vanderlyn also has the most portable buildings in the proposal	Families looking to buy or sell a home are very specific about the school districts. This change could have a significant impact on home values.
Austin ES	Equitable distribution. Immediate relief for Kingsley and Dunwoody ES. Cross cluster shifting makes sense and helps overall overcrowding. Geography taken into account outside of ashford dunwoody area and dunwoody village.	Still need another school for high growth areas. Moving kids back into cluster at middle school is silly. Dunwoody village is very close to austin.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Keep ALL of Dunwoody Club Forest residents in Vanderlyn	
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement! 2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn. 3. Walking & Biking route is longer & more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood to attend Kingsley is more than half a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement! 2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn. 3. Walking & Biking route is longer & more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Austin ES			
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Dunwoody ES	Provides relief to Dunwoody and Hightower. Removes trailer from the cluster	The growth for the district is districted solely to Dunwoody Elementary. Geographic proximity for the area west of Ashford Dunwoody is closer to Ashford Dunwoody. Moving this area would share the growth between two schools. Areas H&C are split from their neighborhood.	Need to solve the long term issue of kids in trailers. This is a safety concern especially in an emergency such as a shooting. Kids would be lame ducks. Please solve this issue and move kids to the cluster south of Dunwoody.
Vanderlyn ES	We see Zero pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>â€¢High-density housing- is not equitably distributed amongst the cluster</li> <li>â€¢It moves students located significantly closer to AES (SECTION B) to VES</li> <li>â€¢unnecessarily moving over 100 students from VES from SECTION C &amp; D (both sections are closer to VES then the schools they would move to)</li> <li>â€¢Significantly weakening VES's volunteer resources</li> </ul>	â€¢Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars
Vanderlyn ES	There are ZERO pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES		<p>Devinger and oxford chase has strong links close knit communities and changes will effect children and families</p> <p>Vanderlyn is walking distance from Devinger housing and helps commute time and traffic</p>	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	The proposed plan has NO Pros even though we are in Austin district	1. Proposed redistricting of changing some neighborhoods to attend Kingsley is half a mile longer. This goes against your Geographic Proximity requirement! 2. There is no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers! 3. Walking & Biking route is longer in many places seem more Dangerous than before with hardly any side walks! WOW	Proposed redistricting will negatively impact some schools through loss of efficient and economical operations (a key criteria in your list)
Austin ES	The proposed plan has NO Pros even though we are in Austin district	1. Proposed redistricting of changing some neighborhoods to attend Kingsley is half a mile longer. This goes against your Geographic Proximity requirement! 2. There is no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers! 3. Walking & Biking route is longer in many places seem more Dangerous than before with hardly any side walks! WOW	Proposed redistricting will negatively impact some schools through loss of efficient and economical operations (a key criteria in your list)
Dunwoody ES	none- NEED TO PLAN A NEW SCHOOL FOR ASH-DUN area to address urban growth in the area. BUILD UP!!! Stop trying to push urban growth into our small neighborhood schools and start the plan for a new "urban school" in this area. 4-5 stories on a small piece of land. Land swap/sell Shallowford plot to acquire land on Ash-Dun. Perimeter ES (K-8)	NO need to move kids all over Dunwoody, in/out of schools for 0 net gain/losses at each school. There is an easy SHORT TERM solution. Move a large group out of DES to AES. Ash-Dun is equal proximity to both so one big group should move. Use AD as a new perimeter line between schools. Same with HES-move one big group to DUES. Solved!!	qualified, ethical, accounting minded, multi-layer background checks, someone with a plan!!!!
Austin ES			
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES		I support the letter and recommendations of the Principal Advisory Council ("PAC") of Vanderlyn Elementary School ("VES"): 1.Retain SECTIONS C & D at Vanderlyn Elementary School 2.Move SECTION B to Austin Elementary School to minimize overcrowding risks at Vanderlyn and Dunwoody Elementary Schools	Dismiss the obvious bias towards Austin ES and do what is right for the children and the overall Dunwoody school community.
Dunwoody ES	More equitable, reduces pressure on DES, which is expected to have majority of growth.	Does not address imbalance in geographic distribution of schools in the Dunwoody Cluster, with all schools being located north and east of the existing empty spaces expected to be developed into mixed use in the coming decades.	
Austin ES		There seems to be an arbitrary shift of kids from Vanderlyn to the new Austin. It would appear that it makes more sense to "A" and "B" to maintain at Dunwoody Elementary or move them to the new Austin and leave "C" at Vanderlyn.	I understand that a parent developed a more balanced plan. It would have been useful to see that, too.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	The plan addresses the geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria that are critical to the Oxford Chase neighborhood (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	Relief of overcrowding is provided to DES.	The anticipated future growth of DES is not accounted for and the lack of distribution of this growth will cause DES to have more portables than any other school within a few years. 2021 is 13 mos away - why aren't we looking beyond '21? No other school is supposed to have the growth projected for DES. You are splitting Village Mill neighborhood.	The entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody and north of I-285 should be zoned for Austin. It is closer geographically to the new Austin than any other elementary school.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	New school fore Austin area.	Very disruptive to many students, moving more students than the other plans. New plan isolates our kids from rest of school district across high traffic commercial areas and makes bus route more convoluted.	Disrupting as few kids as possible, and looking toward the future, don't add more kids to schools already overcrowded and growing attendance, like Kingsley. Instead of moving 98 students out of Vanderlyn and 92 in, move the 90 students in B from Dunwoody to Austin instead of Vanderlyn, and leave Vanderlyn as is.
Dunwoody ES	Item K (Hightower to Doraville United) helps alleviate overcrowding for the entire cluster. This helps alleviate the total number of portable classrooms in the cluster.	DES continues to own the majority of the growth areas which makes this plan short-sighted and another redistricting in the near future very likely. Item C does not appear to be based on community input. Nobody asked for that change or suggested it (where did it come from?).	
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	his plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	Traffic flow that area is already major cut thru for people going from I-285 to GA 400

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	New school for Austin area. Moving students out of very crowded Hightower and Dunwoody.	Disruptive to many students, more than other plans. The net effect to Vanderlyn is only 7 less students, but moves 99 students out and 92 in, that is making 191 students change schools for a net effect of -7. Why not leave Vanderlyn as is and move students from Dunwoody to Austin.	Don't move students into a school that is already overcrowded and increasing in enrollment.
Dunwoody ES	I like that over 200 students have moved from DES to other schools to more evenly distribute the student population. The neighborhood of North springs, Chateau, Georgetown, Heathwood remain together. Doraville United is used to relieve Hightower.	DES is still zoned for high growth areas. The areas west of Ashford Dunwoody should be moved to Austin because of geographic proximity and because of their capacity to accommodate growth. There is no need to account for a "new school bump" at Austin without justification. Austin should not be singled out not to have portables.	Portables are a safety hazard in emergency situations (tornadoes, shooter threats). DES should not carry the burden of continued student growth.
Vanderlyn ES	Mostly shifts students to where the capacity is - the new Austin and Kingsley (and to another cluster).	May not do enough to receive overcrowding at some of the oldest buildings - Vanderlyn and Chestnut. Maybe the newer buildings should have the greatest overcrowding, so some people don't get stuck with both the most overcrowded school and the oldest building - unless you plan to relieve that soon with another new building.	Superintendent is now a she. We're in part C, which is slated to move to Austin. It never actually made that much sense that part of our neighborhood (DCFW) was in Austin and part in Vanderlyn, and this mostly solves that. Overall, the Super needs a plan for a new ES in Dunwoody - either on a new site, or to replace Vanderlyn or Chestnut.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	<p>The walking and biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p> <p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley pPace) to attend Kingsley is half a mile longer which is against your Geographic Proximity</p> <p>The proposed route to Kingsley is longer and more dangerous going against your Safety criteria</p>	<p>The Wellesley Place neighborhood currently has parents that volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical Operations of Vanderlyn (#6 under your Secondary Criteria).</p>
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic promixity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford Chase neighborhood. (i.e.,distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt. Vernon Rd congestion)	<p>'-Section D will be adding to the existing traffic congestion on Tilly Mill Rd. &amp; Mt Vernon Rd. during school rush hours. -Distribution of the high-density housing pockets within the Dunwoody cluster is not equally distributed. All ES in our cluster should have similar percentages of high-density students.-C &amp; D account for 25-30% of VES volunteers</p>	<p>Keep safety concerns a high priority (Oxford Chase's current safe, right turn). C &amp; D consist of single-family homes, which have a finite growth rate. Replacing them with Section B, a high-density housing development, Vanderlyn will be at risk for even more severe overcrowding as an unpredictable number of students could move into Section B.</p>

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood . Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Moving students from Hightower to Doraville decreases some of the over crowding.	Moving area C & D out of Vanderlyn decreases the number of students that live within 2.3 miles of the school. This will change the school dynamic and make it less likely to have a school where people volunteer. By adding area B there is a greater unknown of over crowding. Vanderlyn does not have the physical space for any more portables.	Austin & Dunwoody both have new schools, please try to let the smaller schools at least keep their neighborhood feel.
Dunwoody ES		<p>Intact Neighborhood - we are part of Georgetown, redistricting will isolate us.</p> <p>-Geographical proximity - we are closer to DES then other unaffected neighborhoods</p> <p>-Instructional Capacity - chesnut will be more overcrowded with this option.</p> <p>-Safety and Traffic Patterns - School traffic will be crossing paths.</p> <p>-Efficient and Economical Operations</p>	Please keep the Dunwoody Green neighbor Hood zone to Dunwoody Elementary School
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES	More resources for remaining kids. Reduce the number of portable classrooms.	Some students may have to go to a school farther away.	Build a new school.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	It would be interesting to know the desired utilization % for a school, as all of these are above 100%. One pro is that you are not maxing the New Austin fad beyond its capacity.		
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford chase neighbourhood; Spreads enrollment across Dunwoody; addresses growth and better distribution	Does not address long term capacity problems; Changes to Vanderlyn elementary school provide s negligible change; Vanderlyn zoning area should not change and should be revisited when long term plan is introduced	DCSD should keep safety concerns high like currently Oxford Chase Way neighbourhood's safe right turn and walk/cycle to school; share methodology for long term forecasting; build new school in Dunwoody cluster; ; reopen Nancy Creek and and Oakcliff elementary
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	â€¢ Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	â€¢ It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chaseâ€™s current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes u
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	â€¢ Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster.	the apartments needs a better solution . and need a new school in dunwoody cluster
Vanderlyn ES		Ashworth should be in Vanderlyn	Ashworth should be in Vanderlyn

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	The new map is as fair as possible to appease the majority. 100% satisfaction across groups is an unrealistic goal. The plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also reduces the number of portables by 17 which is incredibly positive.	The plan does not address the longer term need of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth is projected to continue within the cluster and a new school is needed in the Perimeter area of the cluster.	The plan does the best it can do to alleviate overcrowding given the forecasted increase/decrease in each school attendance area. The plan spreads high population and high growth areas effectively.
Chesnut ES	<p>Thank you for Keeping Dunwoody North intact-supports the DCSD redistricting criteria:</p> <p>Geographic proximity Instructional capacity Projected enrollment</p> <p>Secondary Criteria: Safety and traffic patterns Previous redistricting Balancing of special programs, School feeder alignment Intact neighborhoods Efficient and economical operations</p>	No cons	previous redistricting that shrunk Chesnut community back in 2011. Pls keep current community together and Chesnut will help with crowding at other schools.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Relief of Dunwoody Cluster ES by moving 108 students to the Doraville ES. Considers the concerns of the Oxford Chase community in two ways; it is safer for the students to go to Vanderlyn instead of Kingsley with more sidewalks, and eliminates the traffic problem of having to take a left across an extremely busy Mount Vernon if moved to Kingsley.	The New Austin and Dunwoody Elementary schools could take on more students since lower utilization than the other elementary schools.	Oxford Chase has been a Vanderlyn school since it's creation in 1995, so we are glad to see this plan keeps are community intact with the other Vanderlyn communities we've had for over 20 years.
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Relieves some overcrowding of cluster by moving students to Doraville ES. More equitably addresses cluster overcrowding across schools. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford Chase neighborhood. (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike safety, intact concerns).	Disrupts C&D student for no reason. Distribution of the high-density housing pockets within the Dunwoody cluster is not equally distributed. All ES in our cluster should have similar percentages of high-density students. Increases VES ratio of high-density from 43% to 56%. C & D account for 25-30% of Vanderlyn volunteers.	C & D consist of single-family homes, which have a finite growth rate. Replacing them with Section B, Vanderlyn will be at risk for even more severe overcrowding. Move Section B to Austin for more equitable high-density distribution (35% vs. 27% proposed) and keep VES as is. Keep safety and intact neighborhood a high priority.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Alleviates some current overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster elementary schools and reduces the number of portable classrooms.	Separates the Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown Park neighborhood (located in area H of the staff recommended option) from the Georgetown community and also from the Chestnut community because most students are located to the east of Chestnut. Perimeter Gardens (in area E of round 2, option 2 map) is closer in geographic proximity than Dunwoody Green.	The Dunwoody Green neighborhood is closer to Dunwoody Elementary than the entire area south of Dunwoody Green stretching to I-285 which is still districted to Dunwoody Elementary in the staff recommended option.
Vanderlyn ES	Relief to some schools at the expense of several of the others. This is an incredibly unbalanced plan that leaves several schools incredibly overutilized while others gain relief and elimination of portables. These problems will only grow as VES and KES are both pushed over 50% threshold of students from high density housing. Not Balanced at all!!	Section D North of Mt Vernon will be geographically isolated from entire KES population by major road! Not safe! Tilly Mill & Mt Vernon are logical border for KES Many moves driven by added apartments to VES and KES. Moving sect. B to Austin, leave Sect. D at VES & Sect. J at Hightower = balanced utilization across cluster. Needlessly disruptive!!!	This is the most disruptive plan to date! Simple moves can eliminate the need for many of these changes. The cluster needs balanced utilization across all the schools, not just DES, H ES, and Austin. VES and KES will have highest % of apartment students in cluster b/c of Sect B & J moves. Move of Sect D will be nowhere near other kids at KES!
Vanderlyn ES	Geographic proximity for Oxford Chase Way sub-division by walk.	None	Continuity of students going to Vanderlyn for students from Oxford chase Way sub-division

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		As community members who recently purchased a home to be zoned for Vanderlyn Elementary School, I strongly disagree with this option as it will cause our son to be separated from his friends and will not be a single solution to solve the overcrowding issue considering the small number of students affected.	
Chesnut ES	We love that Dunwoody North remains whole! We are many neighborhoods that share a pool and tennis community. By keeping Dunwoody North together, it will continue to promote and foster the growing relationships of friends that share a neighborhood and a school.	It doesn't appear Austin has any apartments districted to attend the new school.	
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	I want to thank DCSD staff for considering earlier feedback in keeping Oxford Chase community in VES district. This addresses concerns around geographical proximity as well as traffic and safety (dangerous left turn in heavy traffic on Mt. Vernon road and walk/bike path safety). Plan distributes enrollment across the cluster.	Fails to address long-term capacity problems in the cluster	Long-term plans for building new schools in the cluster and perhaps reopening Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary.
Chesnut ES	keeping Dunwoody North neighborhood intact	Vanderyln is separated from Dunwoody North	
Hightower ES	There are none. This is a ridiculous plan.	There is too much of a distance to Kingsley from where a lot of other fellow parents live and its going to be a much harder task to move the kids to a different school. It could also be a huge change for some other students.	
Dunwoody ES	It provides relief for hightower, and some for DES.	Causes a lot of student disruption, particularly for Vanderlyn. And the top/east part of H will be isolated from the Chesnut community. The closest Chesnut community would be over a mile away. Austin needs more of the high growth/high density area that DES currently has.	Need a plan NOW for middle and high school overcrowding and Peachtree Middle's plummeting CCRPI scores; need more transparency about enrollment figures
Dunwoody ES	Did not cave to Austin not wanting trailers. Utilized Doraville United.	Too much change for short term solution. No school should be below 100% utilization.	Less disruption to short term redistrictingâ€”new schools are needed for Dunwoody at all levels

