

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/22/2019 13:18	Chesnut ES							
10/23/2019 12:15	Vanderlyn ES	For vanderlyn, NONE! So you've removed 22 kids and added 22 kids. So what? I mean, I guess it makes more sense geographically, but...	None. Nothing changes. Who cares? I am sure that the families who have to change schools will be unhappy, but I already know that you all don't really care about that.	NONE! Now Vanderlyn has 22 MORE kids. Not really very helpful, is it? Traffic will still be bad, school will be even more crowded.	22 more kids. That there still isn't any room for. You do realize that portables are not supposed to be permanent, right? Why are you even bothering to redistrict?	Fewer portables for DES, which is probably a good thing. 14 fewer kids for Vanderlyn, which will probably not make a difference at all.	I don't know why you're even bothering. It's obvious you're trying to put a band aid on a broken arm.	Enough classrooms for the kids you have. And maybe think ahead a little bit? You knew these kids were coming. DES could have been built bigger, and the area should have been redistricted 10 years ago. Now you're trying to play catch-up, and doing it very poorly.
10/23/2019 13:01	Vanderlyn ES	I like the balancing. I like that more kids are being districted for Kingsley which is underutilized.	I don't think expanding the bounds of Hightower is going to go over well.	No portables at AES	I'm not sure this longterm will help DES.	The relief for Hightower is so needed and I think this is the best option for that.		I think the third one does, but it still doesn't provide the long term solution of an additional Dunwoody Cluster school. The only way to really solve the problem is with more schools.
10/23/2019 13:51	Austin ES		Shifting populations or adding portable units at every school, without focused planning on adding schools, does not address the underlying challenge of overcrowded schools. Allowing new housing developments to be built without proper planning and infrastructure such as school capacity is harmful for the community long term. Adding portables or moving people around will not solve this problem.	It would be ideal to open New Austin at or slightly under capacity to accommodate the inevitable upcoming population growth. Overcrowding Austin by adding portables would cause substantial traffic concerns and limited ability to grow creating a lack of a long-term solution to these problems.				Option 2
10/23/2019 13:54	Vanderlyn ES		There is a section of the map showing a part of Meadowlake Sub going to Kingsley, that has been recently changed and should be reflected that this house section beside Tilly Mill Rd should stay at Vanderlyn		This map is still wrong and should be updated to show the section as part of Meadowlake Sub and beside Tilly Mill rd as going to Vanderlyn and not Kingsley, it was changed/corrected this past year ans should be shown as so to avoid any further confusion		This map is still wrong and should be updated to show the section as part of Meadowlake Sub and beside Tilly Mill rd as going to Vanderlyn and not Kingsley, it was changed/corrected this past year ans should be shown as so to avoid any further confusion	Moving some of the apartment complexes to the new Austin and not putting them all in the DES & Vanderlyn Elem
10/23/2019 14:12	Vanderlyn ES							1 or 2
10/23/2019 16:26	Dunwoody ES	None. Not a solution to the cluster or region.	Austin needs to absorb future growth west of ashford dunwoody. DES is not equipped to continue absorbing new growth and STILL being 130%+ overcrowded. Your chart needs columns for anticipated growth for each school and where on the map that growth is estimated to come from.		Austin needs to absorb future growth west of ashford dunwoody. DES is not equipped to continue absorbing new growth and STILL being 130%+ overcrowded. Your chart needs columns for anticipated growth for each school and where on the map that growth is estimated to come from.	This is the best of the 3 options.	Austin needs to absorb future growth west of ashford dunwoody. DES is not equipped to continue absorbing new growth and STILL being 130%+ overcrowded. Your chart needs columns for anticipated growth for each school and where on the map that growth is estimated to come from.	Option 3.
10/23/2019 16:32	Vanderlyn ES	Overall, visually it initially appears to make sense. Nice reduction (almost 25%) in portable classrooms.	Appearance of gerrymandering with B1, B2, C. Option B2 breaks up the integrated Woodsong/Dunwoody Club Forest neighborhood. Vanderlyn and Chestnut have a disproportionate amount of the overcrowding.	Visually it seems to be equitable.	Austin not sharing in overcrowding and portables. Vanderlyn and Chestnut are remain grossly overcrowded.	Overall reduction in utilization and portables. Addition of new school.	Still appears to be some gerrymandering. Vanderlyn and Chestnut continue to get disproportionate share of overcrowding.	None as overcrowding and portable count is not equally distributed. That said, I do tend to favor the addition of the new school in the cluster.
10/23/2019 17:27	Dunwoody ES	balancing mobile students to make learning more equitable for all schools. When there are more mobile learners, teachers may be impacted	none, option 1 provides lower student enrollment for DES in 2021 and is comparable to Austin ES	None, promotes Austin limiting their mobile community which is discrimination	Too many students at DES, not balanced among schools	no opinion	no opinion	Option 1
10/23/2019 17:40	Dunwoody ES	This seems the most fair and gets overcrowding into all schools with no unfair advantage to any one school.	None that I can see	Minimal rebalancing	Why would Austin remain at 99% whereas all other schools are above 110%? Also seems to be moving single family homes from DES to chestnut which will take down property values and discourage tax payers to live in Dunwoody	Seems like a fair and balanced plan and addresses underutilization in doraville	None that I can see	Option 3 and option 1.
10/23/2019 17:40	Dunwoody ES	Rebalances schools						Option 1
10/23/2019 19:22	Dunwoody ES							
10/23/2019 19:45	Dunwoody ES	Best spread of enrollment for all schools	No issues	Least kids moved to different schools	Unfair balance to Vanderlyn/des and no real growth areas for Austin so they are getting better treatment than other schools	Lowers enrollment in dunwoody	Moves to many kids from current schools, makes several kids have to cross dangerous streets to go to new schools, and separates some neighborhoods	Less movement of kids, balancing of schools, option 1
10/23/2019 19:50	Vanderlyn ES	Better balanced multi-family spread out across. No change at Vanderlyn population wise, which is good, it's a smaller school with a lot of trailers.	Section B1 is geographically closer to Austin. Why in the hell did they build a new elementary school to have a capacity of 950? Especially after Dunwoody ES surpassed capacity so quickly, talk about super poor development planning, by those in the community!	pretty similar to Option 1, but like the no change in attendance to Vanderlyn, which already has the most trailers, and is over capacity the most of all the schools.	Austin can hold more kids, but it's being under utilized, which isn't fair in comparison to the other schools. If you look at vacant land tracts, what will be developed under the next few years, it's not in OLD Dunwoody, development is all off Ashford Dunwoody, which feeds to Dunwoody ES. All the land behind Perimeter Circle will be developed soon enough, this will lead to overcrowding of DES	Reduces Vanderlynn capacity in the short run (the town homes will have families soon to make up for this loss off Dunwoody Village Pkwy.	Not a fan of group D moving to Kingsley, that seems really inconvenient.	Fair distribution of multi-family, and evenness in capacity, utilization of resources and land should be looked at. It's also critical to project past 2021 in student population. Where will growth go, and where do those students in those areas go to. Dunwoody ES and Vanderlynn ES have great diversity, Austin should join the party! I'd even be ok with being rezoned to Austin,
10/23/2019 20:28	Vanderlyn ES						Takes kids away from closest neighborhood school. Decreased safe walking routes to schools with more kids crossing streets. Increased commute times and increased traffic flow in neighborhood streets.	Option 1
10/23/2019 21:14	Chesnut ES		Do not split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.		Do not split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.		Hightower community should not be split feeder. Do not split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.	Take 150 to 200 students out of Vanderlyn and move them to Kingsley, balancing the socioeconomic diversity of Kingsley and removing trailers from Vanderlyn.
10/23/2019 21:15	Chesnut ES	none.	Dunwoody North neighborhood is being divided and members of our HOA and Civic Association are being sent to different schools from their neighbors. Also, Chesnut's capacity number of 358 is not accurate.	None.	The wonderful Dunwoody North neighborhood is being divided.	None.	Dunwoody North Neighborhood is being divided.	All options need to be redrawn do that the Dunwoody North Neighborhood is not divided.
10/23/2019 21:19	Chesnut ES		This divides Dunwoody North Neighborhood into two elementary schools. It is important that neighborhoods stay intact, per DCSD Criteria. Please keep section D at Chesnut.		This divides Dunwoody North Neighborhood into two elementary schools. It is important that neighborhoods stay intact. Please keep section D at Chesnut.		This divides Dunwoody North Neighborhood into two elementary schools. It is important that neighborhoods stay intact. Please keep section D at Chesnut.	Option 3
10/23/2019 21:24	Chesnut ES		You are breaking up Dunwoody North Neighborhood and Dunwoody North Civic Association. Chesnut needs the parent support from Dunwoody North. Keep our neighborhood together.		By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ).		We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358 students that creates the illusion Chesnut is overcrowded. Our building capacity has been 450 for many years. After we welcomed MID homerooms, it dropped to 437 last year. In Round 1 redistricting meeting last month it was suddenly 394 and today has dropped to 358.	Keep neighborhoods together.
10/23/2019 21:25	Chesnut ES							Do not split Dunwoody North as part of redistricting.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/23/2019 21:25	Chesnut ES		Do not split the Dunwoody North community. We purchased this home so our son could attend Chesnut. We have paid school taxes yearly and want to remain zoned for Chesnut.		Again, do not separate the Chestnut Dunwoody North community because of Austin.		Do not bother Chesnut	Keep Chesnut out of the mess. Moving 60 students will not help anything. We do not want to attend Kingsley or Hightower.
10/23/2019 21:32	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.
10/23/2019 21:32	Chesnut ES	none for Chesnut	They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358.	no pros for Chesnut	They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358.	no pros for Chesnut	They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358.	All three options are terrible for Chesnut. You all ignored input stating that neighborhoods should stay intact. They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism.
10/23/2019 21:34	Chesnut ES		Round 1 feedback was ignored. We voiced our concern at having our community split up: The Dunwoody North Civic Association. By losing the 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parental support which will negatively impact a currently thriving school.		Chesnut has a student capacity of 450, not the 358 listed, and we are well below that capacity.			I do not agree with the proposed options as they all see my intact community, the Dunwoody North Civic Association, being split up.
10/23/2019 21:45	Chesnut ES	Balancing enrollment	Dunwoody North extends on both sides of Tilly Mill. The Dunwoody North Civic Association serves residents of the neighborhood on both sides. <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> If moved to Kingsley, in addition to being separated from their neighborhood community, they would have to drive further and add traffic to Tilly Mill.	Good for Austin people?	Dunwoody North extends on both sides of Tilly Mill. The Dunwoody North Civic Association serves residents of the neighborhood on both sides. <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> If moved to Kingsley, in addition to being separated from their neighborhood community, they would have to drive further and add traffic to Tilly Mill.	Hightower gets elementary relief...	Dunwoody North extends on both sides of Tilly Mill. The Dunwoody North Civic Association serves residents of the neighborhood on both sides. <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> If moved to Kingsley, in addition to being separated from their neighborhood community, they would have to drive further and add traffic to Tilly Mill.	Option 1 with the change of leaving the Dunwoody North neighborhood intact and at Chesnut.
