

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|
| 10/15/2019 20:52 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 2 |
| 10/16/2019 7:20 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 0:23 | Briarlake ES | Seems to keep neighborhoods more intact; keeps Evansdale area from crossing I-285 | Puts the largest burden of change on Henderson Mill ES--lots of kids will lose friends | Spreads the pain, as it were | Seems more disruptive to neighborhoods overall; Henderson Mill ES still bears the brunt of the changes; results in some unnatural/gerrymandered attendance zones that would cause kids to have to travel farther than ideal to school; breaks Evansdale zone across a busy freeway | Probably 1, though if I were a Henderson Mill parent, I'd hate it. |
| 10/17/2019 11:03 | Briarlake ES | Less schools affected Keeps neighborhoods intact | | | Divides neighborhoods All schools are affected | Option 1 - keeps more neighborhoods intact |
| 10/18/2019 10:02 | Briarlake ES | Affects the least amount of current school populations. | | | Affects schools that should be less affected. Doesn't use the new school to its fullest. | Option 1 |
| 10/19/2019 22:17 | Briarlake ES | We live in biking distance Because there are back roads and there's a direct sidewalk from my neighborhood. It's right next to our pool - Twin Lakes. Our neighbors will be going there. | | | They are two very busy main roads (Briarcliff/Henderson) to get there. It will be traffic both ways and a very big pain. So it would add traffic on Briarlake going to Shallowford, right where The GLOBE Academy and Lakeside already back up traffic. It is much farther than Briarlake, But it would still negatively impact that whole area | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 9:16 | Briarlake ES | | | | | 2 |
| 10/28/2019 10:13 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 21:06 | Briarlake ES | Minimal changes to Briarlake and Evansdale | Oak Grove and Sagamore are only schools not affected and perhaps have the most white students? Does this plan adversely affect students of color? Poor Hawthorne area! | All schools equally affected | Chops up neighborhoods! | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 21:10 | Briarlake ES | Smaller number of students moved for Briarlake. | We'll lose staff if we lose an entire class size of students. We have a strong community and this will disrupt that. | Smaller attendance zone | More students moved. | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 21:14 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 21:19 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 21:19 | Briarlake ES | | Disruption of my child's routine is enough of a con | | | |
| 10/29/2019 21:34 | Briarlake ES | | | | | |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 10/29/2019 21:37 | Briarlake ES | Briarlake loses fewer students | Briarlake net gains students and capacity still high at 96% | Briarlake will have a lower capacity at 94% | More students are lost and this may result in loss of key staff member. | Fewer students overall (option 2) |
| 10/29/2019 21:40 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Not sure |
| 10/29/2019 21:52 | Briarlake ES | smaller classes are better for students and teachers. | might lose some funding | smaller classrooms are better for students and teachers | possible loss of funding | option 2 |
| 10/29/2019 22:13 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 22:20 | Briarlake ES | | | | | |
| 10/29/2019 22:46 | Briarlake ES | addresses: <Daniel_Drake@dekalbschoolsga.org>; Hans Williams (DEPT OF FACILITIES & OPERATION) <hans_g_williams@dekalbschoolsga.org>; Stan Jester (School Board Members) <Stan_Jester@dekalbschoolsga.org>; Vickie Turner (School Board Members) <Vickie_Turner@dekalbschoolsga.org>; Diijon Dacosta (School Board Members) <Diijon_Dacosta@dekalbschoolsga.o | | also Our kids go play sports at briarlake Baptist and due to proximity we consider the areas in and around church as our community and Neighbourhood. Taking our street out of the map takes us away from our community. | | Option 1 for sure but keep our street districted to briarlake. |
| 10/29/2019 23:04 | Briarlake ES | No pros, and I will sell my place and move out of Dekalb if you make this change. | I live a half mile down the street from Briarlake ES, and yet you are moving my family to Henderson Mill ES, which is twice as far with a busy commercial area in between. This change fails all of your stated criteria: 1) geographic proximity, 2) safety/traffic, 3) intact neighborhoods. Proposed Henderson Mill ES is thrown together without thought | No pros and I will sell my place and move out of Dekalb if you make this change. | I live a half mile down the street from Briarlake ES, and yet you are moving my family to Henderson Mill ES, which is twice as far with a busy commercial area in between. This change fails all of your stated criteria: 1) geographic proximity, 2) safety/traffic, 3) intact neighborhoods. Proposed Henderson Mill ES is thrown together without thought | They are both terrible! |
| 10/29/2019 23:19 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 2 |
| 10/30/2019 6:18 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/30/2019 8:52 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/30/2019 9:18 | Briarlake ES | Less children impacted by the redistricting, More portables reduced overall (-17 vs. -16) | Still have overcrowding at Sagamore ES | More even distribution of capacity percentage across schools | More children impacted - redistricting is never popular Less reduction in portables | Option 1 - impacting less students overall is the best |
| 10/30/2019 9:24 | Briarlake ES | 1. Proximity to schools seems to be maintained. 2. With the exception of Oak Grove ES and Sagamore Hills ES, there is relatively even distribution of utilization between schools. 3. Existing neighborhood relationships seem to be better maintained, despite potential change of ES for some students. | 1. Henderson Mill ES utilization is low, may be more opportunity to pull from Hawthorne, Pleasantdale and Evans ES. 2. Under-loading in Oak Grove ES and over-loading in Sagamore Hills ES seems to have been overlooked, more consideration needs to be made at the boundary b/w these two ES, independent of the other 5 ES. | Oak Grove & Sagamore Hills ES are better distributed. | 1. Proximity to existing schools seems to have been disregarded altogether. 2. Redistricting of Henderson Mill ES may create hardship on families at the extents of the ES boundary considering the amount of congestion in the Northlake area. 3. Henderson Mill ES is even more under-utilized than Option 1, consider reworking A, B, D1 areas. | Option 1, with more attention given to the Oak Grove & Sagamore Hills cluster. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 10/30/2019 10:09 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Teacher/student ratio |
| 10/30/2019 10:31 | Briarlake ES | Retain more kids and a footprint similar to what it is today | Losing 23 kids | None | Losing more kids than Option 1 and footprint is altered more drastically than Option 1 | Option 1 |
| 10/30/2019 10:36 | Briarlake ES | It affects less students. Redistricting doesn't seem to increase the travel distance greatly for the students who are being relocated. | Negatively affects property values and reason for people selecting certain area to live. | None. | Too drastic changes for students. Negatively affects property values and reason for people selecting certain area to live. Schools are losing students just to gain more. Travel distance increases dramatically. | Continuity and consistency. Parents have invested time and money on their existing schools and community/properties. Students and parents will be forced to start all over again. . |
| 10/30/2019 11:42 | Briarlake ES | Below school capacity so room for growth, no portable classrooms | Evelyn Street, Tucker is moved to Henderson Mill. It is closer to Briarlake and I personally know the parents and students impacted are very involved in the school and do not want this to happen. | Even fewer students and no portable classrooms. | Evelyn Street, Tucker is moved to Henderson Mill. I personally know the parents and students impacted are very involved in the school and do not want this to happen. | Option 2 |
| 10/30/2019 12:27 | Briarlake ES | none | disruption for children, re-assimilation time needed for kids, loss of teachers, decrease in school standards due to loss of key teachers. This is a temporary solution to a larger problem. Redistricting will not solve the problem of balancing the school population. There are always ebbs and flows in population movement. | | | No change |
| 10/30/2019 13:03 | Briarlake ES | | I did not move to a neighborhood near Briarlake ES to have my child shipped to a different school. | | I refuse to have my child re-located to a different school | Leave all students where they are |
| 10/30/2019 13:29 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/30/2019 14:11 | Briarlake ES | I do not see any pros for moving either 40 some students or 60 some students from Briarlake Elementary. | There are several cons to moving this small amount of children. If it was a larger scale reassignment there is a chance that some peers would remain together for consistency, but 40-60 kids just seems like cruelly uprooting individuals. The capacity is 402 and the enrollment is 425 is not big enough to justify a change like this. | I do not see a pro | I may have to literally leave the job I have now because the commute to get the kids to school will be so tight, it is much farther. They would never be able to walk, it is not only far, it is unsafe to walk through that major commercial area between my home and Henderson Mill Elementary. | I do not believe anyone should be re-assigned from Briarlake to another school. I feel the focus should be on re-assigning larger groups of students from schools where the difference between capacity and actual enrollment is larger. |
| 10/30/2019 21:14 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 10/31/2019 22:36 | Briarlake ES | No pro's for my family, we moved here for a reason. | We are a 1 driver family, if my daughter is moved she would not be able to participate in any after school, and extra curricular activities, as my wife cannot walk to Henderson Mill Elementary. We moved to this location so transportation was not an issue. My daughter has spent 5 years at Briarlake and would be torn from her healthy relationships. | No Pro's for my family, we moved here for a reason. | We are a 1 driver family, if my daughter is moved she would not be able to participate in any after school, and extra curricular activities, as my wife cannot walk to Henderson Mill Elementary. We moved to this location so transportation was not an issue. My daughter has spent 5 years at Briarlake and would be torn from her healthy relationships. | Neither |
| 10/31/2019 23:06 | Briarlake ES | Students in Huntington would be able to have school bus service to HM Elem. Students in Huntington would have a smoother transition from elem school to middle sch since more of their classmates would be going to HM Middle than would be going from Briarlake. | Students would be taken out of their home school where they have established relationships with students and teachers. Many elem age students may experience a great deal of difficulty and a sense of loss. | Students in Huntington would be able to have school bus service to HM Elem. Students in Huntington would have a smoother transition from elem school to middle sch since more of their classmates would be going to HM Middle than would be going from Briarlake. | Students would be taken out of their home school where they have established relationships with students and teachers. Many elem age students may experience a great deal of difficulty and a sense of loss. (The map seems to show our students from Huntington condo on LaVista being transferred on either option---Streets on map are hard to read) | |
| 11/1/2019 0:13 | Briarlake ES | It makes sense to move children closer to a school under capacity. | Moving 1 to 10 kids out of a neighborhood to a school farther away does not make sense. Montreal Road should be the divide line for BES and HMES. Those who live West of Montreal should go to BES. Those East of Montreal should go to HMES. This way children who live in closer neighborhoods can retain friendships. Also you wont affect property values. | The need to not have schools at or above capacity. | The line btwn BES and HMES should be Montreal Road. Those students to the West of it should be at BES and those to the East side HMES. The district will be severely depreciating the values of our condos with this plan. | Neither |
| 11/1/2019 2:02 | Briarlake ES | I see no Pros for option 1 other than reducing the number of students. | You will be moving established students to a new environment; Endangering those students by putting them in more congested traffic. BES is one of the main reasons that people moved their families into this area.The redistricting line should be changed to Montreal Rd. Every one west of it goes to BES. | | Again, I think the boundary for BES on the east side should be Montreal RD. Everyone west of Montreal goes to BES. Take that little swatch of pink that's "G" and give it to OGES. | Neither one as far as our kids are concerned. |
| 11/1/2019 8:26 | Briarlake ES | | | | | 1 |
| 11/1/2019 9:11 | Briarlake ES | | | | | keep students at briarlake |
| 11/1/2019 9:13 | Briarlake ES | | Briarlake has been a strong force within the community. Losing students mean losing great teachers | | | Leave Briarlake in tact. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|----------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| 11/1/2019 9:15 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option1 but would like to keep the school together. |
| 11/1/2019 9:31 | Briarlake ES | None | | None | | Neither. Our schools are fine as is |
| 11/1/2019 9:38 | Briarlake ES | If the goal is to get the homes nearest the school. This makes some sense. | Not a Con but How many schools has Dekalb County Built in the last 30 years? | Not sure I like either option at this point. | | How many schools has Dekalb County Built in the last 30 years? |
| 11/1/2019 9:40 | Briarlake ES | | | | | The first option is better than the 2nd, but I would prefer Briarlake ES district remain in tact. |
| 11/1/2019 9:42 | Briarlake ES | | | | | |
| 11/1/2019 9:45 | Briarlake ES | I think including the whole neighborhood to Hawthorne is a good decision. They are too apartment heavy and it needs more stability. The brain drain from Kitteridge does not help by fourth grade. | Why is Oak Grove Still have no apartments? It seems to me that the load for the needs should be shared. Looks like you are pushing homes that are less than 3 blocks from the school over to another district. Moving the apartments and Hunitington Condos to a school that is over a mile away vs walking is not Right. Now you have to pay to bus them. | I like that you expand Oak Grove and diversify the group some. | You're shrinking hawthorne even further? It is already a small school and is fully diversified. Henderson- that has to oveeload them. Again moving walking distance kids to bussing increases county expenses. | Why are you doing this? Who is overcrowded? |
| 11/1/2019 9:49 | Briarlake ES | Briarlake will be less crowded. | Briarlake would loose money and staff. | | | Option 2 |
| 11/1/2019 9:52 | Briarlake ES | There is no pro. We would prefer that there be no change and that the situation remains the same and our child thoroughly enjoys going to Briarlake and the local community involvement by the Briarlake PTA and Teachers | the new school is too far from our house and would add the additional traffic/congestion/disruption for all displaced students. The PTA and Teaching staff would loose valuable resources for Briarlake Elementary and they do a fantastic job for our child and family | no pro | This option would remove valuable resources from Briarlake Teachers and the PTA which makes Briarlake so valuable for our neighborhood. The value of Briarlake is based on the fantastic student/teacher ratio and the scale of the school that encourages local community involvement that is unique to Briarlake | Briarlake's focus on STEM and the local neighborhood serves our child best |
| 11/1/2019 9:58 | Briarlake ES | Reduced portable classrooms; Fewer students removed from Briarlake ES, which is not overcrowded compared to others. Seems to be lowest impact overall | | | Affects more students throughout the area in multiple schools. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|-----------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 11/1/2019 10:00 | Briarlake ES | I want no change in the map for Briarlake ES | Children need the continuity of care and the knowledge that the adults that they have come to trust are going to | Not a good option | All children in Briarlake have established a relationship with their teachers, families are established as a part of the community and we need to keep it that way. | No change option is the best option that serves the needs of the students and parents. All children who attend Briarlake are within 2 mile radius of the school. That is a reasonable distance for us to drop our kids (in dark during winter) and get to work on time. Children have established relationships with their teachers and parents with the school as well as PTA. Please do not move any children. |