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Keeps Dunwoody North Subdivision intact. Focuses on proximity.	Small section J of what had been Hightower being sent to Kingsley which is a much longer walk for students and parents.  Need another school in Dunwoody rather than shifting everyone around So much, with so many trailers and not anticipating and preparing for future growth adequately.	Do not separate subdivisions or apartment developments into separate school districts. It undermines the unity of the community.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you for listening and addressing the safety/traffic criteria like avoiding the dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, and geographic proximity like the distance, and intact concerns of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster.	It is appreciated if DCSD could keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level.
Dunwoody ES	Reduces overcrowding at Dunwoody elementary.	Creates traffic & safety issues with cross traffic between districts  Disrupts an intact neighborhood for Dunwoody Green which shares amenities & community with Georgetown & Vermack  Dunwoody Green would be moved into the smallest & most overcrowded school under this plan while Austin would have unused capacity that could alleviate overcrowding	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			We want to KEEP Sections C & D at Vanderlyn as they are an integral part of our community. We want Section B to move to Austin, as it is geographically closer to Austin than Vanderlyn. We want high-density housing shared equitably among ALL of the Dunwoody cluster to help with overcrowding.
Vanderlyn ES	some allevation of overall overcrowding.	Increased traffic and sending students across Dunwoody to schools farther from their homes. Divides Dunwoody club forest neighborhood.	
Dunwoody ES	Some overcrowding is addressed.	Area H - Specifically Huntington Hall Ct and Dunwoody Trace, have only a handful of kids that are currently part of DES and very near Village Mill Community. Moving them to Chestnut is completely disruptive to their social and community base. We are not easily connected to other chestnut communities. This isolates them creating unneeded angst.	Redistrict transient dwellings (apartments). As a Single Family Home owner, we purchased a home and have made investments in this home based upon the school district we originally CHOSE - we would not have done made these investments in the proposed redistricting.
Vanderlyn ES	None	Vanderlyn is getting too many transient apartments, Still overcapacity	Use the old Austin as an additional elementary school instead of knocking it down-it would be ridiculous not too.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES	The pros in dividing resources to fulfill the demand.	The cons would be to select the transfers.	Consider discussing this with change management, introducing this plan can add value however I believe it should be more wise to build bigger schools and collect more funds for better education for everyone. No one should be in a school where it's crowded or the school have no resources to give our children the best education out there.
Vanderlyn ES	Current recommended plan addresses safety concern and school proximity of Oxford chase neighborhood by not moving us to Kingsley. This plan addresses major concerns raised during round 1 and 2. This plan has almost equal distribution of trailers and students. Has equal distribution of single and multi family homes across each school.		It is appreciated if DCDS can keep safety concerns high and keep Oxford chase in VES so we can avoid dangerous left turn to get to Kingsley.
Vanderlyn ES		Keep Sections C & D at Vanderlyn as they are an integral part of our community. We want Section B to move to Austin, as it is geographically closer to Austin than Vanderlyn. We want high-density housing shared equitably among ALL of the Dunwoody cluster to help with overcrowding.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!	
Vanderlyn ES		I think Assigning B to Vanderlyn gives Vanderlyn too high a concentration of high density housing. Austin should take on Section B, Vanderlyn should retain C and D.	
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a new school with portables. Chestnut retains Dunwoody North. Dunwoody Elementary received some relief.	Most disruptive plan impacting 1:8 students. Area C would turn to walkers along a route that does not have sidewalks thus impacting the children's safety. Area D would introduce inefficient operations by having at least (2) buses service different schools along the same route. Area B brings about inequity in the distribution of high density areas.	Redistrict Area B to Austin as with a new school they have the capacity to deal with a high density area. Vanderlyn has better portables and can currently maintain its current student population that already accounts for a high density area representing 1/3 of the school.
Dunwoody ES	None.	Chestnut will also become overcrowded. It's closer to Dunwoody Elementary than others that were unaffected by change.	It's a part of Georgetown community but will be isolated by having to attend a new school.
Austin ES	None! Another great way to waste tax payers money	Overwhelming moves, trailers on new Austin school is a glaring way of saying that you have no ability to plan for a new school in a growing area. It's embarrassing!!!!	Consider the impacts on the middle school and high school.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		<p>This does not solve any of the issues currently faced and puts more pressure on an aging Vanderlyn and less on the larger and new Austin Elementary.</p> <p>The process is flawed and feels underhanded since presented so late in the game.</p> <p>I do not want my daughter to be in school with almost 60% transient students causing little continuity into middle.</p>	
Dunwoody ES	None.	<p>School traffic will be crossing paths. Programs I utilize does not serve Chesnut.</p>	Please consider Dunwoody Green's location, in that it is closer to Dunwoody Elementary compared to other neighborhoods that were unaffected. We would like to not be isolated from our community that has been built around Georgetown.
Vanderlyn ES	The plan addresses the geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria that are critical to the Oxford Chase neighborhood (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities
Austin ES	Does a better job of spreading the overcrowding between schools.	Does not solve the root problem of overcrowding. Still too many trailers. Starts new Austin immediately over capacity.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (ie, distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It would be appreciated if DCSD could keep safety concerns high, share details on forecasting methodology, build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster, re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities, and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level.
Kingsley ES	NA	Economic and efficient operations for Kingsley are impacted with the continued loss of single family homes within the community. A more transient community impacts the operational efficiency. When looking at the balance of diversity across all of our community schools, the distribution should be balanced to give opportunity for all.	
Chesnut ES	Geo Proximity-We support the recommendation to keep DNCA neighborhoods east of Tilly Mill Road within Chesnut district, as Chesnut is the closest school with available seats.		Instructional Capacity-We appreciate the recommendation to slightly increase and not reduce Chesnut's size. This will allow us to retain funding for teachers and instructional support staff necessary to our working education model. Intact Neighborhoods-We thank the district for recommending the DNCA be kept intact.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Relief for overcrowding at DES and HES	The plan provides no relief of overcrowding at VES and in fact, increases the chances by adding section B (which is closer to AES). No reduction of trailers/modulars at VES. Moves over 100 students from VES for a loss of 7. The staff plan does not equally distribute high density housing throughout the cluster and creates additional traffic.	Consider the age of the school and which schools have the most trailers/modulars. Expected growth with high density housing should be shared by VES and AES. The geographical proximity of Section B, as it is closer to AES. Conflict of interest, geographical and ethical, among board member who lives in Section C.
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to the overcrowding DES, but as the lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, the relief will only be temporary. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody rd; all children will go to DES in addition to the Mt.Vernon Flats which is a high growth apt. area. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any other option. Projected growth is assumed for Austin, but not accounted for at other schools.	Assigned area west of Ashford Dunwoody rd to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity and improve traffic & safety. Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas H&C are isolated and/or split from their communities which is not acceptable.
Vanderlyn ES		The redistricting will result in the elementary school pupils residing in Wellesley Place having to walk approximately 1/2 mile further than they currently do to get to Vanderlyn, The walking route to Kingsley is more dangerous than the current route to Vanderlyn.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Creating additional traffic congestion. Potential for new pedestrian safety hazards. Inequitable distribution of high density housing developments throughout the Dunwoody Elementary system. Significantly weakening the Vanderlyn volunteer and financial resources. Moving students significantly closer to Austin to Vanderlyn. Dividing neighborhood	The number of students at Vanderlyn does not change so it does not make sense to redistrict any locations for Vanderlyn due to the reasons called out in the Cons section.
Dunwoody ES	Relief for Dunwoody Elementary Utilization of new Austin to capacity and share enrollment	Why are you displacing so many students only to get to the same number at Vanderlyn? Section C removes highly involved families from Vanderlyn to Austin who already has highly involved families (including volunteer time, leadership, and financial). Section H to Chesnut specifically Dunwoody Chase neighborhood is isolating.	A new school is desperately needed. Shifting students and displacing them and their families among the community is not the answer. We need a well thought out long term plan for population growth in our community.
Chesnut ES	Geographic proximity. Chestnut kids from Dunwoody North neighborhood will be able to continue to walk to school and/or traffic patterns are well within reason for working parents to be able to drive children to school and not incur additional travel times that could create a financial strain on parents.	N/A	
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	These recommendations provide minimal relief for over crowded elementary schools. There is positive relief for Hightower.	1)The plan does not alleviate Dunwoody elem from future projects and growth inside the district. 2)Redistricting section C from Vanderlyn to Austin is cherry picking a neighborhood instead of multifamily housing. 3)Austin is going to have to share the burden of over crowded elem. schools along with Dunwoody Elem. 4. Use projection through 2025	The Superintendent needs to consider redistricting the portion of the Dunwoody Elem west of Asford Dunwoody to Austin. This is a natural flow of traffic to Austin and splits future growth over two schools.
Dunwoody ES	1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however as the lines are drawn in the round 3 staff recommended map, this relief will be temporary. 2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. Over 3900 residences are planned along the Ashford Dunwoody Rd. All are given to DES in addition to Mt. Vernon flats (high growth apt). 2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior 3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump) but not accounted for at other schools	Assign the area west of Ashford Dunwoody to AES to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity and improve traffic and safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably. H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities
Chesnut ES	The staff recommended plan best matches county criteria, particularly now that the Dunwoody North and Chestnut Community is held intact. This proposal also spreads the reality of portables and gives some relief to DES. Chestnut community is very appreciative of the Staff hearing our community's feedback		



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	I support the decision to move 108 Hightower students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody Cluster	I am against the J portion of the staff recommended map. It does not makes sense according to future enrollment forecasts for Kingsley and Hightower. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, without benefit. The plan also disregards primary redistricting criteria #1 geographic proximity. Bussing kids 3miles to Kingsley vs. .9 to HT	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communities Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in district from West to East and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody
Vanderlyn ES	Reduce number of portables cluster-wide, reduce overcrowding in DES and HES	Does nothing for VES overcrowding (2nd highest in cluster at 133%) and trailer #s(highest in cluster with 11), Section C is not walkable to AES, Parts of Section C are closer to VES and the most it is closer to the new AES is only .2 miles, Section B is significantly closer to AES, high-density housing should be more evenly shared in cluster,	This plan moves over 500 students - over 200 more than any of the first 3 options. 100 person 'new-school bump' is BS. If SECTION B were to grow to have the same %age of students that the current apt has in VES, it would go from 90 students to 230, VES does not have space. Stan Jester is lying and totally bias toward AES.
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Balanced	Unnecessary complicated movement. Some can be simplified to impact fewer students. For example, change B to "move to Austin", so there is no need for C - less impact for the C region.	Impact for the whole cluster. Number of students impacted.
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Reduction in trailers. Utilization of Doraville United for additional relief. Some relief for DES	Vanderlyn fairs very poorly in this. Section C wants to remain in VES. Additionally, Section B should absolutely be moved to Austin if it is being moved out of DES. The number of kids being moved here is also significant. A very simple solution here could be C&D stay in Vanderlyn, and B goes to Austin. That reduces student moves nearly 20%	Ashworth Neighborhood (odd carve out in S.R.P. @ Mt. Vernon and Chamblee Dunwoody) broadly supports being moved to EITHER Vanderlyn or Austin, and doing so would meet many of the criteria outlined by the board, and specifically all 3 primary criteria.
Kingsley ES	I support the K portion of the staff map to move 108 Hightower students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	I am against the J portion of the staff map. It does not make sense according to future enrollment forecasts for Kingsley and Hightower. The plans swaps utilization rates between the schools without benefit. The plan also disregards primary redistricting criteria #1, geographic proximity.,bussing kids 3 mi. to Kingsley vs. 0.9 to Hightower.	I support the official letter from Kingsley communities coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from west to east and ultimately south to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Vanderlyn ES	Overall very good. It's important to put kids in Austin that can walk to Austin.	You need to build a school on the south west area of Dunwoody. Also you need to build another high school and probably middle school too.	You should stop using the pronoun "he" for the superintendent. That's really silly in general. It's also wrong as the Superintendent is a woman. You all need to get woke. Otherwise I would say you need to redistrict the high schools. There are too many kids at DHS. Stop the madness and redistrict/recluster. You know Hightower needs to go to Chamblee.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	We ask that Sections C and D on the Staff Recommended map remain at Vanderlyn versus being moved to Austin and Kingsley. We implore you to consider moving Section B to Austin, which will keep our current boundaries intact and provide equitable distribution of the high-density housing in our cluster.	Section B is significantly closer to Austin (2.0 miles away) than it is to Vanderlyn (2.5 miles away). Areas of Section C are closer to Vanderlyn (1 mile away) than they are to Austin (at over 1.5 miles away). Keeping students at their neighborhood schools fosters a sense of community that we feel is important for our student
Austin ES	Best plan we have seen yet	Still needs to consider more seats for middle and high school!!	Recalculate the # of portables needed for Austin in 2020, 7 is an incorrect #, calculation is not right...
Vanderlyn ES	Section C has been moved to AES, which is closer than VES and helps with traffic. C has to cross Mt Vernon Rd to get to VES, but can drive through the neighborhood to get to AES. Currently, both AES and VES buses go through our street, because half go to AES. C is part of the wynterhall pool and should be kept intact with Austin.	None, so glad you moved Mount Vernon Way and DCFW to Austin!	This map makes the most sense and should be used! Proximity and traffic issues are most important and this map solves those issues!
Chesnut ES	As a Chesnut parent, I support the plan as it relates to our community & school. Thank you for listening to our community, sticking to the criteria, keeping our neighborhood intact & school strong. Please do not revert to any of the prior 3 maps as they hurt our school & community. Our school has never said we only want certain kids & won't do that	I support the plan as it relates to Chesnut Elem. Please keep our school strong by keeping the neighborhood that feeds into the school intact. If you do that, we welcome any children from the surrounding area up to our capacity. Our school is achieving & more successful then ever before, which helps every child. Please don't hurt that momentum	Ensure capacity and enrollment numbers are accurate and consistent both throughout the proposals and the DCSD website.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing the concerns of the community. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria. Such as distance, dangerous lectern, walk/bike path safety intact concerns of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long-term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning areas should not change and be revised when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safe concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff to serve the nearby communities; And minimize changes until there is stability at DCSD
Vanderlyn ES	I'm in Part C, the Mt. Vernon Way communities. I've heard several people complain that Dunwoody Club Forrest West is being split from Dunwoody Club Forrest. That's silly. We are two different neighborhoods, with our own neighborhood association and pool. Putting DCFW in Austin keeps our pool and community (with Wynterhall) together.		Bus routes
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES & HES	Section B is closer to AES. Sections C & D are closer to VES. Not equal distribution of high density housing among cluster. No overcrowding relief for VES. Potential for unexpected growth with section B at VES vs current single family homes in section C & D. Negative impact on efficient & economical operations @ VES due to volunteers from sec C&D.	Age of school, amount of trailers/modulars, expected long term growth around Perimeter Mall, equally shared high density housing amount Dunwoody Cluster, Amount of children uprooted with staff recommended plan (more than any other plan)
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	We will be redistricted into Austin. We do love Vanderlyn, but a brand new school is appealing	Is the new live, work, play community off Perimeter Center being taken fully into account?	
Vanderlyn ES		two large apartment communities and less neighborhood families? No easement on numbers and trailers? Vanderlyn needs to stay a neighborhood school and not a standin for apartments. LET US SHARE the Large apartments and keep our neighborhoods alone.	
Austin ES			
Hightower ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		The plan goes against your own criteria! You are moving students to schools further away. I have lived here for over 20 years and can confidently say this plan is bad for Dunwoody in lots of ways! Please keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!	You would be disrupting families, creating unnecessary traffic which puts children's safety at risk and hurting the growth of Vanderlyn by taking away some of its biggest financial and volunteer supporters. The building is already one of the oldest in Dekalb County and now this? Simply put this plan is unfair to families, students and homeowners
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES	yo no estoy de acuerdo con cambiar nuestros niños a Doraville united	DORAVILLE esta muy lejos de donde vivo.Nuestros niños tienen que estar con su vecindario.LO QUE NECESITANO ES LA ESCUELA HIGHTOWER MAS GRANDE PARA NUESTROS	UNA ESCUELA MAS GRANDE PARA LOS NINOS DE HIGHTOWER
Hightower ES	yo no estoy de acuerdo a que muevan los niños de la escuela ala de doraville united	necesitamos la hightower mas grande para nuestros niños	una escuela hightower mas grande para nuestros niños
Hightower ES	no estoy desacuerdo que envíen a nuestros niños a otra escuela ya que ellos están adaptados a esta escuela ellos no quieren ser separados de sus maestros y compañeros.	necesitamos la hightower mas grande para nuestros niños	una escuela Hightower mas grande para los niños
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES	no estoy de acuerdo con que muevan a nuestros hijos a otra escuela.	los niños conocen cada compañero ,cada maestro , para ellos seria muy difícil empezar en otra parte . doraville united es demasiado lejos , necesitamos hightower mas grande .	QUE NOS AMPLIEN NUESTRA ESCUELA HIGHTOWER.QUE TOME SU TIEMPO PARA VER BIEN NUESTRA INQUIETUDES QUE TENEMOS ASEA NUESTRA ESCUELA.ASTA QUE TENGAN UN SUPER INTENDENTE FIJO.HIGHTOWER BELONGS TOGETHER
Hightower ES	NO ESTOY DE ACUERDO QUE CAMBIEN LOS NIÑOS A OTRA ESCUELA	LOS NINOS CONOCEN A SUS MAESTROS Y COMPAÑEROS.PARA ELLOS NO SE QUIEREN CAMBIAR DE ESCUELA	NECECITAMOS QUE TOMEN UNA DECISION HASTA QUE TENGAN UN SUPER INTENDENTE FIJO HIGHTOWER
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria(i.e.,distance,dangerous left turn,walk/bike path safety/intact concerns)of Oxford Chase neighborhood.It is impossible to turn left from this neighborhood in the morning when traffic is fast and heavy.So thanks for listening.Safety of the kids should be number 1.better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level
Chesnut ES	Appears to keep most current Chesnut students within Chesnut.	Appears that the solution is adding more portables to Chesnut instead of planning a better long term solution.	What is the long term strategy for improving Chesnut's situation (will be running over 130%)?