10/23/2019 21:46	Chesnut ES	No pros	Chesnut will lose 43 students to Kingsley in this option. All these families live in Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Our neighborhood will be split. They have to battle traffic. We will lose many volunteers and also families that financially support a school that has a difficult time finding funds.	No pros	Dunwoody North neighborhood is split (in EVERY option). We lose half of our volunteers and financial Contributors. Every option is the same for us. Why do we not get different options to vote for?	None	Dunwoody North is split in 2. Chesnut loses Many families that are volunteers at the school. Also many of those families donate money to Chesnut and we will financially suffer. Traffic will be bad for those families as they have to travel on Tilly Mill rd to get to new school.	None of them. All options leave Chesnut struggling financially and support wise.
10/23/2019 21:46	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity if Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity if Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity if Chesnut, it is 437.	
10/23/2019 21:47	Chesnut ES	I appreciate the goal for balance. I also appreciate that my neighborhood is not changing with this option.	I would be sad to see dunwoody north families who are part of the dunwoody north neighborhood and civic association leave chesnut for Kingsley. They are an integral part of our community and we'd hate to lose them!		Again, I wish that dunwoody north families ("d") could remain at Chesnut!!		I would love for the dunwoody north neighborhood to stay together at chesnut.	I am not sure. #2 i suppose. I understand where you're coming from on all three. It might be good to further consider keeping neighborhoods intact as we move forward.
10/23/2019 21:53	Chesnut ES		Splits dunwoody north neighborhood. D group still closer to Chesnut. Negative traffic impact trying to get those kids in D to Kingsley		Splits dunwoody north neighborhood. Negative traffic patterns to get kids in D to Kingsley. Kids in D are closer geographically to Chesnut.		Splits dunwoody north neighborhood. Kids in F geographically closer to chesnut	I agree with moving des Kids to other schools and Hightower to Doraville United. Chesnut can take some more kids, but leave itâ€™s current lines as they are.
10/23/2019 21:55	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.
10/23/2019 21:59	Chesnut ES		Splitting the Dunwoody North Neighborhood to two different schools.		Splitting the Dunwoody North Neighborhood to two different schools.		Splitting the Dunwoody North Neighborhood to two different schools.	We need to focus on making the lines more clear between districts based on keeping neighborhoods together and not considering the more affluent opinions as carrying more weight.
10/23/2019 22:02	Chesnut ES							
10/23/2019 22:03	Chesnut ES		School capacity for Chesnut is 450. Chesnut has 358 students. Please do not break up the strong community.		It seems you are taking away from Chesnut to benefit other schools.		Moving ONE student from Vanderlyn to Austin???	You ignored Round 1 feedback to leave the Chesnut community as it is. You are breaking up a strong community.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/23/2019 22:06	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut it is 437.	Neighborhood and geography.
10/23/2019 22:07	Chesnut ES	None	Dunwoody North neighborhood is divided to a school farther which would affect traffic and unity of neighborhood.	None	Dunwoody North neighborhood is divided to a school farther which would affect traffic and unity of neighborhood.	Decrease portables	Dunwoody North neighborhood is divided to a school that is not at close proximity which would affect traffic and unity of neighborhood. Children share the same neighborhood pool and activities. My child loves to see her friends from school at the neighborhood events.	Keep neighborhoods together
10/23/2019 22:12	Chesnut ES	Equitable distribution	Everything to do with Chesnut. Geographic proximity. Traffic patterns on Tilly Mill dangerous walk to school. Breaking up intact neighborhood. Previous redistricting removed involved families from Ches to Kingsley and DES and Chesnut's suffered w lack of involvement. School doing so well now and again you're redistricting involved parents.		Everything to do with Chesnut. Geographic proximity. Traffic patterns on Tilly Mill dangerous walk to school. Breaking up intact neighborhood. Previous redistricting removed involved families from Ches to Kingsley and DES and Chesnut's suffered w lack of involvement. School doing so well now and again you're redistricting involved parents.		Everything to do with Chesnut. Geographic proximity. Traffic patterns on Tilly Mill dangerous walk to school. Breaking up intact neighborhood. Previous redistricting removed involved families from Ches to Kingsley and DES and Chesnut's suffered w lack of involvement. School doing so well now and again you're redistricting involved parents. Contact the PAC at the school or Dunwoody North Civic Assoc.	None.
10/23/2019 22:15	Chesnut ES		Chesnut is not overcrowded by 125 kids! Kingsley IS FARTHER for 'D' houses than Chesnut - violates the priority of proximity! 'D' houses are part of the EXACT same Dunwoody North Neighborhood, sharing civic association, pool, etc., and ALWAYS have been. Unacceptable to split a neighborhood.		Chesnut is not overcrowded by 125 kids! Kingsley IS FARTHER for 'D' houses than Chesnut - violates the priority of proximity! 'D' houses are part of the EXACT same Dunwoody North Neighborhood, sharing civic association, pool, etc., and ALWAYS have been. Unacceptable to split a neighborhood.		Chesnut is not overcrowded by 125 kids! Kingsley IS FARTHER for 'F' houses than Chesnut - violates the priority of proximity! 'F' houses are part of the EXACT same Dunwoody North Neighborhood, sharing civic association, pool, etc., and ALWAYS have been. Unacceptable to split a neighborhood.	
10/23/2019 22:20	Dunwoody ES	Some students will be moving to Austin and Vanderlyn to alleviate the extreme overcrowding at Dunwoody.	Not enough students are being moved to alleviate the extreme overcrowding. At least 200 students need to be shifted out of Dunwoody... At least.	Same as option 1.	Same as option 1. More students need to be moved.	The most students are shifted in order to help alleviate the extreme overcrowding at a Dunwoody and Hightower.	I don't current see any.	Option 3. Shifting the most students in order to best use the facilities and to alleviate the overcrowding.
10/23/2019 22:21	Chesnut ES	None	based on your criteria 1.Geography 1.1 miles vs 2.7 to Kingsley 2.intact neighborhoods Dunwoody North Civic Association is one neighborhood 3.Traffic Moving 43 kids to a new school further away will negatively impact traffic on Tilly Mill 4.By losing the proposed 43 students, chestnut will suffer financial and volunteerism support that will negatively impact thriving school 5.capacity is 437	None	based on your criteria 1.Geography 1.1 miles vs 2.7 to Kingsley 2.intact neighborhoods Dunwoody North Civic Association is one neighborhood 3.Traffic Moving 43 kids to a new school further away will negatively impact traffic on Tilly Mill 4.By losing the proposed 43 students, chestnut will suffer financial and volunteerism support that will negatively impact thriving school 5.capacity is 437	None	based on your criteria 1.Geography 1.1 miles vs 2.7 to Kingsley 2.intact neighborhoods Dunwoody North Civic Association is one neighborhood 3.Traffic Moving 43 kids to a new school further away will negatively impact traffic on Tilly Mill 4.By losing the proposed 43 students, chestnut will suffer financial and volunteerism support that will negatively impact thriving school 5.capacity is 437	None, keeping neighborhoods intact and allowing kids to remain where their families have invested heavily already is the best thing for Dunwoody. We paid more to be in this zone to be a part of this great neighborhood (Dunwoody North) and for our kids to go to Chesnut. Based on your own criteria these are all bad options. We helped pay for that new school w tax dollars yet we are being rezoned.
10/23/2019 22:25	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). Losing those families will greatly impact the financial support and parent volunteers that have helped take a once struggling school to success. Area G crosses PIB to get to Hightower= dangerous!		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). Losing those families will greatly impact the financial support and parent volunteers that have helped take a once struggling school to success.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). Losing those families will greatly impact the financial support and parent volunteers that have helped take a once struggling school to success. Section G crosses major road. Chesnut was not given a decent option.	Chesnut was not given a decent option to choose from. Aside from splitting up an involved neighborhood association, the families at Chesnut have banded together and worked hard to assist the educators in getting to a place of success. Look at the scores over the years. Kingsley has single family homes, they just send their kids to private schools instead.
10/23/2019 22:28	Dunwoody ES	Woodsong is pretty happy about the move bc of traffic.	It doesnt alleviate enough overflow for DES. Also it seems dumb to practically the same number of kids from vhs and to vhs.	I dont have anything positive to say about this. This is the shortest term solution with the least impact.	Short sided in an effort to meet the least resistance.	The most relief to over crowding.	Move more kids but it's that or build us another school.	Relief of over crowding should be the priority.
10/23/2019 22:31	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/</a> ) By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.  358 students at Chesnut is NOT overcrowded when building capacity is 400		-by losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. - stated "school capacity" of 358 students that creates the illusion Chesnut is overcrowded. Our building capacity has been 450 for many years.		-by losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. -stated "school capacity" of 358 students that creates the illusion Chesnut is overcrowded. Our building capacity has been 450 for many years.	Leaving Chesnut intact
10/23/2019 22:33	Dunwoody ES	Gives austin apartment building	Splits DUNWOODY north neighborhood		Not enough students leaving DES Austin should open with trailers. Every other elementary school in district 1has portables. They are not special. Don't make them elitists	I favor this option for the fact that it takes 199 kids out of DES. Utilization numbers are relatively comparable (except for vanderlyn) it also provides help to Hightower	Hightower kids gone back to DUNWOODY for middle and high school. Not ideal. DUNWOODY north is still split apart. Why move 58 kids out of chesnut?	Option 3. We need another elementary, middle and high school. Dekalb needs to purchase ga state/perimeter college and turn it into the high school. Use dhs as a middle school. Use peachtree as an elementary and make chesnut into an art/magnet/science school
10/23/2019 22:37	Kingsley ES		No balance enrollment	Less portables	More apartments are going to Kingsley	Balanced enrollment in all schools Kingsley isn't pulling from as many apt complexes	None	Option 3 Balanced enrollment

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/23/2019 22:39	Dunwoody ES	Less movement of students	DES still has the highest projected growth. This solution will be obsolete within a few years. This does not address the disproportionately high number of mobile communities that DES has compared the other schools within the Dunwoody cluster	Less movement of kids	Seems to help the least with overcrowding	Fewer portables Has greatest impact on overcrowding of the 3 plans	Splits neighborhoods Has a large impact on families that were not well represented at this meeting (HighTower and Doraville United families)	Maybe option 1. However, I see this as being a temporary solution to a bigger problem.
10/23/2019 22:48	Vanderlyn ES							
10/23/2019 22:51	Dunwoody ES	Eases overcrowding at Dunwoody and Vanderlyn		Chestnut taking on some territory from Dunwoody is a good idea, Hightower's isnt taking on more area			Very bad idea. Too large a Vanderlyn and Dunwoody Elementary region joining Kingsley, Hightower parents would be furious if they were re-districted to Doraville.	The redistricting process shouldn't leave students changing schools of too-different a rank. Vanderlyn to Kingsley is a huge difference in rank, creates hardship for families. Same with Dunwoody Elementary to Kingsley. Redistricting should redistribute students between Vanderlyn and Austin (top-ranked) and Kingsley/Chestnut/Hightower (medium ranked). Options 1 and 2 are miles better than Option 3.