| 11/1/2019 10:19 | Briarlake ES | More available seats at the highest performing school (Oak Grove) in anticipation of continued enrollment. One less portable classroom overall and less overall change in student communities. | Redistricting too often creates a feeling of instability within the community and drives families away or into private/charter schools for K-8, which creates an unpredictable influx of high school students. Please consider a zero-period and/or staggered dismissals for high school. Thank you for trying to keep Lakeside and all high schools together. | It appears the greatest difference in % utilization would be in Oak Grove and Sagamore, with Sagamore in a less crowded position than in Option 1, and Oak Grove with less available seats but still under 100%, which seems more fair considering the recent challenges Sagamore has had in meeting the needs of new ESL students. | More change for more students (I think), though it seems in both options the district has tried to maintain or improve most students' school performance environment and keep them in the same high school community, which is much appreciated. | Thank you for asking b/c this is all that matters to most families. Honestly I think either option will be ok, but if Briarlake keeps more staff and students with Option 1 we'll take it! |
| 11/1/2019 10:36 | Briarlake ES | | Briarlake is the only school in the district where all students live within two miles of the school. Our community is strong. Keep all Briarlake kids in their school. No changes! | | Briarlake is the only school in the district where all students live within two miles of the school. Our community is strong. Keep all Briarlake kids in their school. No changes! | neither |
| 11/1/2019 11:07 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Leave Briarlake districted as is! We are a strong community-do not break us up!!! |
| 11/1/2019 12:23 | Briarlake ES | None | Please don't break up the school! It would negatively impact the community and the fabric of the school. Removing any students from the school will be detrimental. | None. | This option is even worse than the previous, as it cuts into the community more deeply. This would impact the socioeconomic and racial diversity of the school greatly. I hate both options. | Neither. Don't divide the students. |
| 11/1/2019 12:41 | Briarlake ES | | We want to keep our school as is..then our school will be underenrolled, and then another school will be at full capacity - NO. That is wrong. We are doing fine and do NOT want to lose our students. Unfair to the children this whole redistricting thing. Take from somewhere else that is overcrowded. | | I don't care for either option. Leave BES alone. | Neither. Leave us be. |
| 11/1/2019 13:00 | Briarlake ES | Esta opción está muy bien, es excelente escuela | | Esta opción no me gusta por que se irán muchos estudiantes? | | Opción 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|-----------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 11/1/2019 13:00 | Briarlake ES | Less people being upheaved from their schools. This can be very traumatic for little kids who love their schools and friends etc...the less people who have to be put through this the better! | | None | Too much moving of people for pretty much the same outcome as option 1. | Option 1 |
| 11/1/2019 13:17 | Briarlake ES | Option 1 will displace fewer kids from Briarlake to a different school than Option 2. | Increases the size of the Briarlake community. | | Moves too many kids away from their neighborhood school. | For the Briarlake community, I do not like either option, but if I had to choose it would be Option 1 as it is the least disruptive. |
| 11/1/2019 13:33 | Briarlake ES | N/A | Please consider how hard this is for children and families who have committed time, sometimes years to building a strong community within their schools. These boundary changes seem very poorly thought out and more disruptive than productive. Please keep Briarlake Elementary together. | N/A | Please consider how hard this is for children and families who have committed time, sometimes years to building a strong community within their schools. These boundary changes seem very poorly thought out and more disruptive than productive. Please keep Briarlake Elementary together. | Neither new proposal best serves the students in the area. |
| 11/1/2019 14:28 | Briarlake ES | none | This is ridiculous. The students in my current condominiums can currently walk to Briarlake Elementary. You mention cost efficiency, but it is not cost efficient to take a bus. Briarlake CCRPI: 88.6 Henderson Mill CCRPI: 73.7 This is unfair. | none | This is ridiculous. The students in my current condominiums can currently walk to Briarlake Elementary. You mention cost efficiency, but it is not cost efficient to take a bus. Briarlake CCRPI: 88.6 Henderson Mill CCRPI: 73.7 This is unfair. | Leaving the students at 3825 LaVista Rd at Briarlake. |
| 11/1/2019 14:42 | Briarlake ES | | It would be asinine to move students that are .5 miles from Briarlake, students further away should be moved. | | It would be asinine to move students residing .5 miles from Briarlake, students further away should be moved. | |
| 11/1/2019 16:48 | Briarlake ES | Our vote is for option one | | | | Option one |
| 11/1/2019 20:09 | Briarlake ES | | | | | |
| 11/2/2019 1:47 | Briarlake ES | | | | | |
| 11/2/2019 9:36 | Briarlake ES | It does not impact our family at all, and it impacts minimal briarlake families. | Better than option 2. | No pros for option, though our family is not impacted | Impacts far too many current briarlake families | Option 1, by far. |
| 11/2/2019 15:06 | Briarlake ES | Option 1 uses rational geographic boundries without doubling some students distance from school with no geographic reasoning. | | | Section E is effectively doubling the distance to school for children in the lower portion with no benefit or geographic reasoning. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|-----------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 11/2/2019 19:14 | Briarlake ES | | It does not make sense to let some Brairlake students who are close to Brairlake to be redistributed to Henderson Mill. Plus a lot of kids are already in Brairlake for some years. They will lose all that they are already familiar with, really bad for those kids. I against option 1 for the E part. They should still be in Brairalke. | | It does not make sense to let some Brairlake students who are close to Brairlake to be redistributed to Henderson Mill. Plus a lot of kids are already in Brairlake for some years. They will lose all that they are already familiar with, really bad for those kids. I against option 2 for the E part. They should still be in Brairalke. | no change option |
| 11/2/2019 21:37 | Briarlake ES | | 1. The extension of Henderson Mill ES boundary into the Briarlake district is drawn too close to Briarlake ES. Children that can walk a short distance to Briarlake would be driving to Henderson Mill. 2. We love our elementary school community and donâ€™t want to lose students. | | 1. The extension of Henderson Mill ES boundary into the Briarlake district is drawn too close to Briarlake ES. Children that can walk a short distance to Briarlake would be driving to Henderson Mill. 2. We love our elementary school community and donâ€™t want to lose students. | Neither |
| 11/3/2019 7:43 | Briarlake ES | I would like to keep all of Briarlake students at the school. It is a community and I wouldnâ€™t want my first grader going to a completely different school. | I would like to keep all of Briarlake students at the school. It is a community and I wouldnâ€™t want my first grader going to a completely different school. | I would like to keep all of Briarlake students at the school. It is a community and I wouldnâ€™t want my first grader going to a completely different school. | I would like to keep all of Briarlake students at the school. It is a community and I wouldnâ€™t want my first grader going to a completely different School. | Keeping Briarlake students in their school! |
| 11/3/2019 10:26 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 2 |
| 11/3/2019 11:08 | Briarlake ES | | | | | I feel that NO CHANGE is the best option for the students and faculty of Briarlake elementary. We have a very tight knit community and we would like the keep these students that began their schooling together intact. |
| 11/3/2019 17:50 | Briarlake ES | | Should be no decline in students at Briarlake | | Should be no decline in students at Briarlake | |
| 11/3/2019 19:02 | Briarlake ES | Less neighborhood disruption (more logical boundaries) than option 2. Less parents and kids having to cross over i-285 | | | More crossing over i-285, more neighborhood disruption, more displacement. | Option 1. This is the less disruptive option for students and families in the district. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| 11/3/2019 19:05 | Briarlake ES | Briarlake loses fewer students than in option 2. Pleasantdale takes students from three of the most overpopulated schools, namely Evansdale, Hawthorne, and Henderson Mill. This would be a great option if Briarlake could just keep its students. You could alleviate some of the pressure on Sagamore Hills by sending a few of their students to Oak Grove | It breaks up the Briarlake community unnecessarily. Most of the same goals could be accomplished without changing Briarlake attendance. | Sagamore Hills and Oak Grove are more balanced, but that can occur without unnecessary changes to Briarlake. | Briarlake loses too many of our students in a way that would drastically affect our demographics, culture, and school climate. We are unique because of our programs for severely disabled students and deaf or hard-of-hearing students. These programs affect our numbers, but the Briarlake community is about more than just numbers. We love it as is! | Neither for Briarlake students/community. A hybrid that keeps our community intact would be best! |
| 11/3/2019 19:10 | Briarlake ES | | | | | Option 2 leaves less school with overcrowded schools. But I think students that started elementary school should be able to finish it. One of the reasons why I chose the community that we leave in was the Briarlake school. I do not want my kids to be moved. |
| 11/3/2019 19:43 | Briarlake ES | Fewer students will have to leave Briarlake. | Students will have to leave Briarlake. School will receive less funding. | | | Option 1 - Fewer children moved from home school |
| 11/3/2019 21:38 | Briarlake ES | In support of the minimal redistricting for briarlake but since it seems regardless my children will be placed in Henderson Mill Elementary based on address, I am in support on less portable classrooms for Henderson Mill. | The immense redistricting numbers from Henderson to Pleasantdale Elementary. | | | Teacher to student ratio |
| 11/3/2019 21:41 | Briarlake ES | None | The loss of any member of the Briarlake ES community is too many. We are a neighborhood school, made up of families and children from all backgrounds, languages, experiences, and needs (Deaf/hard of hearing, etc); this is a massive strength to our school. My family moved here from Oregon for this diversity. Do not change Briarlake ES. | Absolutely NONE. | The loss of any member of the Briarlake ES community, especially 61 children, would devastate our school. Briarlake ES is a great school, made up of families and children from all backgrounds, races, ethnicities, languages, experiences, and needs (hard of hearing, etc). Our diversity is our strength. Do not change our school. Do not move our kids. | Increase funding to schools, increasing resources. If not an option, then create new Elementary from Henderson Mill and Evansdale. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 11/3/2019 22:01 | Briarlake ES | | Moving the Briarlake students to Henderson doesn't seem to fit with the primary criteria of geographic proximity as they are very close to Briarlake Elementary school. Additionally, those students would have to travel through a busy shopping/business area to get to Henderson Elementary. And, they seem "cut off" from the rest of the school community | | Students at the southern section (along Montreal) of the Briarlake section moving to Henderson would have to travel quite a distance to get to school and would have to travel through busy shopping/business districts to/from school. | |
| 11/3/2019 22:26 | Briarlake ES | Fewer children move schools and communities remain more intact. Better distribution of school capacity. Slightly fewer portable classrooms. | Redistribution of children seems premature. Why move some out of schools only to move others in? Should be possible to better utilize new Pleasantdale school without swapping children among other schools. | | Too many children move, too many schools left below capacity, impacting services and teachers. Redistricting seems premature. | If no change is not an option, then option 1 is preferable. |
| 11/3/2019 22:33 | Briarlake ES | we lose our community that we have established already, less kids also leads to less teachers | | we lose our community, less kids less teachers | | we keep the school/community as is, no change |
| 10/15/2019 21:10 | Evansdale ES | The communities stay intact 48 students moving from Evansdale all live in the same community and close to new Pleasantdale school Less student moves Greater portable reduction | | | | 1 |
| 10/15/2019 21:22 | Evansdale ES | Keeps greater Evansdale community/neighborhood intact. Effects 2 schools instead of all in the district. Places students in area A back into Pleasantdale as they were in 2011. | More open seats at Evansdale which would effect instructional capacity and negatively effect our award winning French Immersion program. | Keeps instructional capacity (numver of teachers) the way it is now. | Disruptive to each neighborhood listed, increased traffic and danger for students who walk, potential for more redistricting when Pdale reaches max capacity AGAIN. Doesnt consider pulling students from Oakcliff theme school which is very close in proximity. Also doesnt explore pulling Wanda woods area which was distracted for pleasantdale in 2011. | Option 1 |
| 10/15/2019 22:22 | Evansdale ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 9:07 | Evansdale ES | | Evansdale will be unfairly affected by Redistricting, particularly those students in the French Immersion program. That is a highlight of this school that should not be ignored. | | Please do not pull students out of Evansdale's French Immersion program. | Neither |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| 10/16/2019 9:09 | Evansdale ES | Less students affected | Sagamore still over capacity. | All schools suffer through redistricting. | | I'm not sure. But I believe that Oak Grove's boundaries need to include an apartment complex. They are the only school in our cluster that doesn't serve apartments and they need to open their arms to apartments. |
| 10/16/2019 9:16 | Evansdale ES | It keeps Evansdale neighborhood largely intact! | | | It seems to cross into new neighborhoods and doesn't reduce crowding at Evansdale as much. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 9:21 | Evansdale ES | Smaller number of students moved, Evansdale school zone kept intact, which prevents Evansdale families from having to commute on the much more dangerous Chamblee Tucker. Relieves overcrowding at most of the schools. Reduces the number of portable classrooms. | Part of Pleasantdale zone might have to use Spaghetti Junction, but according to the map hardly any students if any currently live in that area that go to public schools. | Leaves Evansdale attendance zone mostly intact, and adds Neighborhoods a short distance away that currently go to Henderson Mill. Balances the whole Lakeside Elementary Cluster. | The whole balancing of the Lakeside Cluster might be better done after the construction of the New Cross Keys High School. If some feeder schools such as Sagamore Hills would be moved to Cross Keys and then Lakeside Cluster would have to be re balanced again in a couple of years it would be better to wait and do it once. | Option 1, if in a couple a years re balancing would have to occur again after the new Cross Keys opens |
| 10/16/2019 10:02 | Evansdale ES | | inequitable burden of moving schools (only 4 schools) | more equitable redistricting. Only a few schools should not bear the full burden of filling a new school. | In short term, more students have to adjust, but more of a sense of fairness across the district. | Option 2 |
| 10/16/2019 10:30 | Evansdale ES | Appears redistricting from Evansdale to Pleasantdale an area that previously went to Pleasantdale, and a somewhat transient population, so no effect to Evansdale neighborhood. | Lots of moving parts could cause some confusion initially | Still some overpopulation at Evansdale and other schools. | Seems to be even more moving parts than option 1 | 1 |
| 10/16/2019 11:39 | Evansdale ES | Option 1 seems to make the most sense as far as keeping neighborhoods together at the same school | Does not provide relief for Sagamore | More balanced capacity across the board | School zones are crossing major roads, highways - this will negatively impact traffic and safety | option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 12:04 | Evansdale ES | | | | | 1 |
| 10/16/2019 12:40 | Evansdale ES | | | | | |
| 10/16/2019 14:02 | Evansdale ES | Keeps Evansdale neighborhood intact. Keeps Embury Hills neighborhood largely intact while moving to Pleasantdale. | Decision made independently of broader discussions across county about redistricting and new school construction | sagamore overcrowding addressed | More schools impacted by a process that is being done without a county-wide view of redistricting and new construction. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 14:53 | Evansdale ES | Option 1 is preferred to option 2 because of less disruption to schools further away from the new Pleasantdale ES. | Is there any 5 year projected enrollment data to consider that is not presented here? | Without accurate projected enrollment data available, option 2 may not achieve the desired results. | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/16/2019 15:07 | Evansdale ES | -Keeps the Evansdale neighborhoods intact -Shuffles the least amount of students -Protects more FTE funding by keeping more kids at Evansdale | '-Both options fail to consider the economical operations criteria. Without info on other new construction/consolidation in ours and neighboring clusters (is: Smoke Rise Elementary, new Cross Keyes ES, new Cross Keyes High, combination of Hawthorne and Henderson Mill, etc) and without knowing the fate of the GO bond it's hard to get an informed idea | Keeps the Evansdale neighborhoods intact Allows Evansdale to maintain capacity and therefore funding (FTE and title 1) Both options keep Evansdale special programs intact | So much shifting without information in construction and plans in neighboring clusters which will surely have an impact (see cons in option 1). A comprehensive long term vision for the north end of the county makes more sense than smaller more frequent redistricting. Neither option address Oakcliff theme school enrollment. | 1 because it does the least damage in the absence of a comprehensive plans |