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Pros: Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Very disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2; 1 in 8 students impacted.	Home values for people who moved into certain school districts for higher performing Vanderlyn
Vanderlyn ES	Appreciate the relief to DES	Disrupts over 100 students for a miniscule change to VES Doesn't fairly balance high density housing Why would you include VES at all in this? Just move B to Austin and leave C alone.	
Vanderlyn ES			Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C & D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin!
Vanderlyn ES	None. This plan is ridiculous and clearly only benefits one school in particular. It is completely unfair and not a well thought out plan! It would be disrupting to many students and families.	Section B is closer to AES, therefore should move to AES. Areas of Section C are closer to VES and Areas of Section D are closer to VES, therefore, C and D need to stay at Vanderlyn. High density housing should be equally distributed among the schools.	
Vanderlyn ES		Keep Vanderlyn together - even though we are overcrowded, we have a formula that works!	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Less people at our school. Alleviates overcrowding. More equal distribution of high growth.	Moving kids.	Overcrowding.
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros we can see in the Staff Recommend Plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against the Geographic Proximity requirement!</li> <li>2. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</li> <li>3. Walking &amp; Biking route is longer &amp; more Dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</li> </ol>	Our neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Dunwoody ES	Some relief for DES; Relief for cluster by moving kids to DUES; Decent distribution of trailers	A lot of movement for limited relief; Unequal distribution of high mobility areas; small neighborhoods don't have a voice; Stan Jester got his house moved to Austin; plan does not consider long term growth most of which went to DES	Move everything west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin; In staff recommended plan; leave B with DES; leave C with Vanderlyn; From round 2 option 2 move section C to Vanderlyn; Keep single housing portion of Section H with Dunwoody
Austin ES	Reduces portables- thank you for that	Dunwoody Trace & Huntington Hall in section H should stay at DES Long term issues haven't been addressed	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	DES gets relief of 240 students (mostly from high growth Ash-Dun Rd area which is closer to Austin. Eliminate 17 portables in cluster. Neighborhoods that use Georgetown pool intact, N Springs, Heathwood, Chateau woods & Springs, Georgetown. Relief for Hightower ES by using Doraville UES.	DES overwhelming has burden of future growth areas along Ash-Dun Rd. Austin & Vanderlyn are closer to this area, they need to share a larger portion of this area. Section J (65 students) is closer to Hightower. It should stay with Htower and not transfer to Kingsley. Enrollment would be more balanced also...HTES (-55) and KES (-35) vs 10 & -100.	Use projected enrollment #'s beyond 2021. It seems short sighted to use numbers that would be outdated in 1.5 years. Since Austin is geographically closer to multi family housing on Ash-Dun Rd they should be zoned for that area more than they currently are.
Vanderlyn ES			Keep neighborhoods intact! Listen to the local school representatives, the PTO presidents the people who are in the schools each day. They know their populations and because of the red tape, lack of funds and general sorry state of affairs at the county level, it is the volunteers, parents who are getting things done.
Kingsley ES		Increases Kingsley's overall capacity to 123%. Needlessly shuffles 6 trailers & 65 students from Hightower to Kingsley, with the huge disadvantage of an additional 2 mile commute. Doesn't make sense to shift more students from a school that is projected to have declining enrollment to one with projected growing enrollment.	Area J (LaCota) stays at Hightower, and commute stays at .9 vs 2.9 (option to go to DUES which is geographically closer). Oxford Chase goes to Kingsley, only increasing commute from .9 to 1.5 miles. Better meets all 3 of district's redistricting criteria.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES		I 100% OPPOSE the redistricting!!!!	
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	This plan does help with overcrowding at DES and HES and reduces the number of trailers.	This proposal does not make a big enough impact for the overcrowding issue to redistrict the schools. This move will only create undue hardship for the kids who have to change schools. This plan will also negatively affect traffic congestion and possibly impact the safety of pedestrians without making additional road improvements.	Over 500 families will be impacted by this proposal. Also please consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars.
Hightower ES	New school for Hightower Elementary School that the solution for our kids they don't need to be separated from their neighbors.	New school for Hightower Elementary School that's the solution for our kids at Hightower. Don't separate our kids from their neighbors. Doraville United is to far from our neighbors please.	NEW SCHOOL FOR HIGHTOWER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PLEASE
Austin ES	Dunwoody ES and Hightower ES both see lower student counts even though part of that is due to an overall drop in utilization (our district is getting smaller?).	No relief for Chesnut or Vanderlyn ES, while making things worse for Kingsley ES. Additionally, Austin ES opens over capacity with little to no room for growth in an area that is growing (especially considering it's high rating and new building). Overall portable reduction numbers are inaccurate considering that Austin has portables now.	Future capacity is most important and the only real solution is more / new schools. This area is growing rapidly and this will only become more of a problem in the future.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES		<p>Map Does Not Correlate with Kingsley ES vs. Hightower ES Enrollment Forecasts.</p> <p>Doesn't give relief to Vanderlyn in a sustainable manner.</p> <p>The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them w/o benefit, and to a smaller land plot/school.</p> <p>Accelerates the utilization misalignment.</p>	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition. Our Proposal Better Meets the Standard of All Evaluation Criteria.
Dunwoody ES	Immediate balancing of populations	Future growth is grossly un-balanced. Please think further ahead than a year or two and try to maintain a balanced population across the schools for the next 5+ years. Looking just 1-2 years down the road seems way too short-sighted for something this important.	
Dunwoody ES			
Chesnut ES	More diversity	Inconvenient for some parents based on their location	
Hightower ES	Yo no estoy de acuerdo en cambiar a niños de Hightower a Doraville United por que están muy lejos de mi vecindario.	Que necesitamos Hightower más grande para no separar nuestros niños de su vecindario.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES	why do you offer pre-k when kids are in trailers?	It looks like you're red-lining Dunwoody. Why are you moving all of the townhouses in the Vanderlyn area - which don't have a lot of students over to Kinglsey - looks like all the moves are based on socio-economics of the children. I.E. it looks like you're taking the poor kids out of one district and packing them into kingsley.	Why do we have Pre-K classes, when we have kids in trailers? Pre-K is NOT required by law OR the Constitution. It was created, after the HOPE scholarship, designed for AT-RISK students, my understanding is less than 4% of our students are at risk. Why do we keep adding kids to Pre-K at the expense of our mandated students?
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide	High-density housing is not equitably distributed amongst the cluster. Moves students located significantly closer to AES (Sect B) to VES. Unnecessarily moves 100 students from VES in section C&D while providing no relief of trailers/overcrowding. Moves J to KES increasing their high ESOL % and creates hardships for those families in J w/travel.	Equitable distribution of High-Density/unexpected growth housing throughout the cluster. PLEASE address a long term plan for overcrowding across all Eleme/Middle/High schools in the Dunwoody Cluster. Have Stan Jester remove himself from voting or influencing other votes in this matter as he has a conflict of interest in homeownership in Sect C.
Austin ES	neighborhood proximity	Austin opens with portables	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	There are zero Pros to this map, unless you're Stan Jester who stands to benefit financially through a housing market bump in his home value due to a new school being opened for his street on this map.	The equal distribution of high density housing is unfairly distributed to all schools except Austin Elem. who should do their part in absorbing some of the overload of students coming from section B of this map. B is mileage wise closest to Austin and would benefit greatly by not sitting in more traffic to and from school.	The ethics behind Stan Jester being able to vote on this matter, he has too much to gain and nothing to lose with this current map. Something needs to be done to suspend his vote in this matter.
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES	The latest map benefits Austin and Hightower, and gives a small amount of relief to Dunwoody Elementary, but leaves Chesnut, Vanderlyn and especially Kingsley with extreme overcrowding issues in the immediate term, not to mention that with projected growth the situation will be worse than it is today in only a few years.	13% kids will have their school lives upended. Many moving in small groups with no real impact to the #s. It leaves CES, VES, & KES with extreme overcrowding issues in the immediate term, & with projected growth the situation will be worse than it is today soon. Multi family homes should be equally distributed but ARE NOT in this plan.	Stan Jester should recuse himself from these discussions as it would appear he could be biased based on the location of his home.
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES	Some will be effected by any change.	Austin will be at or above capacity in year 1 and no room for additional growth is allocated for. Additional schools/upgrades are needed in the Dunwoody cluster.	The Austin commiunity has been vocal from the beginning. some of the communities are late to the game but Austin should not be penalized further because of the other communities late feedback.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		This plan changes the contextual makeup of the school and the support system it depends on.	Relocating 500 children should not be taken lightly unless for the right reason.
Vanderlyn ES	The benefits are unclear. The plan addresses overcrowding, but doesn't evenly distribute the load. Most helped is Hightower and Dunwoody ES. Most impacted with no relief, is Vanderlyn.	Inclusive, community input has been ignored. Vanderlyn was led to believe the school would be untouched in this process--it was "Austin Redistricting" with some minor relief to overcrowding. We got the opposite. Disruption, uncertainty, tenuous position for further overcrowding in outdated, packed to the gills school for only a net change of 7 kids	In the absence of a longer-term plan to address overcrowding, i.e. no new schools planned, a balanced approach to overcrowding for even burden sharing is a must. It shouldn't matter if the school is new or old. Reopen the process and evaluate where there is room for children on campuses--consider school condition & age, acreage, parking.
Chesnut ES	Our household vote is for this plan. This plan would be very helpful in keeping the Dunwoody North community intact - which is crucial in a neighborhood that is as close knit and shares resources such as ours.		
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES	I support the decision to move 108 Hightower students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster	The plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them, for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communities Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance from West to East and ultimately south to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	fewer trailers overall		TRAFFIC patterns!
Vanderlyn ES	I don't see that it fixes anything.	It does nothing to fix overcrowding at Vanderlyn (VE), which is the most crowded by % in the cluster and inequitably concentrates apartment children to VE while transferring single family homes to Austin and Kingsley. It seems designed to make Austin the school for affluent white children and VE the school for lower-SES children of color.	
Vanderlyn ES		The net reduction in headcount at Vanderlyn is negligible (-7), while Dunwoody ES enjoys a substantial reduction (-242). Vanderlyn overcrowding wouldn't be seen as obnoxious if the neighborhoods feeding Vanderlyn were kept intact. Nobody in the neighborhood likes that DCF West based students will be replaced with ones from apartments.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Moves kids from the Cluster	Doesn't provide enough long-term relief for DES. More of the apartments & growth areas in grey should be zoned for Austin, rather than all the disruption to VES. It's crazy to move this many students & do nothing for long-term growth. Apts West of Ashford-Dunwoody are closer to new Austin & make the most sense to move.	Massive amount of growth in the grey areas along Ashford-Dunwoody. Unless we share the growth, we'll be redistricting again in 3 years. We need new schools in our cluster!
Vanderlyn ES	No pros for Vanderlynn	Uprooting 100 students from Vandelynn, when the net net is the same and uprooting neighborhoods More traffic congestion and potential for pedestrian safety Inequitable distribution of high density housing developments in the Dunwoody cluster - Austin should have 1 as well Significantly weakens Vanderlynn's financial and volunteer resources	Vanderlynn is a great school and we do not want to uproot the children and destroy neighborhoods.
Vanderlyn ES			
Hightower ES	Seguridad para los estudiantes		
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	Moving 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United. Re-leaved a lot of pressure from Hightower.	Moving 68 HT students to KES will only shuffle the overcrowding situation between the two schools. Provides no relief for KES.  HT students to KES violates the geographic proximity standard. DU is <1 mile for LaCota. KES is almost 3 miles away.  Students must be bused through Area H & congested Tilly Mill/N Peachtree Intersection.	
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Hightower ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES).
Dunwoody ES	Section K relieves the cluster as a whole. There is a reduction of portables across the cluster. Tilly Mill Rd as a boundary makes sense. Sections A & B help alleviate the mobility percentage of students at Dunwoody Elementary.	Section H isolates 2 very small neighborhoods & a few kids, Dunwoody Trace & Huntington Hall, from their school community. They will be separated from the nearest Chesnut neighborhoods by Brook Run Park and very busy Peeler Rd, on which there is no sidewalk on their side of the street. It makes no sense in terms of safety & geographic proximity.	In terms of projected enrollment, it makes more sense to move a larger section in the Ashford Dunwoody growth area to Austin and than to shift small numbers of students around and essentially swap one group of students for another, which causes unnecessary disruption. Why move a child from one overcrowded school to another?
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Dunwoody ES	As a teacher, I think the immediate relief is needed.	I don't see any with the exception that it isn't a permanent solution.	Long-term solutions.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and change
Hightower ES	Me parece bien y estoy de acuerdo. Con que haiga espacio y camiones para ir y regresar.		
Vanderlyn ES	It addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria like distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bite path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon mobile traffic congestion. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	Make significant improvements in VES facility to accommodate more classrooms hence more students.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Good plan DCSD! For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not reduce Vanderlyn portables, but we are willing to accept status quo on portables until a longer term solution is found.	Recommend a long term solution (more new schools to support our growing communities!)
Hightower ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing most community concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns etc). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Doesn't address long-term capacity issues in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is eventually introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary; and minimize disruption more stability at the DCSD senior level.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	ThankyouDCSDforlisteningandaddressingbulkofc ommunity-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	Oxford Chase™s current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES; Consider building a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Decrease in overcrowding of Dunwoody Elementary	'- The current staff recommendation: 1) violates the primary proximity criteria outlined (longer commutes, more traffic, higher risk to pedestrians and bicyclists) - The current staff recommendation is unnecessarily and disproportionately disruptive and punitive to Vanderlyn in particular	Sections C & D to be kept with Vanderlyn. Addition of B to Vanderlyn and C & D to other schools disrupts 191 students for net -7 from Vanderlyn (11 Trailers 135%) These neighborhoods are also closer in proximity to Vanderlyn and have Safe, Straight, Stoplight Access to Vanderlyn (Mt Vernon Way Light, Manhasset Light, and Jett Ferry Light).
Dunwoody ES			
Kingsley ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary; however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elementary. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to Mt Vernon Flats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p>	Continue to keep Peeler Neighborhood Association (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring) as part of DES due to their walkability. Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neigh
Dunwoody ES			
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary</p> <p>Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>Mt Vernon Flats is geographically closer to Austin but is planned for DES - should be switched to Austin.</p> <p>Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p>	<p>Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic &amp; safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H &amp; C are isolated and/or split from their communities</p>
Vanderlyn ES	<p>Appreciate you listening and addressing community-wide concerns. Left turn out of Oxford Chase is dangerous. Bike/Walk route for Oxford Chase is preserved and results in less traffic congestion for Oxford Chase N'hood. Spreads enrollment across all of Dunwoody and decreases portables.</p>	<p>Too many kids disrupted for a short term plan. Get a long term plan in place-until then, leave VES as-is. Chgs suggested give VES a negligible gain. High Density housing is not equitably distributed. Keep in mind safety/traffic concerns - easy for Oxford Chase to currently navigate to Vanderlyn, dangerous and difficult to get to Kingsley (bad option)</p>	<p>Criteria shld include minimal impact to students - don't cause disruption for disruption's sake (esp for a short/mid-term solution). Keep traffic/safety concerns high (e.g. left turn out of Oxford Chase is dangerous, plus it will cause a bottle-neck.) Minimize disruption &amp; chgs until DCSD senior level has stability.</p>

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Addressed safety/traffic concerns for Oxford Chase (able to walk/bike to Vanderlyn & not forcing dangerous left turn out of n'hood into already congested traffic) - Thx! Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody schools to address growth. Reduces # of portables and better distributes them across all schools. Addressed bulk of community-wide concerns.	Too much disruption (too many kids chg'ing schools) for a short/medium term solution. Does not address long term capacity problems. All changes to VES to date provide negligible gain. High density housing pockets are not equally and equitably distributed across schools.	Keep safety and traffic issues high in your criteria list (e.g. Oxford Chase current safe, right turn traffic & safe walk/bike to VES.) Also you should include minimize disruption & chgs in your criteria. VES zoning area should not change & only be revisited when a long term plan is ready. Build new (or re-open a closed) school.
Dunwoody ES	Reduction in trailers meaningful w/ exception of Vanderlyn. Short term relief provided to DES.	Moves an incredible amount of students, sometimes unnecessarily. For example why shouldn't C&D just stay at Vanderlyn, and then move B to Austin. That would reduce your student moves by nearly 20%. This plan is also not a feasible long-term strategy for the cluster, specifically for the growth @ DES.	I live in Ashworth (corner of Mt. Vernon and Chamblee Dunwoody) and would support my neighborhood being moved to either VES or AES - both are closer!
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Pretty good capacity relief but still not enough considering growth projections of DES.	Section B needs to go to anywhere but Vanderlyn - VES really ends up in worse position in this map.	As a resident of Ashworth I support our community being moved out of DES to relieve capacity at that school