10/23/2019 22:54	Dunwoody ES						This is a terrible option. Please redistrict between similar ranking schools. We paid high home prices to be in these high ranking schools.	Options 1 and 2
10/23/2019 22:58	Dunwoody ES	Provides some relief to DES	Considering long term developments and growth due to High Street and Park at Perimeter Center the district did not move enough of the areas west of Ashford Dunwoody which are zoned to DES	Less disruptive	Not equitable with all of the overcrowding Austin needs to take some portables. Removes the least amount of students from DES which needs relief	Provides good relief for DES and Hightower	Very disruptive. Doesn't consider large developments like High Street and Park at Perimeter Center both of which remain with DES with the current plan	If I have to choose, I would go with 3. It's a bandaids to pacify DES in the short term without considering long term growth in the area due to mixed use developments
10/23/2019 22:59	Chesnut ES	No pros! Leave our section be and keep us at Chesnut! Don't split up our neighborhood community!	Uprooting families from our neighborhood/school community, Splitting up neighborhood, which is stated as a reason not to rezone, Redistricting would take away donations, parent volunteers, board members and leaders who help run Chesnut due to lack of funding from the County - which are ESSENTIAL. Create tremendous traffic issues on Tilly Mill (they are already horrid as it is) in the mornings...	NONE - do not redistrict and separate us from our neighborhood! We are Dunwoody North!	Uprooting families from our neighborhood/school community, Splitting up neighborhood, which is stated as a reason not to rezone, Redistricting would take away donations, parent volunteers, board members and leaders who help run Chesnut due to lack of funding from the County - which are ESSENTIAL. Create tremendous traffic issues on Tilly Mill (they are already horrid as it is) in the mornings...	Pros = 0 Cons to add-Kingsley is further away! Getting there would be a nightmare with traffic. Solution-why not move Vanderlyn students to Kingsley? They are closer! We are Dunwoody North Chesnut! Leave us with our community!!!! There are so many reasons I would gladly discuss regarding why this is a bad idea! Do not uproot our community!	Uprooting families from our neighborhood/school community, Splitting up neighborhood, which is stated as a reason not to rezone, Redistricting would take away donations, parent volunteers, board members and leaders who help run Chesnut due to lack of funding from the County - which are ESSENTIAL. Create tremendous traffic issues on Tilly Mill (they are already horrid as it is) in the mornings...	None. Where is option 4? All three options have my home redistricted from Chesnut to Kingsley and splitting up our neighborhood.
10/23/2019 23:00	Dunwoody ES	Moves 200 kids out of DES	Region A needs to be expanded. This is an area that is geographically closer to Austin ES. By separating the complexes there, you are splitting a community that is currently united. Expanding this area addresses projected growth for DES and promotes instructional capacity for DES and AES by sharing the burden of these students. Areas for potential growth near A need to also be moved to AES.		It is simply inequitable to leave one school below capacity while leaving the rest grossly overcrowded. Regrettably, better planning was not done and a new school still leaves this region this overcrowded, but this is not equitable. Area "A" needs to be expanded as you are currently splitting that community and it is geographically closer to AES than DES. Does not address potential growth	Relieves DES of 239 students. More equitable distribution of students evenly. Does the most to address overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster in an equitable manner. Most balanced. Least number of portables.	Area A needs to be expanded to not split that community and to have those students go to geographically closer AES. Area yet to be developed W of Ash Dun to 285 needs to be zoned for AES to address projected enrollment and capacity. Hightower students should go to Chamblee to prevent split feeder	3 Unfortunate that a new school opening leaves significant overcrowding in the area. DES is projected to have the most growth in the future, and it still carries the majority of the burden of areas yet to be developed. Consider making the line for AES to the W of Ash Dun to 285. This is geographically closer to AES than DES, addresses safety, projections, and capacity!
10/23/2019 23:04	Chesnut ES	None	Terrible survey. Your option to break up Dunwoody North is unacceptable. Geographic proximity - doubling the distance to school, you've in now way quantified instructional capacity or how this will improve it, and uprooting 40+ kids from a supportive community for net swing of 18 students is ridiculous. Your enrollment projections change with every notice you distribute. Fudging numbers	None	You are manipulating numbers to justify the narrative that you want to spin. There are Vanderlyn and DES students - schools more overcrowded than Chesnut - that are physically closer to Kingsley. Do not break up Dunwoody North! You must conduct a traffic survey on Tilly Mill to understand what that would do to commutes. It is physically impossible to drive into Dunwoody from our house in morni	None	I'd like for you to show me where you are physically going to put 5 portables on the Kingsley site. There is no room for any of this. This entire proposal is poorly thought out	None of the options are acceptable. You cannot break up Dunwoody North as a short-sighted attempt to fix this overcrowding situation.
10/23/2019 23:10	Chesnut ES		Splits the dunwoody north community / the kids moving from chesnut to Kingsley will have longed commute times		Same as option 1- split neighborhood and longer commute		Same as other 2- splits Dunwoody north neighborhood and longer commutes for the kids	Build a new school , create an option that does not split the Dunwoody north neighborhood
10/23/2019 23:17	Austin ES	no pros	Adding portables to a brand new school sets the stage for not ever have any schools without portables. Wouldn't you rather say "Some of our schools are overcrowded" instead of "All of our schools are overcrowded"	Less students are shuffled around. Sets the stage for new schools to remain at capacity and not overcrowded for Austin. Other schools will catch up once new construction starts there	no cons, seems like the best option	no pros	way too many moves and still keeps all schools overcrowded	Option 2
10/23/2019 23:39	Dunwoody ES	Allows for equitable distribution within cluster with expected growth in DES.	Splits Dunwoody North. Cluster remains overcrowded. Gerrymandered AES to remain more white and affluent.	Sad attempt by county! Ignoring existing lines and starting fresh would have produced better outcome. Can south boundary of AES move to 285 and Ashford Dunwoody and then adjust other schools once AES loaded with new 215 students?	Shortsighted by not resolving growth expectations at DES. Cluster capacity unresolved. Leaves non-Austin schools at disadvantage within cluster due to their persisting and growing overcapacity. Splits Dunwoody North.	Least terrible of 3 options presented because it resolves small piece of cluster overcrowding (with follow on impact for DHS).	Splits Dunwoody North. Doesn't consider moving AES southern boundary to 285 and Ashford Dunwoody which would be a more direct route to school via Ashford Dunwoody to Mt Vernon ( as opposed to DES route crisscrossing neighborhoods)	3. However, use of accurate information would help DCSB make better decisions. Take a fresh look at all lines instead of picking a few to meet the redistribution numbers. Moving Hightower students to Doraville United is a good start.
10/23/2019 23:44	Dunwoody ES	Equitable distribution of over capacity	Split up Dunwoody North Community (D), taking them farther to Kingsley, increasing already bad traffic at the intersection of Peeler and Tilly Mill.		Does not equitably spread overcrowding throughout the cluster. Leaves too much likely future growth under Dunwoody Elementary (in the Perimeter Business district - aka Perimeter Center/Hammond/etc. near Perimeter Mall.	Relieves Hightower over crowding.	Split feeder is terrible for the community and students. The students moved to the new DUES should go to the same MS and HS as all the other Hightower elementary students.	option 3 - which allows our highly overcrowded area to get relief from both new elementary schools (Austin and Doraville United).
10/24/2019 0:32	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thrive		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut. It is 437.	Keeping neighborhoods and communities together. By breaking up the Dunwoody North Community you are taking these 43 kids away from a real learning & Nurturing community educational experience. The kids would not be able to walk or ride there bikes to school if you take them from a 1.3 mile commute to over 2.3 commute while Dealing with the congestion of traffic from Georgia state college growth
10/24/2019 6:24	Chesnut ES		This option would not keep the Dunwoody north community intact. It has kids going to different schools.		Chesnut is not overcrowded. The capacity numbers keep dropping. This change would negatively impact parent volunteerism and fund raising efforts. This plan does not meet the goals of keeping neighborhoods intact.		This plan does not keep the Dunwoody North neighborhood intact. It puts neighborhood students going to different schools.	I am not satisfied with any of the options.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 6:30	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437			Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437
10/24/2019 6:40	Chesnut ES							Option 3 - we need another elementary school (Doraville United) to ease the over crowding.
10/24/2019 7:19	Chesnut ES		Arbitrary. You are sending away children whose parents settled in a community they wanted to be a part of. These children are established in their school and it would be detrimental to their education and well being to move them.		This option is still dividing a well established community and sending children whose parents chose that community away.		Arbitrary. You are sending away children whose parents settled in a community they wanted to be a part of. These children are established in their school and it would be detrimental to their education and well being to move them.	None of these options are acceptable. All three of them divide a neighborhood where parents have established relationships with each other and with the educators. This is not in the best interest of those children. This redistricting plan has not been evaluated with sufficient input from those affected. The DeKalb County taxpayers deserve much better.
10/24/2019 7:28	Chesnut ES		1. They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). 2. By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.		1. They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). 2. By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.		1. They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). 2. By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.	None of them. 1. They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). 2. By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support. Chesnut's capacity is 450, not 358 and therefore does not need to lose students.
10/24/2019 7:47	Chesnut ES							
10/24/2019 7:50	Dunwoody ES					we support option 3. we believe this will reduce the number of students at DES - which is projected to grow in size in the coming years. DES currently has the highest number of trailers. Anything we can do to reduce the trailer count is good.		option 3. it reduces number of trailers and students across all ES.
10/24/2019 7:53	Chesnut ES	Relieves DES overcrowding.	Moves 43 children of Dunwoody North to Kingsley. Dunwoody North is a tight neighborhood and all Dunwoody North kids should stay together at same school--Chesnut--which is closer than Kingsley.	n/a	Moves 43 children of Dunwoody North to Kingsley. Dunwoody North is a tight neighborhood and all Dunwoody North kids should stay together at same school--Chesnut--which is closer than Kingsley; also this map option does not do enough for DES overcrowding.	Relieves DES overcrowding.	Moves 43 children of Dunwoody North to Kingsley. Dunwoody North is a tight neighborhood and all Dunwoody North kids should stay together at same school--Chesnut--which is closer than Kingsley.	None. As a resident of Dunwoody North, I am strongly opposed to all 3 options because all 3 options have 43 Dunwoody North children attending Kingsley instead of Chesnut with their other Dunwoody North neighbors. I encourage the District to keep ALL the Dunwoody North children together.