| 10/16/2019 16:07 | Evansdale ES | This is the most straight forward approach. It keeps the catchment areas near the schools. Also it returns students to ES that they were originally part of while redistributing accordingly other places. | None | None | This is confusing and complicated because several areas are being assigned. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 20:02 | Evansdale ES | Keeps Greater Evandale intact. Less kids moved across the LS cluster. Reduces modular units in schools | | More balance | Too much shuffling around across all schools and more disruption | 1 |
| 10/16/2019 21:36 | Evansdale ES | Balances schools | Doesn't relieve Oak Grove or Sagamore. | Somewhat balances all schools. | Puts Evansdale at capacity and Hawthorne over while HMES is only at 87% capacity. | Option 2 |
| 10/16/2019 22:35 | Evansdale ES | Limits impact to fewer schools. | Under utilizes Oak Grove, over utilizes Sagamore Hills. | More equitable utilization across all schools. | All schools impacted. | Option 2 |
| 10/16/2019 22:57 | Evansdale ES | | | | | Neither |
| 10/17/2019 1:32 | Evansdale ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/18/2019 13:44 | Evansdale ES | Option1 keeps the Greater Evansdale (Wembley Forest, Nottaway, Northumberland) intact. It allows children in the Wembley Forest neighborhood to continue to safely ride bikes and walk through the neighborhood to Evansdale ES. It also addresses the safety & traffic concerns about Chamblee Tucker Rd and uses the natural boundary for our neighborhood. | The proposed changes leave 50 seats at Pleasantdale Elem. This may not leave enough room for growth with potential developments. Additionally many students from Pleasantdale left because it was overcrowded and went to Oak Cliff Theme School. If those students want to come back to the new Pleasantdale, there may not be enough room. | Option2 keeps the Greater Evansdale (Wembley Forest, Nottaway, Northumberland) intact. It allows children in the Wembley Forest neighborhood to continue to safely ride bikes and walk through the neighborhood to Evansdale ES. It also addresses the safety & traffic concerns about Chamblee Tucker Rd & left turns from Wembley Forest without a light. | Option2 would place Evansdale at full capacity and still leave 3 portable classrooms. Same as Option1, it may not allow enough extra seats at Pleasantdale if the Oak Cliff students return to their home school. | Option 1. Although is it best to do a redistricting this year and next when you could combine them to make a greater impact across all Dekalb county schools? |
| 10/21/2019 7:37 | Evansdale ES | | | | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| 10/21/2019 13:43 | Evansdale ES | Keeps neighborhoods together and observes Chamblee-Tucker as a natural barrier that is dangerous for children to cross | | | Forces children to cross Chamblee-Tucker and does not observe that road as truly dangerous to cross and a natural border. Unnecessarily carves up geographically unified neighborhoods to divide children across multiple schools. | Option 1. Keeps kids in geographical areas going to the same schools. Minimizes disruption for kids. Observes the truly dangerous nature of crossing Chamblee-Tucker Rd. |
| 10/28/2019 9:55 | Evansdale ES | Children in section A will not need to cross Chamblee Tucker Rd. | | | Kids south of Chamblee Tucker rd. In section A would need to cross. Disrupts more people. Concentrates apartments in section B rather than balancing home owners/apartments. | A, or wait to redistrict until Cross Keys is built. |
| 10/30/2019 18:42 | Evansdale ES | | Children having to cross Chamblee- Tucker Road. | | Children will have to cross Chamblee-Tucker Road. An extremely busy street. | Option 1 |
| 11/1/2019 11:10 | Evansdale ES | Children north of Chamblee-Tucker Rd. will not need to cross this busy street to get to Evansdale ES. Limits the number of children and communities affected across the larger area. More equitable distribution of students across all the schools. | One elementary school will still face overcrowding - at least in the short term. | | Moves way too many children/communities to have one fewer portables. Increases number of children needing to cross the very busy Chamblee-Tucker Road to access the new Pleasantdale Elementary: Dangerous!!! | Option 1 - moving fewer children for maximized results or wait until the new high schools are built and Pleasantdale develops a Spanish immersion program to draw more students |
| 10/15/2019 20:11 | Hawthorne ES | | | | | |
| 10/15/2019 20:55 | Hawthorne ES | Sagamore Hills and Oak Gore districts aren't affected, community that has supported the schools will continue to be part of the district. | Sagamore Hills is still overcapacity. | Sagamore and Oakgrove are better utilized. Students aren't driving past Hawthorne to get to Henderson Mill. | Hawthorne is still over capacity. | 2 |
| 10/15/2019 21:20 | Hawthorne ES | None | Moving my child from a neighborhood school 1/2 a mile from my house (that we can walk to) to a school which involves commuting and traveling on multiple highly trafficked streets | My child would remain at the school a 1/2 mile walk (on residential streets) from our house versus moving to a school that is further away and would involve commuting on multiple highly trafficked roads | | Option 2 |
| 10/15/2019 22:06 | Hawthorne ES | Less students impacted | Redistricting for Hawthorne cuts through intact neighborhoods - Sunset/Melinda intersection which is a common bus stop for elementary, middle, and high. Does not consider Shallowford Road traffic patterns. Shallowford would make a good dividing line, allowing section C to remain with Hawthorne, which is in closer proximity to the school. | | Redistricting for Hawthorne cuts through intact neighborhoods - Sunset/Melinda intersection which is a common bus stop for elementary, middle, and high. Does not consider Shallowford Road traffic patterns. Shallowford would make a good dividing line, allowing sections D1/D2 to remain with Hawthorne, which is in closer proximity to the school. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 10/16/2019 23:41 | Hawthorne ES | Improved utilization rates, fewer portable classrooms, fewer movements required for existing students | No improvement for Sagamore Hills ES | Improved utilization rates and fewer portable classrooms. Sagamore Hills improves with utilization rates and portable classrooms whereas it was unchanged under option 1 | | Option 2 |
| 10/17/2019 0:06 | Hawthorne ES | Improves capacity issues at Hawthorne. | Traffic backs up Lakeside to turn right onto Briarlake Road from Briarcliff, very short turn lane from Briarcliff Road | Improves balance across cluster and increases utilization of Oak Grove ES, especially when you look out to forecasted decline in 2021. Traffic pattern is ideal for students moving from Hawthorne ES to Oak Grove ES | None | Option 2 is both best for our family and for full utilization of the Lakeside Cluster ES |
| 10/17/2019 2:15 | Hawthorne ES | '-Why does Hawthorne need 4 portable classrooms for Option 1 (101% utilization) but only 3 portables for Option 2 (higher 105% utilization)? | Why does Hawthorne need 4 portable classrooms for Option 1 (101% utilization) but only 3 portables for Option 2 (higher 105% utilization)? -CON: Henderson Mill is under utilized while other schools are over capacity. Need to create Option 3 to get every school under 100% utilization. | Why does Hawthorne need 4 portable classrooms for Option 1 (101% utilization) but only 3 portables for Option 2 (higher 105% utilization)? | '-Hawthorne is still over capacity. -Need Option 3 where all schools are under capacity. For example, send 17 students from "F" in Option 2 to Henderson Mill instead of Oak Grove. This gives Oak Grove 11+17=28 open seats. Then, move students from southwest corner of Hawthorne attendance zone to Oak Grove. | All utilization rates should be under 100%. |
| 10/17/2019 13:28 | Hawthorne ES | It seems to minimize the redistricting impact | It does not add enrollment to Oak Grove, which has the most available seats | It creates a more balanced approach to the available seats by adding to Oak Grove | Henderson Mill is an odd configuration and Briar Lake families transferred may not like the distance. | I lean towards option 2, but this is based on mapping and seat numbers, only. It does not answer any questions about programs and student needs at any school. |
| 10/19/2019 20:49 | Hawthorne ES | Less Disruption | Section D needs to be reconsidered. Echo Hills is being cut in half. Bus 563 to Hawthorne currently serves the entire neighborhood stopping at Melinda and Sunset. We would be at a completely different elementary school as our next door neighbor - and we aren't on a major road where this makes sense. | Section F does not include areas north of Shallowford. This makes sense because of the traffic at the Globe. | Section F needs to be reconsidered. Echo Hills is being cut in half. Bus 563 to Hawthorne currently serves the entire neighborhood stopping at Melinda and Sunset. We would be at a completely different elementary school as our next door neighbor - and we aren't on a major road where this makes sense. | |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 10/23/2019 21:30 | Hawthorne ES | | Option 1 is bad for geographical proximity and traffic patterns b/c it moves students within walking distance to Hawthorne of Cravey Trl, Cravey Drv, and Canna Ridge to Henderson Mill requiring 15m of driving and many traffic lights. This will fracture our community and will isolate these families from the neighborhood. | Option #2 moves students across Shallowford/Briarcliff and on I85 frontage, which are geographically far from Hawthorne. This keeps Hawthorne neighborhood intact. Balancing Sagamore and Oak Grove makes logical sense as well. | | Option #2 better balances resources and causes less geographic, neighborhood disturbances based on natural boundaries. |
| 10/24/2019 12:04 | Hawthorne ES | Students in area A should go to Pleasantdale. Students living on I-85 access road in part of Area C should go to Henderson Mill. | The part of area C not on the access road should stay at Hawthorne. Area D should stay at Hawthorne Area E should stay at Briarlake ES Indigo Apartments on the I-85 access road should move to Oak Grove. Most of Area B should remain at Henderson Mill. The neighborhood between PES and EES currently assigned to Livsey should go to Pleasantdale. | Area A north of Chamblee Tucker should go to PES. D1 should go to HMES. | Keep areas B and C at HMES. Areas D2 and F should remain at HES. Area E should remain at BES. Area H should stay at SHES. DON'T ALTER SHES until CKHS is built. Indigo Apartments should go to OGES. The neighborhood between PES and EES currently assigned to Livsey should go to Pleasantdale. | Neither |
| 10/24/2019 16:44 | Hawthorne ES | Reduction in portable classrooms, improved capacities for some schools. | Traffic flows on briarlake and lavista. Sagamore ES and Hawthorne ES still overcapacity, while Oak Grove ES has ample room to increase capacity. | Better balance across the board as compared to option 1. Improved capacity at Sagamore Hills ES which remained untouched in option 1. From a personal standpoint, this option greatly improves my commute since it doesn't require that I travel two different directions (which are both severely congested) for drop off in the mornings. | Better balance across the board is achieved because each ES district is adjusted. | Option 2 |
| 10/30/2019 9:14 | Hawthorne ES | | | | | Option 2 |
| 11/2/2019 18:11 | Hawthorne ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/15/2019 17:42 | Henderson Mill ES | | Makes travel to school a commute instead of a walk or quick drive. Means a long bus ride and less time at home or for after school activities. | | Divides our neighborhood and creates a poor condition for walking to school and creates more traffic due to a huge increase in left turning traffic on Henderson to Evansdale road that is already terrible. | Neither of these are a viable option for this area. |
| 10/15/2019 19:08 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | Option 2 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 10/15/2019 20:18 | Henderson Mill ES | None | Ignores 285 creates 2 communities; breaks up the Henderson mill foundation support base, robs Henderson mill of its rich cultural diversity, | None | Splits up the Embry Hills community, ignores the 285 interrupts community making geography less relevant, robs Henderson mill of its rich cultural diversity. | None wait for larger redistricting and a new superintendent |
| 10/15/2019 20:40 | Henderson Mill ES | | Very far out of way for people in Embry Hills neighborhood to get to Pleasantdale. Will have to fight a lot of commuter traffic dropping off and picking up kids. We moved into this neighborhood to be part of HMES. | Better than option 1 | Breaks up the Embry Hills neighborhood. Want to keep our kids inside 285. | Neither, option 2 is the lesser of two evils |
| 10/15/2019 22:19 | Henderson Mill ES | No pros for option 1. | You're uprooting our entire neighborhood and sending us across the Perimeter to go to a school much farther away than HMES, where our neighborhood has attended for 35 years. | No pros for option 2. Have you all listened to a word the Embry Hills neighborhood has said? | Now you're splitting our neighborhood up, uprooting our entire neighborhood and sending us across the Perimeter to go to a school much farther away than HMES, where our neighborhood has attended for 35 years. | Keeping Embry Hills at Henderson Mill. |
| 10/15/2019 22:21 | Henderson Mill ES | None are good for my community. | Nothing good for my community | All bad for my community. | Nothing good here for my community. | Neither. Keeping my community in our elementary/middle/high schools is the best option for my community. |
| 10/15/2019 22:27 | Henderson Mill ES | Seems to keep kids closer to their schools | | | Kids closer to Briarlake should not be going to HMES | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 0:18 | Henderson Mill ES | Address overcrowding at HMES | Removing the Embry Hills neighborhood that has been part of the HMES community for 35 years, reducing too many low income families could impact HMES title 1 status | Fewer people districted to HMES | Embry Hills neighborhood leaving HMES, makes no sense for Evansdale to move their territory west of I-285 since it's a natural barrier and kids west of I-285 are being raised and living life with HMES families. | Neither. Do a better job of following your own criteria |
| 10/16/2019 0:34 | Henderson Mill ES | Shift enrollment to pleasantdale | Not allowing growth from assembly yards and beyond for area, or Spanish program More obvious choice is to reverse the 2011 changes to allow students to return to Pleasantdale from Evansdale and other school Disregard for Embry hills area to keep us intact EH loves STEAM program. No need to disrupt us. If so then take one or two apt complexes | Shifting enrollment | Total disruption without need Traffic nightmare Doesn't allow for growth from assembly yards, Spanish prgm etc Disregard for Embry hills. We want the STEAM prgm More obvious options to leave EH intact. Reverse 2011 redistricting. Take more from Evansdale as they are more overcapacity Take one of two apts w/o total disruption of EH | Neither. Keep ITP intact. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| 10/16/2019 7:54 | Henderson Mill ES | Least disruptive for fewest people | Does not solve overcrowding at all schools. | Solves overcrowding at all schools. Shares redistricting "pain" amongst all Lakeside cluster, rather than just a few communities. | | Option 2 |
| 10/16/2019 8:30 | Henderson Mill ES | | Option 1 takes my child out of a STEM/STEAM school. It also means he would potentially NOT go to Lakeside High even though most of our neighbors would be. | | Option 2 takes my child out of a STEM/STEAM school. It also means he would potentially NOT go to Lakeside High even though most of our neighbors would be. Furthermore, it splits my neighborhood down the middle with half going to one school and half going to another. | Neither. |
| 10/16/2019 20:59 | Henderson Mill ES | More balanced | | Doesn't make sense , seems to be making districts to off balance in area and reach | | One |
| 10/16/2019 22:21 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | Neither. My street is redistricted in both maps to Pleasantdale.:(|
| 10/17/2019 11:01 | Henderson Mill ES | | 1) Henderson Mill is not actually overcrowded and should be left alone; 2) Embry Hills has been tied to Henderson Mill and Lakeside HS for 35+ years; 3) Redistricting should never be done that would force children to commute through gridlocked spaghetti junction; 4) This process should be delayed until a new superintendent is in place | | 1) Henderson Mill is not overcrowded and should be left alone; 2) Embry Hills has been tied to Henderson Mill and Lakeside HS for 35+ years; 3) Redistricting should never be done that would split a neighborhood and force children to commute through gridlocked spaghetti junction; 4) This process should be delayed for the new superintendent to lead. | Neither serve students in the area. |