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Utilizing cross-cluster capacity in Doraville United. Reduction in portables.	Too many students moving and Austin is not taking enough students. Let Vanderlyn keep C and give Austin B to cut down on student moves. Not enough relief for DES considering growth.	Many in the Ashworth community at corner of Mt. Vernon and Chamblee Dunwoody would like to move to either Austin or Vanderlyn, as they are both closer than DES.
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	I support the staff recommended plan, but am concerned projected growth at DES will still far exceed capacity.		
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening & addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity & safety/traffic criteria (distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns & left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase. Spreads enrollment to address growth & better distributes portables	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Austin ES	less portables overall - This is a great area; let's get rid of the portables!!!	Portables & over utilized schools...	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening & addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high; share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD senior level.
Vanderlyn ES		Vanderlyn will have 11 portable classrooms - more than any other Dunwoody elementary school. These portables need to be more evenly distributed among the schools. Also, Vanderlyn's district looks like it was drawn for a gerrymandered Congressional district. This affects education and home values, both of which are important.	Vanderlyn will have about 16% of the students but 34% of the portable classrooms. In what possible scenario is this fair or equitable to the students? Each school should have about 5 or 6 portable classrooms. You should redistrict so this is the outcome.
Kingsley ES	The number of portable classrooms decreases in 2021 compared to now.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The plan essentially moves overcrowding from Hightower to Kingsley. Kingsley has less land than Hightower.</li> <li>- Vanderlyn overcrowding is not adequately addressed. Students can easily be moved from Oxford Chase to Kingsley, avoiding a left-hand turn onto Mt. Vernon which has been cited as a concern in the past.</li> </ul>	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition. Please see that letter for reference.
Dunwoody ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	Vanderlyn Elementary would be a completely different school. We are losing bordering neighborhoods in place of an apartment complex that is closer in proximity to Austin. More than half of the students would come from high density housing. Many families are being displaced & the enrollment # are not drastically changing. Why all the movement?	The future of Vanderlyn will be at stake.
Dunwoody ES	Student count will balance out	School rating will go further down, Still sticking to boundary lines Chances of school overcrowding still high	Consider school rating and Ashworth community is most closest to Austin by distance
Kingsley ES	None	Residents of LaCota with kids attending Hightower ES will now travel over 3 miles to school; an addition of 65 students from Hightower (likely ESOL) will take the ESOL percentage to over 75%, economically it won't benefit the families /kids of Hightower to move to Kingsley, and additionally will increase the cost of operations for Kingsley.	
Austin ES	Total reduction in portables		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion ). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	Keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES	108 to Doraville United	Does not correlate with Kingsley vs Hightower forecast. Enrollment is forecast to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley, which accelerates utilization misalignment. Will be shuffling trailers for no benefit.	I suport letter from Kingsley Communities coalition.
Vanderlyn ES		This only seems to help overcrowding at certain schools. VES barely changes! Please make redistricting effect more equitable	
Kingsley ES		The new redistributing is not diverse for certain schools. Also students are crossing school district that are closer to them when they schools be at their neighborhood schools.	Don't bus students into a district just because of overcrowding bring students in that are closer not further away ex. Hightower to kingsley crossing over district from chestnut. Take students from Dunwoody to kinglet because it is closer.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Brings families together in same swim tennis community	Trailers	No trailers for the new school
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably
Hightower ES			
Austin ES	equitable distribution of students among dunwoody cluster overall reduction in portable classrooms	opening Austin elementary overcapacity	the new development in Dunwoody village - how many children do we expect those townhouses to add
Austin ES			
Austin ES	The best of both worlds! Reduced portables and keeping folks closer to home. Nice job.	Still need a new school in the district tbh.	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Staff Recommended plan, is in line with our expectation, addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables	A new school should be in place for areas where new apartment complexes are booming. Staff has not recommended the need for new school for growing population of the area, we shouldn't be doing this time consuming redistricting every three five years!!	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Dunwoody ES	Provides some immediate relief	Puts DES in the position to absorb a disproportionate amount of anticipated growth - In just a few years we will be back where we started.	Areas of anticipated, high density growth should be evenly distributed. The burden of overcrowding should be distributed evenly throughout the cluster.
Austin ES	This plan not only addresses the three main criteria for this redistricting process (geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment), but also substantially reduces the number of portables.	This plan does not address the need for more long-term planning as a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. Further plans need to be executed for an additional elementary school so portables can be removed.	Due to the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster, an additional elementary school is needed.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	<p>I feel the current staff recommendation violates the primary proximity criteria outlined (longer commutes, more traffic, higher risk to pedestrians and bicyclists) and is unnecessarily and disproportionately disruptive and punitive to Vanderlyn.</p> <p>I request Section B is moved to Austin while allowing Vanderlyn to retain its current Sections C &amp; D</p>	I want to see high-density housing shared equitably among ALL of the Dunwoody cluster to help with overcrowding.
Dunwoody ES	Balances growth better between schools. Keep Dunwoody North intact. Relieves Hightower overcrowding.	Doesn't balance the perimeter growth between DES and AES as well as it could: request the entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody and extending to I-285 be zoned for Austin Elementary School as this area is geographically in closer proximity to AES, and it addresses projected enrollment as well as instructional capacity at DES.	Doesn't balance the perimeter growth between DES and AES as well as it could: request the entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody and extending to I-285 be zoned for Austin Elementary School as this area is geographically in closer proximity to AES, and it addresses projected enrollment as well as instructional capacity at DES.
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	There is no Pros for this plan. Over 500 students are moved around and many moves are unnecessary and artifical. The map has a few patches and holes. It is against the most important redistricting criterion: geographic proximity. Roads should be the most natural boundaries for attendance maps.	1. Why is Vanderlyn's district disconnected? In previous three options, two communities on the north of Ashford Ctr Parkway were moved to Vanderlyn. Such move should be kept. 2. Why is B carved out from Blue (DES)? This community should stay in DES. 3. C should stay in Vanderlyn. 4. Areas west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd should be moved to Austin.	Roads should be boundaries for attendance maps, instead of communities' own interest. No school map should be disconnected.
Vanderlyn ES	I am against this plan	I am concerned that the inclusion of the high-density apartments will significantly increase the number of students over time at Vanderlyn, thereby negatively impacting the resources available, the class size and the overall quality of education.	
Austin ES	Does a good job of balancing high-growth areas and providing relief to overcrowded schools	None - any plan will have noisy detractors, but that shouldn't deter DCSD from making a good decision.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES	looks more even across schools	opening Austin with portals seems to be the wrong overall solution for poor planning	
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	You did the best you could with the rotten predicament you have made by not keeping up with increased enrollment. overcrowding is spread between all schools while trying to stick to the criteria you deem important and decreasing trailer usage.	Still overcrowded. A future plan with more classrooms is needed at all school levels in the Dunwoody cluster. Clean up your act and take responsibility for this mess. Fix it - be it a county wide redistricting or an overhaul on spending. Being "fair" to all schools by treating them the same is NOT fair to a mega high school with over 2000 students!	Redistrict the whole county. Expansion to current facilities is not going to work or bring relief quick enough.
Dunwoody ES	Des and aes more equitable balance.	Does not allow for projected growth at perimeter. Mount Vernon flats at the perimeter should be zoned for aes due to criteria.	Entire area West of Ashford dunwoody down to 285 should zone to aes to allow for equality between aes and des for projected growth and follows dcscd redistricting criteria.
Austin ES	Fewer portables	Brand new school starts with 7 portables already	Better planning in the future so brand new schools, built to relieve over-crowding, do not start off being over-crowded.
Austin ES	The staff plan does a good job distributing the instructional capacity to minimize severely overcrowding one school and reduce overall portables. The plan takes into account projected growth, which is definitely needed and relevant to the situation. Moves C,H,& I do a good job taking geographic proximity into account.	The cluster is still overcrowded and projections indicate it is going to get worse, the plan doesn't address the need for additions to existing schools or a new school near Ashford Dunwoody Rd and Perimeter Ctr Rd	This plan does a good job with the existing facilities addressing the three primary criteria and took into account many of the communities suggestions.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Looks like it covers a lot of concerns.	This doesn't address the issue of an additional school which is needed to address future overcrowding and removing portables.	Looks good
Austin ES		<p>Disregards capacity of all schools with maximum number of mobile classrooms available. Organic growth will not be possible at Austin with this plan given the limited space to put in more trailers.</p> <p>The documentation states New Austin will have a reduction in trailers. That is untrue. There is capacity for all current Austin students w/o trailers.</p>	The traffic at new Austin will make parent drop offs difficult in the time allowed for drop offs. Currently, parents have 25 minute window for drop offs. Traffic is at 100% capacity the entire time. With 140% of current students, traffic patterns will make drop off in time impossible, unless drop off hours are extended to accommodate.
Vanderlyn ES	The board took under consideration the left turn and walk/bike route safety issues and also the geographical proximity to school for Oxford Chase neighborhood. This plan also spreads enrollment across Dunwoody to address growth.	This is not a long term solution and we will find ourselves at this spot again in a few years. The VES zoning should stay intact with Wellsley as the kids have developed close relationships with our neighbors that we share a fence with.	Keep safety concerns HIGH, share more info about future plans, BUILD A NEW SCHOOL for a long term solution, minimize disruptions for the least amount of kids, re-open elementary schools to serve our community.
Vanderlyn ES	DES and Hightower are getting the relief they need. But with that said DES can still get the relief they need by redirecting those kids West of Ashford Dunwoody (expand section A) to Austin and keep section B at DES. Please consider moving / impacting as few kids as possible until a long term solution is available	Sections C and D takes away 30% of Vanderlyns active PTO, volunteers, annual fund providers which has a direct impact on instructional capacity by reducing the funding to pay for teachers training chromebooks for the classroom etc. There is an unnecessary shift of taking 99 from Vanderlyn Sections C and D and adding back 90 from section B	Keep Neighborhoods intact dont split up Dunwoody Cub Forest and Dunwoody Club Forest West (sec. c). Geographic Prox B is closer to Austin than Vanderlyn and C is closer to Vand than Austin. Project Enroll Vand has the most portables no additional room. Vanderlyn is ok being overcrowded as long as its with the same students that are enrolled today.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	Alleviating Hightower ES overcrowding by moving 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United ES.	1. Plan shifts students from a falling enrollment school (Hightower) to a growing enrollment school (Kingsley). Long-term needs are not being addressed as the projected utilizations between the schools is simply being swapped. 2. Plan does very little to address overcrowding at VanderlynES 3. Plan conflicts with DCSD top three evaluation criteria	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communités Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES		It makes no sense to move over 200 students to and from Vanderlyn that would require them to travel greater distances and involve dividing a neighborhood. If Section C remained in Vanderlyn as it has been and Section B was changed to Austin since it is closer, it would stop over 100 students from having to relocate and reduce overcrowding on averag	It is obvious that Stan Jester has a conflict of interest and should not have influenced the staff on this plan since his own children would now be available to go to less crowded Austin - and this would help property values in his neighborhood - does not even pass the smell test.
Other		Dunwoody is still extremely overcrowded. Why not consider a comprehensive shift towards the south to balance regions 1 and 2? Move magnet and choice programs 1st. Homeowners/residents of neighborhoods should get preference over school zones vs school choice families. Does not include Oakcliff in the data for Hightower relief. Shifts them over 285:(	Please look at regions 1 and 2 together. Magnets, Oakcliff, Pleasandale, Livsey... all need to be included. 650 apts coming. PES has over 300 seats available and is closest to Livsey and Cary Rey. Many PES kids are leaving for choice schools, probably 200, then the county is trying to move established nearby zones to fill seats. That is wrong.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community wide concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion ). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES)
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES	None for Kingsley. The school was overlooked	I support the official letter from the Kingsley community coalition. The plan is really detrimental for Kingsley.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES	Evens out the distribution.	Would be good if the number of cars taking a left onto Chamblee from Mount Vernon was minimized when going to Austin, traffic is obviously an issue.  Why isn't keep the existing Austin open an option? We need another school no matter what it looks like. Why not keep it open until a better option comes along?	Traffic patterns and overall even distribution should be the main factors.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		The Vanderlyn community faces high family disruption of existing students and an increased overcrowding due to more high density housing makeup of our school. This is NOT a solution and will also cause increased traffic congestion due to the further travel distance to Vanderlyn since they are CLOSER to the new school.	Please consider the age of Vanderlyn's buildings and already severely limited parking. This will not be a benefit for anyone there.
Vanderlyn ES		Like others in The Woodlands townhomes, my wife and I moved to our neighborhood specifically so that our children could attend Vanderlyn Elementary School in the next few years. The proposed plan is deeply disappointing to us, seems unnecessarily disruptive, and--if passed--will likely prompt us to move. Please reconsider.	
Dunwoody ES	1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody ES. 2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody ES. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt). 2. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools. 3. Area A should be expanded left; all the way to cluster line.	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	his plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Dunwoody ES	It moves 108 students from over-crowded Dunwoody to less crowded Doraville.	The map of Vanderlyn is disconnected. All the homes on the north of Ashford Center Parkway should be in Vanderlyn or Austin. Moves of B and C are unnecessary -- it increases the population of students from apartments at Vanderlyn. In contrast, Austin does not receive as many students from apartments.	Please draw school boundaries naturally with roads and streets. A school map should not be disconnected.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	I would like to thank DCSD for listening and addressing the bulk of the community-wide concerns around geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (such as the dangerous left turn on to Mt.Vernon, walk/bike path safety to Vanderlyn ES and intact community concerns) for the Oxford Chase neighborhood. It equitably spreads enrollment in cluster.	It still does not not address the long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to Vanderlyn Elementary School (VES) till date provide negligible gain to the VES students. Thus it is prudent that the VES zoning area should not change until DCSD decides to expand the current Vanderlyn school or create a new school in the cluster.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Other			
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>'-Dunwoody Elementary overcrowding is relieved initially, but this relief will be temporary if we follow the lines are draw in Round 3 Staff Recommended Map.</p> <p>-Moving 108 students form Hightower to Doraville United provides relief to the cluster schools</p>	<p>'-Dunwoody Elementary is disproportionately assigned growth. 3900+ residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd...ALL of which are given to DES in addition to Mt Vernon Flats (high growth)</p> <p>-MANY more children are disrupted than prior options presented (533)</p> <p>-Projected growth is assumed for Austin but not accounted for in other schools</p>	Area west of Ashford-Dunwoody should be assigned to Austin to solve proximity, better account for growth distribution and instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety....Areas H&C are isolated and/or split from their communities....
Dunwoody ES		<p>It seems to be moving lots of kids out of Vanderlyn only to move others in to it. It doesn't take into account new building by Perimeter mall. It unnecessarily isolates equestrian drive from the DES community across the street</p> <p>The DES projected enrollment for 2021 looks like it will hit this year.</p>	Build a school near the mall where there are high density housing currently and more are going in
Austin ES			
Austin ES	Looks good based on feedback from Round 2		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Utilization %s for DES and HES are lowered significantly which lowered the portable usage in those two schools. The change in portables for these two schools lowered the overall number of portables for the district. Kingsley's utilization % is now more in line with the other schools in the district.	Students are being moved unnecessarily. Students are being moved to schools that are not geographically close to them. VES and CES do not see a reduction in portables or utilization %s. High-growth/high-density areas are not distributed equally among the school zones. VES loses neighboring homes who have put time/money/effort into the school.	Move 200 students out of DES and into Austin's empty seats. Move 25-30 students from DES to Kingsley to even out their %. Move 110 students from Hightower to Doraville. This gives relief to DES and HES, fills Austin, gets Kingsley's % up, distributes high-growth/high density areas, and keeps Vanderlyn and Chestnut overcapacity but unchanged.
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	It successfully meets ALL primary criteria by re-aligning students to their closest elementary school while keeping all neighborhoods intact and distributing high growth areas evenly among several schools. It also equally distributes portables and relieves overcrowding at all schools. I also addresses many traffic concerns	All schools are still significantly overcrowded and will have portables, proving the immediate need for another elementary school in the cluster OR district wide shifting of students out of the cluster.	This process has truly torn our community apart and vetted elementary schools against each other. At the end of the day, we all want what is best for our children.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Portable Classrooms are reduced.	Section H jutting out like a peninsula creates traffic & safety issues. Areas to south/east/west of Dunwoody Green districted to DES cross paths with the Section H peninsula jutting out. Geographic proximity criteria violated. Dunwoody Green neighborhood is on an island and isolated this way. Keep Dunwoody Green in DES. 533 moves is disruptive.	Dunwoody Green was redistricted several years ago to Dunwoody Elementary. Makes no sense to move now since old Shallowford school site or Nancy Creek may be options for the future. Dunwoody Green should stay with Dunwoody Elementary.
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES	We appreciate the time and effort you have put into listening to the stakeholders in this tough redistricting. While there is no way to please everyone the majority of stakeholders are in agreement as it addresses the main criteria used and splits up the high growth and overcrowded areas as much as possible. It also reduces number of portables by 17	Long term planning still needs to be done for region 1. It took almost 7 years to get a new aes. Growth is expected to continue in this cluster as more projects get underway and the area continues with organic growth. Cascade redistricting south to fill empty seats could immediately help eliminate portables.	Primary criteria was used in developing this 3rd plan and helps alleviate some overcrowding at the most crowded schools (des & Hightower). Also potential growth areas are distributed equally in this new plan. Thank you for your time. The majority of stakeholders have been heard.
Dunwoody ES	1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary. 2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt). 2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior 3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Dunwoody ES			
Hightower ES	Not very crowded school	Mas lejos. Los niÑ±os van a ser afectados psicologicamente	
Hightower ES	Los niÑ±os estÃ±n adaptados a ese entorno Asus compaÑ±eros y maestros	Queda un poquito mÃ±is retirado y va haber mÃ±is trÃ±fico.	Que la mayorÃ±a de niÑ±os no les gusta estar cambiando de escuela que ellos son felices en su escuela y ya todos son conocidos padres maestros y los alumnos
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES	I appreciate the staff working to ensure the multifamily housing us spread equally amongst the schools to allow growth and overcrowding to be absorbed by the cluster as a whole at the elementary level		we need relief for overcrowding in all schools in Dunwoody.
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	The benefits are unclear. The plan addresses overcrowding, but doesn't evenly distribute the load. Most helped is Hightower and Dunwoody ES. Most impacted with either no relief, is Vanderlyn, or increased overcrowding is Chestnut. Some old, leaky portables will be removed. Some kids will go to better performing schools, others to poorer performing.	Inclusive, community input has been ignored. Vanderlyn was led to believe the school would be untouched in this process--it was "Austin Redistricting" with some minor relief to overcrowding. We got the opposite. Disruption, uncertainty, tenuous position for further overcrowding in outdated, packed to the gills school for only a net change of 7.	In the absence of a longer-term plan to address overcrowding, i.e. no new schools planned, a balanced approach to overcrowding for even burden sharing is a must. It shouldn't matter if the school is new or old. Reopen the process and evaluate where there is room for children on campuses--consider school condition & age, acreage, parking.
Austin ES			
Chesnut ES	Thank you for taking the time to consider keeping our neighborhoods intact. Chesnut scored so high on the ccrpi it would be unfortunate to redistrict now.	Moving a few kids here and there seems useless. Spread over grades and classrooms, there is a negligible impact. Those families should be allowed to continue if they wish.	Continues growth in dunwoody and region 1
Hightower ES	Me gustar�a que ampliaran la escuela hightower	Nos queda m�s lejos	
Vanderlyn ES			
Hightower ES			
Austin ES		Moves too many kids. Austin opens as an already overcrowded school.	
Kingsley ES		Students are not spread equitably. Some schools are gerrymandered so kids/neighborhoods have less opportunity.	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	New map keeps Peeler Neighborhood Association (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring)) within close proximity of DES allowing students and parents to also use walking paths located in back of neighborhood and Perimeter college while avoiding having students cross busy intersections of Peeler and Tilly Mill. Also, Reduces number of students at DES.	In the long term, overcrowding will likely still occur at schools. Inevitably, the only real solution will be to build additional schools.	The Peeler Rd neighborhoods (Shenadoah Estates, Lurray, Cherring) appreciate the opportunity to have a voice and stay within close proximity of DES walking paths that avoid crossing busy intersections as the current map happily provides us.
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES	No in the 108 students from Hightower to the newly created Doraville school will provide less overcrowding in all schools and a good opportunity for a lot of children to learn in a new school environment.	The costs of moving children from Hightower to Kingsley, ultimately creating an over crowding issue at a school with comfortable numbers, and shifting the demand for resources from a school equipped to one that will struggle makes no sense to me.	
Hightower ES	Es muy lejos y en un momento de emergencia muchas familias no contamos con transporte	Siento que como padres nos afectarÃ¡ por la distansi falta de transporte y econÃ³mica por pagar trasporte y	Constuir mas aulas
Kingsley ES		Many issues with the illogical geography for handling Hightower to Kingsley and not West to East movement. I support the Kingsley Communities Coalition official letter's points.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES		Bad plan - Increases neighborhood traffic to Austin and on Mt. Vernon to Vanderlyn. If section C continues in Vanderlyn and B goes to Austin, over 100 students would not have to relocate and average overcrowding would be reduced.	Stan Jester should not have swayed the staff. Since he has clear conflict of interest for his own family and property value.
Kingsley ES	I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition.		
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES			It seems our community's comments have not truly been taken into consideration. We support the official Letter of the Kingsley Coalition.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES	Kids from vanderlyn and and Dunwoody elementary district will bring up school ratings.	Nervous about J	
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES	Dunwoody elementary has become safer by less overcrowding	Kingsley has become less safe and becomes overcrowded with this proposal. Moreover, it brings more esol students to school who would be better served by school with Spanish speaking teachers.	I support what the Kingsley community coalition said in its letter to you
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	This plan appeases the majority of families impacted by the redistricting and addresses the 3 main criteria considered in the redistricting process. The plan adequately addresses the anticipated growth in Austin and Dunwoody districts by keeping those schools at the lower end of utilization. It also reduces the number of portable classrooms.	This plan does not address the long term NEED for another elementary school within the Dunwoody cluster. The overcrowding issue cannot be truly addressed without the addition of another school. Please consider a new school in the near term plans for Dunwoody.	In my opinion, this is the most fair and reasonable plan presented thus far. It adequately addresses the main criteria and considers further growth within the Dunwoody cluster.
Kingsley ES	If you go back to the Draft SRO from 11/12, it's perfect. The SRO presented on 11/20 only has the pro of relieving HES through the creative use of DUES. Everything else in the plan was thrown together in 3 business days at the behest of a single board member. The planning committee needs to go back to their data and provide rationale.	Oxford Chase gets to quabble over a .4 mile difference at the expense of Area J whose commute will increase by 2 miles? Why are you swapping utilization rates and trailers for KES and HES? No one cares about what "balanced enrollment" looks like on paper - we care about how disruptive this plan is without rationale or benefits.	Your recommendations need to be as data-driven as the letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition. Where are the professionals in this process? Stop letting the politicians draw your maps.
Vanderlyn ES		We wish to remain in the Vanderlyn School district instead of area C as this is why we initially purchased our home in this area.	
Kingsley ES	Hightower should move to Doraville. There is space for this shift.	Moving hightower to kingsley creates another problem of overutilization and would create the same problems that Hightower is experiencing.	I'm in agreement with the official letter from Kingsley Communitas Coalition

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	We support the decision to move 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them, for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communités Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Vanderlyn ES	None	No change in number of portable classrooms at Vanderlyn and doesn't meet criteria for geographic proximity.	Develop a plan that is fair and equitable to all Dunwoody cluster students and more long term.
Vanderlyn ES	reduction in number of portables cluster-wide, short-term relief for DHS, move students from cluster and HES to Doraville United, AES gets a new school and everything else they want (no trailers, very low high-density population, no potential for long-term growth on west-side of Ashford-Dunwoody). I can see AES has resources to win people over.	VES continues to have a utilization rate over 130% and 11 trailers/modulars. VES's 4th and 5th grades are outside of our building and have been for over 10 years. This is not safe. SECTION B is closer to AES than it is to VES. SECTION B is a half mile away from any other VES student, connected only by commercial property. Keep VES intact!	Consider size of property, age/condition of school and parking when considering which school can handle more portables. Stan Jester has lied. SECTION B & C were not discussed as possible changes and MT Vernon Way was NOT mentioned in public comments from 1st meetings. SECTION C is closer to VES in some areas & at MOST .2 miles closer to AES.
Kingsley ES		This plan does not alleviate overcrowding in the more affluent schools such as Vanderlyn and puts a large percentage of low income neighborhoods in Hightower and Kingsley. Economic diversity in all the schools will help every child in the system. Please note that you are more likely to hear voices from communities that have more resources.	Diversity in ALL schools