10/24/2019 7:58	Austin ES	All school experience a shared load of students in a district that doesn't have enough schools.	This one has the least amount of thought applied to it and just is numerical exercise in sliding numbers around to put utilization in similar brackets for each school. What this does not factor in is the "new school effect" and the associated new people who will move in to join Austin.	This is the best option to pursue. As the lead speaker in the auditorium stated in session 1, DeKalb has never opened a school at over 100% utilization because of the "new school effect". If you open Austin at 111% which option 1 and 3 propose, then the new school effect happens as you all state occurs for every school opening in DeKalb history, then a severe problem occurs.	None	The Dunwoody cluster is relieved of some of its overcrowding. A better solution would be to relieve some of this overcrowding from Dunwoody cluster while utilizing the majority of the option 2 plan so the new school effect has room for Austin Elementary to take on these additional students when this effect that the county speak of occurs.	We are asked to work with incomplete data here. Obviously Doraville United Elementary isn't going to have 11% utilization. What are the projections from the students in the Doraville area that will likely go there plus this 106 students from Dunwoody equals xxx% utilization?	Mostly option 2 because it accounts for the new school affect that will happen at Austin and push it well over 100% utilization when it occurs. I would also consider shifting some Dunwoody students to Doraville from option 3 if that school does not plan to be severely overcrowded which we can't tell from the data provided.
10/24/2019 8:05	Austin ES	Numbers are even	No planning for growth	Factors in growth	None		No room for growth	Option 2 is my preferred option because it allows room for growth.
10/24/2019 8:08	Chesnut ES	None	By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	None	Geography - 1.1 miles from chestnut/ 2.7 miles from Kingsley Instructional - moving people from 183rd school to 500+ ranked school does not benefit the kids Enrollment - Taking out 43 kids already attending school (friends, money donated to improving the school, sense of community, etc) and replacing it with 25. 18 person swing to uproot kids Intact neighborhoods - Dunwoody North is all one hood	None	Geography - 1.1 miles from chestnut/ 2.7 miles from Kingsley Instructional - moving people from 183rd school to 500+ ranked school does not benefit the kids Enrollment - Taking out 43 kids already attending school (friends, money donated to improving the school, sense of community, etc) and replacing it with 25. 18 person swing to uproot kids Intact neighborhoods - Dunwoody North is all one hood DES still retains all of the Ashford Dunwoody corridor where new residential growth is planned. Area E will have to cross Tilly Mill to get to KES. These students currently have a path through the college campus where they can walk/bike to school. I would advocate that this area remain in DES. Area F divides a neighborhood in half, I would advocate that area F remain in the Chesnut zone	1. geography - 1.1 miles from chestnut/ 2.7 miles from Kingsley/ 2. Instructional - moving people from 183rd school to 500+ ranked school does not benefit the kids 3. Enrollment - Taking out 43 kids already attending school (friends, money donated to improving the school, sense of community, etc) and replacing it with 25. 18 person swing to uproot kids intact neighborhoods - Dunwoody North is all
10/24/2019 8:14	Dunwoody ES	Changes A, B1, B2, and C will change traffic patterns that make more sense.	This option does not alleviate the overcrowding at DES enough. DES will also still retain all the area in the Ashford Dunwoody corridor where residential growth is already planned for.	Absolutely NONE.	This option barely gives DES any relieve. DES is the only school projected to keep growing. PLEASE do not just move 85 students from DES to AES in the A area. It only make sense that the entire area around A be redistricted to the new AES. In fact, redistricting everything that is west of Ashford Dunwoody would be the most sensible thing to do as it would also account for future growth.	This option provides relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole by sending Hightower children to Doraville United. This option also leaves the FEWEST kids in trailers, which is so important as trailers are NOT the best learning environment for any child. Areas A, B, and C make for traffic patterns that make much more sense than the current lines.		Option 3 gives the most relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole by moving children from Hightower to the new Doraville United. This option also leaves the fewest number of children in trailers, which is ideal and should be the top goal in this entire redistricting process.
10/24/2019 8:19	Chesnut ES		1. It hurts Chesnut. Fundraising and parent volunteerism/school advocacy will go down. 2. Traffic and safety: we'd be adding to the already backed up Tilly Mill / N. Peachtree intersection traffic; and forget Walk to School, that route isn't doable for elementary students. 3. It divides an established community (counter to #5 of DCSD's Secondary Criteria: Intact Neighborhoods)		1. It hurts Chesnut. Fundraising and parent volunteerism/school advocacy will go down. 2. Traffic and safety: we'd be adding to the already backed up Tilly Mill / N. Peachtree intersection traffic; and forget Walk to School, that route isn't doable for elementary students. 3. It divides an established community (counter to #5 of DCSD's Secondary Criteria: Intact Neighborhoods).		1. It hurts Chesnut. Fundraising and parent volunteerism/school advocacy will go down. 2. Traffic and safety: we'd be adding to the already backed up Tilly Mill / N. Peachtree intersection traffic; and forget Walk to School, that route isn't doable for elementary students. 3. It divides an established community (counter to #5 of DCSD's Secondary Criteria: Intact Neighborhoods)	1. Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community was ignored, The Dunwoody North Civic Association ( <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). 2. By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 3. We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358 students is overcrowded.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 8:26	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact Chesnut greatly.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact Chesnut greatly.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact Chesnut greatly.	None
10/24/2019 8:27	Dunwoody ES			The only school this helps is Austin where the need for trailers is minimal and they do not absorb any future growth. This is not good for the entire cluster.	This does nothing for any other school but Austin. This option does not solve the overcrowding and potential for future growth in this cluster.	This provides the most amount of relief for the overcrowding and minimizes the amount of trailers our elementary school kids are in. It is crazy that there are so many trailer classrooms at DES. Also, this alleviates future overcrowding for projected growth.		The third option which alleviates trailers at more schools and plans for future growth.
10/24/2019 8:28	Austin ES	Balances schools	Adds portables to a new school	Balances schools with no portables at Austin	None	Relieves cluster	Doraville/Hightower feeds into PCMS>DHS. Adds portables to Austin.	2
10/24/2019 8:29	Chesnut ES	None	Chesnut is a neighborhood school and supports all of Dunwoody N. Moving families outside of this very tight-knit community would have a severe impact on our kids. It makes no sense that we would move kids that are a mile from school to a school that is almost 3 miles away.	None	Chesnut is a neighborhood school and supports all of Dunwoody N. Moving families outside of this very tight-knit community would have a severe impact on our kids. It makes no sense that we would move kids that are a mile from school to a school that is almost 3 miles away.	None	Chesnut is a neighborhood school and supports all of Dunwoody N. Moving families outside of this very tight-knit community would have a severe impact on our kids. It makes no sense that we would move kids that are a mile from school to a school that is almost 3 miles away.	Keeping neighborhoods together and keeping walkable schools.
10/24/2019 8:45	Chesnut ES		By removing 43 students from Chesnut and moving to Kingsley you are breaking up a neighborhood. Historically it is important to keep neighborhoods together as was done in previous redistricting. Also, this changes traffic patterns. Please keep the Madison neighborhoods with the Dunwoody north neighborhoods. Currently many children walk to chesnut and they would not be able to walk to Kingsley.		By removing 43 students from Chesnut and moving to Kingsley you are breaking up a neighborhood. Historically it is important to keep neighborhoods together as was done in previous redistricting. Also, this changes traffic patterns. Please keep the Madison neighborhoods with the Dunwoody north neighborhoods. Currently many children walk to chesnut and they would not be able to walk to Kingsley.		By removing 43 students from Chesnut and moving to Kingsley you are breaking up a neighborhood. Historically it is important to keep neighborhoods together as was done in previous redistricting. Also, this changes traffic patterns. Please keep the Madison neighborhoods with the Dunwoody north neighborhoods. Currently many children walk to chesnut and they would not be able to walk to Kingsley.	
10/24/2019 8:47	Chesnut ES		Moving students in section D does not keep our residential community intact. Why do it?		Again, why move section D?		How was the H shape decided on? This breaks up Dunwoody, although it might make sense if the H shape changes. Once again, why move kids in area F?	I like parts of choice 2 & 3 and dislike parts of all 3.
10/24/2019 8:48	Dunwoody ES	Fair and balanced distribution of overall utilization. Fair spread of portable usage. Least impactful of number of moves.	Does not alleviate overcrowding enough. Doesn't provide long term solution. Does not provide enough relief for DES with expected growth in new residential areas being built.	None	Does not alleviate overcrowding enough. Doesn't provide long term solution. Does not provide enough relief for DES with expected growth in new residential areas being built. Does not provide a fair and equal distribution of portable usage throughout the cluster.	This is the BEST option to set the stage for future growth. As our cluster continues to grow we will need alternate solutions like finding relief through other clusters. This helps set the stage for that. This also provides the most relief to the majority of the schools in our cluster. And it is the most fair and balanced options.	It still does not provide the amount of relief needed anticipated growth at DES.	Option 3 is the BEST option to set the stage for future growth. As our cluster continues to grow we will need alternate solutions like finding relief through other clusters. This helps set the stage for that. This option also provides the most relief to the majority of the schools in our cluster. And it is the most fair and balanced option.
10/24/2019 8:50	Dunwoody ES	'- Reduces DES population by 201	'- Limited overcrowding relief for Vanderlyn - If the "New School Enrollment Bump" does not happen or takes a long time, Austin will be underutilized compared to other schools in the cluster. - Area D moving to Kingsley results in horrible traffic to get from D to Kingsley due to traffic created by Perimeter college	There are no pros	'- Does not share the overcrowding pain equally. Austin will likely open under capacity. - Limited overcrowding relief for Vanderlyn - If the "New School Enrollment Bump" does not happen or takes a long time, Austin will be underutilized. - Area D moving to Kingsley results in horrible traffic to get from D to Kingsley due to traffic created by Perimeter college	'- Most relief for DES - Utilizes new capacity from Doraville United to help students in Doraville.	'- Limited overcrowding relief for Vanderlyn - If the "New School Enrollment Bump" does not happen or takes a long time, Austin will be underutilized compared to other schools in the cluster. - Area D moving to Kingsley results in horrible traffic to get from D to Kingsley due to traffic created by Perimeter college	Option 3. I would also like DCSD to discontinue the Kittridge Magnet. and use that capacity for students in north Brookhaven and south Dunwoody.
10/24/2019 8:54	Dunwoody ES	DES gets some relief.	This does not give DES enough relief from overcrowding and projected growth.		This is the most unbalanced plan and gives the least amount relief to the other schools aside from Austin. It also doesn't factor in the projected growth at DES. It leaves the Dunwoody cluster with the very little relief, with all schools having portables except Austin.	This meets the primary criteria designed for redistricting - geography proximity, projected enrollment and instructional capacity. The burden is more evenly distributed among schools and it reduces portables the most across the Dunwoody cluster.	Though this is the best option, it still doesn't solve for the projected growth in the DES district that other districts don't have. I worry that we will be back in this same predicament in another few years.	Option 3 by far.