| 10/17/2019 11:21 | Henderson Mill ES | Keeps Embry Hills neighborhood together. MANY more cons for Embry Hills than there are pros. If students begin in Kindergarten, they can start the spanish immersion program? | Commute is twice as long for Embry Hills as it was to HMES. Puts us in dangerous traffic (spaghetti junction/285/85). EH has been at HMES for THIRTY FIVE YEARS. Lose STEAM/STEM. | ? | Splits Embry Hills...Evansdale has STEM...but what about the students who would be sent to Pleasantdale? Longer commute for EH than it was to HMES. | Embry Hills staying at Henderson Mill Elementary School. The two options given are unacceptable to the residents of EH. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 10/17/2019 12:26 | Henderson Mill ES | The only pro is that it is a brand new school. | '- Removing a community that has been in the HMES district for 35+ years - geographically, Embry hills neighborhood is 4+ miles away from the new Pleasantdale school, while HMES is only 2.3 miles away. - Safety wise, getting to Pleasantdale school from Embry Hills forces us to use the interstate. This is unsafe and has serious traffic implications | '- Evansdale is closer than HMES to Embry Hills - it is an established school | '- Splitting up a neighborhood for NO reason - Again, Embry Hills has been a part of the HMES for 35+ years. We moved here TO GO TO HMES. - There has been no survey or meeting specifically for the Embry Hills residents to see where we stand on the issue. - moving us to Evansdale will surely redistrict is out of the Lakeside HS district | Neither. You need more options. Our community will not be bullied into having these as our only options. |
| 10/17/2019 15:48 | Henderson Mill ES | | To drive 4 miles north and pass through 2 other school zones is ridiculous, especially to then have to travel back south to get other children from different schools. Livsey and evansdale are both about 50 seats over. That combined with oakcliff going to PES makes more sense rather than moving embry Hills there. We have been in HMES for 35 years | | This option splits the embry Hills neighborhood which is an odd thing to do based on what should be logical boundaries. Evansdale is 50 seats over. We do not need to add students from HMES, which was a large reason we chose this area, when we have the space we need. Send the extra students from Evansdale to PES. We chose HMES for STEM/STEAM | Leave us in HMES |
| 10/17/2019 18:22 | Henderson Mill ES | None for us, Absolutely none. | This school will be twice as far and 3x the commute as it will force us to cross 285 and go north. This school does not have the fantastic STEAM program Henderson Mill offers and is absolutely not in our neighborhood or part of our community. We purchased a home in a specific neighborhood for the schools and this option is unacceptable. | None. | This school does not have the fantastic STEAM program that Henderson Mill offers. This map is splitting the Embry Hills neighborhood between two schools, which is ridiculous. We purchased a home in a specific neighborhood for the schools and this option is unacceptable. | None. |
| 10/18/2019 6:57 | Henderson Mill ES | ZERO | '-traffic and safety concerns have not been taken into consideration for the Embry Hills neighborhood. - Special program consideration has not been taken into consideration for the Embry Hills neighborhood. Geographic proximity has not been considered. HMES only uses 3.5 trailers and not 7. NO one is complaining. | none | Splits Embry Hills Neighborhood. Same cons as option 1 | Niether |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|--|---|
| 10/18/2019 8:19 | Henderson Mill ES | | With the new pleasantdale elementary school facing pleasantdale road, it adds a mile to my drive, makes me go over 285 in rush hour traffic on chamblee Tucker, which can be an absolute nightmare. Henderson mill keeps me inside the perimeter, or even evansdale avoids the nightmare that is chamblee Tucker. | | Splits me away from the rest of my neighborhood and child's friends, on top of all the other cons from map 1. | Option 3 |
| 10/18/2019 8:54 | Henderson Mill ES | | much longer (by time) commute for Embry Hills, congested commute across 285, breaks up communities, in conflict with special programs, does not align with previous redistricting (moved kids out of Pleasantdale so move them back), creates risk in school feeder alignment, pits neighborhoods against each other, projected enrollment not in context | | Disrupts more neighborhoods, splits neighborhoods up, in conflict with special programs, does not align with previous redistricting (moved kids out of Pleasantdale so move them back), creates risk in school feeder alignment, pits neighborhoods against each other, short sighted on projected enrollment. | Neither |
| 10/18/2019 9:16 | Henderson Mill ES | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> '- Travel over two major interstates with only one point of entry down a busy (and notoriously dangerous) access rd from a southern position during rush hour traffic from our location - Loss of STEM and STEAM learning for my children - Poor performing middle and high schools from ES - Loss of home value - Other schools are closer to redistrict | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> '- Longer travel distance - Congestion - Loss of STEAM learning for my children - Poor performing middle and high schools from ES - Loss of home value - Other schools are closer to redistrict - Split neighborhood - No accounting for children under 5 (there are like 12 in Embry Hills alone) - No accounting for future expansion (in either plan) | Neither. This feels like incredibly poor engineering and planning on all fronts with horrible access and bus routes. Feels like a rush job that holds little merit to rapidly fill a school that was poorly thought through in the first place with subpar programs and a transient population. |
| 10/18/2019 10:13 | Henderson Mill ES | No pros | Pleasantdale have BELOW AVERAGE scoring. Its very disappointing to know that I will have to move my son to this school it would of been a different story if he was moved to a better or equal academic performance specially when as a parent you have high hopes of a better future for your child. | | | None but I would rather Option 2 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 10/18/2019 11:10 | Henderson Mill ES | | Will be a much further commute for our neighborhood, Embry Hills. Will force children to move from a school that they know and love. HMES was a primary reason we bought a home in the Embry Hills neighborhood. | | Will split up the Embry Hills neighborhood - neighbors will be going to different schools. Will remove Embry Hills from the HMES district. | Option 2 |
| 10/18/2019 12:45 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | |
| 10/18/2019 12:58 | Henderson Mill ES | The public outcry for under-utilization of a brand new school would be worse than the worries of some people at this point. We must do it. Option 1 is a better setup for a longer term plan to combine HMES and Hawthorne because the boundaries make more sense. Also moves fewer families. | Section E -- if HMES and Hawthorne combine in the future, this section should stay at Briarlake. It's an odd boundary from a travel/traffic standpoint | "Rip off the bandaid" all at one time. Many affected, so one group doesn't feel targeted. Sections A, B, C, D2 and G make sense. | If geography is a primary factor, this map isn't ideal for H and E sections - they are getting pretty far from the school. If HMES and Hawthorne combine in the future, sections E and F aren't ideal in this new map. Don't move D1 - passing one school to attend another is no good. | Option 1 - moves less people and sets up better planning for long term future with another new school at Hawthorne/HMES. |
| 10/18/2019 14:58 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | Option 2 |
| 10/18/2019 15:59 | Henderson Mill ES | * Reduction of portable use. * Reduce overcrowding in the short-term*. * Larger issues abound that are contributing to overcrowding (ie., sanctuary city status, students not being more fully vetted for resident status, etc.). | We are in crisis mode in and decisions need to be made; however, a fresh perspective is needed for the issues we face (ie., new superintendent). For example, [the new] Pleasantdale Elementary School and Evansdale Elementary School are OTP; redistrict OTP elementary schools to OTP HS districts and keep ITP residents within ITP HS boundaries. | * Reduction of portable use. * Reduce overcrowding in the short-term*. * Larger issues abound that are contributing to overcrowding (ie., sanctuary city status, students not being more fully vetted for resident status, etc.). | We are in crisis mode in and decisions need to be made; however, a fresh perspective is needed for the issues we face (ie., new superintendent). For example, [the new] Pleasantdale Elementary School and Evansdale Elementary School are OTP; redistrict OTP elementary schools to OTP HS districts and keep ITP residents within ITP HS boundaries. | Wait to hire new Superintendent for fresh perspective. Increase PreK offerings for early intervention of English Language Learners in order to support learning of all students in higher grades. Also, spend budget to consolidate Hawthorne and Coralwood. |
| 10/19/2019 16:57 | Henderson Mill ES | Newly built school. | Traffic nightmare to and from the school. Pulls the southern most neighborhoods out of their community. | Good school, not a far commute. | | Option 2 |
| 10/20/2019 10:23 | Henderson Mill ES | Possibly less expensive than building on to each individual elementary school thatâ€™s over capacity. | I would rather keep the kids where they are without redistricting. I prefer better management and appropriation of funds over profound changes to children. | I have nothing positive to say. | This option splits neighborhoods in two. I would prefer not breaking up neighborhoods. Itâ€™s important for neighboring children to attend the same schools for social reasons and because it is easier on parents to help each other out. | I would rather Dekalb County managed itâ€™s funds better and added on to each school in an effort to increase capacity. I prefer that over either options presented here. |
| 10/21/2019 18:06 | Henderson Mill ES | | We are redistributed out of our current school system. | | We are redistributed out of our current school system. | Neither as both remove us from our current district |
| 10/21/2019 18:18 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 10/21/2019 21:12 | Henderson Mill ES | I think rebalancing attendance is a good idea. | Option 1 has Henderson Mill students in the Embry Hills community driving 5 miles to school. Redistricting those students to Evansdale would make much more sense since it is within walking distance of that community. This plan leaves little room for growth at Pleasantdale, especially with the spanish immersion program that can attract students. | Again, rebalancing attendance is a good idea. | This splits the Embry Hills community apart. This is a very close community that wants to stay together. It would make much more sense to send that neighborhood to Evansdale. | Neither as it stands |
| 10/21/2019 22:05 | Henderson Mill ES | No estoy de acuerdo que los niños sean movidos de henderson ellos ya hicieron su comunidad y no es justo para ellos | Ellos podrán bajar su nivel académico y su autoestima | | | Quedarse en su Escuela |
| 10/21/2019 23:07 | Henderson Mill ES | Flowers road students are still continuing at Henderson Mill Elementary school | Embry hills circle neighborhood is being split into pleasantdale and evanadale. | Flowers road is continuing to attend Henderson Mill Elementary. More embry hills students are going to continue going to Henderson Mill Elementary. | Still splitting current HMES students between pleasantdale and evansdale. | Option 2 |
| 10/22/2019 7:42 | Henderson Mill ES | I don't see any pros | traffic and buses on the highway, not a STEAM certified school which is why we moved to this area, we live on Henderson Mill Rd, we should be going to HMES, property values will go down, this will affect my children, they love being at a STEAM school and being part of our Henderson Mill community that we built. | Going to another STEM school which offers French | My children having to switch schools, losing touch with our HMES school community. | Option 2 would be better due to traffic concerns. These schools should all be equal as far as rating, you can't expect people that have moved to their address to go to a certain school to go to a badly rated school, something that is not equivalent to what kind of school they are going to currently. HMES is a STEAM certified school and that's where I would prefer my children stay. |
| 10/22/2019 10:12 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | |
| 10/22/2019 11:52 | Henderson Mill ES | Keeps Embry Hills community mostly intact | <p>Going by the county's own guidelines:</p> <p>Geographic Proximity road through neighborhood in direct path to pleasantdale is blocked to through traffic. Route 1 to 1.5 miles longer than HMES or EES.</p> <p>Traffic - must drive hwy or through on-ramp traffic. 3 left turns against rush hour traffic</p> <p>STEAM - Son losing STEAM/STEM. Too old for spanish immersion</p> | none | <p>splits our neighborhood for 6! seats. just put us in evansdale together</p> <p>Proximity road through neighborhood in direct path to pleasantdale is blocked to through traffic. Route 1 to 1.5 miles longer than EES</p> <p>Traffic - must drive hwy or through busy traffic. 3 left turns against traffic</p> <p>STEAM - Son losing STEAM/STEM. Too old for spanish immersion</p> | None. Neither of these options took any care or consideration for our neighborhood's geography or community. Option 2 is easy enough to fix by keeping the Embry Hills community together at the cost of 6 seats. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|-------------------|--|--|---|---|
| 10/22/2019 16:30 | Henderson Mill ES | | Creates traffic and breaks up Embry Hills neighborhood. Changes from being in a neighborhood school to driving across town, passing two schools. This will limit after school activities for kids. | | Breaks up Embry Hills neighborhood and potentially changes the high school kids will be zoned for later. | Neither. Keeping HMES open and keeping Embry Hills together at this school is the best options. |
| 10/22/2019 16:32 | Henderson Mill ES | none | those in Embry Hills will have to cross OTP twice a day, including during rush hour traffic. I have a hard enough time picking my kid up from aftercare before 6:00, but moving the school north in the most congested part of the state will create a huge burden for me and no other ITP aftercare near me will pick up from the new school. Not sustainable | Some HMES students remain inside the perimeter where it won't disrupt aftercare facilities | Embry Hills neighborhood is no longer together and neighbors at different schools, lack of social support - EH is a very strong, cohesive community that is best served when they are together - at Evansdale as it isn't as far as Pleasantdale, or travel time isn't significant as compared to Pleasantdale. | Neither. |
| 10/22/2019 16:42 | Henderson Mill ES | | Traffic and proximity concerns for moving Embry Hills families out of HMES. Currently, due to traffic on Chamblee Tucker, it's nearly impossible to leave our neighborhood. Also, losing STEAM certification puts our children at a disadvantage. Delaying redistricting until we fully assess PES and obtain a new superintendent seems optimal. | | Divides a neighborhood and its students. Traffic and proximity concerns for moving Embry Hills families out of HMES. Currently, due to traffic on Chamblee Tucker, it's nearly impossible to leave our neighborhood. Also, losing STEAM certification puts our children at a disadvantage. Delaying redistricting until we obtain a new superintendent. | Delaying redistricting until all information regarding PES and its enrollment can be obtained and we hire a new superintendent. |
| 10/22/2019 17:01 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | |
| 10/22/2019 17:27 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | Leaving EH students in Henderson Mill |
| 10/22/2019 18:44 | Henderson Mill ES | None. | This school does not offer the STEAM program that our son currently enjoys. The travel time / distance forces us through Spaghetti Junction multiple times a day for pickup and dropoff. Disruption to our son's education, friendships and stability of the school he has attended for 3 years. We purchased a home specifically for the school district. | This school is not as challenging of a drive as the Pleasantdale option. | The STEAM program is not in place. This change is disruptive to my son's education and peer group. Impact to after care options, we waited over a year for our current spot. We purchased a home in a specific school district for that STEAM program. | The least disruptive, fewer schools impacted, proper consideration to the actual commute based on proximity to the school and not being forced through Spaghetti Junction 4x a day. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 10/22/2019 19:06 | Henderson Mill ES | Option 1 is a good choice because most of the people that live in the area are going to stay and they don't have to find another home and they don't have to move. Most students that attend to Henderson live in The Heights At Chamblee. | Most of the mothers in The Heights At Chamblee are upset because it's not easy to find another home and most of the students that attend to Henderson live in apartments and it's not easy to just move somewhere else. It's so far from the apartments why not get the numbers of tucker because they live much closer. | nothing | it's so far away, some parents have tight schedules and barely get time to go to Henderson mill | Option 1 |