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	I support moving 108 Hightower Elementary students to Doraville United as a relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan doesn't align with future enrollment forecasts for the schools. It is forecasted for enrollment to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	We support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition. Future planning in the district should shift from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Other	Keeps many neighborhoods together.		
Vanderlyn ES	Relieve over crowding in some of the schools, remove the need for so many trailers	1) Moves kids that are closer to Vanderlyn to Austin. 2) Created additional traffic congestion 3) Divides Dunwoody Club Forest and Dunwoody Club Forest West, with for all intents and purposes are one large community 4) It does not eliminate the overall problem, which is school overcrowding.	Consider the size of the lot that the school is on when determine where to add trailers
Kingsley ES	We support the decision to move 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them, for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communities Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES by leveraging seats at new DUES. Reduction in portable classrooms cluster-wide	MOVES STUDENTS SIGNIFICANTLY CLOSER TO AES (SECTION B) TO VES FOR ABSOLUTELY NO REASON. Moves 100 students from VES community, SECTION C&D (both sections are closer to VES than the schools they would move to). Why would you move these kids and families when there is no impact to the overcrowding...that doesn't make sense? Disrupts more students	Age/condition of school, traffic congestion, parking, acreage for modular. VES is on a small neighborhood street that is next to overcrowded DHS. Perimeter kids should not be penalized and put into an old facility, with more traffic when they are closer to the new AES school. Again, why would you disrupt 200+/- VES families unnecessarily?
Vanderlyn ES		We purchased our house because of Vanderlyn School.	Schools are the most important reason people buy homes in specific areas.
Kingsley ES	Relieving Dunwoody overcrowding by sending students to Doraville united	High tower is underutilized and proposed maps. Enrollment is forecasted to decline at high tower.	I support the official letter from Kingsley's community coalition
Dunwoody ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn (VES). Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr. would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. I respectfully recommend the School Board to Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Kingsley ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) somewhat Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	<p>need more schools in dunwoody. it is still crowded in all the plans.</p> <p>Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster.</p>	need more schools in dunwoody.
Kingsley ES	Moving students to Doraville.	Overcrowding at Kingsley now and in the future. Personal balky I would like to see the cut off at the water plant. Remove all the apartments along PIB that have been the cause for overcrowding. There are literally bus loads of kids coming from the apartments slowing down learning due to language barriers.	See above cons. Remove apartments with abnormally massive amounts of kids being bused in to Kingsley.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES	I do not see any benefit of moving our kids from school to school.	You are not thinking about the kids. If I ask my son if he wants to move to another school, he doesn't like the idea. You know that the Elementary is the first encounter with the school and we can not move them from one school to another, the adaptation process will be broken in our kids.	Consider upgrading the school. Renovation and expansion.
Vanderlyn ES	'- New facility for Austin Elementary - Some relief for overcrowded schools DES and Hightower	'-Too many students impacted in Vanderlyn for now benefit; -Area D would be totally cut off from the rest of the Kingsley community by three major shopping centers and a two-lane road (Mount Vernon) -Disproportional allocation of housing complexes can impact schools demographics	
Kingsley ES		too many students and portable classrooms. The ratio from teachers to students.	
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Reduction of trailers cluster-wide; move students to Doraville United; AES gets a new school	Moving too many students around to send kids to schools farther away. High-density housing is not evenly split between cluster, VES remains way overcapacity and with the entire 4th and 5th grades in modular for over 10 years and no relief in sight. This is a safety hazard. Section b is closer to AES. Keep VES intact.	Board member is showing bias toward one section of cluster and arguing VESs comments, which are facts. Consider age/condition of school, availability of parking, size of campus when determining who should have the most trailers/modular

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	There are no pros	Lack of being able to properly teach students adequately due to having too many students, trying to focus too much time and energy on the kids who don't know English that takes focus off of those who already know the language and can advance. The line for students being able to attend KES should be drawn at winters chapel & Tilley Mill Road.	Lowered education due to overcrowding and bussing in low income non English speaking students.
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Disrupting children's and families' lives by moving children to new schools for a net difference of 7 students makes no sense.	Balance the numbers of apartment to single family home children so they are relatively the same at each school
Dunwoody ES			
Hightower ES	Brings most schools at capacity (temporarily) This plan is less disruptive for schools in Dunwoody cluster (except Hightower)	Breaks HES community into 3; may have negative social/emotional effects on our students. Many HES parents oppose changes to HES, especially for Area K (bc of removal from cluster). Plan separates Jasmin + Cornerstone Apts (K) = close communities = Lacota Apts (J) + Dunwoody Glenn. Poses econ hardships for many J+K families who rely on Marta/taxi.	Hightower was built in 1962. Renovations are long over-due. There is more space on DCSD owned lot for expansion of building and parking. Please be more transparent and intentional about ensuring the Board hears from those who will be directly impacted, instead of only those who are louder with more resources, time, and familiarity of the process.
Kingsley ES			I support the official letter from Kingsley Communities Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	Thank you continuing to let students walk and attend the school closest to their home where they reside with their parents whose tax bill is majority weighted to fund the school system. This reflects the attitudes and affinity of our current county elected leaders for popular concepts like mixed use developments, beltlines, greenways, roundabouts.	I think every elected official and employee of the county government should be required to reside OUTSIDE of the county. Only then will transportation to school, work, doctors offices, grocery shopping and the like, will get their attention.	I think that in order to convince the county citizenry, the super should ensure that every child in his household attends a different public school with each at the farthest reaches off the county to demonstrate they are willing to deal with arcane districting.
Kingsley ES			I support the official letter from the Kingsley Coalition
Vanderlyn ES	The Austin school district receives a brand new school.	Geographic Proximity: our Wellesley Place WP subdivision is closer to our current school of Vanderlyn than it is to the proposed school of Kingsley Safety and Traffic Patterns: we currently take a right out of our neighborhood to go to Vanderlyn, a much safer route to drive, bike and walk to Instructional capacity and Enrollment: no impact from WP	Wellesley Place has parents that have volunteered at Vanderlyn for years. The Nov 20 proposed redistricting would eliminate the current resources, volunteer time and financial support we currently provide Vanderlyn Elementary School.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 13 percent of students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impacts more students with no benefit	The existing Vanderlyn bus to serve Dunwoody Club Drive would still have to drive right past the Woodlands to get Vanderlyn.
Vanderlyn ES	Where are the pros for Kingsley and Vanderlyn in this plan?	This impacts so many students! Areas C, D, and J are just shifting kids around, they should be removed. Dand J are closer to their existing schools. Be direct and zone Area B to Austin and impact fewer students.	The existing Vanderlyn bus to serve Dunwoody Club Drive would still have to drive right past the Woodlands to get to Vanderlyn.
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES	none!	Nearly 60% of Vanderlyn's students will come from Jefferson and Post Crossing apts. Net enrollment at Vanderlyn is immaterially reduced. The redistricted kids will be no closer to their new schools. Significant volunteer/financial support is removed. None of the the district's explicit criteria for redistricting are satisfied by this proposal.	Please consider your constituents. How can you come back with a "staff proposal" that doesn't allow for community feedback and doesn't accomplish the stated goals?
Austin ES	This plan addresses geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment and reduces the number of unsafe portables.	This plan does not account for long-term planning. We need an additional elementary school based on the construction of multi family housing in the area.	This is a more fair and equitable plan.
Vanderlyn ES	Love the staff there at Vanderlyn. They are very helpful and great with my kid.	None	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None for Vanderlyn Community (students, parents, teachers, staff)	Same # of portables, no reduction in utilization rate, but a transition of 191 students. Turnover of 15% of enrollment with no realized benefits. High density housing (Section B) has unpredictable enrollment and less PTO involvement than the Section C we are losing to the New Austin.	The Superintendent should consider that the Staff Recommended Plan does not follow the guidelines laid out by the Board with respect to several of the guidelines including Geographic Proximity, Projected Future Enrollment/Instructional Capacity, Traffic Patterns and Safety Concerns, and Efficient and Economical Operations.
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	'- Moves students out of the cluster via DUES to help overall cluster overcrowding	'-Does not address the growth in the perimeter area. All growth is only at DES. -Isolates families in H from their neighbors to a farther away school -Unnecessarily moves students to and from Vanderlyn (are B and C). Area B directly to AES -Moves students from a falling enrollment school (Hightower) to an increasing enrollment school (Kingsley)	This plan does not address growth of perimeter area. All west of Ashford Dunwoody should attend AES to share the growth between the schools
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Other	Thank you DCSD for listening & addressing bulk of community-wide concerns: geographic proximity & safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn & safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek & Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; & minimize disruption & changes
Hightower ES		Que verifiquen la vivienda, verdadera de los niños,	Todos padres de familia apollar mas a la escuela,
Hightower ES	Rebuild Hightower school has always been the same need new school	Don't change children's from their own school were they have been attending because many students have been there almost 5 years students should finish 5th grade in their local school	I think that instead of changing students from Hightower Hightower students should all attend the new school since Hightower is old solo they should move all the students from Hightower to Doraville United school and everything will keep being the same
Dunwoody ES	Some relief is provided to DES by moving Area A to AES and Area B to VES (but risk of additional growth in DES footprint still remains). AES opens with trailers, which is fair considering overcrowding in the cluster. Relief is provided to the entire cluster by the moving Area K to DUES.	This is BY FAR the most disruptive plan presented. Rather than moving Area C to AES and Area B to VES, Area B should be moved directly to AES. Staff is not using growth projections equally - accounting for "new school bump" at AES but not planned developments in DES footprint which could cause significant growth.	The area west of Ash Dun Rd should go to AES to better share future growth in the Perimeter area. Area H is not meaningful enough to impact the students living there by moving them - they will be split from their school communities and unable to walk to school. Similarly, the Ashworth neighborhood on Ashford Center Pkwy should remain in DES.
Hightower ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	Trying to get everyone to a school- I like that idea.	Hightower to Kingsley - that makes no sense. Driving past 2 schools to get high density zoned areas dispersed.	Density and amount of transient applicants. The year over year churn of Apt. residents kills programs and scores.
Dunwoody ES	Alleviates the current overcrowding at DES and other schools	This only helps in the near term, in the long term not moving all of the area west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin will result in DES being severely overcrowded in a few short years. Students driving from west of AD to DES causes massive traffic issues every day.	Don't approve Stan Jester's addendum to the staff reco plan of 11/12. This does not represent our best interests!!
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES	There are no pros	Students should have the opportunity to attend Dunwoody HS	
Kingsley ES	Support the decision to move 108 Hightower students to doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them, for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	I support the official letter from Kingsley Communités Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from West to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Austin ES	Less portable classrooms	Portable classrooms at all. Why are new schools being built that even before opening are already not able to accommodate both the actual nor projected number of students?	Let Dunwoody cluster go. Dunwoody should be it's own district and have control of what happens with our children's education as well as our tax dollars.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Balances growth, keeps neighborhoods intact, keeps current students at Austin, incorporates residents and apartments. Traffic should flow well with minimal crossing of Mount Vernon. It's a great plan! Thank you for listening. Please keep current Austin students at Austin and keep the new students split half apartments and half residents!	Doesn't provide a Solution for the need for an additional school. But it's a great start!	You have 4/5 Elementary schools happy with this plan. Take it and run!
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	Brings down the overall number of portables in the community, spreads students more evenly through the community.	Growth is inequitably assigned to DES-3900 residences are planned along Ashford Dunwoody and ALL are given to DES. Disrupts more children than any of the prior options. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump) but not accounted for at other schools.	You have to consider the expected growth around the Perimeter Mall area when you draft this map and not put the burden of it all on one school.
Kingsley ES	Removes pressure for other over crowded schools by bringing problems to Kingsely.	The answer to over crowding is to move 84 students to Kingsely. The majority of students will be brought in from high needs school to a school that is already strapped for resources. This plan brings nothing to Kingsely that would benefit my school. My two elementary level children already have enough challenges with over crowding.	
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary; however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elementary. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to Mt Vernon Flats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p>	Continue to keep Peeler Neighborhood Association (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring) as part of DES due to their walkability. Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety concerns
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES	<p>There is a reduction in portable units.</p> <p>Geographic proximity is taken into account.</p>	<p>There is still overcrowding projected in 5 of the 6 schools in the district. We will go from all schools but Austin ES being over 100% utilized to all but Hightower ES being over 100% utilized. There is clearly no long-term planning in mind. It's obvious that a new elementary school is needed in the district to meet the needs of the area.</p>	
Vanderlyn ES	Provides relief for DES and Hightower. Keeps most neighborhoods intact.	Does not provide relief for Vanderlyn. Moving 90+ children out just to replace them seems counterintuitive. Give them the relief they need but do not replace them with the same number of students.	Current Austin students should stay at Austin. If moved, those children will go to three schools in one year. That is horrible. Also, provide portables with bathrooms!!

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Failure to build Austin Elementary School large enough so that trailers would be eliminated at ES in Dunwoody cluster. Vanderlyn should've been closed and that land should've been given to Dunwoody HS. Stop wasting money by building schools too small.	ALL of the ES students in Dunwoody Club Forest neighborhood should attend the same ES - Vanderlyn or Austin. Why can't you use the old Austin Elementary School for K-2 and the new Austin Elementary School for 3-5 or K-1 and 2-5? Use the facilities that we have instead of using trailers.	All the talk about safety is a joke when students are in trailers.
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES	Keeping intact neighborhoods together. Lowering overall portable units. Using the Doraville school to help with Dunwoody cluster overcrowding.	Austin and Dunwoody ES have extremely high projected growth projections in future years shown during session 3. If they are already overcrowded are there plans for a future school to alleviate this growth the other schools aren't projected to see?	
Dunwoody ES	Relieves overcrowding for the next year or two	Does not account for projected growth along the Ashford Dunwoody corridor. The vast majority of this burden will fall on DES. Some of the changes are disrupting students for no net gain. Vanderlyn is losing students in Dunwoody Club Forrest only to pick up students a greater distance from the school (resulting in traffic and safety issues)	Projected growth in Ashford Dunwoody Corridor

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES	Moves children west to new school to allow better services for existing children east of school.	Lacota Hightower families are being displaced. Parents can't walk to Kingsley (it will take 2 hours round trip per Google versus 38 minutes round trip to Hightower). Taxis are the mode of transportation. Destroys parental engagement. This is a terrible recommendation. Staff should be ASHAMED of busing kids 6 miles instead of 1.9 miles to school.	The process of feedback and map changes does not appear to be above board. What is Dan Drake doing? Trust eroded in DCSD once again. Very sad. Why can't we do this right, fair and above board? Say your prayers for the Dekalb children tonight and hope you can buy the Hightower parents some new shoes. They will need them for the new plan.
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES		I don't think adding more kids from Hightower to Kingsley seems like a good idea. Kingsley doesn't get the same funding as Dunwoody, Austin, and Vanerlyn so adding more low income kids is going to throw this off even more.	
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably. Hightower shouldn't be included in the Dunwoody Cluster. Hightower should be in Doraville.
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	More planning for future growth needed	Austin new school should not get overcrowded
Hightower ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	WE do not see any pros...this just seems to be disrupting way to many children!	Too much busing , downturn in property values...we bought homes in a school district because of the ratings of that school! Disrupting the children by moving to a new school leaving behind friends, teachers and a school environment that they know.	
Austin ES	Redistricting based on geographical proximity, equal distribution of students and projected enrollment. I like how each school was asked to make a concession in this process. I appreciate the reduction in portables.	There is not enough room for projected growth overall in the cluster. We are in need of an additional elementary school as well as solutions to our overcrowded Middle and High schools.	Future projections on growth related to current capacities of Elementary, Middle and High schools in our cluster
Austin ES	Keeps all current Austin families at Austin Elementary School. Moves families in very close proximity to Austin Elementary School. Better distributes school utilization percentages.		
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	None.	I am concerned with the redistricting of section H (the part that is SW of Shallowford Rd) to Chestnut ES. This section is part of the Georgetown Community and should be sent to Dunwoody ES. This will severely hurt the Georgetown community and will specifically divide those in the Dunwoody Green neighborhood.	Chestnut is already way over capacity and will be 137% over utilized with the superintendent recommendation and by keeping the Georgetown community together it will alleviate this issue.
Dunwoody ES		I am concerned with the H area that moves children from Dunwoody ES to Chestnut ES - specifically the neighborhood west of Shallowford as we consider them part of our Georgetown Community. Almost more concerning is that Chestnut capacity would actually go up to 137%. It's my strong belief that the DW Green neighborhood should stay in Dunwoody ES	
Dunwoody ES		<p>The rezoning of Section H Southwest of Shallowford Rd. is encroaching upon our Georgetown neighborhood &amp; will divide our community.</p> <p>I'm more concerned with additional over crowding at Chestnut ES; the New option makes CN ES highest utilization of 137%. Keeping area SW of Shallowford Rd. will allow DW Green to balance DW &amp; CN ES's utilization's.</p>	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Alleviate Dunwoody ES through redistricting of A, B, and C.	I am not in favor of redistricting the H region. The suggestion would displace children who identify with the Georgetown community and is the only recommendation that crosses over a major road in Shallowford. This leaves a large portion of Dunwoody ES students traveling around the SW portion of H redistrict in a clear example of gerrymandering.	Safety of children crossing busy roads; social impact of disrupting communities
Dunwoody ES	n/a	I'm highly concerned with the staff-recommended plan due to the increased capacity of Chesnut ES - this plan will increase Chesnut's capacity to 137%, leading to larger class sizes and less one on one time with teachers. This specifically will separate part of the Dunwoody Green neighborhood from the rest of our community.	My children carpool with quite a few other children in the Dunwoody Green community and this will cause huge headaches for the entire neighborhood. I propose keeping the area south west of Shallowford in the Dunwoody ES system.
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	The Oxford Chase neighborhood is currently safer at Vanderlyn regarding walk/bike/bus/car travel to school and this plan keeps that intact. We only have one way in and out of the neighborhood, onto a very busy road, and right turns are definitely safer in the morning, especially considering it is dark. Plus, we do have a Safe Route to School.	It does seem we could use another elementary school in our cluster.	Our neighborhood is very diverse and I believe that diversity is an asset to Vanderlyn.
Hightower ES	SerÃ-a buena idea construir escuelas		

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		We live closer to Dunwoody ES and would like to remain there.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at DES, however this relief will be temporary. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from HES to Doraville United. Keeps walkable, geographically close areas of Shenandoah Estates/Cherring with DES.	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior. Projected growth is assumed for AES(new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools. VES and KES are negatively impacted by areas of high growth	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to AES to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Moving this high growth area makes more sense than disrupting existing neighborhoods.
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at DES, however this relief will be temporary. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from HES to Doraville United. Keeps walkable, geographically close areas of Shenandoah Estates/Cherring with DES.	Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior. Projected growth is assumed for AES(new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools.	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to AES to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Moving this high growth area makes more sense than disrupting existing neighborhoods.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at DES, however this relief will be temporary. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from HES to Doraville United. Keeps walkable, geographically close areas of Shenandoah Estates/Cherring with DES.	1. Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior. Projected growth is assumed for AES(new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools. VES and KES are negatively impacted by areas of high growth	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to AES to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Moving this high growth area makes more sense than disrupting existing neighborhoods.
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	None	Test scores will suffer at Kingsley with this plan.	Please redistrict to bring more DES/Vanderlyn/Chestnut to Kingsley rather than Just 21 Vanderlyn to Kingsley
Kingsley ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES	For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes until there is stability at the DCSD