10/24/2019 9:05	Dunwoody ES							#3
10/24/2019 9:15	Dunwoody ES	More equitable distribution, makes more sense geographically, good to see equitable distribution of the more volatile population areas along Ashford Dunwoody should improve traffic in neighborhoods	Still an unreasonable amount of trailers at Dunwoody and Vanderlyn	None	This is blatantly unfair and puts pressure on all other elementary schools in the cluster. Austin should shoulder its share of the overcrowding burden.	More equitable distribution, makes more sense geographically, good to see equitable distribution of the more volatile population areas along Ashford Dunwoody	Still too many portables at Vanderlyn, doesn't make sense geographically for neighborhoods that will pass 2 schools on the way to the districted school; many in the eastern part of the county will have to travel further	Option 1 best serves the majority so schools can be more geographically centered
10/24/2019 9:15	Dunwoody ES	No change for my family (zoned for Dunwoody ES), reduces overcrowding at Dunwoody ES significantly more than option 2 (option 1 - enrollment of 1064, option 2 - enrollment of 1133)			Does not reduce overcrowding at Dunwoody as much as option 1.	Reduces overcrowding at Dunwoody ES the most out of the three options		Option 3.
10/24/2019 9:16	Dunwoody ES					More students moved to austin. Jefferson Apartments send a large number of students to Vanderlyn. They need to be moved to Austin or Kingsley.		Option 1 or 3 as more students will be moved from crowded schools
10/24/2019 9:22	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the capacity for chesnut 437 is.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the capacity for chesnut 437 is.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the capacity for chesnut 437 is.	Keeping thriving schools intact will best serve the overall community.
10/24/2019 9:27	Dunwoody ES	The burden of overcrowding (including use of portables) is shared by all as it should be until additional schools can be added. Austin receiving the portion labeled A to gain apartments which brings numbers and diversity to the school more representative of the Dunwoody community.	Vanderlyn does not appear to be getting any relief--no portable reduction and highest utilization percentage.Areas B2 and C are off setting the same population--why displace these kids if there is no relief. Moving neighborhoods should be for a greater benefit. Leave B2 in Vanderlyn and look for the populated area unmarked in orange under C to move to Austin.	Least projected moves. Pros for Austin goes only is that enrollment is not balanced and they get away with under utilization. Hard to come up with pros on this elitist option.	It is a con to even label an option where a school chooses not to have portables.That is just an absurd criteria to consider. Austin is not fairly absorbing the overcrowding of the cluster and should not be below full utilization--ABSURD! No portables and less apartment territory is sending the message that it is okay for Austin to be an elitist school. Vanderlyn is still not getting any relief.	Section A (which shrunk in Option 2) is back to fair in Option 3. Greatest overall portable reduction. Doraville United ES has decent geographic proximity.	Greatest displacements. Vanderlyn is not getting much relief--highest projected utilization and no portable reduction. Section B is a meaningless shift out of Vanderlyn--find more significant population pockets to help Vanderlyn.	Option 3--It provides the greatest relief overall in terms of capacity utilization and portable reduction. It is still not a perfect option. Vanderlyn is not served well in any option. (The whole premise of Option 2--no portables for Austin--should be thrown in the trash.)

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 9:31	Dunwoody ES	This provides some relief for Dunwoody Elementary.	Given that DES has more projected growth than any other school over the next few years (projected growth which does NOT account for new housing developments in the works), the relief is not enough. DeKalb should consider giving Austin more of the area with high projected growth- the area west of Ashford Dunwoody Road.	None!	This map leaves Austin under-capacity and all other schools in the cluster over-capacity. This is absolutely a no-go. It is not equitable and leaves all other schools in the cluster with over-crowding issues. I know that some community members feel that new schools should not open with trailers, but there is no rule that says this is the case.	It provides the most relief to the two most over-crowded schools- DES and Hightower.	The move of some areas to Kingsley will create traffic issues (e.g., area E can now walk to DES; with the move, they would have to drive across a busy intersection, and would be isolated from the rest of Kingsley. This creates a split-feeder pattern for Hightower- do they want this? We need feedback from them.	Option 1 or 3, NOT Option 2. Option 2 is inequitable and only helps 1 school. Option 3 helps the 2 most over-crowded schools, but also causes the most disruption. DCSD should get feedback from Hightower parents on Option 3. DCSD should consider redistricting more areas of high growth (west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd.) to AES, revisiting their misleading AES enrollment #s, and using trailers at AES.
10/24/2019 9:34	Chesnut ES		Moving kids out of Chesnut to Kingsley diminishes Walk & Bike to school opportunities. While moving kids across 141 from Hightower to Chesnut again diminishes Walk & Bike to school. Also, moves single family homes (Chesnut already has the least) out of Chesnut and adds more apartments.Reduces # kids in Chesnut = less funding. Capacity reduced with no explanation		Moving kids further away from closest school. Hightower to new Doraville United makes more sense than a move to Kingsley. Eliminating Walk/Bike opportunities for kids moving from Chesnut to Kingsley. Again reducing the # kids at Chesnut for no good reason. Reduces funding. Chesnut has always been at or around 435 with minimal crowding concerns.	Less disruption than the previous. Lines make more sense.	Still reducing the # kids at Chesnut based on unsubstantiated new capacity #. Reduces funding. Moves single fam homes out of Chesnut into Kingsley. Eliminates Walk/Bike to school opps for those moved. Chesnut has never been slated as over capacity. Why now. Makes no sense.	Option 3 makes most sense location-wise except the Chesnut to Kingsley move. Chesnut is not over capacity based on decades of capacity estimates. Why now reducing this number? Makes no sense.
10/24/2019 9:34	Chesnut ES	None	Geographic Proximity: The "side of Tilly Mill" Dunwoody North neighborhood has no way out. You must get out to Tilly Mill as the ONLY way to get to Kingsley. Bus transportation will be longer. Safety concerns: Tilly Mill Road is not a bike nor child friendly road. Traffic at peak is over 20min away. Intact Neighborhood: Dunwoody North is getting split in half. This hood is tight! we are ONE!	None	Geographic Proximity: The "side of Tilly Mill" Dunwoody North neighborhood has no way out. You must get out to Tilly Mill as the ONLY way to get to Kingsley. Bus transportation will be longer. Safety concerns: Tilly Mill Road is not a bike nor child friendly road. Traffic at peak is over 20min away. Intact Neighborhood: Dunwoody North is getting split in half. This hood is tight! we are ONE!	None	Geographic Proximity: The "side of Tilly Mill" Dunwoody North neighborhood has no way out. You must get out to Tilly Mill as the ONLY way to get to Kingsley. Bus transportation will be longer. Safety concerns: Tilly Mill Road is not a bike nor child friendly road. Traffic at peak is over 20min away. Intact Neighborhood: Dunwoody North is getting split in half. This hood is tight! we are ONE!	I suggest to move more kids from Vanderlyn and DES to Austin and then move kids from HighTower to Kingsley. Splitting Dunwoody North neighborhood in two does NOT alleviate anybody and hurts our neighborhood. You can also put more portables in Chesnut and we can take more Hightower but do not split our neighborhood. Tilly Mill during winter in the AM is dangerous.
10/24/2019 9:44	Dunwoody ES	na	na	na	na	helps overall cluster the most! This makes the most sense for the entire cluster!!!!	na	option 3! This helps cluster the most!!!! Please option 3....
10/24/2019 9:45	Chesnut ES		The Dunwoody North neighborhood would be split up.		The Dunwoody North neighborhood would be split up.		The Dunwoody North neighborhood would be split up. This affects Chesnut Elementary in a negative way, and we have a strong community and do not want to be split up.	The Dunwoody North neighborhood should not be split. Those on the East side of Tilly Mill Rd should stay at Chesnut Elementary.
10/24/2019 9:51	Dunwoody ES		Dunwoody Elementary still remains overcrowded. Not equitable split of apartments from Dunwoody to Austin. The apartments offered to be taken from Dunwoody Elementary are a much higher rent/income level versus apartments being left in Dunwoody Elementary.		This is the least amount of students moving from an already overcrowded Dunwoody Elementary School. Only 132 students being removed from DES.	This is good for Hightower as it moves 100 students from Hightower to the new Doraville Elementary. This option also has the fewest amount of students in trailers for the entire cluster. This is also an equitable option for apartments division between Dunwoody Elementary and Austin Elementary.	Slide E-the students to the west of Tilly Mill should remain at Dunwoody Elementary as they are able to walk to Dunwoody Elementary via cut through at GA Perimeter College. East of Tilly Mill should be moved to Kingsley.	Option 3 offers the most for all schools.
10/24/2019 9:53	Chesnut ES		Please don't make us drive twice as far for a worse school.		Please don't make us drive twice as far for a worse school.		Please don't make us drive twice as far for a worse school.	None of the current options.
10/24/2019 9:56	Dunwoody ES	balanced	still a lot of portables. these portables CANNOT be a permanent solution!	Benefit Austin only	Not balanced and clearly in favor of Austin but does not decrease portable significantly.	Good to the cluster overall. Balanced.	This is only a temporary solution. There are till about 600 over capacity overall. Again, we are absolutely against the poor condition of portables. For a long run, please expand existing school capacity (for example, Chesnut) or establishing a new elementary school in the Georgetown area.	Option 3. But again, this is only a temporary solution. There are till about 600 over capacity overall. We are absolutely against the poor condition of portables (no window, poor lighting, small space!). For a long run, please expand existing school capacity (for example, Chesnut) or establishing a new elementary school in the Georgetown area.
10/24/2019 9:57	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	Each of these options, splitting up the Dunwoody North Neighborhood, would make traffic on Tilly Mill worse. Geographical Proximity - 1.1 miles from Chestnut/ 2.7 miles from Kingsley. Instructional - moving from 183rd school to 500+ ranked school does not benefit the kids. Enrollment - Moving 43 kids & replacing with 25. 18 person swing to uproot kids does not seem reasonable.
10/24/2019 9:58	Austin ES		Automatically puts a BRAND NEW school over capacity, immediately requiring portable classrooms.	Provides additional relief across the board without the District implementing additional portable classrooms at a brand new ES.			Same issue as Option 1, requires the District to implement and further maintain portable classrooms at a brand new elementary school.	Option 2
10/24/2019 10:04	Vanderlyn ES	Most equitable option, no privilege for Austin Elementary. Least disruptive for the communities.	Could be less disruptive is B2 stayed in Vanderlyn and C moved to Austin instead. Why make both communities move?	Keeps new Austin without trailers	The least equitable option - the new Austin is privileged to not have any trailers.	Has least amount of trailers, brings most relieve to the cluster	It will be DEVASTATING for my community, the Woodlands (Northern part of zone D). With <10 kids, we will be cut off from the rest of the Kingsley community by a commercial zone and heavy traffic (Williamsburg, Jett Ferry, Mt Vernon), it will get worse in 2020 when new grocery store opens. My daughter is the only kid in her year group, and it will crush her. I plead to keep Woodlands in Vanderlyn	All options need improvements. As is, Option 1 seems to be the best. Please focus on keeping communities together. Our kids already spend too much time with technology and too little time with real world communication. Cutting off a part of community aggravates the problem.