| 10/22/2019 19:44 | Henderson Mill ES | School remains in tact | Too many portables | Reduces school capacity and portables | Disrupts communities. Need to consider financial impact of single family homes and financial support they give to STEAM school. Those wanting to stay in steam schools but live in leased housing could adjust but homeowners cannot. | Need a balance in size for sure but need to consider that neighborhoods don't need to be split. ie: Embry Hills |
| 10/22/2019 22:19 | Henderson Mill ES | Keeps Embry Hills neighborhood intact. | Requires unsafe crossing of 285 and 85 to access Pleasantdale Road. Much longer commute. No STEAM program. No daily P.E. Older children not eligible for Spanish immersion. | None | Splits Embry Hills neighborhood in half, leaving families north of Chamblee-Tucker Road at a different school than those south. Requires unsafe crossing of 285 and 85 to access Pleasantdale Road. Much longer commute. No STEAM program. No daily P.E. Older children not eligible for Spanish immersion. | Neither is acceptable to the Embry Hills neighborhood. Our strong preference is to remain at Henderson Mill Elementary School, with a distant second option to stay united as one community and be redistricted to Evansdale Elementary, which is closer, has similar STEM programming, and would be less dangerous of a commute |
| 10/22/2019 22:52 | Henderson Mill ES | None for Embry hills | It makes the Embry hills community travel to the farthest school from our home it is un-walkable, crosses the barker of 285 and Pleasantdale School entrance is almost 5 miles from the neighborhood. With Henderson mill we have a STEAM certification and curriculum. We also have physical education for our children our a daily basis. | Keeps most of the Embry hills community together and sends the neighborhood to a school that is geographically closer to the neighborhood. Evansdale is at Least a Kilometer Kids program | Breaks up the the Embry Hills Neighborhood slightly. Removes the STEAM program from our Children. | Neither but it is my sincere hope that the next options take in the logistical and curriculum issues concerning the Embry Hills Community into serious consideration. |
| 10/22/2019 23:04 | Henderson Mill ES | It seems to improve overpopulation issues | It takes my neighborhood out of its historic relationship with Henderson Mill Elementary School. Will the new Pleasantdale Elementary School be a STEAM school from day 1? The academic skew of the STEAM program was a significant draw to our current community. | The plan also seems to address overcrowding | This plan severs the historic relationship between Embry Hills neighborhood and Henderson Mill Elementary School. The new Pleasantdale Elementary School fails to offer STEAM curriculum to students who are currently or will receive that at Henderson Mill Elementary School | For my own area, neither option best serves students. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 10/23/2019 5:46 | Henderson Mill ES | NONE | There is no direct line for public transportation available and the commute would be bus to Chamblee Marta Station to Doraville Marta Station bus to Pleasantdale ES - approx. 1.5 hours - for every time to need to go to school. | NONE | same cons as option 1 - no direct line with public transportation | Stay at Henderson Mill ES |
| 10/23/2019 7:47 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | Option 2 |
| 10/23/2019 9:09 | Henderson Mill ES | none | I don't want to be in a school with 900 students that is far away from our neighborhood. Kids already have to catch the bus so early, and if Embry Hills goes to Pleasantdale, it will be even earlier. | This is better than option 1 in terms of traffic, distance from the neighborhood, and school size | | staying in henderson mill |
| 10/23/2019 9:13 | Henderson Mill ES | | This option is not favored by most of the residents of the neighborhood of Embry Hills. | This option is favored by the residents of the Embry Hills neighborhood due to their preference for Evansdale ES over Pleasantdale ES. | Embry Hills neighborhood residents would prefer to remain in the Henderson Mill ES district. | |
| 10/23/2019 9:16 | Henderson Mill ES | NONE | Traffic problems and no direct line for public transportation | NONE | Traffic problems and no direct line for public transportation | Stay at Henderson Mill |
| 10/23/2019 9:35 | Henderson Mill ES | No pros that I'm aware of, at least none that mitigate the many cons. | Pleasantdale is 4 miles to the north of our neighborhood. We would have to cross over 285 at 85 or Chamblee Tucker 4 times a day, putting us in both morning rush hour traffic and evening rush hour traffic. This proposal cuts our neighborhood in half. Our school has a strong STEAM program that the others do not. | A little less traffic and commute burden than the first option, but it is still unacceptable. | Ignores our neighborhood's 35+ year relationship with Henderson Mill. This school and its successful STEAM program and unique daily physical education program was a huge motivation for us in buying our house here. This also makes it seem more likely that we could be fed into Tucker High at some point, taking us away from our desired Lakeside High. | Neither, but option 2 would be the lesser of two evils. This process seems to lose credibility being overseen by a lame-duck superintendent. This rushed process should face further evaluation and scrutiny from the next Superintendent. |
| 10/23/2019 9:46 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | I want to stay in Henderson Mill school district |
| 10/23/2019 10:11 | Henderson Mill ES | | Pleasantdale is almost 4 miles to the north of the Embry Hills neighborhood. We would have to cross over 285 at 85 or Chamblee Tucker up to 4 times a day, putting us in both morning rush hour traffic and evening rush hour traffic. This would make it nearly impossible to pick up our children from aftercare by 6 pm | As a community, we almost unanimously voted for Evansdale as our 2nd choice option (HMES first choice), if our community could stay intact. Now it is possible to safely walk from Embry Hills to either Henderson Mill (2.3 miles) or Evansdale (1.8). | One of our main priorities, besides remaining in HMES, is to stay intact as defined by the streets of our civic association. Option 2 splits us in half, shifting some of us to Pleasantdale and some to Evansdale. This also causes us to risk losing Lakeside which is a major reason that many of us purchased homes in Embry Hills, inside the perimeter. | Option not presented - staying intact in HMES is Embry Hills first option; Second would be Option 2, if our community can stay intact, as defined by the streets of our civic association. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 10/23/2019 12:55 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | Leaving the HMES cluster as is. 2nd choice would be Evansdale. NO change to feeder system into Lakeside. |
| 10/23/2019 21:13 | Henderson Mill ES | None | Serious traffic concernsâ€™m not sure I could get to Pleasantdale by the end of aftercare since Iâ€™d be coming from the current Henderson mill zone in the Embry Hills neighborhood. Loss of STEAM curriculum, specifically the arts education for which my family moved into the HMES zone | None | This option divides my neighborhood in half. That is just not acceptable. | Neither. Students in my attendance zone are best served when left at Henderson Mill ES. |
| 10/24/2019 10:01 | Henderson Mill ES | Option 1 is only good for Sagamore and Oak Grove as somehow they are not touched or affected at all. Pros- keeps Embry Hills area B mostly intact, however, our area unanimously disagrees with being redistricted to PES, based on our own neighborhood survey, organized community meetings, and recently gathered feedback, and the county's own criteria. | Moves the entire hood of Embry Hills (ITP) in area B, forcing us to travel north 4 miles over 285 at CT or Spag. Junction 4x day. This does not follow prim. criteria of geographic prox. or 2nd criteria of traffic/safety. Opposite way. Pickup from aftercare w/ traffic and multi-age drop-offs will be impossible. Too far! Would lose STEAM/daily PE. | Evansdale is MUCH closer to Embry Hills (B & C) and has STEM. Not xing 285 4x a day is a PRO. Embry Hills has almost unanimously voted this as our 2nd best option, based on gathered internal feedback, for the area as long as the long-established Embry Hills neighborhood can move intact (see cons). Evansdale has the French Immersion program also. | Splits up established neighborhoods like Embry Hills (B & C). Our community and civic assn. is based on these streets: Embry Circle, Embry Hills Dr, Embry Ct, Shabromat Way, Chase Rd, Alton Rd, David Rd, Medinah Ct, Balmoral Dr, Barkside Ct, Ridgeside Ct, Windsor Forest Dr, N. Embry Cir, Margavera Ter, Stantondale Dr. Takes away STEAM and HMES. | Neither, if just need to fill seats/reduce trailers look at other options, like move nearby Livsey, which is closer in geographic prox. & 50 seats over. Need to delay major moves and look at district-wide movement to fill seats towards the south. Consider opening a large Pre-K prog at PES instead that can relief overcrowding in touching areas and open up classrooms. Expand Spanish Immersion @ PES. |
| 10/24/2019 12:19 | Henderson Mill ES | Maintains Neighborhood Integrity - within natural and familial boundaries | Disrupts organic neighborhood and family relationships | None | | Option 1 |
| 10/24/2019 16:09 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | This option splits up our Embry Hills neighborhood. | Neither. I would like to see another option. |
| 10/24/2019 22:16 | Henderson Mill ES | Que solo se moverÃ-an a 91 alumnos | Si hacen los cambios y me afecta a mi me quedarÃ-a mÃs lejos la escuela y Henderson me queda mÃs cercas por eso yo en lo personal no quiero que halla cambios y si se hacen sea para personas que les quede mÃs cercas las otras escuelas | | | |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 10/25/2019 11:17 | Henderson Mill ES | NA | Moves Embry Hills (B) too far way geographically (PES), while other areas, closer are not affected. Affects too many HMES students negatively. We'd lose STEAM program that we helped build at HMES. PES does not have a similar program. Xing 285 at 85/CT would be unbearable. Opposite direction of middle/high school difficult for multi-age transport. | Evansdale is a good school and a much closer option to the Embry Hills neighborhood, if we can remain intact. It also has a STEM program and French Immersion. It is less than 2 miles from the majority of my community. Close enough for many of us to walk to school. | The Embry Hills community is split in half in this option (B & C). Please keep EH intact in this option as defined by the streets in our established Civic Assn. It is still outside of the perimeter and we lose STEAM. Fear a feeder redistricting later will cause us to lose Lakeside High, the main reason that we bought our home in the EH area. | Neither option. Puts too many children too far from school. Please look at the areas closest in proximity to PES location that used to be zoned. It faces Pleasantdale Rd. and Northcrest cutting is not an option, thus we have to drive far around to get to the front. No child should have to sit in traffic or cross 285 daily for school. Think of multi-age drop offs/pickups with tutoring, aftercare... |
| 10/26/2019 17:03 | Henderson Mill ES | Reducing the size of Henderson Mill is much needed. Having some of the students going to schools closer to their home is also a plus. | | | The physical area that Henderson Mill covers will make bus rides long for many students. | 1 |
| 10/27/2019 12:20 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | |
| 10/27/2019 18:15 | Henderson Mill ES | | Geographic proximity - Pleasantdale is almost 4 miles to the north of the Embry Hills neighborhood. We would have to cross over I-285 at I-85 or Chamblee Tucker up to 4 times a day, putting us in both morning rush hour traffic and evening rush hour traffic. This would make it nearly impossible to pick up my children from aftercare by 6 pm. | | Intact neighborhoods – One of our main priorities, besides remaining in HMES, is to stay intact as defined by the streets in our civic association. In option 2, DCSD splits us in half, shifting some of us to Pleasantdale and some to Evansdale. Sending the entire neighborhood to Evansdale is our 2nd choice option if our community stays intact. | Neither |
| 10/28/2019 10:20 | Henderson Mill ES | Our Embry Hills neighborhood stays together Spanish immersion program | No STEAM program at Pleasantdale is a big con for our family. The drive to the school is 2-3x longer than to HMES. Unknown affect on our property value due to the unknowns of the new school makes me less than enthusiastic about the redistricting. | Our home goes to Evansdale, closer commute French immersion program | Embry Hills is divided between the two schools, would potentially create friction in our neighborhood | Option 2, but I'm not a fan of either one as we would prefer to go to HMES. We bought our home in this district for the school. |
| 10/28/2019 15:17 | Henderson Mill ES | | | | | Neither. Embry Hills would like to stay together at Henderson Elementary. If that is not an option we would like to stay together at Evansdale. Under no circumstance do we want to go to Pleasantdale. |
| 10/29/2019 11:59 | Henderson Mill ES | The only pro for option 1 is a new school | This would be a longer drive, out of the neighborhood school. Our neighborhood is much better off staying at a school that is closer to where we are now. | This option is closer to the neighborhood | We do not want to be split up, if at all possible | Of these two options I prefer option 2. However, we would like to stay where we are or at least take the entire neighborhood to Evansdale. |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| 10/29/2019 13:46 | Henderson Mill ES | None | Moving kids from Embry Hills subdivision further away to another "new" school. Additional traffic to move and bus these kids who can SAFELY WALK to Henderson Mill; meaning less traffic on Henderson Mill, neighborhoods and Chamblee Tucker. Bet you decide they are no longer in the Lakeside HS district with this move as well. Another CON. | Looks good for you as school board filling up Pleasantdale Elementary. | moving kids out of Embry Hills local school (Henderson Mill) and potentially out of Lakeside HS feeder. Kids are bussed all over anyway. Why would you put more buses on an already busy Chamblee Tucker and back roads? | Neither. Additional traffic, timing, and zoning are being disrupted with either plan. Students are being bussed further from home when they can safely WALK to school. |
| 11/3/2019 19:36 | Henderson Mill ES | There are no pros for option 1 for my neighborhood of Embry Hills. | Pleasantdale is outside of 285 and it is about 4 miles away from Embry Hills but HMES is only 2.5 miles away. It will be a traffic nightmare for the parents in Embry Hills to have to drive up to Pleasantdale. Also MARTA is only accessible by taking a bus to the Chamblee station transfer to a different bus to get to Pleasantdale. | Sending the Embry Hills neighborhood over to Evansdale is not as bad as the other one. It is not that far and it is a STEM school. | The neighborhood of Embry Hills should not be divided up. The streets that are included in our civic association should all stay in on the same school district. But that school needs to be geographically closer to our neighborhood and preferably Henderson Mill Elementary. I moved here to Embry Hills for my children to go to HMES. | Neither one |
| 10/15/2019 18:42 | Oak Grove ES | | | Option 2 seems like the best right-sizing. | | Option 2 |
| 10/15/2019 19:18 | Oak Grove ES | It balances enrollment without disrupting every elementary school. It is better for the children if they are not moved. | | Option 1 is a better option. | It moves too many children around. The fewer schools that are redistricted is better for the children. | Option 1. |
| 10/15/2019 20:47 | Oak Grove ES | Effects less school clusters. Moves fewer kids. Does not move kids at Oak Grove and Sagamore. Accomplishes goal of balancing enrollment between schools. | Leaves Sagamore overcrowded. | | Moves more students. Disrupts all school clusters. Oak Grove and Sagamore would like to keep their current zones. | Option 1, disrupting less clusters and moving fewer kids. |
| 10/15/2019 21:09 | Oak Grove ES | It keeps our elementary School in walking distance and keeps all neighborhood and church families and kids together. We moved to Decatur from Fulton to attend Oak Grove Elementary | None | | It breaks up all the families and kids from the pool and the church (Oak Grove UMC). | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 10/15/2019 21:09 | Oak Grove ES | This option does not unnecessarily disrupt the learning environment of an additional 132 elementary students. Also, this option reduces the overall number of portable classrooms, which present safety concerns as they are not as secure as classrooms within a building. Even reducing by one is safer and more secure for children. | | | By balancing the entire cluster, the district is unnecessarily disrupting the learning environment of an additional 132 elementary students instead of those just near Pleasantdale. Also, this option increases the overall number of portable classrooms. This presents safety concerns as they are not as secure as classrooms within a building. | Option 1 |