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	We support the decision to move the 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster. We want to keep the numbers at Kingsley the same as this year.	This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs. Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them, for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley which accelerates the utilization misalignment.	
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn (VES). Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr. would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. I respectfully recommend the School Board to Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn (VES). Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr. would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. I respectfully recommend the School Board to Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Dunwoody ES gets relief, Hightower gets relief. Chesnut keeps Dunwoody North. Vanderlyn keeps Oxford Chase.	Moves too many kids for no real change for overcrowding in cluster, Section B should go to Austin not VES. Does not distribute multifamily evenly which leaves schools with more multifamily open to more overcrowding. If you can't solve the problem (overcrowding), move as few kids as you have to. No decrease in portables at VES.	Let everyone get something in this: AES: new school VES: boundary intact (no relief from overcrowding CES: Dwoody North KES: maintain balance DES/HES: relief from overcrowding. If you're not going to solve this problem long-term, minimize disruption in short-term and let everyone feel good that they won something.
Dunwoody ES	DES has fewer portables. The Dunwoody North neighborhood stays together. Some relief of overcrowding by moving some students from Hightower to Doraville United.	All of the kids in section C are moved for no reason. Removing these families from Vanderlyn hurts the schools PTO and fundraising. The kids in section B are closest to Austin.	PCMS and DHS are also overcrowded. The area moved from Hightower to DUES should also redistrict the middle school and high school to alleviate overcrowding for the middle and high school students over.
Dunwoody ES			
Kingsley ES	I agree with move K as it provides relief to Hightower and is logical to the location of the impacted students.	However the move J recommendation does not make sense. This location is not geographically closer to Kingsley and looks to be done just to swap enrollments. It will cause trailers at Kingsley by alleviating Hightower. Further the enrollment of Kingsley is expected to grow naturally beyond full capacity in and of itself.	Please consider the letter from the Kingsley coalition. The planning should incorporate shifting impacted areas from West to East.
Austin ES			
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief to DES. Keeps walkable and geographically close areas of Shenandoah Estates/Cherring with DES. Keeps most of the neighborhoods intact.	Still lot of high growth areas on Ashford Dunwoody is under DES.	The high growth area west of Ashford Dunwoody should be assigned to AES to solve proximity instead of splitting neighborhoods to distribute projected growth
Austin ES	I think it's great that it's reducing the overall trailer count.	It's not	
Dunwoody ES	Creates relief for some overcrowding issues and somewhat balances the use of portables.	Under the recommended plan, Section H to the southwest of N Shallowford would be caught in cross traffic traveling to Chesnut against those Cotillion Dr area students traveling north to continue attending DES. This creates traffic and safety concerns. Moving section H lowers utilization at DES closer to 100% while further increasing CES utilization	This redistricting appears to be a short term solution. If another elementary school is needed as a long term solution, then it seems the old Shallowford Elem and old Nancy Creek Elem sites are likely top contenders. If that occurs, Section H would need to be redistricted then for proximity; redistricting Section H now isn't useful for long-term.
Dunwoody ES		Moving Section H to Chestnut results in an increase in overcrowding from 130% to 137% @ Chestnut and a reduction @ Dunwoody ES resulting in 105% overcrowding. Why force our 40 children, busses, and parents to cross over and pass through Dunwoody Elementary district in order to get to Chestnut. We are separated from our community @ georgetown.	Demographics and boundaries appear to favor overcrowding in schools with a higher minority population. The numbers don't lie.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	I would like to thank DCSD for listening and addressing the bulk of the community-wide concerns around geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (such as the dangerous left turn on to Mt.Vernon, walk/bike path safety to Vanderlyn ES and intact community concerns) for the Oxford Chase neighborhood. It equitably spreads enrollment in cluster.	It still does not address the long-term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to Vanderlyn Elementary School (VES) till date provide negligible gain to the VES students. Thus, it is prudent that the VES zoning area should not change until DCSD decides to expand the current Vanderlyn School or build a new school in the cluster.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES.	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chesnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and proximity wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES.	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chesnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and proximity wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation.
Vanderlyn ES	ThankyouDCSDforlisteningandaddressingbulkofc community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES.	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chesnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and proximity wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Area k	Area h being moved to Chestnut creates dangerous cross traffic with people going to Des from behind them. Also area h is part of the Georgetown neighborhoods and this isolates them. Additionally in the next redistricting area h will more than likely have to be redistricted due to proximity so keeping it in DES for now makes sense	
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES.	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chesnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and proximity wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation.
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing the bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables. keep a few community intact	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	build new school for dunwoody cluster
Vanderlyn ES	Trying to come up with the short-term solution for the overcrowding issue Kept some communities together	Affects the most number of kids (who, I believe, should be primary criteria) from all proposed plans. Vanderlyn is losing net of 7 kids, but 191 kids are moved around Area D is separated from Kingsley school community by Mt. Vernon and 3 strip shopping centers Vanderlyn bus route will continue going by area D and there is no efficient turnaround	Since it looks like redistricting is a short term solution for over-crowding, I would like to ask the Board to consider impacting as few kids as possible. Kids (and not just the parents) are very anxious about this process “going to a new school, getting to know new teachers, losing their friends. While I believe that kids cannot learn in the over
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES.	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chestnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and proximity wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	The proposed plan now will not only have one or a couple of schools overcrowded but all the Dunwoody schools overcrowded and now limiting the already limited resources to the overall student population. We need to plan better overall for the expected population to avoid this type of clusters. It looks as Austin will be overcrowded when it opens.	It will find a school for students but offer very limited resources. Overall the County ineffective planing will impact the student learning and limit the resources. We need to do what is right for the students and not just for the interest of the county pocketbook. That is why we pay taxes, to offer an excellent education to generations to come.	How can a new school be built and be already over capacity? Austin will be over capacity the minute the doors open. Dunwoody has been overcrowded since it open the doors.
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably. Hightower should go to doraville United not our cluster.
Vanderlyn ES	Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood & are OK w/over utilization. DES and Hightower get relief. The students in C will be well served by AES or VES. They have connections to both communities.	Plan is disproportionately punitive to VES and KES's overutilization Most students impacted out of all plans Moving J to Kingsley violates geographic proximity. Lacota should remain districted for Hightower, which is not only the closest school but also a viable walking option for a population that often uses taxi's. B is closer to AES than VES.	Send west side of Ashford Dunwoody to AES and east side of Ash Dwoody to DES to disburse high growth areas.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES	None	The staff recommended plan completely isolates my neighborhood, Dunwoody Green, from the rest of the Georgetown community that we are a part of. Many in our neighborhood are members of the Georgetown Recreation club, as it is the closest and best option for recreational activities for our families.	The staff recommended plan will create dangerous and disruptive traffic problems, as neighborhoods to the south and east of Dunwoody Green will be going opposite directions from those in our neighborhood. Furthermore, Dunwoody Green is closer to DES than many other neighborhoods in the area that are unaffected by staff recommended plan.
Dunwoody ES	N/A	This plan will isolate Dunwoody Green from the Georgetown community that it was built to be the center of (as Georgetown park even sits in the middle of the neighborhood). Many in our neighborhood are heavily involved in / active in the Georgetown recreation Club, and this plan would isolate us from the rest of its members.	Dunwoody green is closer to DES than the neighborhoods to the south and east of our neighborhood, which are not affected by this recommended plan, and in fact would cause significant traffic problems as we try to cross paths with these neighborhoods as we go in opposite directions to get our children to school in a timely and safe way.
Austin ES	Balances growth in the region by distributing high growth areas across multiple schools, keeps neighborhoods in tact and meets geographic proximity criteria, substantial portables reduction	While the plan balances growth and distributes students to the best of its ability with current schools, we still are in need of more seats in the area to relieve overcrowding in the cluster. Too many students are in portables and it will only get worse as our region continues to experience growth.	This plan addresses the 3 primary criteria and does a very good job addressing the high growth areas in the cluster by distributing them equitably.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	DCSD has LISTENED and COMPLIED w/ALL of the following requests: Vanderlyn was very vocal and did not want to lose Oxford Chase and Woodsong n'hoods. Chesnut was willing to increase utilization to keep Dunwoody North n'hood. Kingsley willing to increase utilization. Dunwoody and Hightower wanted/needed relief from overcrowding. ALL accomplished!!!	AES opens w/trailers.Cluster still overcrowded!MOST IMPORTANT: can't make everyone happy.Someone has to move.Vanderlyn now asking to keep yet another n'hood.You are hearing only from ones who don't want to move & others too scared to say. Many in DCFW are fine w/moving. They belong to the same swim&tennis & drive same backroads.Kids play together.	Do not allow DES' and VES' letters re: balancing "mobilization rates" & spreading "high density housing" influence your decisions. Their motives are very clear. It is highly offensive and discriminatory and DCSD should use only Board-approved criteria.Many of DCFW n'hood has close ties w/AES families including same swim&tennis
Chesnut ES	I am in favor of the Staff Recommended Plan because it keeps the Dunwoody North community intact.	None	thank you very much to the board for a very well laid out map which has pros for all Dunwoody communities. recognize the hard work you are doing in tackling this challenging puzzle, and to share our appreciation for your receptivity to feedback from our community that keeping the Dunwoody North neighborhood intact is the best way to align this neig
Chesnut ES	I am in favor of the staff recommended plan because it fills the 1st primary criteria of geographical proximity for all dunwoody schools	None	Thank you to the board members and superintendent for all the hard work they are doing in tackling this challenging puzzle and we appreciate how receptive you have been to our communities feedback
Vanderlyn ES	Hightower and Dunwoody see relief. Some schools see a reduction in trailers	Vanderlyn, Chestnut and Kingsley remain grossly over utilized and either gain trailers or have most in cluster.	If you are going to keep a school well above utilization, why essentially swap students around for no benefit. Look closely at demographics and ask for the data to support these moves.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES	None for our street. If we were to switch back to Vanderlyn, with up to 6 kids enrolled at any one time, the enrollment of Vanderlyn would remain static.	<p>1) Safety risk, most efficient route to Kingsley from our street is to turn left, and that is highly dangerous in the morning traffic.</p> <p>2) Our street is in an active transition period, with 5 of 18 houses due on market in near future. With redistricting we fear that instead of selling houses, we will be left with vacant or rented homes on our st.</p>	
Dunwoody ES	By opening Austin with trailers, an effort is made for all schools to share in the district-wide overcrowding load. DES is relieved of overcrowding.	Not all schools are offered relief from overcrowding—Vanderlyn and Chestnut remain significantly overcrowded relative to the rest of the schools. Ashford Center Pkwy is a bus route for 2 elem. schools in addition to middle and high. Ashworth breaks the line for Vanderlyn attendance zone (implications for bus routes and future redistricting).	Overcrowding is not a problem that will go away for our schools. Please strongly consider the future plans for each school and the cluster as a whole when deciding on attendance zones.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>Relief for entire cluster by students moving from Hightower to Doraville UTD</p> <p>Dunwoody ES gets much needed relief (albeit temporary due to most high growth areas still going to DES instead of more to Austin)</p> <p>Austin ES opens with portables, sharing the burden with other schools</p> <p>Dunwoody North remains intact (but at the expense of overcrowding Chesnut</p>	<p>Dunwoody Green (Sec. H) is part of Georgetown Community &amp; should NOT move from DES to CES as theyâ€™ll be isolated from Georgetown Area south of Dunwoody Green remains at DES causing traffic concerns (paths will cross)</p> <p>Growth is inequitably assigned to DES. 3900+ residences are planned along Ash-Dun Rd; ALL are given to DES</p> <p>Most # children disrupt</p>	<p>Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown Park is part of the City's Revitalization of the Georgetown Area. Georgetown should remain intact at DES and include Dunwoody green. Georgetown area pre-schools serve DES, not Chesnut; students will be isolated from the community/afterschool programs Distribute projected growth equitably; Austin is not pulling its weight</p>
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	<p>More balanced; Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary;</p>	<p>Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to Mt Vernon Flats. Also, 533 children are disrupted with this plan.</p>	<p>Keep Peeler Neighborhood Association (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring) as part of DES due to their walkability. Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic &amp; safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods</p>
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary; however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity. Better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Vanderlyn ES	none	it divides up the Vanderlyn community and unfairly distributes high density housing.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES	Reduce number of portables	The cluster is still 500 over crowded We need another Elem. school!	Redistrict the middle and high school!
Kingsley ES		I support the official letter from the Kingsley Communities Coalition	
Kingsley ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Hightower and Dunwoody Elementary school overcrowding reduced	<p>1. VES overcrowding not improved (-7 for 2021). 28% of proposed 2021 VES student enrollment will be impacted - too much churn with too little net benefit for VES</p> <p>2. High density housing with high potential for growth added to VES without facility growth</p> <p>3. High density housing removed from New Austin district, although closer to New Austin</p>	There is no proposal for an additional Dunwoody Cluster elementary school, middle school, or high school. When does the overcrowding stop?
Vanderlyn ES	Lower portable usage across the county, but no positive impact for Vanderlyn Elementary.	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity.</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	ur Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Taking Dunwoody North out of Vanderlyn would leave a huge hole in the volunteer and financial health of the PTO. Vanderlyn's PTO is currently extremely strong. 30% reduction in financial contribution and Volunteers would happen. If you are going to take any part out of Vanderlyn take Cedar Chase. The volunteer and financial contributions is low.	See above pros. There are no pros.	How it will cripple the PTO and the PTO allows the teachers and the students to have and do things which make Vanderlyn an excellent school
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Not following your Plan" using geographic distance The entire district needs to be realigned! The schools are way too crowded and south DeKalb has capacity. An entire district shift is needed immediately! DO YOUR JOB!	Keep Vanderlyn intact by keeping sections C and D at Vanderlyn and fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving sections A and B to Austin.
Vanderlyn ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	DES is finally less crowded	<p>Some neighborhoods like Dunwoody Trace on the other side of Peeler road were in DES before. No reason to change it. This neighborhood has extensive connections to Adams road neighborhoods .</p> <p>Vanderlyn does not have enough apartments in its area</p>	Keeping neighborhoods together
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	This relieves some overcrowding at des in the short term. Utilizing space at Doraville United seems sensible.	Area A should incorporate all area west of ashford dunwoody and extending to 285 to address geographic proximity, instructional capacity and projected enrollment. Des is left too susceptible to expected, explosive growth. High growth areas need to be shared. C should stay with Vandy; b to Austin.	The board should listen to community feedback and not Stan Jester's personal interests. Growth and enrollment need to be equitably distributed. DES has borne the blunt of much growth. Please distribute it equally. DES needs relief from overcrowding. Moving C from vanderlyn to Austin and adding B to Vandelyn is senseless.
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	Minor reductions in overcrowding.	Unequal sharing of apartment multi family housing. Splitting neighborhoods. Unequal resulting overcrowding - some schools have 7, 10 trailers, others have 1!	Must eliminate Dunwoody overcrowding! Many other Dekalb schools are largely sitting empty.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	This plan *keeps* Vanderlyn Elementary School closest to where our home is. Oxford Chase students can walk on sidewalks & via a marked (with lights) crosswalk. If by car, it's a right turn onto always-busy Mt Vernon Rd on commute hours. Children already have established friendships with Vanderlyn students who live in adjacent Dunwoody Forest.	Seems to be yet another temporary solution.	
Kingsley ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Overall utilization for the Dunwoody Cluster is improved. Utilization at DES is improved.	Section B is geographically closer to Austin, but is being moved to VES. Moving section B to VES instead of Austin, does not have any clear benefits as it pertains to the Board's stated criteria and results in significant unnecessary additional disruption in the cluster.	Moving B to Austin and keeping C and D at Vanderlyn results in less ~20% less disruption to the cluster AND improved metrics for the Board's stated criteria.
Chesnut ES	I support the recommendation to keep DNCA neighborhoods east of Tilly Mill road within the Chesnut district as Chesnut is the closest school with available seats! I support Chesnut's size slightly increasing and not reducing Chesnut's size. This will allow Chesnut to retain funding for teachers and instructional support.	None	Thank you for keeping the DNCA neighborhood intact!!
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan High-density housing (which leaves the most potential for unexpected growth) is not equitably distributed amongst the cluster It moves students located significantly closer to AES (SECTION B) to VES Unnecessarily moving over 100 students from VES from SECTION C & D (both sections are closer to VES then the schools th	Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone) Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster are shifted in the proposed plan
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES Reduction in portables cluster-wide	*Moves students located significantly closer to AES (Section B) to VES *Unnecessarily moves 100 students from VES from Section C&D	
Vanderlyn ES		Neglects Geographic Proximity for sections C&D. VES is a closer school. The Devinger neighborhood is only .9 Miles from VES and within walking distance v's a 1.4 miles from Kingsley without sidewalks. Moving Section B to AES is makes more sense since it is geographically closer to Austin than Vanderlyn.	
Vanderlyn ES		Projected Enrollment & Instructional capacity needs to be reviewed again - High-density housing should be shared equitably among ALL of the Dunwoody cluster to help with overcrowding not just have it go to VES.	Move section B to AES and have Section C&D stay at Vanderlyn

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Relief to Dunwoody Elementary	The proposed plan unnecessarily moves 99 students from VES to replace with 90 students that are closer to AES. This move takes a large portion of VES leadership and economic funds and provides NO relief to Vanderlyn's overcrowding. Taking Mt. Vernon way further dividens the neighborhood, neighbors sharing a fence go to different schools in new plan	Unecessary disruption to students - keep C & D with VES. Simply move B from DES to AES. Please consider the benefits to the entire cluster, not the school with the most power in the cluster (AES).
Hightower ES		The only cons I have is students living at the other half of homeland drive near lakota and dunwoody apartment have to be treated as the same as students living at lakota because they share the same transportation and are more closer to dunwoody side than doraville. It is closer for us to drop at Kingsley ES than Doraville united. Thank you	
Dunwoody ES	1. There is immediate relief for DES, but it will be temporary.	DES is getting all the projected growth, 533 children are disrupted, projected growth is only assumed For Austin and not the other schools	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	There are very few pros- the changes are very favorable for austin and its quite ridiculous	VES has continuously been overcrowded (unnecessarily) & it makes sense to put transient students elsewhere especially since new austin will be below enrollment & under maxoccupancy. I also think there is unfair political motives. VES is a neighborhood school and should be kept that way especially since traffic patterns/parking areproblem due to dhs	VES is already overwhelmed and overburdened by DHS more students should fill the other schools
Austin ES	Reduces trailers among most schools; shares apt complexes equitably		
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Good for safety/walking as well as traffic issues. Good keeping neighborhoods and close kids together	None	1. Safety concerns paramount 2. Long term capacity - thank you
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES		I'm highly concerned with this plan. Kingsley draws from a diverse area, and tests below Vanderlyn, Dunwoody, and Austin. The plan is to bring large amount of students from Hightower, which is an under-performing school. Recommend balancing so that more students are brought to Kingsley from Vanderlyn and fewer from Hightower.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity well and nicely spreads enrollment across Dunwoody. Like this option as Oxford Chase safety issues are addressed.	Does not address long term cluster issues.	Consider longer term building a new school in Dunwoody cluster or reopen Oakcliff to alleviate regional capacity issues.
Austin ES		It feels as though we are seeking to overcrowd the new Austin Elem. out of the gate with a high concentration of apartments which have highly variable concentrations of students.	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Provides relief using Doraville United	Very disruptive, affects the highest number of kids. Certain sections are moved ignoring most or all criteria. Doesn't resolve the overcrowding in the long-term - impossible without a new elementary school.	Disregard the long term projections and start building a new school to accomodate the growth near the Perimeter area ASAP.
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES	This plan meets all three of the primary criteria. In addition, it reduces traffic on Chamblee-Dunwoody road by allowing more students to take other routes to new Austin and it keeps neighborhoods intact. It also balances overcrowding and trailers, and it distributes the high growth perimeter area between 3 schools, none of which are closer.	The system is still overcrowded and major redistricting should occur to use underutilized facilities with empty seats in the county.	Traffic on Chamblee-Dunwoody and Roberts Drive north of Mount Vernon Highway is horrible in the morning. It is a safety concern and a problem for the city. Decreasing the amount of students who travel up Chamblee-Dunwoody and (then parents back down after dropoff). Balancing future growth of the Perimeter area between Austin, Vanderlyn, and DES.
Other			
Hightower ES		Yo no estoy de acuerdo que muevan a los alumnos de escuela porque pueden ser afectados los alumnos	Los alumnos ya estan adactados asus maestros y maestras y. Compañeros. Y personal. Q an conocido desde el primer dia de clases. Que se empiezan aconocer y serian afectados