10/24/2019 10:07	Dunwoody ES	A little more balanced. AES takes on a somewhat fairer share of numbers. Hightower gets some relief.	The goal should be to balance all schools and allow for a much larger school like the new AES (similar in size to DES) to not only take on SIMILAR numbers but to also account for FUTURE growth. We need to be thinking beyond 2021 with these maps and projections.	99% utilization to 139% utilization. Easy to say there are no pros here. Why is this even an option?	Under no circumstances should 1 school within the cluster be allowed to open without their fair share of numbers - which means PORTABLES. Also, what about FUTURE growth? AES and DES should have an even split of the Ashford-Dunwoody Rd areas to account for the inevitable growth. This is uneven and nearsighted.	Of the 3 maps this is the most reasonable. Relief for Hightower. More even split of numbers across the cluster short of Vanderlyn.	I will beat this drum in every single meeting, survey and email... what about FUTURE GROWTH? Has any consideration been made in regard to the inevitable condo, townhome and apartment growth on Ashford-Dunwoody Rd? Why is this all still DES? Would it not be easier to carve some of this out for AES? Traffic impact would be minimal as Ashford-Dunwoody Rd and Mt. Vernon are major thoroughfares	Honestly, none of these 3. Some parts are okay and more evenly distribute the current state, but no one map accounts for numbers beyond 2021, which is a big problem and quite honestly reflects a myopic view of those determining these maps. Bottom line, AES needs portables and more even numbers - and growth - to DES and Hightower needs relief. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.
10/24/2019 10:12	Dunwoody ES	Gives some relief to DES			Not equitable. Does not allow for enough relief for schools over capacity	Provides relief to DES and Hightower		Option three makes the schools the most manageable. In my mind 1000 students is the maximum amount any ES should ever have to run sufficiently.
10/24/2019 10:17	Austin ES	Keeps the overcrowding equal amongst the schools Should lessen overcrowding Doesn't have a huge effect on traffic patterns	None	No trailers at Austin (which seems unfair to other schools)	Doesn't resolve overcrowding at any of the schools and leaves vanderlyn in a worse condition	None - it is horrible for the dunwoody schools	Increase traffic patterns and commutes for Hightower students Splits up communities	Option 1
10/24/2019 10:39	Vanderlyn ES	We believe it makes sense to redistrict the Woodsong Cluster (B2 in Option 1) to Austin. In fact, we think this should happen regardless of which Option is selected. It is much closer to Austin than Vanderlyn, and we can get there without having to go on any main roads. Woodsong is not a cohesive community like others and is an easy low stress way to move 20+ kids over to Austin.						

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 10:43	Dunwoody ES	It equals the population out so Dunwoody is not too overcrowded.	It doesn't really provide any real relief. Now everyone is overcrowded.	Austin is not being overcrowded.	These rest of the areas are being impacted negatively.	Provides the most relief to Dunwoody ES and there is capacity to grow with the new school added.	Still overcrowding in cluster and impacts the most kids.	Option 3
10/24/2019 10:44	Chesnut ES	None.	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	None. Still dividing Dunwoody North in half.	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	None. Still dividing Dunwoody North in half	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	None of the options best serve Dunwoody cluster. All three options divide Dunwoody North neighborhood in half by school. Chesnut has room for it's students and has a community (Dunwoody North) that is actively involved and adding value to the students from Dunwoody North as well as to the surrounding apartments.
10/24/2019 10:45	Chesnut ES		Same as every option, you love my neighborhood away from Chesnut where all the families we socialize with remain. You are breaking up a strong neighborhood school and forcing people to go to one they have no connection with, even in activities outside of school like baseball and other sports.		Same as my comments on Option 1, still tearing away families that have ties to Chestnut		Yet again no regard for Dunwoody North neighborhood.	None of them. This plan is clearly to appease families living any place other than Dunwoody North. It doesn't surprise me given what an absolutely horrible job DCS does in every aspect of running the schools but you would think the desire to maintain the integrity of a school that works despite your worst efforts would be low hanging fruit for you not to screw it up.
10/24/2019 10:50	Chesnut ES		Because it splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood, and it also makes the school further because people from Dunwoody North would have to drive to Kingsley as opposed to being a neighborhood school		Because it splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood, and it also makes the school further because people from Dunwoody North would have to drive to Kingsley as opposed to being a neighborhood school		Because it splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood, and it also makes the school further because people from Dunwoody North would have to drive to Kingsley as opposed to being a neighborhood school	Neither
10/24/2019 10:50	Chesnut ES	Vanderlyn and DES to Austin looks great as that is where most of the relief needs to be. More from both schools should move to Kingsley.	Where did the capacity number for Chesnut come from? The school is NOT as overcrowded as DES or Vanderlyn period. There is no reason to divide two neighborhoods and send 1/2 to a further distance elementary school when these kids routinely walk or bike to school now. They will lose that opportunity with this map.	Why are not students from the perimeter area (very fast growing mixed development area not being moved from DES to Austin? With the new Austin capacity, it makes sense logistically to move those areas to Austin and reduce DES enrollment. Many apartments = large movement of students.	Where did the capacity number for Chesnut come from? The school is NOT as overcrowded as DES or Vanderlyn. There is no reason to divide two neighborhoods and send 1/2 to a further distance elementary school when these kids routinely walk or bike to school now. They will lose that opportunity with this map. Re-evaluate Chesnut capacity.	Hightower to Doraville United is a great idea but without similar movement of those students to Chamblee high school, it creates a split middle and high school feeder scenario. Those kids moved should continue to Chamblee for cohesiveness. Again why are not more perimeter (Ashford-Dunwoody) area regions moved from DES to Austin?	Where did the capacity number for Chesnut come from? The school is NOT as overcrowded as DES or Vanderlyn period. There is no reason to divide two neighborhoods and send 1/2 to a further distance elementary school when these kids routinely walk or bike to school now. They will lose that opportunity with this map.	None. Where's option 4? Or 5?
10/24/2019 11:02	Dunwoody ES	Changes to areas A, B1, B2 and C all create better traffic patterns on major Dunwoody arteries. This makes more sense than the current lines.	Overcrowding of the cluster as a whole is not addressed. This is merely a shuffle. DES remains overcrowded in this option, and still keeps ALL the property on which residential growth is planned.	No pros.	This option provides minimal relief for DES. Since no options depict Austin with land on which more property could be built, it does not make sense to open as the only school in Dunwoody with 0 trailers. DES will be in a dangerous situation to lose its ability to continue as a high-performing school. This option only serves one school in the cluster.	Option 3 provides relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole AND minimizes the number of students in trailers. Area changes A, B and C create traffic patterns that are less congested, safer, and make more sense.	Austin's footprint should continue down the west side of the Ashford-Dunwoody corridor, extending to Perimeter Center West. These apartments near Target are closer in geographic proximity to Austin vs. DES.	Option 3 with the following revisions: *continue Austin's footprint down Ashford Dunwoody's west corridor to Perimeter Center West. *Restore E to DES for safety traffic reasons (they are walkers and should not have to cross Tilly Mill to get to Kingsley) *Restore D to Vanderlyn. *Restore F to Chesnut
10/24/2019 11:16	Vanderlyn ES	Most equitable distribution of trailers and transient population (rentals/apts).	The addition of area C to Vanderlyn and area B1 to Austin unnecessarily moves 44 students. Why not keep B1 at Vanderlyn and shift area C to Austin, only disrupting 22 students?  Also, the addition of area C to Vanderlyn would further exacerbate the traffic problems on Womack and Ashford Center pkwy by adding additional buses to the already overcrowded bus route going towards the Jefferson Apts	The least number of students disrupted/transferred.	The addition of area C to Vanderlyn would further exacerbate the traffic problems on Womack and Ashford Center pkwy by adding additional buses to the already overcrowded bus route going towards the Jefferson Apts. Currently buses already have to take 2 trips to unload all of the students on that route.  Less equitable division of trailers and transient populations among each school.	Going outside of the Dunwoody cluster to give relief to Hightower.	Split feeder situation that does nothing to combat MS and HS overcrowding  In this option, the most students are being moved from higher performing schools to lower performing schools (esp areas D, E, F, G). This will affect families who chose their homes in order to attend a specific school, and it will lower the property values of homeowners in these areas.	Option 1 moves a smaller number of children and families, and it splits up the number of trailers and transient communities equitably.  Option 3 would be more appealing if the students moving from Hightower to Dunwoody United were to remain in the Chamblee/Doraville cluster for middle and high school. This may at least help the current overcrowding in Dunwoody at the MS and HS levels.
10/24/2019 11:20	Dunwoody ES	Very reasonable proposal.	Can Area C expand to include Dunwoody Chase community. Address for Dunwoody Chase is Wickenby Ct, Atlanta, GA, 30338. This community is closer to Austin than Dunwoody Elementary.				Can Area C expand to include Dunwoody Chase community. Address for Dunwoody Chase is Wickenby Ct, Atlanta, GA, 30338. This community is closer to Austin than Dunwoody Elementary.	
10/24/2019 11:33	Dunwoody ES	Equitable distribution, including portable classrooms at Austin	Not enough relief for DES		- unacceptable that Austin has open seats while DES has entire grade level in portal classrooms and kids eating lunch before 10am - numbers are skewed so that it looks like Austin will have more growth (100 kids were added just based on being a new school but there is not room in that district for families to move in that would equal 100 kids). While we know that DES will have extensive growth	Most relief for DES	- section E should remain with DES, it violate the criteria to move them from a closer school to a farther school. Those families currently have safe, easy routes to DES that includes sidewalks and moving to Kingsley would put them in more traffic, busier roads, and no clear, safe path.	Option 3 with the exception of section E, and possible section D.  Need to consider all new development planned for the area west of Ashford Dunwoody. Currently that will all feed to DES, further overcrowding the school.