| 10/15/2019 21:17 | Oak Grove ES | Move some students to Pleasantdale, reducing overcrowding | Removing students out of their neighborhood schools. Students from inside the perimeter attending Pleasantdale outside perimeter. Not addressing condition of other schools before building new. | Reduces overcapacity at Sagamore and pits the entire neighborhood of Leafmore into Oakgrove. That said- aftercare hours need to be consistent across all of these schools since some schools close earlier. | Inside perimeter students at outside perimeter schools make pickup and after school activities difficult. Doesn't address condition of schools and reduced enrollment projections due to Kittredge, etc. we'd get about kids in IEP and special needs- can they stay at their original school? Especially if parents purchased homes in that zone for that reason | Neither- if forced to pick I'd go w Option 2 |
| 10/15/2019 21:26 | Oak Grove ES | less schools being balanced, less kids moving schools | | | Oak grove elementary has enough kids (it's under capacity) it doesn't make sense to take Diamond head out of Oak grove elementary (G). We don't want that, we are happy where we are. | option 1 |
| 10/15/2019 21:27 | Oak Grove ES | Fewer moves and children being uprooted from their current schools; kids in closer proximity to schools | | | Uprooting many more kids and involving way more schools, including those from farther away; tearing apart numerous long-standing communities | Option 1 |
| 10/15/2019 21:40 | Oak Grove ES | This option disrupts far less children and schools. In option 1 there are 5 schools and 334 children are affected. In option 2 there are 9 schools and 466 children are affected. There is no reason to affect more schools than necessary. | | There are no pros for this option. | This option affects more children and schools. It moves in some cases very small numbers of children example letters F (17 children) & G (33 children). Our neighborhood (Diamond head circle) has been a look part of Oak Grove school for decades and moving us from oak grove so 20 additional kids from sagamore to can be moved does not make sense. | Option 1 |
| 10/15/2019 22:50 | Oak Grove ES | | | | Diamond Head subdivision (representing 33 students/families), who are an integral part of the OGE community, will be moved to Briarlake. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 10/15/2019 22:54 | Oak Grove ES | Option 1 affects a significantly fewer number of students and homeowners. If there are only two options, then this option is absolutely the better choice. | | None | It has a dramatic impact on existing neighborhoods and communities. It significantly affects students in all ES districts and will have a psychological impact on many families being forced to change schools and leave friends. Property values across the entire Lakeside district will be affected, eroding trust in county leadership. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 6:46 | Oak Grove ES | Our school district remains intact | none | None | Unable to walk commute to school. Changes school district. More difficult driving commute. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 6:46 | Oak Grove ES | Less students affected. Allows for more growth into new Pleasantdale Elementary school where growth is possible. Leaves schools farther away unchanged. | | None | I will no longer be able to walk my kids to their school. Longer commute. You divide the leafmore community. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 7:39 | Oak Grove ES | least number of students affected by changing schools | more traffic | slightly better balance (not worth it) | more kids changing schools | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 8:20 | Oak Grove ES | | | | Breaks up more neighborhoods and communities than option 1. Also not necessary | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 8:28 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 2 |
| 10/16/2019 8:37 | Oak Grove ES | Keep our community together! | Separating our kids from their friends, their support system, and their school that we love. | None | All cons. Makes no sense to move kids who live closer to sagamore to oak grove resulting in moving kids away from oak grove whose neighborhood has been apart of oak grove for 40+ years. | Option 1. |
| 10/16/2019 8:39 | Oak Grove ES | Leaves Diamond Head neighborhood zoned for Oak Grove | None | None | Rezoned Diamond Head neighborhood, which is quite close to OGE, and displaces a larger number of children | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 9:12 | Oak Grove ES | Less children are effected in option 1. | | | Area G should not be moved to Briarlake. Area G should stay at Oak Grove Elementary. Oak grove is currently under capacity and has no portable classrooms. Therefore, why are you moving Area G kids out just be replaced by other children? There is no reason Area G kids should be moved out of Oak Grove Elementary. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 10/16/2019 9:20 | Oak Grove ES | The school will have a lower enrollment so will enable children to learn better in smaller class size while allowing teachers the ability to focus on individual students vs spending time managing too many kids. | | | Too many kids in school and classrooms. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 9:37 | Oak Grove ES | Less children will be moved across the board. | none | none | Oak Grove is currently under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. Oak grove currently has no portable classrooms, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. | 1 |
| 10/16/2019 9:38 | Oak Grove ES | Do not understand why you need to move so many students from schools when you can capture the northern students to balance the schools | See above | None | This one is even worse, you are moving kids from every school and disrupting the communities. This is the worse plan of the two of them!!!! | Moving students. ESP since you are only shuffling them around schools. This is terrible idea for developmental processes of kids |
| 10/16/2019 9:40 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 9:42 | Oak Grove ES | Affects less schools/kids | | | Affects more schools/kids | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 9:42 | Oak Grove ES | Minimizes schools affected | Doesn't correct for attendance shifts across all schools since 1999. | Resets attendance across all schools. Allows room for growth | More family moves involved across feeder. | Option 2 |
| 10/16/2019 9:49 | Oak Grove ES | Fewer students impacted by changes | | | Excessive disruption to all schools. Unnecessary | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 10:52 | Oak Grove ES | Less children will be moved across the board. | | | 1. Oak Grove is currently under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. 2. Oak grove currently has no portable classrooms, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 12:06 | Oak Grove ES | My family specifically moved to the neighborhood of Diamond Head Circle for the Oak Grove school district. Please do not change school districts on us. We have two young children and would like very much for them to attend school at Oak Grove elementary. We moved to the neighborhood for the school rating for OGES. | We only want to attend Oak Grove Elementary | | I want my children to attend Oak Grove Elementary. This is why we moved to the area. I don't want them going to any other school. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 12:58 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|-------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| 10/16/2019 14:53 | Oak Grove ES | Less traffic, balancing schools near Pleasantdale. Minimizes impact on too many schools and neighborhoods. Focuses on proximity rather than moving students randomly around. | None | None | Impacts too many students, neighborhoods, not geographically sound. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 15:29 | Oak Grove ES | My kids can stay at home school, and the house I purchased for them to go there wouldn't lose value. Plus, oak grove doesn't gain portable classrooms. | None | None | More portable classrooms | Keeping kids at their current school |
| 10/16/2019 15:53 | Oak Grove ES | | | | Keep Diamond Head in Oak Grove | 1 |
| 10/16/2019 18:06 | Oak Grove ES | keeps more students at their present school, less portables, everyone under capacity | none | none | moves more students to other districts, moves students from oak grove and then more back to oak grove that doesn't make sense | option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 18:45 | Oak Grove ES | Less overall children moved, fewer households impacted. | | | Overall, more households and number of children will be impacted. Focusing on the localized district immediately around Pleasantdale allows for less costly fine tuning of districts in the future. Smaller, prescriptive changes instead of large cumbersome ones. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 18:59 | Oak Grove ES | fewer children affected by moves fewer elementary schools affected by moves in all but 1 elementary school the number of children moving from one school to another is larger, which will help the adjustment for those children as they will see more familiar faces | none | none | 13.6% of children moving versus option one, which is 9.7% of children many more children and their families impacted and uprooted from their school community smaller percentages of children moving from 1 school to another, loss of school community for those children option 2 increases enrollment at 2 schools that are not the new elementary school | option 1, which impacts fewer children |
| 10/16/2019 20:01 | Oak Grove ES | Less students moved across the board. | | | 1. Oak Grove is currently under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. 2. Oak grove currently has no portable classrooms, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 20:04 | Oak Grove ES | YES | | | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|-------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| 10/16/2019 20:52 | Oak Grove ES | '- Fewer families affected, fewer neighborhoods disrupted - Maintains the walkability of Oak Grove ES for neighborhood families | | | '- Oak Grove is currently under capacity + has no portable classrooms. There is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. - Area G families are able to bike and/or walk to Oak Grove ES w/o traveling on Lavista. Those options would be taken away by Option 2. Lavista is dangerous, traffic is awful. Option 2 makes a bad situation much worse. | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 21:17 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | 1 |
| 10/16/2019 21:37 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 8:15 | Oak Grove ES | '-least amount of disruption within the lakeside cluster -maintains the most communities in tact -creates a more balanced number of seats among the schools -less portable classrooms overall within the cluster -OGE currently and projected to be under enrollment, why disrupt families without it being necessary | none | NONE | '-Oak Grove is currently under capacity and projected to be under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of OGE -moving 33 kids out to move 59 kids into OGE -has the greatest disruption within the Lakeside Cluster -has more portable classrooms in use versus option 1 | option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 8:17 | Oak Grove ES | '-least amount of disruption within the lakeside cluster -maintains the most communities in tact -creates a more balanced number of seats among the schools -less portable classrooms overall within the cluster -OGE currently and projected to be under enrollment, why disrupt families without it being necessary | none | none | Oak Grove is currently under capacity and projected to be under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of OGE -moving 33 kids out to move 59 kids into OGE -has the greatest disruption within the Lakeside Cluster -has more portable classrooms in use vs. option 1 | option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 8:25 | Oak Grove ES | '-least amount of disruption within the lakeside cluster -maintains the most communities in tact -creates a more balanced number of seats among the schools -less portable classrooms overall within the cluster -OGE currently and projected to be under enrollment, why disrupt families without it being necessary | none | none | Oak Grove is currently under capacity and projected to be under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of OGE -moving 33 kids out to move 59 kids into OGE -has the greatest disruption within the Lakeside Cluster -has more portable classrooms in use vs. option 1 | option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|-------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| 10/17/2019 8:32 | Oak Grove ES | least amount of disruption within the lakeside cluster -maintains the most communities in tact -creates a more balanced number of seats among the schools -less portable classrooms overall within the cluster -OGE currently and projected to be under enrollment, why disrupt families without it being necessary | none | none | '-Oak Grove is currently under capacity and projected to be under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of OGE -moving 33 kids out to move 59 kids into OGE -has the greatest disruption within the Lakeside Cluster -has more portable classrooms in use vs. option 1 | I am in support of option 1 or no change and offer a Magnet/IB program at PES with the open seats. |
| 10/17/2019 8:45 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 8:59 | Oak Grove ES | '-least amount.of disruption within cluster -maintains the most school communities in tact -creates a more balanced number of available seats among schools -least portable classrooms overall -OGE currently and projected to be under enrollment, why disrupt families w/o it being necessary | None | None | OGE currently under enrollment and projected to be under capacity Moving 33 kids out of OGE to move 55 into OGE Has the greatest disruption among lakeside cluster Has more portable classrooms versus option 1 | Option 1 or no change and offer a magnet/in program with open seats |
| 10/17/2019 9:17 | Oak Grove ES | affects less students, better for traffic flow | none | none | worse traffic, makes students who were previously able to walk commute now have to drive, more cars, affects more students, oak grove needs to stay intact | option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 9:23 | Oak Grove ES | This option impacts fewer children in the district | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 9:43 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 11:59 | Oak Grove ES | Keeps Long-time oak grove Es lines intact, | | None | Takes Diamond Head out of Oak Grove ES where it has been for years. Not good!! | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 13:22 | Oak Grove ES | Addresses the overcrowding and affects existing school lines the least overallâ€”causing less disruption for many schools. | | | Causes the most disruption of existing districting lines which can adversely affect school community connections. Impacts the most students and in many schools. Very disruptive. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| 10/17/2019 19:50 | Oak Grove ES | Decision to purchase our home in the Oak Grove area was largely based on being in the Oak Grove elementary school district. We would be highly motivated to stay if the district remained the same. | | | Many families chose their home based on being in the district for Oak Grove Elementary. Children and parents who have developed a comfort level with Oak Grove would be forced to reestablish that same comfort at a new school. | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 22:17 | Oak Grove ES | Less children will be moved across the board. | | | 1. Oak Grove is currently under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. 2. Oak Grove currently has no portable classrooms, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. | |
| 10/18/2019 15:49 | Oak Grove ES | Keeps more neighborhoods intact AND keeps more students in the schools/neighborhoods where they purposefully decided to live. | Seems like a bad decision to move some kids into briarlake and some out vs figuring out another way to balance the other schools. Would think a better solution would be to keep as many kids from moving out as possible. | I don't see any pros with this option. It seems ridiculous to break up neighborhoods and schools for no reason. | Ridiculous to move children into a different school in order to move other children into that school when we are talking about such a small distance. No improvement in geographical distance to school. | Option 1 |
| 10/18/2019 23:36 | Oak Grove ES | | | | Carves out an area which has been part of Oak Grove for decades to go to another school, severely disrupting the continuity of those children's education at their school & taking them away from their friends. It will also lower property values for those families - not fair to folks who've bought into this higher-priced district!! | Option1, but would like to see other solutions besides having students change schools in these young, formative years. |
| 10/19/2019 10:32 | Oak Grove ES | Yes | | | Against | Option 1 |
| 10/21/2019 14:16 | Oak Grove ES | the projections for OGE to drop down from 505 to 424 is unrealistic. If anything we need more enrollment to fill classrooms. Personally I think the trailers are a nice change of pace for the kids to have specials in | Trailers may be hard to maintain and if enrollment does decline - they may go unused taking up green space for other activities/learning possibilities. | bringing in students from the sagamore/briarlake neighborhoods seems completely reasonable especially given their proximity to OGE already. I'm afraid if teachers/staff positions are based on the [unrealistic] projections, OGE would lose classrooms/teachers and staff. | Losing any enrollment to Briarlake means losing teachers and classrooms...families moved to the OGE district for the school and the success of its student body. Families will move out of the area and house values will fall...this is not good for our OGE community. | An option that keeps neighborhoods together and manageable on the roads is the best. An option that brings enrollment to the school to minimize change in staff/classrooms is better....keep the 33 proposed to be redistricted to Briarlake ES and allow those families closer to OGE than Sagamore/Briarlake to come to OGE. Distance from middle and High shouldn't play a part in which ES one is aligned. |