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes unt
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Keeps Dunwoody North intact. Provides some relief to DES and HES. Moves kids from Dunwoody Cluster to Doraville United.	Provides no relief for Vanderlyn. Unnecessarily moves approximately 190 kids without affecting enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn. Added congestion to Roberts Dr / Wyntercreek by moving Area C. Adds congestion to Tilly Mill from Area D. Does not adequately share high growth areas around perimeter.	Keep Vanderlyn intact. Move Area B to Austin. Permanently redistrict students going to Doraville United from HES with no split feeder. Our middle and high schools are already overcrowded.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief to DES	Disrupts the most students, leaves DES w/ future growth, last minute moves areas not in any other options; Area H isolated from school, apartments not equitably distributed which continues traffic/safety issues thru DES neighborhoods, unfair to Vanderlyn, Chestnut, Kingsley communities, destabilizes DES PTO by moving neighborhoods instead of apts	Equitable distribution of apartments; traffic flow, keeping parent organizations healthy
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity.</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES	Relieving overcrowding in 2 of the most crowded schools.	Vanderlyn goes down only 7 students while 191 students are switched in and out. No other school has this much disruption. Why not just switch area B from Dunwoody to Austin?	Try for a little disruption as possible. Don't move students into a school that is already building in enrollment.
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES			
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Dunwoody ES	This map provides relief to the district by shifting some students to the new Doraville United Elementary School. It also provides a good amount of relief to Hightower and Dunwoody Elementary, both of which are significantly overcrowded.	The Perimeter area west of Ashford Dunwoody is expected to be a high growth area and should be more equitably distributed. At a minimum, the Perimeter area should be spread between Austin, Vanderlyn, and DES. Could we also consider sending the southern end of the Perimeter area to Montgomery, or an exit up on 285 to Chesnut?	Dunwoody schools are overcrowded at the elementary, middle, and high school levels. What can we do to quickly provide relief to the entire district? Could we reopen Nancy Creek Elementary as a start?
Hightower ES			
Hightower ES			
Austin ES	Keeps current Austin students at Austin. Helps alleviate overcrowding across the Dunwoody cluster.		Keeping current Austin students at Austin.
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Relief for entire cluster by students moving from Hightower to Doraville UTD Dunwoody ES gets much needed relief (albeit temporary due to most high growth areas still going to DES instead of more to Austin) Austin ES opens with portables, sharing the burden with other schools Dunwoody North remains intact (but at the expense of overcrowding Chesnut	Dunwoody Green (Sec. H) is part of Georgetown Community & should NOT move from DES to CES as theyâ€™ll be isolated from Georgetown Area south of Dunwoody Green remains at DES causing traffic concerns (paths will cross) Growth is inequitably assigned to DES.3900+ residences are planned along Ash-Dun Rd; ALL are given to DES Most # children disrupted	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park is part of the City's Revitalization of the Georgetown Area. Georgetown should remain intact at DES and include Dunwoody green. Georgetown area pre-schools serve DES, not Chesnut;students will be isolated from the community/afterschool programs Distribute projected growth equitably; Austin is not pulling its weigh
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Austin Elementary will not be crowded.	Create more traffic and congestion. Unnecessary movement of students in Vanderlyn when the enrollment will possibly have a change of 5 students!!! Weakening Vanderlynâ€™s financial resources. Moving students closer to Austin to Vanderlyn (is that right?) Last, apartment complex (too many) are not divided equally in between all the schools.	I understand some re-zoning needs to be done, but Vanderlyn changes are absurd when the result at the end is the same. The city canâ€™t keep giving permits for new housing construction for the over crowded schools. Is Dunwoodyâ€™s city fault for all these mess of over crowd schools and wasting money and resources working on a re-zoning plan.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Provides short term relief for des.	This plan leaves DES bearing the brunt of all expected growth in the perimeter. To address instructional capacity, projected enrollment and geographic proximity, area A should extend from west of Ashford Dunwoody to 8 <sup>th</sup> St E	Are you aware that Stan Jester moved his own street to a new school when prior maps and that he misrepresented thought to the benefit of education
Vanderlyn ES	We do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan.	The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	General relief of overcrowding. Portables will be included at Austin upon opening to assist DES. Large neighborhood Dunwoody North remains intact, although shifting surrounding students to Chesnut is not ideal for crowding relief.	Single family homes of Dunwoody Green (Sec H) within the Georgetown community should not be moved from DES to Chesnut. It isolates the area which is at the heart of Georgetown, hinders traffic flow with paths crossing as surrounding students continue at DES. The switch goes from one overcrowded school to another, posing no solution.	The Georgetown community should remain intact for DES which includes the Dunwoody Green neighborhood. If those students move from DES to Chesnut, it will cause isolation from its current community that remains at DES. Younger siblings within afterschool programs and recreational facilities will be separated as most do not service Chesnut students.
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	<p>Alleviates overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster</p> <p>Reduces number of portable classrooms/ trailers</p>	<p>Separates Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown Park from Georgetown community, our intact neighborhood with shared amenities (swim &amp; tennis, daycare, after school programs, etc.)</p> <p>Creates traffic and safety concerns (cross commuters} for Dunwoody Green and area south of our neighborhood that is still districted to DES</p>	<p>Section H separates Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown Park from the Georgetown community, our intact neighborhood (swim &amp; tennis, daycare, after-school programs, etc.).</p> <p>Section H isolates Dunwoody Green from both Georgetown &amp; Chesnut communities as DG is geographically located in Georgetown while Chesnut neighborhoods are far east.</p>

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		Section H - Proximity to nearest Elementary for Dunwoody Trace and Huntington Hall is Dunwoody Elementary (neighborhoods above Shallowford and East of Peeler; Brookrun is in between both neighborhoods and requires kids to go around the park (no sidewalks) or along Shallowford road	Do not consider rogue maps that were provided by the general public.
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES	I support moving 108 students from Hightower ES to Doraville United, since it will be under utilized.	This plan breaks all 3 of the primary criteria for Kingsley ES. This plan does not correlate with KES vs HES future enrollment forecasts. KES does not have the instructional capacity for a large amount additional ESOL students from HES. This plan drives kids past HES, through Chesnut attendance zone to Kingsley, breaking Geographic Proximity.	I support the Kingsley Coalition. Future planning should shift attendance in the district from west to east and then south to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Chesnut ES			
Austin ES			
Chesnut ES			
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES		creates traffic and safety concerns for Dunwoody Green. Dunwoody Green neighborhood is isolated from both the Georgetown community. Separates Dunwoody Green @ Georgetown Park from Georgetown community, our intact neighborhood with shared amenities (swim & tennis, daycare, after school programs ETC.	Section H separates Duwoody Green @ Georgetown Park from the Georgetown community. Local daycare and after school programs. Section H isolates Dunwoody Green from Georgetown. Section H disrupts Dunwoody Green families with younger siblings. Pre-school and pre-K programs in the Georgetown area do not provide after care transportation from Ches
Vanderlyn ES	This plan relieves overcrowding for Dunwoody Elementary	This plan does not spread out the high growth areas equitably between the schools. This plan moves a primarily single family residential area out of Vanderlyn to replace it with the same amount of kids from multi-family. Additionally, this plan splits my neighborhood, Dunwoody Club Forest West from our aligned neighborhood Dunwoody Club Forest.	Community has been the key to the success of Vanderlyn. By splitting our community from Vanderlyn, VES will be left with 58% multi-housing and lose key volunteer leadership. For my neighborhood, this move into Austin will be the first time that our neighborhood will be split from Dunwoody Club Forest, and our community will not be intact.
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		High-density housing It moves students located significantly closer to AES to VES Provides no relief for VES's overcrowding Provides no reduction in the number of trailers/modulars at VES Creating potential for new pedestrian safety hazards Significant weakening of VES's financial and volunteer resources	Consider the age/condition of VES, expected long-term growth around Perimeter Mall should be shared with VES, DES and AES
	Nothing		
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	short term reduction in trailers	Separating close neighborhoods like Dunwoody Trace and Village Mill/Adam Walk. Distance, dangerous walking patterns to closes neighbor in Chesnut school.	Traffic patterns, distance, Intact neighborhoods

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	The amount of trailers will not increase for Vanderlyn	This will increase traffic on Mt Vernon for a net of 7 students for VES. Students that are walking distance to Austin now have to traverse to VES. Section B is not moved to AES.	Infrastructure updates and improvements to VES are needed. A new middle school should be contemplated given the volume of children in Elementary school. The next issue will be overcrowding at the middle school level
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES		I vote that this change be reconsidered. Specifically section D. Only moving 20 students or so may and may not help with overcrowding but it is such a small number of homes. I am a young parent who chose to live in this school district because we are zoned for Vanderyln. Please donâ€™t change that!	
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES	No pros as the additional 106 students from map A section creates more overcrowding issues.	Adding Portable trailers to a brand new school shows the county did not perform adequate due-diligence to resolve overcrowding. Further, with the Staffâ€™s recommended plan it would add nearly 200 more students and just simply creates this overcrowding issue along with more traffic.	
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Keeps Oxford Chase in Vanderlyn, avoiding a chaotic traffic situation if those families were forced to make a left out of the development in peak traffic. Please keep Oxford Chase in Vanderlyn, as our community is intertwined with this school. We appreciate the staff's hard work and their intent to keep Oxford Chase in Vanderlyn with this plan.		
Dunwoody ES	Provides relief for Dunwoody Elementary, which is one of the most overcrowded schools and has the highest expected growth.	More relief for DES is needed as it still has the most expected growth in the cluster " if more isn't done now, we will be redistricting again in a few years. A further way to spread out the expected growth among schools would to redistrict the area west of Ashford-Dunwoody Road to Austin ES.	
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Some immediate relief is provided for over crowded schools such as DES.	The relief provided is temporary. It does not factor in planned residences along Ashford Dunwoody Road. All of this new development is slotted to DES and no other school. A new growth bump is factored in just for Austin, but not at other schools. Why is this? Another con is over 500 children are disrupted. There should equity, not appeasement to AE	Assign area west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin for traffic reasons and growth relief for other schools.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn (VES). Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr. would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. I respectfully recommend the School Board to Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Austin ES		Adding portable classrooms to a brand new school is ridiculous and accommodating Hightower and leaving more portable classrooms at the other schools	
Vanderlyn ES	1. My child will not have to move schools.	<p>'-Unequal distribution of high-density housing results in potential for "elite" vs "non-elite" schools and future overcrowding at Vanderlyn, which has no room for extra mods. Section B should go to Austin.</p> <p>-Traffic negatively affected due to Sec. C,D change.</p> <p>-Uproots 99 kids from our school family without really helping overcrowding at Vanderlyn.</p>	Some kids wanting to walk to Austin will be less safe due to parents from Section C driving through their neighborhood. There are no sidewalks in Wyntercreek.
Kingsley ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion).	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES);share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes.
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES		Your current plan seems to ignore most all of your criteria for redistricting by moving LaCota to Kingsley when HES and CES are closer, when HES's future enrollment is stagnant or on decline and KES is on the rise. Furthermore, your plan continues to ignore the overcrowding at VES. VES should be included in redistricting.	KES encourages DCSD to avoid the appearance of ignoring their own redistricting criterion to protect the standing attendance boundaries of other schools who suffer from significant and growing overcrowding problems in order to avoid being sent to other schools in the cluster.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Kingsley ES	My husband and I support the decision to move 108 Hightower ES students to Doraville United as the primary relief to Hightower and the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan does not correlate with Kingsley vs Hightower future enrollment forecasts. The plan swaps utilization rates between the schools, shuffling trailers between them-for no benefit. Enrollment is forecasted to fall at Hightower and grow at Kingsley.	We support the official letter form Kingsley Communities Coalition.
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Some relief for DES	Mega disruptive plan, 1 in every 8 students impacted. Area D is separated from the rest of Kingsley area by three major shopping centers, which means our kids would not be able to walk to their classmates'™ houses. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr would be duplicated/inefficient; no easy bus turnaround.	Please take into account shopping centers that separate areas in the cluster (Area D is separated from the rest of Kingsley area by three major shopping centers, which means our kids would not be able to walk to their classmates'™ houses)
Kingsley ES		Area J should stay at Hightower - don't pluck them from their community to send to a school further away, in a different community. They are surrounded by areas that all go to Hightower and that's where they prefer to stay and would possibly be better served. Area D expand to include Oxford Chase Area C should stay at Vanderlyn. Area B to Austin	balancing community support at all schools within the community

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	None. We are strongly against this plan for the reasons listed below.	Intact Neighborhood - Dunwoody Green is part of the Georgetown community, and redistricting will isolate us Geographic Proximity - Dunwoody Green is closer to DES than other unaffected neighborhoods Instructional Capacity - Chestnut will be more overcrowded than current DES with this option	Safety and Traffic Patterns - School traffic will be worse for area with crossing paths. Efficient and Economical Operations - Programs/Preschools we utilize do not serve Chestnut and we have since been part of the DES community.
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chestnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and proximity wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation
Chestnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Relief is provided through Doraville United. Austin Elementary provides a small amount of relief for DES.	Dunwoody Green Neighborhood being transferred to Chesnut isolates it from Georgetown Community. This map is the most disruptive of all maps, affecting 533 students, fragmenting neighborhoods the most, and causing the highest increase in traffic. Traffic, enrollment and proximity wise Austin should take more students west of Ashford Dunwoody.	Dunwoody Green at Georgetown Park, becomes isolated from DES, and causes an inequitable increase in enrollment at Chestnut, in addition to a negative impact on traffic and safety. Organizations serving the Georgetown area such as child care services align themselves with DES, not Chestnut, providing services such as after school transportation.
Other			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	The staff recommended plan goes outside the cluster to help relieve overcrowding and reduce the total number of trailers.	There is a lot of unnecessary movement in the plan. There are 90 students in B moving from DES to VES and then 78 students in C moving from VES to AES. Why would you not just move the students in B from DES to AES. This would more evenly distribute the higher density housing between AES, VES, and DES. Ashworth looks like it should be in VES.	Ashworth should be included in Vanderlyn as it links the disconnected portion of the VES district. DES needs more relief and multi-family housing should be distributed evenly across the cluster. The majority of the new high density housing developments are currently zoned for the DES district and will continue the overcrowding at DES.
Chesnut ES	Keep Dunwoody North in the same school	None	
Vanderlyn ES	None	The neighborhood Vanderlyn is losing is closer to Vanderlyn than Austin. Too much neighborhood traffic. Dangerous for walkers since no foot path.	