10/24/2019 11:36	Austin ES							
10/24/2019 11:42	Dunwoody ES					This is the most balance option.		Option 3
10/24/2019 11:47	Dunwoody ES	Balanced distribution for keeping all students within cluster	No overall relief for most overpopulated schools	Austin does not open with trailers	Austin does not open with trailers. Least impactful to helping distribute population	Most impactful to overcrowding	Removes students from elementary but unclear on how this impacts cluster moving on to upper grades if they return	Option 1 if all students are to remain in cluster moving forward Option 3 is most impact if students are not returning for upper grades should be considered for long term solution
10/24/2019 11:47	Dunwoody ES	Provides for some relief in overcrowded schools	Does not alleviate the overcrowding issues at DES	Provides some relief for Dunwoody schools Spreads apartments throughout schools	Does not alleviate overcrowding issues at DES	Best option for alleviating overcrowding at DES as well as the county		Option 3
10/24/2019 11:49	Dunwoody ES	201 students moved from an incredibly overcrowded elementary school (DES)	Not enough relief for DES which is currently bursting at the seams	Only good is for Austin, this option is insanely biased	Not enough relief for current Elementary schools	EASILY THE BEST OPTION, fixes so many issues with current Dekalb elementary schools massively overcrowded	I see no issue	3
10/24/2019 11:52	Austin ES	Balanced Enrollment	A lot of unnecessary shifting Opening a brand new school with trailers Need of another school Most movement for least trailer reductions	Least movement and does reduce trailers Least amount of traffic concerns Breaks up least amount of neighbors	Not equitable	Does relieve Dunwoody cluster as whole the most	Need to consider moving those kids in hightower to Chamblee middle and high so it is the least disruptive for them long term. Way too many neighborhoods being disrupted.	All of these options are poorly done, but if I had to pick I'd choose option 2.  Option 3 would be ideal if you didnt break up so many neighborhoods and consider keeping hightower in the chamblee cluster

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 11:59	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school and tight knit community.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school and tight knit neighborhood.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school and tight knit neighborhood.	Keep neighborhoods together. Do not split up Dunwoody North.
10/24/2019 12:05	Chesnut ES							2
10/24/2019 12:25	Dunwoody ES					Gives the most relief to Dunwoody elementary	None	Option 3
10/24/2019 12:28	Austin ES							
10/24/2019 12:31	Chesnut ES	Provides some overcrowding relief to the Hightower community	Adds to additional traffic and unsafe conditions on Tilly Mill Rd, Sending kids to a school that is further away (Kingsley) when Chesnut is closer Breaking up the Chesnut attendance community which will have a negative financial impact on the Chesnut community (In Round 1 we asked that neighborhoods not be split up) Negatively impacts Chesnut and does not alleviate any issue	Provides a some relief to Hightower overcrowding issue	-Adds to additional traffic and unsafe conditions on Tilly Mill Rd, -Sending kids to a school that is further away (Kingsley) when Chesnut is closer -Breaking up the Chesnut attendance community which will have a negative financial impact on the Chesnut community (In Round 1 we asked that neighborhoods not be split up) - No one community should be exempt from having portables/trailers	Appears to provide relief in overcrowding at Hightower and Dunwoody ES	Adds to additional traffic and unsafe conditions on Tilly Mill Rd, Sending kids to a school that is further away (Kingsley) when Chesnut is closer Breaking up the Chesnut attendance community which will have a negative financial impact on the Chesnut community (In Round 1 we asked that neighborhoods not be split up)	Option 3 - with the amendment that the Chesnut current attendance area remain intact. Movement of 43 students from Chesnut is not impactful to the larger issue of overcrowding. Based on safety, traffic patterns, geographical location and the request to keep neighborhoods intact this amended option is the most efficient and has the most positive impact for Dunwoody and Hightower ES.
10/24/2019 12:32	Dunwoody ES		why are there 7 portables at a school that is under utilized?		I do not understand why this is even being considered.	Gets rid of the most portables		Option 3
10/24/2019 12:43	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 12:52	Dunwoody ES	Sharing equally with less portable classrooms	Still over-crowded with portable classrooms	None	Austin should share in the overcrowding	Not much different than 1	Moving more kids	Class sizes appropriate for instruction and all share in the burden of overcrowding
10/24/2019 12:58	Chesnut ES	None	Splits the Dunwoody North community and sends children east of Tilly Mill to a new elementary school more than TWICE THE DISTANCE AWAY. This is bad enough for everyone but the special needs/IEP children in that group will be traumatized the most. Chesnut is a success because the entire Dunwoody north community worked together on both sides of Tilly Mill. Stop it with the fuzzy attendance number		Splits the Dunwoody North community and sends children east of Tilly Mill to a new elementary school more than TWICE THE DISTANCE AWAY. This is bad enough for everyone but the special needs/IEP children in that group will be traumatized the most. Chesnut is a success because the entire Dunwoody north community worked together on both sides of Tilly Mill. Stop it with the fuzzy attendance number		Splits the Dunwoody North community and sends children east of Tilly Mill to a new elementary school more than TWICE THE DISTANCE AWAY. This is bad enough for everyone but the special needs/IEP children in that group will be traumatized the most. Chesnut is a success because the entire Dunwoody north community worked together on both sides of Tilly Mill. Stop it with the fuzzy attendance number	Not the one that relies on changing attendance numbers to fit the latest narrative!! Chesnut is 450 capacity, not 380-ish. Leave the eastern end of Dunwoody North at Chesnut! Live up to your stated goals of keeping neighborhoods together!
10/24/2019 13:27	Dunwoody ES		Still a lot of portable classrooms and overcrowding in the cluster across many schools with this option.		This requires the most portable classrooms, so it is the worst option to us.	This is our TOP pick and the BEST option. It does the most for relieving overcrowding in our cluster and has the lowest number of portable classrooms. Hightower students are closer to their ES and travel less distance.	I do not see any cons with this solution.	Option 3
10/24/2019 13:27	Dunwoody ES	Our child will not be affected by option 1	None, it's the best option given the circumstances.	None for the majority of the children.	It has an unfair balance of children at Austin.	Fewer portable classrooms		Option 1
10/24/2019 13:29	Austin ES	NA	Too many children have to move. A primary factor should be "moving the minimum number of children". After all, this should be for the children.....not "numbers to move". These are lives of children and families, not a balance criteria.	Better because moving the minimum amount of children and that should be a primary objective!! This option PLUS the Doraville relief could be the best option, and would likely need 65 less students to Kingsley and lower number of students and better stability at Hightower.	NA, if this option combined with Doraville Relief.	Good to have Doraville Relief,	However, moving too many students within all the other schools. Use Option 2 plus the doraville relief to minimize student movement and disruption. This may need a minimum adjustment from balance enrollment but would be best for minimum family and student disruption. Don't treat the children as "numbers".	Combination of Option 2 and Doraville Relief. The objection should be the relief of 106 students to Doraville and then a minimum of student movement so the children and families have minimum disruption.
10/24/2019 13:30	Austin ES		not the best choice	This is the best choice	There are no cons to this choice		Not the best choice	Option 2
10/24/2019 13:40	Vanderlyn ES	good. Less students need be moved		Best option. Least students movement and keep students in their neighborhood school.		Worst. Most students to move. Move students from elementary closer to their home to further ones. This option should not be adopted at all.		The No 2 option.
10/24/2019 13:43	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 13:48	Vanderlyn ES					I vote for option 3 - It reduces the number of portable classrooms in the cluster the most.		Option 3
10/24/2019 13:53	Vanderlyn ES	reduction in portable classrooms.	Vanderlyn doesn't need to be redistricted since it is not as overcrowded as others and no difference in numbers after redistricting. What is the point of moving few existing student only to add more? Keep Vanderlyn as is. Existing students should get priority if the change is anyway going to be minimal or none. Don't go changing everything just because you can!	No portables at Austin. I like the fact that this is an option with the least amount of moves and only few students will get affected.	None.	None.	WORST OPTION. Highest number of kids getting affected. you are removing kids from Vanderlyn only to add few more. Vanderlyn is closer with much safer side walk for oxford chase community. Need to cross at least 2 major roads to get to Kingsley. Neighborhoods are not connected to rest of the kingsley community. DO NOT break up neighborhoods north of mt vernon in order to alleviate much farther school.	option 2 is the best. option 3 is the worst and I hope you don't cause disruptions to so many families and kids.
10/24/2019 14:00	Dunwoody ES		Does not take advantage of opportunity to have new Doraville school relieve overcrowding of Hightower (and down the road Peachtree Middle and Dunwoody High, which are also extremely overcapacity).		Not balanced enrollment when most schools still have trailers other than Austin. Does not do enough to alleviate overcrowding at DES.	Alleviates Hightower overcrowding.		Option 3 does most to alleviate overcrowding by making use of new Doraville Elementary School. Need to address DES overcrowding as well.
10/24/2019 14:07	Dunwoody ES	Relief to DES. Evens out overcrowding amongst DES, Austin and Vanderlyn.	Ashworth (section C) should not be moved from DES to Vanderlyn. Moving 22 students from one overcrowded school to another overcrowded school does not help our children. Ashworth should be moved from DES to Austin, providing relief to DES and filling available seats at Austin. We are on the north side of Ashford Center Pkwy, bordering the Austin zone. We are less than 1 mile away from Austin.		Ashworth (section C) should not be moved from DES to Vanderlyn. Moving 22 students from one overcrowded school to another overcrowded school does not help our children. Ashworth should be moved from DES to Austin, providing relief to DES and filling available seats at Austin. We are on the north side of Ashford Center Pkwy, bordering the Austin zone. We are less than 1 mile away from Austin.		Ashworth (section C) should not be moved from DES to Vanderlyn. Moving 22 students from one overcrowded school to another overcrowded school does not help our children. Ashworth should be moved from DES to Austin, providing relief to DES and filling available seats at Austin. We are on the north side of Ashford Center Pkwy, bordering the Austin zone. We are less than 1 mile away from Austin.	Evening out overcrowding amongst schools in the cluster. Austin should be at or over capacity when it opens to make overcrowding fair amongst the cluster.
10/24/2019 14:07	Dunwoody ES	Austin took on some students from Dunwoody Elementary but NOT enough	NOT enough off of DES!	No pros for this map, not enough students alleviated from DES school district which is WAY overcrowded.		BEST MAP OF THE 3!! Taking off some pressure from DES and putting more on Austin which is the newest and biggest school.	Best map of all 3 options	Having kids go to school in the district that they represent and NOT overcrowding our school for the sake of students, faculty and the safety of EVERYONE.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 14:17	Dunwoody ES	1) Section C (22 DES students to Vanderlyn) makes sense. Never seemed logical to have that area basically surrounded by Vanderlyn students but yet zoned for DES. (This is a pro for every option)	1) Section A should be bigger, just like section A in option 3. Give more relief to Dunwoody ES. 2)The areas that will experience the most growth (Ashford Dunwoody corridor) is still zoned mostly for DES. Other schools need to be zoned for that area to help DES now and the future. High Street has 3000 units coming!! 3) Section E should stay with Hightower ES, and not absorb into Kingsley.	NO PROS. NONE! How is it fair and equitable that every other school in the cluster would be stretched BEYOND capacity, but yet the newest school that has newest resources and is probably most capable of handling portables would not have portables?! Makes no sense!! The ONLY people that would like this are Austin folks.	BY FAR THE WORST OPTION!!!! Every other school in the cluster would have portables except Austin??!! ARE YOU KIDDING ME??!! How is this fair to the rest of the cluster?? This is not "balanced enrollment" at all! This is NOT an equitable distribution! Vanderlyn and DES would have 11 trailers each and Austin 0??!! Even Kingsley which has 0 trailers now, would add 5?! What a joke!!	Best option of the 3. Why? 1. All schools are overcapacity at roughly the same percentage. Makes it fair to all schools in the cluster 2. Doraville ES is used to shift burden of too many students southward. 3. Reduces the most amount of portables through out the cluster (-13). 4. Every school has portables, no special treatment. 5. Transfers the most students out of DES vs. the other options.	The areas that will experience the most growth (Ashford Dunwoody corridor) is still zoned mostly for DES. Other schools need to be zoned for that area to help DES now and the future. The High Street development has 3000 units coming!!	Option 3. Shifting students from Hightower to Doraville is a great idea. Gives the most relief to DES and Hightower compared to options 1 and 2, which are the most over crowded schools in the cluster. Every school would have portables which is fair to the entire cluster. No school is given special treatment (Austin). The only people that like option 2 are Austin people. Realize that.