| 10/21/2019 17:25 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/21/2019 21:54 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 10/21/2019 23:00 | Oak Grove ES | Addresses more of the schools that are actually near Pleasantdale. Less disruptive. More gain from the move. | | None | Disruptive to move so many students around at so many schools, especially when some of the schools aren't near the new Pleasantdale at all. Why move almost as many kids out of Oak Grove as you move into Oak Grove, especially when it isn't overcrowded? | Option 1 |
| 10/22/2019 6:56 | Oak Grove ES | I support option 1 because it would allow my neighborhood and family to remain in Oak Grove Elementary | | | Please do not do this!!! We bought our house in Diamond Head specifically for Oak Grove Elementary. I work at CDC in the field of child adversity and extensive social change can be very difficult for children. My children are well established at Oak Grove and I really worry about detrimental impacts of changing them to a new school. | 1 |
| 10/22/2019 10:22 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | 1 |
| 10/22/2019 10:45 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | 2 |
| 10/22/2019 10:53 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/22/2019 11:32 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | 1 |
| 10/22/2019 14:22 | Oak Grove ES | Least disruptive to Oak Grove and Sagamore | None | Will inspire stakeholders to vote out school board members | Disrupts all the schools shown | Option one, please |
| 10/22/2019 14:46 | Oak Grove ES | This option makes most sense for students and parents and transportation time and climate change! | | None | Not an efficient nor friendly option for students living far away near Lakeside as transportation causes more stress on students and parents and environment! We cannot accept this option | Option one |
| 10/22/2019 16:32 | Oak Grove ES | Geographic proximity is much better- students moving are closer to "new" or proposed school. Fewer schools and families/students impacted | | None | More families and children impacted. There is no need to do this to all schools and children. Proposed changes are not in close proximity to schools. Completely breaks up neighborhoods. Many children are being taken out of existing school to then have OTHER children moved into their "old" school. It creates change and upheaval unnecessarily. | Option 1 |
| 10/22/2019 23:16 | Oak Grove ES | Fewer families disrupted, fewer total portable class rooms. | | | More families disrupted, more total portable classrooms | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|---|---|
| 10/23/2019 8:55 | Oak Grove ES | Least disruption within the lakeside cluster. Option 1 affects only 334 students and 5 schools. The other option affects many more schools (9) and children then necessary (466). | | | Shifts small amounts of children for little gain (areas F, G, H). Removes area G my neighborhood from the Oak Grove Community affecting 33 kids to bring in 59 children from Sagamore. This affects the lives of 92 children for a net gain of only 30 student gain at Oak Grove. This is unnecessary. Potential for more portable classrooms at OGE (0 vs 3) | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 10:01 | Oak Grove ES | Least amount of interruption for families | | | Redraws too many lines and affects too many families | 1 |
| 10/23/2019 10:05 | Oak Grove ES | Less children moved. | Maybe not as ideal for the county as a whole. | | moving kids from our neighborhoods to Briarlake - very unfortunate for those kids and families who have friends and communities. | either would work - I do think you should leave the 33 Diamondhead kids in OGE. |
| 10/23/2019 10:11 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 10:15 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 10:16 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | OPTION 1 |
| 10/23/2019 10:58 | Oak Grove ES | I don't believe that all of the students in our district should be moved in order to obtain balance. For instance option 2 adds 59 kids from sagamore to move 33 kids to briarlake. In my option it is not worth disrupting the students lives. Students should not feel like they are being shuffled around. | | | I don't believe that shuffling around all of the students in the district is the answer. Option 1 appears to be a better solution. | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 11:07 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 11:40 | Oak Grove ES | This option has less changes for children involved. Children like stability. Peer relationships are important for the confidence and academic success of students. Switches schools will have negative impacts overall because children will leave their friends and lose the collaboration built between the school administration, teachers and parents. | None. | None. | This restructuring will seriously affect children. There are too many changes involved which will, in turn, have negative consequences in all areas such as social difficulties, class disruptions, academic challenges for the children, and make the school-child relationships very difficult. The Elementary School district shouldn't be restructured. | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 11:48 | Oak Grove ES | I Favor Option One | | | I Disagree With Option 2 | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| 10/23/2019 11:48 | Oak Grove ES | Less children will be moved across the board. | | | 1. Oak Grove is currently under capacity, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. 2. Oak grove currently has no portable classrooms, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 12:26 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | |
| 10/23/2019 13:21 | Oak Grove ES | Just have the schools near new school gets rezoned | | | Bad idea | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 13:47 | Oak Grove ES | Reduction of the redistricting area as possible as we can is better for stabilization of the overall students and families. | | | a lot more students and family have to move and get used for new occasion. 227:319 (option 1 vs option 2) except for the new school. If the redistrict has to be done in option 2, all the county district should be included. | Option 1 is the best. lesser change the better for students and families. |
| 10/23/2019 14:18 | Oak Grove ES | Hawthorne over-capacity situation improved | Sagamore Hill is still significantly over capacity | More even capacity across ES, and avoids potential need to redistrict again in future for Sagamore Hill | Many small clusters of student moves especially from Hawthorne: | Option 1 for now, but choosing Option 1 means you will eventually need a new ES to serve the area below Sagamore Hill and Oak Grove |
| 10/23/2019 14:29 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 14:38 | Oak Grove ES | Those of us in the Oak Grove ES community hope to keep everyone currently districted for the school in it. It's an excellent school with great teachers, and no child/family should be moved out against their wishes. In 1st grade this year, they actually reduced class size by one. There seems no need to move out existing students. This plan does not. | | | See pros for Option 1, previous page. | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 17:40 | Oak Grove ES | Current students will stay with their friends and peers | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 21:20 | Oak Grove ES | Keeps Oak Grove as is, minor change | None | | More drastic changes in redistributing people | Option 1 |
| 10/23/2019 23:31 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | 1 |
| 10/24/2019 20:45 | Oak Grove ES | | | | affecting more schools this way | option 1 |
| 10/26/2019 8:17 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/26/2019 16:08 | Oak Grove ES | It seems to impact the fewest schools | | | Option two disrupts a decades-long connection between Sector G and Oak Grove Elementary | Option 1 |
| 10/26/2019 19:20 | Oak Grove ES | Impacts fewer students and schools | None | None | Disrupts too many kids. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 10/26/2019 19:52 | Oak Grove ES | Everything. Effects less students which is always better. Also keeps neighborhood schools in tact. | Don't see much con. | Honestly, you need to balance the schools near Pleasantdale elementary. | If you are going to redistrict students into Oak Grove, It should be all of Briarcliff Woods going into Oak Grove Elementary. That would free up overcrowding in Sagamore. Then have some Oak Grove go into Briarlake elementary and Hawthorne ES. Briarcliff Woods has only a portion of their kids in Sagamore ES and all the rest in Oak Grove ES already. | redistrict schools around Pleasantdale ONLY |
| 10/26/2019 20:01 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 8:05 | Oak Grove ES | We specifically worked very hard to be in this new neighborhood solely for OAK GROVE ES. We have a 17 month old and a new baby on the way. Briarlake ES is not on par with OAK GROVE ES and there have been no plans to improve this school. It would greatly affect our property value. | | | This cause the most disruption to a greater amount of families. It would negatively impact more families than Option 1. Families and children need consistency and this would disrupt that consistency. | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 8:09 | Oak Grove ES | Option 1 causes the least disruption in the redistricting process by preserving current enrollment and property values. This option only affects the areas that most benefit the placement of Pleasantdale ES while serving the capacity needs in the areas stated in Option 1. | Sagamore hills should be the shifted to reduce their higher utilization numbers. | | This option fails to tackle the Sagamore over utilization issue and unfairly impacts property values due to redistricting. | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 8:14 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 8:14 | Oak Grove ES | Oak grove is better academically for my kids | | | | Academics |
| 10/27/2019 8:32 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 8:35 | Oak Grove ES | Disruption of less students and families. Takes away some portable classes. Some schools will still be under capacity. | Na | Nothing. | Disruption of so many families compared to option 1. You will be taking away 33 seats from oak grove and adding 59 which doesn't make sense and still keeps the portable classrooms. Option G doesn't make sense. Briarlake is further and more traffic. Kids walk to school or ride bikes currently. Please keep diamond head neighborhood part of Oak Grove. | 1 |
| 10/27/2019 9:14 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option one |
| 10/27/2019 12:55 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 10/27/2019 12:59 | Oak Grove ES | Keeps kids in their current neighborhood school. It makes no sense to move a few kids from each school (Oak Grove Elementary) that is not overcrowded. Keep Diamond Head Subdivision in the Oak Grove Elementary school, as it has been for over 50 years. | No cons that I see - do the right thing and leave these neighborhoods in tact and at their current schools. | No pros - Our neighborhood, Diamond Head Subdivision, does not agree with this option. This would not fix the "problem". | So many - but mainly, it would not fix the problem and it would disrupt the school of so many neighborhoods unnecessarily. Option 1 is what to consider. | Option #1 |
| 10/27/2019 13:07 | Oak Grove ES | Disruptions occur to fewer schools. | | | Too much disruption to all schools. | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 13:08 | Oak Grove ES | Less disruption for families and students. Some Students effected are closer to the school | Based on the options I don't see a con in this | None | Disruption for many families and students. This will cause some of the schools to over capacity. Option G doesn't make sense. Leave Diamond head part of OG. Many people have paid above market price for homes to be in this school district. School is further. Doesn't make sense to move 33 students and add 59 more and keep the portable classrooms. | 1 |
| 10/27/2019 14:58 | Oak Grove ES | Effects the least amount of change. | | | Too much juggling of students. | Certainly, Option 1. |
| 10/27/2019 15:27 | Oak Grove ES | Fewer student and family transitions Intact communities Shorter commutes, safer traffic patterns, environmentally greener to have less distance for buses and cars to travel | | None | Longer commutes, safety and pollution concerns Challenging Transitions for many more students and families | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 16:04 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | |
| 10/27/2019 19:55 | Oak Grove ES | No matter the option, what is the basis for the criteria previously provided? Federal or state? | | No matter the option, what is the basis for the criteria previously provided? Federal or state? | | Condition, criteria, cause, and effect lead to a recommendation. Where is this documented in a narrative, detailed format? |
| 10/27/2019 20:21 | Oak Grove ES | Reduces total number of portable classrooms. | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 21:56 | Oak Grove ES | Only the schools nearby are most effected | | | This causes way too many shifts for all schools . | Option 1 |
| 10/27/2019 22:20 | Oak Grove ES | Fewer moves so fewer children and families impacted. Fewer portables. | | | More student moves so more kids and families impacted. More students in portables. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 10/28/2019 8:12 | Oak Grove ES | Option 1 allows children to remain in a stable educational environment “insuring better educational outcomes for these young children. Studies show that when children are moved from school to school it endangers their ability to perform well” something the DeKalb BOE and parents cannot afford. Young children need to feel secure at school. | There are no cons to Option 1. A little overcrowding is better than a child losing security at school with their teachers and friends. Instead work with the county to stop infill which is adding to the student population. Build where there is room for students. Established families shouldn't have to suffer because of newcomers. | Zero. None. This is a horrible idea. | It strips vulnerable young children from their teachers, school environment and friends. It makes them more susceptible to perform poorly in school and potential behavior problems. Their parents are unhappy as they may have to get involved in a school they weren't planning on when they bought their home -- which will now lose value. | Option One |
| 10/28/2019 8:36 | Oak Grove ES | 1. Less impact on the children 2. Would cause more children to have to commute further | | | 1. Would cause portables at Oak Grove to remain 2. Would lead to overcapacity issues at Oak Grove 3. Would lead to disruption for Oak Grove students | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 9:18 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 9:30 | Oak Grove ES | No impact to OGE attendance zone. This is the Option of preference of our family. | OGE loses trailers. | none | This option will continue to keep OGE needing trailers - after years of overcrowding and space issues, OGE would continue being overcrowded even with a new school open. | option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 10:08 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 10:15 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 11:07 | Oak Grove ES | Disrupts fewer schools, | | | More schools and students are disrupted, disrupts my neighborhood connection with Oak Grove Elementary | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 12:02 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | option 1 to keep our school community together |
| 10/28/2019 13:30 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 15:23 | Oak Grove ES | No portable classrooms at Oak Grove. Fewer total student moves. Fewer schools affected. | Sagamore projected to remain well over capacity. | Only Hawthorne projected to be over capacity | More student moves. More schools affected. Portables remain at Oak Grove. | Option 1 |
| 10/28/2019 20:18 | Oak Grove ES | OGE ES will not have portables | Sagamore ES will be over capacity | More even distribution and less crowding at Sagamore ES | OGE ES will have more students with the risk of not receiving the additional educational resources. | Option 2 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| 10/28/2019 23:19 | Oak Grove ES | Disrupts fewer students - over 130 fewer students impacted than with option 2. Greater reduction in portable classrooms. Purchased a home largely due to a decades long relationship with one of the elementary schools now being considered for redistricting. | | None | Impacts many more students. Increases number of students in portable classrooms. Impacts home value for many residents in the area. | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 8:58 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 11:44 | Oak Grove ES | | More children will be affected | Less children are affected | | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 16:48 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 20:58 | Oak Grove ES | Disrupts fewer schools and affects fewer children. | | | This option WILL disrupt more schools and our neighborhood's long connection and community with OGE. | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 21:02 | Oak Grove ES | This option will affect less children and disrupt less schools. | | | This will disrupt more schools and our neighborhood's community relationship with OGE. | Option 1 |