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Immediate relief is provided to very overcrowded schools, albeit temporary relief only. Cluster relief is also accounted for with the allocation of 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.	Despite having data for future growth, only 2021 numbers were used to develop this map. As a result, this map does not account for the tremendous growth DCSD projects DES to experience. Our campus and infrastructure can handle neither the immediate growth nor the anticipated growth. It is burdensome on a single school.	The west side of Ashford Dunwoody should attend Austin, as it is closer geographically, provides major arteries to drive to reach school (vs. the residential, congested streets leading to DES), and shares some of the projected growth with DES. Area H north of Shallowford is gerrymandered -- it should be restored to DES as they walk to school..
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES	The new Austin won't have 7 portable classrooms but 4 only. I still can't believe a brand new school needs trailers.	Austin's enrollment numbers look much higher here.	Na
Kingsley ES	Support the move of students from Hightower to Doraville United to relieve Hightower and the Dunwoody Cluster overall	Plan doesn't adequately consider future enrollment forecasts for Kingsley v. Hightower. The plan shuffles trailers between the schools, accelerating future utilization misalignment.	I support the official letter from the Kingsley communities coalition. Future planning should shift attendance within the district from west to East, and ultimately South to relieve overcrowding in Dunwoody.
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Dunwoody Elementary and Hightower Elementary become less crowded.	Students in area D would lose interaction with friends in neighboring Dunwoody Club Forest. If forced to walk to school would be threatened by crossing dangerous 4 way stop at Jett Ferry/Dunwoody Club. Non-affected Ashford-Dunwoody temporary non US citizen residents would continue to benefit from top GA Elem. schools, and move out of county for HS	Our district should consider eliminating pre-k to free up classrooms and reduce student count.
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES	While I think it is unfortunate that the new school at Austin is opening with trailers, I do see this as an EQUITABLE SPLIT of the expected high-growth area that makes this solution most fair to the whole community. Shifts some of the overcrowding to Doraville United Elem.	New Austin opening overcrowded and contributing to additional traffic on Roberts drive. Doesn't come close to fully solving the problem of overcrowding in the cluster.	We need another school in this cluster or cascading redistricting to solve the long-term problem.
Vanderlyn ES		The ratio of apartments to single family homes are NOT evenly distributed between Austin and Vanderlyn. This affects home prices as well as a successful school. Kids from single family homes are being removed from Vanderlyn and splitting up a portion of the Dunwoody Club forest neighborhood. Austin should have as many multi family homes as Vanderlyn	Evenly distribute multi family housing between Austin and Vanderlyn.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None	C and D should remain in Vanderlyn B should go to Austin  You're moving kids around for no net difference in the Vanderlyn school numbers.	
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Resolves my concerns about geographic proximity but especially safety and traffic in and around Oxford Chase at Mt. Vernon and Tilly Mill. I like that is more equally distributes students and trailers.	It's a short term fix.	The dunwoody cluster clearly needs an additional school
Vanderlyn ES	None. The proposed plan negativity impacts the Vanderlyn Elementary community with no apparent benefits.	The plan violates multiple board-approved criteria: 1) Geographic Proximity, as Sec. B is closer to Austin than Vanderlyn; 2) Projected Future Enrollment/Instructional Capacity, as Sec. B is likely to grow significantly due to its high density housing; 3) Traffic Patterns and Safety Concerns; and 4) Efficient and Economical Operations.	To meet Board-approved criteria, Vanderlyn should retain Secs. C and D, with Sec. B districted for Austin. Sec. B is closer to Austin; Vanderlyn cannot accomodate the likely growth from high-density housing in Section B. The plan will only further aggravate existing traffic, while overburdening Vanderlyn yet reducing funding and parental support.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Primary Criteria Geographic Proximity - We support the recommendation to keep DNCA neighborhoods east of Tilly Mill Road within Chesnut district. Instructional Capacity - We appreciate the recommendation to slightly increase increase and not reduce Chesnut's size.		
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion) of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody schools	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			Keep C&D together. Do not split neighborhoods
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Hightower ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Overcrowding relief to DES and HES â€¢ Reduction in portables cluster-wide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*It moves students located significantly closer to AES (SECTION B) to VES</li> <li>*Unnecessarily moves 100 students from VES from SECTION C &amp; D</li> <li>â€¢ Provides no relief for VESâ€™ overcrowding</li> <li>â€¢ Provides no reduction in the number of trailers at VES</li> <li>â€¢ High-density housing (which has the most potential for unexpected growth) is not equitably distributed</li> </ul>	Consider the age/condition of school, availability of parking and acreage when determining which schools have the most trailers/modulars. Expected long-term growth around Perimeter mall should be shared between AES and DES (currently it falls on DES alone). Over 500 elementary school kids within the cluster are shifted in the proposed plan
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	As a DES parent, I'm glad to see portable classrooms reduced. The recent lockdown showed the dangers of that solution, or at least big inconvenience, given that we understand students in portable classrooms were corralled in the library with no learning opportunities.	Chesnut and Kingsley get more portables.	Think about 5-10 years ahead, how these moves help achieve longer term goals.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	Geographic proximity - i support the recommendation to keep Dunwoody north at Chesnut as this is the closest school with available seats. Instructional capacity - i appreciate the recommendation to slightly increase and not reduce Chesnut's size. This will allow us to retain funding for teachers and staff necessary.	None	None
Chesnut ES	Allows Chesnut to keep their teachers and resources. Helps provide overcrowding relief for neighboring schools.	None	Current schools that have the capacity to expand
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get some relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn (VES). Vanderlyn gets deeply disrupted in this plan.	Mega disruptive plan, worse than any in Round 2, 1 in 8 students impacted. Area J is closer to Hightower and should remain. Area D is in geographic proximity with Vanderlyn. Area D bus routes along Dunwoody Club Dr. would be duplicated/inefficient. No easy bus turnaround. Switch of Areas B and C impact more students with no benefit.	Portable quads at VES are better than any trailer installed at other schools. DES, Hightower and Vanderlyn have higher FCA scores than Chesnut and Kingsley. This plan further overcrowds the schools with deteriorating facilities. I respectfully recommend the School Board to Redistrict Area B to Austin, and eliminate Areas C, D, and J.
Chesnut ES	Keeping Dunwoody North neighborhood together at Chesnut		
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change (i.e., our adjacent Section D neighbors) and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build new school in cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff ES to serve nearby communities; and minimize disruptions/changes until more DCSD l'ship stability.
Dunwoody ES			
Austin ES	Reduces the number of portables for whole cluster. Evenly distributes areas of high projected growth, including the new school bump that Austin will experience when it opens. All schools have portables. Takes into account geographic proximity, instructional capacity.	All schools still overcrowded. This is the best temporary solution. However, this should be seen as a temporary solution and plans should be formed to build an additional elementary school and address the severe overcrowding at PCMS and DHS.	Some PACs are saying this plan isn't good the Dunwoody community, but they really mean their school community. Please do not waver in supporting this plan which is the most equitable for the community as a whole. Austin isn't happy a new school is opening with portables, but if everyone is unhappy isn't that the sign of a fair plan?
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	NA	Longer distance to school. Overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary.	
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Hightower ES			
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Kingsley ES	Relief to overcrowded schools	Doesn't follow proximity. Parents wouldn't be able to walk to Kingsley. They do now to volunteer at Hightower. Breaks up a community of LaCota and Dunwoody Glen apartments. These kids have been a community and should stay together. The moving of students to Kingsley is a bandaid and doesn't solve the long term problem.	Balancing of school programs
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES			
Chesnut ES			
Kingsley ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES	no estoy de acuerdo que los ninos sean movidos de hightower porque la nueva escuela les quedaria un poco lejos y tambien porque la escuela los separaria de su vecindario	ppor la escuela que les quedaria lejos y porque los separaria de su vecindario y eso podria desmotivarlos	
Austin ES			
Hightower ES			
Austin ES	Trying to balance the overcrowding	A brand new, highly planned out for years(!!) School will have portables is unacceptable. The county and city need to work together to determine projected growth and schools available.	Stop trying to put bandaids on problems. A brand new school should not have portables. All Dunwoody schools need relief.
Vanderlyn ES		Doesn't seem fair that Vanderlyn continues to have 11 trailers. The staff recommended plan helps all schools except for Vanderlyn. Also there is no discussion of ESL children which puts a strain in any school. How will these children be taught?	No relief for Vanderlyn!!!!
Austin ES	Does not move any areas out of Austin Elementary. Helps to better balance enrollment across the Dunwoody Cluster while moving as few students as possible.		Students who are currently enrolled at Austin Elementary should stay at Austin Elementary. They should not be moved to another school.
Chesnut ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	There is no reason for Austin elementary to sit at 84% capacity. We should absolutely have more children attend this beautiful new campus.	I hope all schools will provide the best possible education for its students with no gaps by race, income, or learning ability.	
Austin ES			
Other		J should move to chestnut not Kingsley	
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Non property owners living in apartments on Ash-Dun (40% of Vanderlyn's student body), most of whom are not US citizens and leave area after Vanderlyn, benefit over property tax paying US citizens. Dekalb Co. benefits from not spending money on a new school that should've been built before zoning allowed mass residential construction on Ash-Dun.	Area D would: add a 3rd duplicate bus route, one for Austin, Vanderlyn and Kingsley. Students who walk would be forced to endanger their lives at Dun Club/Jett Ferry 4-way stop. KES 2021 population would increase by 90, while VES decreased by 7. VES is closer than KES. Adjoining Neighborhoods would be attending different schools, losing friends.	If Woodlands is redistricted to Kingsley and later Peachtree becomes reassigned to high school level once again, we would then be districted to Peachtree High School.
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	None!!	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity. Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES			
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES	<p>Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.</p>	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	Thank you to DCSD for listening to the input from stakeholders and making the new map shown above as fair as possible to appease the majority. This plan addresses the three main criteria for this process: geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment. This plan also substantially reduces the number of portables by 17.	This plan does not address the need for more long term planning in terms of a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. The growth will continue in this cluster and although this plan does the best with what is available at this time, further plans need to be made for an additional elementary school or potential additions to remove portables.	This plan addresses all three primary criteria for redistricting and listens to the majority in the Dunwoody Cluster. It also dutifully addresses the high potential growth areas within the Dunwoody cluster and distributes them equitably.
Vanderlyn ES	Thanks for listening and addressing the bulk of our community concerns. This proposal does address geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety/intact concerns) of the Oxford Chase neighborhood. This recommendation also spreads enrollment and better distributed portables.	Does not address long term capacity issues in the cluster. All changes suggested to Vanderlyn ES to date provide negligible gain. Vanderlyn ES zoning area should not change and be revisited when a long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high on the list (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES);share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; reopen Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve nearby communities
Vanderlyn ES		Significantly weakening VES's financial/volunteer resources. The families in Section C&D represent 14% of the VES population, but account for 25-30% of VES's current volunteer participation/PTO leadership/financial resources. Volunteer hours and financial support from VES parents directly impact the resources available to teachers and students.	Keep Vanderlyn Elementary intact by keeping Sections C&D at Vanderlyn, which will fairly balance Dunwoody's growth by moving Section B to Austin Elementary.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES	None	It makes no sense to move Vanderlyn students out of their school that they have been at only to replace them with almost the identical number of students. Keep the neighborhoods together please so our community can continue as is	
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Austin ES			
Chesnut ES	Keep Dunwoody North Community intact like it has been for the past 50+ years	temporarily fix will still need a need building	
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		Area B and C seem to just be shifting from Vanderlyn and then to Vanderlyn. No need to upset kids over this "swap". Keep them as is, why move kids from Dunwoody ES to VES to then just move about the same amount from VES to Austin? Seems silly	
Other			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	No pros	You're breaking up DCF neighborhood for no reason. The simple solution is to move B to Austin and leave C and D at Vanderlyn	Dunwoody Club Forest should not have to be broken up. It just doesn't make sense.
Vanderlyn ES			
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Hightower ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES		Austin gets a brand new school. Chesnut retains Dunwoody Club North neighborhood. DES and Hightower get relief they desperately need. But, it is difficult to see any positive for Kingsley or Vanderlyn.	
Austin ES	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-This plan isolates the Dunwoody Green neighborhood from the Georgetown and Chestnut communities</li> <li>-This plan would generate traffic and safety concerns for Dunwoody Green, as the area south to Dunwoody Green (still districted to DES)</li> <li>-Plan separates Dunwoody Green (of Georgetown Park) from the Georgetown community</li> </ul>	Section H isolates Dunwoody Green from the cohesive Georgetown community; please consider Area E, which was proposed in Round 2, Option 2 map. Section E is closer to Chestnut ES and more aligned geographically such that it would not create the same traffic and safety concerns
Vanderlyn ES	This plan provides relief for DES and Hightower's overcrowding. Although the relief seems temporary due to enrollment and growth projections.	Unnecessary disruption for Vanderly - moving 100+/- kids out to move 100+/- kids in. Moving more high density housing (apartments) to Vanderlyn - hurts our school - with less volunteers and funding from people who are less permanently invested in our school. Please keep Dunwoody Club Forest West in Vanderlyn-please don't disrupt our neighborhood.	Unnecessarily shifting C&D also disrupts traffic patterns as well.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Dunwoody Elementary will be alliviated.	The areas whose property values are affected greatly, will be moved to Kingsley. It only amounts to 27 students. Some of those students are 5th graders who will be in middle school next year. Since Dunwoody North and Oxford Chase got what they wanted, so should the other neighborhoods on this side.	Property Values
Austin ES			
Chesnut ES			
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES	The staff plan reduces the amount of portables across the district and it evens out the population across the schools.	It does not account for future growth.	Consideration of future growth developments an even distribution of the high mobility population
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	I do not see any pros with the November 20th proposed plan	<p>The proposed redistricting of our neighborhood (Wellesley Place) to attend Kingsley is approximately 1/2 a mile longer. This goes against Geographic Proximity</p> <p>Our neighborhood has no impact on the Instructional Capacity or Enrollment numbers at Vanderlyn.</p> <p>The walking/biking route is longer and more dangerous than our current route to Vanderlyn.</p>	Our Wellesley Place neighborhood has parents who currently volunteer, provide financial support and resources to Vanderlyn ES. A proposed redistricting will negatively impact the Efficient and Economical operations of Vanderlyn ES (#6 under secondary criteria).
Vanderlyn ES			
Chesnut ES			
Vanderlyn ES	None	<p>Major disruption, needlessly shifting students around and disruption to school communities, with minimal impact. Vanderlyn (highest current utilization) receives no relief in portables. A total of 170 students uprooted in VES with no beneficial impact. In fact, the school more susceptible with addition of high density housing. Very poor planning</p>	The above plan does not meet the stated criteria, takes major steps back from the initial options offered and is obviously being driven by motivations other than stated. Major disruption with the least amount of impact (compared to other plans).
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Traffic issues. Two schools plus Dunwoody HS all fed into by Womack and Vermack roads. Already a big problem		
Hightower ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Thank you DCSD for listening and addressing bulk of community-wide concerns. For Oxford Chase neighborhood, it addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria (i.e., distance, dangerous left turn, walk/bike path safety, intact concerns and left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion). Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change (ideally not Section D) and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build new school in cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff ES to serve the 2 clusters; and minimize disruptions and changes until more DCSD leadership stability.
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES	The benefits are unclear. The plan addresses overcrowding, but doesn't evenly distribute the load. Most helped is Hightower and Dunwoody ES. Most impacted with either no relief, is Vanderlyn, or increased overcrowding is Chestnut. Some old, leaky portables will be removed. Some kids will go to better performing schools, others to poorer performing.	Inclusive, community input has been ignored. Vanderlyn was led to believe the school would be untouched in this process--it was "Austin Redistricting" with some minor relief to overcrowding. We got the opposite. Disruption, uncertainty, tenuous position for further overcrowding in outdated, packed to the gills school for only a net change of 7.	In the absence of a longer-term plan to address overcrowding, i.e. no new schools planned, a balanced approach to overcrowding for even burden sharing is a must. It shouldn't matter if the school is new or old. Reopen the process and evaluate where there is room for children on campuses--consider school condition & age, acreage, parking.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	Capacity relief provided to DES should be first priority, and this accomplishes that. This also masks the previous poor planning by DCSD and actually reduces trailers. It also utilizes DUES to reduce Dunwoody cluster - which is a good thing!	Too many students moving. Some are unnecessary. Why would C not just stay with Vanderlyn?? And then move B to Austin - that makes so much sense. Vanderlyn gets no benefit in this option either.	Ashworth should be moved to either Austin or Vanderlyn considering 1-geographic proximity, 2-projected enrollment of DES (Ashworth could provide relief) and 3-efficiency of operations (you have bused for DES, VES and AES all going around our neighborhood - they should all go to same school and AES/VES are closest
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		<p>The safety of students on Northchester Court will be compromised if our street is redistricted from Vanderlyn to Kingsley by forcing a left turn where there is no traffic light and a at an already stressful time of morning.</p> <p>Please do not separate Northchester Court from the Oxford Chase community and keep our street within Vanderlyn</p>	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Dunwoody ES	<p>1. Immediate relief is provided to overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary, however, as lines are drawn in the Round 3 Staff Recommended map, this relief will be temporary.</p> <p>2. Relief is provided to the cluster with the plan to move 108 students from Hightower to Doraville United.</p>	<p>1. Growth is inequitably assigned to Dunwoody Elem. Over 3900 residences are planned along Ashford-Dunwoody Rd; ALL are given to DES in addition to MtVernonFlats (high growth apt).</p> <p>2. 533 children are disrupted, far more than any option presented prior</p> <p>3. Projected growth is assumed for Austin (new school bump), but not accounted for at other schools</p>	Assign area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin to solve proximity, better distribute projected growth, instructional capacity, and improve traffic & safety (this area travels across main roads and/or through residential neighborhoods to get to DES). Distribute projected growth equitably. Areas H & C are isolated and/or split from their communities.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES	Balance of student numbers per school	<p>B is closer to Austin than Vanderlyn. It increases traffic on Mount Vernon, where traffic is already bad.</p> <p>C and D should stay with Vanderlyn, as kids and families from C and D have always been part of Vanderlyn's community</p>	Disruption for kids and families
Austin ES	Thank you for the efforts here – I am a huge proponent of solutions that begin to shift the enrollment crunch toward less populated areas of the county. Using Doraville United in this fashion, to begin to cascade some of the load farther south in the county, is a big "win" to equitable use of available facilities.	Austin will essentially open in an overcrowded situation, although it is not much so as it could have been. It is clear for all of the Dunwoody cluster schools that an additional school is required. The county needs to build, utilizing the facility at Shallowford/Chamblee-Dunwoody or by acquiring property at 4555 N Shallowford from City of Dunwoody	

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES	1. The plan provides substantial and immediate relief for Dunwoody Elementary School and Hightower Elementary School 2. Also provides an equitable distribution of students and portable units. 3. Plans takes a comprehensive and equitable view of projected enrollment, particularly with respect to high-growth areas	New Austin to open with portables “a less-than-desirable result, however given all the challenges this is an acceptable option.	
Dunwoody ES	None	Section H, specifically Dunwoody Trace now is redistricted to Chestnut is closer to DES than 70% of the other houses zoned for DES. The whole section West of Chamblee Dunwoody is further away from DES than we are. If that's the case zone everything sandwiched between Brookrun park and the college to go to Chestnut too. They are actually closer	
Vanderlyn ES			
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES			
Hightower ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Vanderlyn ES	Addresses geographic proximity and safety/traffic criteria: 1) distance, 2) walk safely, 3) and dangerous left turn would aggravate Mt Vernon traffic congestion, 4) , intact concerns of Oxford Chase neighborhood. Spreads enrollment across all Dunwoody to address growth and better distributes portables.	Does not address long term capacity problems in the cluster. All changes suggested to VES to date provide negligible gain. VES zoning area should not change and be revisited when long term plan is introduced.	It is appreciated if DCSD could: keep safety concerns high (e.g., Oxford Chase's current safe, right traffic turn and safe walk/bike route to VES); share details on forecasting methodology; build a new school in the Dunwoody cluster; re-open Nancy Creek and Oakcliff Elementary to serve the nearby communities; and minimize disruption and changes
Vanderlyn ES			
Chesnut ES	Good for geographic proximity: Students will continue to be able to walk to Chesnut. The traffic patterns for working moms and dads will not be affected. It looks fair for all residents.	N/A	The maps in the staff recommended plan look very fair.
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Fewer trailers over all, good relief for Hightower and DES	No relief for Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn and DES are a couple of blocks away from each other, yet Vanderlyn has 11 trailers and DES has 3. The total number of trailers for Austin in the existing plan is not contemplating the larger school for 2021 - the 17 trailer reduction is grossly overstated.	Don't move kids for the sake of moving them. Why reduce DES to 105% capacity at the cost of increasing other schools to 123% and 137%? Redistrict Austin to provide relief for Vanderlyn, then wait until you get a more permanent solution that addresses the entire cluster over the long term before moving kids to new schools.

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Chesnut ES	As a Chesnut parent, I support the plan as it relates to our community & school. Thank you for listening to our community, sticking to the criteria, keeping our neighborhood intact & school strong. Please do not revert to any of the prior 3 maps as they hurt our school & community. Our school has never said we only want certain kids & won't do that	I support the plan as it relates to Chesnut Elem. Please keep our school strong by keeping the neighborhood that feeds into the school intact. If you do that, we welcome any children from the surrounding area up to our capacity. Our school is achieving & more successful then ever before, which helps every child. Please don't hurt that momentum	
Other	Balances enrollment	Hightower should stay in the Dunwoody cluster and not go to Doraville United. The Chamblee cluster is way over capacity as it is.	Please do the right thing and balance the enrollment at these schools. Don't cross cluster Dunwoody and Chamblee.
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES			
Dunwoody ES	Reduces trailers the most and uses doralville United.	still not enough relief for DES. Austin should take more students. Vanderlyn gets the worst deal in this plan looking at what they lose and what they gain.	All neighborhoods on Ashford center parkway should go to Austin or Vanderlyn, especially if Jefferson apartments stays at Vanderlyn.
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES		'--Moving elementary school children already attending Vanderlyn to school farther away from home: bad for students. --Redundant bus traffic on Dunwoody Club.	
Austin ES			
Austin ES			

Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Vanderlyn ES			
Dunwoody ES	Some relief is provided to DES by moving Area A to AES and Area B to VES (but risk of additional growth in DES footprint still remains). AES opens with trailers, which is fair considering overcrowding in the cluster. Relief is provided to the entire cluster by the moving Area K to DUES.	This is BY FAR the most disruptive plan presented. Rather than moving Area C to AES and Area B to VES, Area B should be moved directly to AES. Staff is not using growth projections equally - accounting for "new school bump" at AES but not planned developments in DES footprint which could cause significant growth.	The area west of Ash Dun Rd should go to AES to better share future growth in the Perimeter area. Area H is not meaningful enough to impact the students living there by moving them - they will be split from their school communities and unable to walk to school. Similarly, the Ashworth neighborhood on Ashford Center Pkwy should remain in DES.
Austin ES			
Austin ES			
Kingsley ES			
Austin ES	Less portable classrooms	There are still portable classrooms	
Dunwoody ES			
	Helps to relieve overcrowding at DES	It disrupts so many students and it does not relieve the future growth for DES. We DO NOT want to go through redistricting again In a few years.	This option disrupts so many students when there are better options to relieve overcrowding at other schools while better dispersing students across the cluster specifically to Austin. Please consider moving everything West of Ashford Dun to Austin. It meets all criteria mentioned of traffic, safety, etc.



Which school community do you represent?	Pros for Staff Recommended Plan	Cons for Staff Recommended Plan	What other items relating to the Board-approved criteria should the Superintendent consider as he develops the Superintendent Recommendation to the Board?
Hightower ES	nada	yo no estoy de acuerdo porque quedaria lejos de donde vivo	academicamente mi hija bajaria de grados
Hightower ES			
Dunwoody ES	There is relief given to DES's overcrowding - though it's only temporary bc of the lines drawn in the Round 3 staff recommended map. (This is confusing - seems counterproductive.) There is also some relief given to the cluster as a whole considering some students were moved to DUnited.	The projected growth for DES should be shared with other schools. DES shouldn't shoulder the brunt. Austin is given a projected "bump" growth - WHY isn't the same done for the projected growth for the areas west of Ashford Dunwoody - -- and why is it assigned for DES?	Assign area west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin. This is closer in proximity and helps with traffic flow, safety, etc. Utilize ALL seats possible and alleviate as many trailers as possible. Not SAFE for kids to be in trailers. Consider the lock-down last week.
Kingsley ES			