| 10/29/2019 21:17 | Oak Grove ES | This option will will disrupt fewer schools and haven an affect on far fewer children. Please consider this! | | | This option disrupts more schools!! We bought into this district and paid dearly for it because of Oak Grove Elementary!!! We have had a dedication to OakGrove for over 12 years, and counting! It is one the only good examples of a stellar elementary school this district has. It is a direct reflection of the dedication put in by this community. | |
| 10/29/2019 21:24 | Oak Grove ES | This will disrupt fewer schools and affect fewer children in the process. | | | this option will disrupt more schools than option ! It will also disrupt this communities long connection to OakGrove,one of the only Good Elementary schools in the district!!It is because of our hard work as a community that this school is what it is today!!! | option 1 |
| 10/30/2019 15:06 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | |
| 10/30/2019 15:11 | Oak Grove ES | Adheres to geographic proximity factorâ€™s preeminence. Requires the fewest moves. | No matter what, someone gets negatively impacted by decisions like this. To a large extent, the right decision is the one that causes the least upheaval. By that measure, option 1 is best. | | Same reasons give for option 1 make option 2 a bad choice. | 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 10/30/2019 21:02 | Oak Grove ES | Affects fewer students | | | Disrupts more students and schools | Option 1 |
| 10/31/2019 10:01 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/31/2019 12:44 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/31/2019 16:02 | Oak Grove ES | affects fewer schools (as a student who was redistricted after fourth grade herself); forecast of one fewer trailer (as a student who spent second grade in a portable classroom herself) | | | more disruption of students and schools | Option 1 |
| 10/31/2019 16:15 | Oak Grove ES | | | | Option #2 affects almost 40% more children, yet results in one more trailer? | Option 1 |
| 11/1/2019 14:04 | Oak Grove ES | Students already established in Oak Grove will be able to continue their education in the community that they have already established and become a part of. Less students will be affected with the movement of students. Less traffic on LaVista Rd, which is already a traffic flow nightmare. Student to teacher ration will be smaller than with other. | None | This is a terrible option | Higher ratio of student to teacher. Increased commute times and traffic pattern congestion. The quantity of children being displaced from their current schools increases. | Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 16:46 | Oak Grove ES | -Keeps Oak Grove district intact allowing kids to stay in same school. -Less families are impacted by option 1 -Families that chose the Area G neighborhood primarily because of Oak grove ES get to stay in the same ES -Oak grove is safely walkable for children from Area G -Oak grove is under capacity and has no portable classrooms. | None | None | '-Oak grove is under capacity and has no portable classrooms. There is no need to move children from Area G -Briarlake not safely walkable for children from Area G (La Vista Rd) -More kids/families are impacted. -Substantial loss in real estate value for area G, that paid more in housing and taxes based on school district | Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 16:46 | Oak Grove ES | 1) Fewer kids and less families be affected 2) Keeping status quo for kids & parents of area G. No need to change what works. 3) won't affect real estate/prices won't drop. Homeowners/tax payers picked area G and paid larger amount based on school district. 4) Safer pedestrian roads. | None | None | 1) More kids&families affected. 2) Rocking daily life of families of G area. 3+4) Oak Grove currently under capacity & has no portable classrooms. No reason moving Area G kids out of Oak Grove. 5) Huge blow to Real Estate. Homeowners moved based on school district. Prices will decrease. 6) Walk not safe -main road. 7) G affected most -discriminate? | NO change at all or Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 18:35 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 18:41 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|-----------------|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 11/2/2019 20:02 | Oak Grove ES | Current students at OGE remain at OGE, removes portable classrooms, minimizes changes throughout district | | Like the idea of expanding enrollment at OGE, a great school so feel like more children should have an opportunity to attend | Areas currently zoned for OGE should not be moved out of the school; they should remain at OGE. OGE can likely accommodate both existing and new students, even if portable classrooms are necessary. | Option 2, provided that zones currently zoned to OGE remain in OGE while adding new attendance areas |
| 11/2/2019 20:36 | Oak Grove ES | Affects less children and schools. | | | Affects too many children and schools. Removes Diamond Head neighborhood from Oak Grove Elementary. | Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 21:39 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 23:17 | Oak Grove ES | Fewer students moved and affected | None | None | More students affected, moved and unnecessarily disturbed. More mobile classrooms. | Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 23:18 | Oak Grove ES | Benefits children and families in closest geographical proximity to the new school. Less disruptions across district for children, families, and community. | none | none | more disruption of children, families and communities across the district without significant upside. | 1 |
| 11/2/2019 23:56 | Oak Grove ES | Affects less schools than option 2 | | | | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 10:56 | Oak Grove ES | Overall reduction of portable classrooms, including our home school. | | N/a | Disrupting our school families, many of whom have been very supportive and integral to our community | 1 |
| 11/3/2019 11:37 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 11:58 | Oak Grove ES | Less movement and disruption for existing families. | | | A lot of movement and disruption for existing families. | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 15:23 | Oak Grove ES | Proximity looks the best | | | | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 18:41 | Oak Grove ES | Maintains a sense of community and apportions seats to the new school from those geographic areas closest the new school | | Don't see any positives, the projections of the county are suspect and likely inaccurate. | Numerous problems, option 2 is disruptive to the local sense of community, there seems to be little statistical difference in total utilization despite a much more disruptive trading of students between school zones, kids will suffer longer bus distances, neighborhoods are needlessly caught up in a process to change utilization 2-4% | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 20:31 | Oak Grove ES | Less children will be moved across the board. | No cons | None | Oak Grove is currently under capacity; so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. Oak grove currently has no portable classrooms, so there is no reason to move Area G kids out of Oak Grove. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| 11/3/2019 20:37 | Oak Grove ES | Helps schools with the most overcrowding. | Still leaves some schools with overcrowding. | Better utilizes all schools in the cluster. All of the Leafmore subdivision will be attending the same school. | Changing school attendance impacts house values. Causes stress for families. | Option 2 |
| 11/3/2019 21:10 | Oak Grove ES | Disrupts fewer schools and affects fewer children | | | Disrupts more schools and our neighborhood's decades long connection to OGE | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 21:27 | Oak Grove ES | | | | | option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 21:33 | Oak Grove ES | Keeps OGE as is and allows for removal of portaes | | | | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 21:34 | Oak Grove ES | Moves fewer students (changes for 5 schools vs 9 in Option 2), causing less class disruption Addresses projected enrollment and intact neighborhoods better than Option 2 | | | Higher class and student disruption with more students moving to different schools. Geographic distance becomes more of a concern for students who now travel further to their school, this possibly extends bus routes. Neighborhoods like Diamond Head have been part of the Oak Grove community for generations | Option 1 |
| 10/15/2019 19:56 | Other | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 8:57 | Pleasantdale ES | From Pleasantdale standpoint, we would be receiving more single family home students in Option 1, which is vital for the health and efficiency of the school. On the other hand, I'm glad you're pulling Alturas Apartments back into Pleasantdale - it makes no sense that they go to Evansdale. | In addition to the 100 "new school bump" students, are you taking into account the changing demographics of the Northcrest neighborhood? Many more families have moved in as older residents move out, so a jump in attendance is expected over the coming years, particularly with a new school in the neighborhood. There are many children in the 0-4 range | None. | This is just stupid. A) You are once again shoving all of the apartments into Pleasantdale. Even 34 (Option 1) vs 15 (Option 2) single family students will make a difference in crucial parent involvement and the transient/inefficient nature of the school B) Many students will be displaced from their neighborhood schools throughout the district. | Option 1 |
| 10/30/2019 9:06 | Pleasantdale ES | There should be option 3. I would like to make Pleasantdale Magnet Language School. It can have Spanish and French Immersion Programs. It is the only way making school more diverse and get more parent involvement. | There should be option 3. I would like to make Pleasantdale Magnet Language School. It can have Spanish and French Immersion Programs. It is the only way making school more diverse and get more parent involvement. | There should be option 3. I would like to make Pleasantdale Magnet Language School. It can have Spanish and French Immersion Programs. It is the only way making school more diverse and get more parent involvement. | There should be option 3. I would like to make Pleasantdale Magnet Language School. It can have Spanish and French Immersion Programs. It is the only way making school more diverse and get more parent involvement. | There should be option 3. I would like to make Pleasantdale Magnet Language School. It can have Spanish and French Immersion Programs. It is the only way making school more diverse and get more parent involvement. |
| 10/15/2019 18:25 | Sagamore Hills ES | Option 1 moves fewer students and affects fewer school communities. | | | Option 2 moves more students and affects more school communities. It is not necessary. | |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 10/15/2019 20:54 | Sagamore Hills ES | | | Relieves crowding at sagamore | Removes a historically Sagamore area (part of leafmore) from the school. This part of the neighborhood/school area is a powerhouse for parental involvement and support. It would be a noticeable loss to school to lose volunteer and financial resources. | 1 |
| 10/15/2019 21:02 | Sagamore Hills ES | | | For our location, we would now be walking distance from the elementary school districted for our child, reducing traffic impacts. | | Option 2 |
| 10/15/2019 21:55 | Sagamore Hills ES | NONE | Our neighborhood of Amanda Circle and Wilandrew has 5 enrolled children at SHE. Please leave us be. We don't make a difference in the numbers. Those 5 kids will be in Middle school by the time the babies of which there are only 4 will be of elementary age. Most folks moving in have no kids and move when they have more than one. | NONE | Leave us be. Redistricted 8 years ago and the memory still hurts. We are on the fringes and can just walk to SHE. Moving us to OGE means no more walking, longer commute time and reduced QOL. | NONE |
| 10/15/2019 23:41 | Sagamore Hills ES | | | | | |
| 10/16/2019 8:01 | Sagamore Hills ES | | | | | Option 1 |
| 10/16/2019 8:53 | Sagamore Hills ES | | | Moving Sagamore to Oak Grove within the Leafmore community. It solidifies the deeply rooted community. Currently the community is split into 2 separate elementary schools and feeds into one Middle and one High School. The move will impact a small number of children (59) of whom are already emeshed with current Oak Grove students. | | 2 |
| 10/16/2019 9:38 | Sagamore Hills ES | Fewer schools impacted overall by redistricting. | N/A | Addresses the cluster as a whole, while still keeping redistricted students at nearby schools | Overall more students affected by redistricting. | Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 10/16/2019 10:31 | Sagamore Hills ES | This option keeps our neighborhood together and gives Sagamore Hills Elementary school the vibrant diverse student body that makes it great | no cons | no pros | This sets Sagamore up for redistricting in the future. Sagamore Hills ES should stay in the Lakeside cluster. DO NOT choose this option it makes our voice small and cuts our neighborhood in half. I can not be a part of a redistricting that allows for a neighborhood to be cut in half and sent to two different clusters. | Option 1 |
| 10/17/2019 8:59 | Sagamore Hills ES | Geography this makes sense for busing and proximity | | | Buses will be driving all over to get students there | One |
| 10/26/2019 13:04 | Sagamore Hills ES | | | Reduces sagamore overcrowding; unites the neighborhood of Leafmore Creek Park Hills into a single school at Oak Grove ES. | | Option 2 |
| 10/27/2019 11:35 | Sagamore Hills ES | Leaves more schools intact. Shorter commute times for families and less disruption to work schedules for schools left intact. | | | This option moves the 5 Sagamore Hills students in my neighborhood to Oakgrove ES. While Oakgrove is a fine school, this longer commute would significantly negatively impact my already tight work schedule. SHE is slightly over capacity but is functioning fine. The 5 kids in my neighborhood will not significantly impact enrollment at SHE. | Option 1 |
| 11/2/2019 19:48 | Sagamore Hills ES | | Sagamore Hills Elementary remains over enrolled. | Neighborhood of leafmore is consolidated into a single school, OGE, rather than half of the neighborhood going to Sagamore and the other half to OGE. Less over enrollment. | | Option 2 |
| 11/3/2019 9:13 | Sagamore Hills ES | Change focused around new Pleasantdale school and does not disrupt the other cluster. More particularly to us, leaves Sagamore untouched when it's likely our district will be redistricted for high school (new Cross Keys). We need some stability. | | | Too much disruption and movement to a district that is constantly under change. | Least disruption = Option 1 |

Pleasantdale Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



| Recorded Date | Which school community do you represent? | Pros for option 1 | Cons for option 1 | Pros for option 2 | Cons for option 2 | Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the area? |
|-----------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 11/3/2019 18:57 | Sagamore Hills ES | Fewer children are affected than option 2. | Overcrowding still is occurring in elementary schools that are not being affected in Option 1. This will have to be addressed at some point. | Works to balance out overcrowding in all Lakeside cluster elementary schools. | Option 2 severely hurts SagamoreElem. It will push % of families receiving free/reduced lunch towards Title1 status (40%) @Sagamore while OGE's rate would drop below 8%-PLEASE BALANCE. Leave H in Sagamore & instead take area east of 85, north of Clairmont & west of Briarcliff/Crestline to OGE. Furthest point of H is .7miles more than this area to OGE | Option 2 if balance of location/resources/volunteers/fundraising can be balanced better between Sagamore and Oak Grove (NOT INCLUDING H) |
| 11/3/2019 19:11 | Sagamore Hills ES | Enrollment of 2 whole schools, Sagamore and Oak Grove, would not change thus promoting consistency and community. | None | None | Oak Grove would need 3 more portable classrooms; Students in Area A would have to cross Chamblee-Tucker Rd.; Adds 43 more students to already full Oak Grove | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 22:39 | Sagamore Hills ES | Least disruptive to the Sagamore community. Families that are and have been part of SHES for years will remain. It makes the most geographical sense, keeping those closest to the school in. Projected enrollment stays same. | We are already at capacity and have modulars in use to accommodate the overage. | Potentially have slightly lower enrollment | Doesn't make geographical sense. Families are further away from their schools which makes for more difficult commutes and participation in walk to school and other such activities. The slightly lower enrollment will not be felt as it won't really impact class size. Loss of housing neighborhoods that keep a good balance of houses and apartments | Option 1 |
| 11/3/2019 23:25 | Sagamore Hills ES | Most of the shifts are closer to the new school | Equity in all schools seeing an impact. | All schools see an impact on the shift, but the impacts surely aren't equal. | Sagamore becomes less diverse, keeping apartment complexes and losing single family homes. Oak Grove becomes more "WASP" than it already is at everyone else's expense. | Option 1 |