10/24/2019 14:31	Dunwoody ES	Option 1 provides some relief for the most overcrowded schools.	The relief provided in this option isn't enough. Right now, DES has an entire 3rd grade in portable classrooms. This option reduces the number of portable classrooms by 3 - which means - most of the 3rd Grade's experience will not change. To be truly balanced, each school in the area needs to take on an equal amount of our most transient population.	NONE except if you are in the Austin school district.	This is the most troubling proposal of all. This model is clearly not meant to provide relief for the overcrowded schools as is evident by the numbers. This model will only divide our communities. We pay the same amount of taxes as every other homeowner in Dunwoody. DES would have one less portable than it already does. Unacceptable!!!	This model provides the most relief for our most crowded schools. A movement of the H to Doraville should be followed up with them attending their respective Middle and High Schools. I think it would also boost a school like Kingsley which needs more support from our community.	A pro for Kingsley is a con for Chestnut. Loosing this group may be detrimental to Chestnut. I don't think enough of the perimeter area is removed from DES given the traffic issues on our main roads as well as the neighborhoods that are serving as cut-throughs. Area C should stay with Vanderlyn and Austin should take on more of that area in the perimeter locations to minimize the traffic issues.	Option 3 best addresses the overcrowding issues.
10/24/2019 14:38	Dunwoody ES	Austin has to have portable too. It makes no sense that DES carries more load, more students, less available seats and more portables just because the county didn't build Austin big enough to begin with. The Dunwoody schools have to share the load even if it means portables in the new Austin. Why should my kids be in portables while Austin kids don't? DES must have maximum relief- this is the best.			DES must have relief, and portables must be shared. It's not DES's fault the county chose Austin to be too small to accommodate the Dunwoody growing community. It doesn't make sense that all schools in Dunwoody have between 100 and 200 shortage of seats while Austin has none. It is part of Dunwoody and part of the solution to the overcrowding problem			1 It is more fair and deals with the current problem better. We need more schools desperately, in the middle and high school levels as well. Dunwoody keeps growing and DCSD must find solutions other than portables. Our tax money has to be funneled for this purpose.
10/24/2019 14:40	Dunwoody ES							option 3
10/24/2019 14:51	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
10/24/2019 14:52	Chesnut ES		Breaks up the Dunwoody North Neighborhood by sending some of its students to Kingsley.		Breaks up the Dunwoody North Neighborhood by sending some of its students to Kingsley.		Breaks up the Dunwoody North Neighborhood by sending some of its students to Kingsley.	
10/24/2019 14:56	Dunwoody ES					My vote!!		Option 3
10/24/2019 14:58	Chesnut ES	None.	Do not split up the Dunwoody North neighborhood by moving Chesnut Elementary children to Kingsley!!!	None	Do not split up Dunwoody north neighborhood! Keep Dunwoody North neighborhood at Chesnut and not split us up!	None	Do not split up neighborhoods!! Keep Dunwoody North together!!!	Keep Dunwoody North together! Do not split neighborhoods
10/24/2019 14:58	Kingsley ES	Improves overcrowding at Hightower and DES	Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood-- deeply impacting Chesnut. Does not balance the socioeconomic makeup of Dunwoody schools-- moving 65 students from one Title 1 (Hightower) to another Title1 (Kingsley). Balancing enrollment should also have the benefit of balancing socioeconomic makeup.	disrupts fewest students	Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood-- deeply impacting Chesnut. Does not balance the socioeconomic makeup of Dunwoody schools-- moving 65 students from one Title 1 (Hightower) to another Title1 (Kingsley). Balancing enrollment should also have the benefit of balancing socioeconomic makeup.	moves 106 Hightower students to Doraville United. step toward balancing socioeconomic makeup of Dunwoody cluster schools--- Chesnut students moving to Hightower and DES, VES and Chesnut students moving to Kingsley.	Divides Dunwoody North Neighborhood-- deeply impacting Chesnut.	Option 3 -- but would strongly encourage the District to permanently move the 106 Hightower/Doraville United students to the Chamblee/Cross Keys cluster (for middle and high school) rather than creating a split feeder.
10/24/2019 15:00	Dunwoody ES							Option 1
10/24/2019 15:03	Dunwoody ES	Balancing overcrowding well from DES to AES.	Does not relieve Hightower		Does not relieve portables from DES and Hightower as well as option 3.	best option- relieves the most trailers. Relieves overcrowding and DES and Hightower the best. Balances overcrowding the most equitably among resources.	Dunwoody is still at 37 trailers and overcrowded. Should move more of Hightower into Doraville ES and not move hightower students into Kingsley. Those should all move down and we should redistrict throughout all of DCSD so that each region is redistricted to fill empty seats in the southern regions of DCSD.	Option 3
10/24/2019 15:04	Chesnut ES		Chesnut to Kingsley divides the Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Less than 50 kids should not warrant a move.  Austin shouldn't have trailers on day 1. County should adjust building plans to accommodate. Hightower is still overcrowded	No portables at Austin.	Chesnut to Kingsley splits Dunwoody North neighborhood kids.	Notjinh	Chesnut to Kingsley splits Dunwoody North neighborhood	Don't split neighborhoods. Perhaps give school choice
10/24/2019 15:23	Dunwoody ES	Balanced enrollment	Impacts a few students that may feel upset it was only them that had to transfer				Way too many transfers and multiple different schools	1
10/24/2019 15:24	Chesnut ES	No pros that I can see.	This is a clear loss for Chesnut. And we have worked so hard to get past the last redistricting issues from 10 years ago. It is not fair to separate a neighborhood like this. This will be a loss to Chesnut as well as the families that move. And especially disruptive for this age child. The homeowners in this area deserve more stability.		Taking Chesnut families from the same neighborhood out of Chesnut and then bringing new students in from Dunwoody ES does not make sense. Disruptive to so many elementary age students.		The 358 capacity for Chesnut is just flat wrong. We have had over 400 students (approx 450 students) for many years and the school is clearly thriving. Why anyone would want to disrupt a school that is doing so well is beyond my understanding. KEEP DUNWOODY NORTH NEIGHBORHOOD TOGETHER. While my own children are beyond elementary school age, they had so many friends from both sides of neighborhood	None of them. This is not a choice. Please go back and give us a choice that keeps our neighborhood together.
10/24/2019 15:30	Dunwoody ES	More equitable distribution of overcrowding problem and use of portables across the cluster. Overall reduction of portables.			New Austin would not do its part in terms of portables, putting unnecessary pressure on other schools in the cluster. Use of portables and overall utilization is not equitable.	Good use of available resources to take pressure off of Dunwoody ES cluster. Significant reduction in use of portables. Most equitable solution, although perhaps too much pressure on Vanderlyn.		Option 3. In order of preference: 3, 1, 2
10/24/2019 15:34	Chesnut ES	reduces overcrowding for chestnut and gets rid of one trailer/classroom	none	reduces some overcapacity for chestnut	does not reduce number of portable classrooms for chestnut.	reduces overcapacity for chestnut and reduces portable classrooms	none.	3
10/24/2019 15:36	Dunwoody ES	Utilization is balanced across all schools. DES has 1/2 the number of portables that it would have had			Not balanced. Makes no sense to me		Fairly balanced, with Vanderlyn a little more utilized.	Option 3, followed by option 1. DES should really be the "least utilized" per these projections, as the future growth of elementary school population will primarily be in their areas.
10/24/2019 15:42	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 15:42	Dunwoody ES	Balances enrollment Balances portables between all schools				Biggest impact in enrollment, which could make a better long term balance.	Largest transition for current students	
10/24/2019 15:55	Dunwoody ES	Hightower and DES get some great relief. I think DES population relief needs to be the focus given what future year projections show happening to DES over the next 5 years. Now is the time to help DES for the next 5 years.	Leaving DES at 11% while projecting an additional several hundred students in this school over the next few years is a concern.	The new Austin is right at capacity which is a nice luxury for them.	Leaving DES at 11% given what is projected to come into DES over the next few years feels completely negligent to me. Austin is not projecting significant growth over the next five years and no new major construction builds have been approved in their zone.	DES is down to 107% capacity which is the best of the three options.	I am still concerned leaving DES at 107% given future year projections. This feels like the right time to make a significant move that balances these populations for the next five years.	Option 3 feels the fairest, most balanced, and best across the board for the next 5 years.
10/24/2019 16:00	Dunwoody ES				Austin needs to have their share of the overcrowding situation. It is completely unreasonable to leave them without portables when the other schools have them in double digits.			Option 1 that balances the numbers fairly.
10/24/2019 16:07	Dunwoody ES	There is more equity between the schools and less overcrowding in some of the schools.	The overcrowded Dunwoody cluster still has the same number of students. There will still be overcrowding and in a couple of years it will be even worse.	Not okay with this option.	Doesn't provide the necessary relief to the overcrowded schools.	This moves some students out of the Dunwoody cluster. This provides the most relief for DES and allows children the use shared space in the building and out of the trailers.	This option splits up neighborhoods.	Option 3
10/24/2019 16:12	Dunwoody ES							3
10/24/2019 16:13	Dunwoody ES	Decreases the overcrowding at DES	Some families will have to switch schools	Decreases overcrowding at DES	Some families will have to switch schools	Significantly decreases overcrowding at DES	Some families will have to switch schools	Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 16:16	Dunwoody ES	Distribution is equitable. Distribution of portable classrooms are equitable.	Large future residential (new housing) growth area near Perimeter mall area is solely within DES district. This area should be split between DES and Austin.	Provides minimal relief for overcrowding at DES.	Favoring zero portables for Austin over the other schools in the cluster is inequitable. The data is extremely misleading (all options). How can Austin open (no change plan) with 708 students (2019), have 854 students (2021) and require 7 portable classrooms, yet in option 2 they have 940 students (2021) and require 0 portable classrooms.	Provides relief for overcrowding at DES and Hightower.	The split feeder model is less than ideal and creates potential problems for the Dunwoody cluster and the impacted students when those students return to the Dunwoody cluster.	Option 1 is the best option presented, but not ideal. The data is extremely misleading (all options). How can Austin open (no change plan) with 708 students (2019), have 854 students (2021) and require 7 portable classrooms, yet in option 2 they have 940 students (2021) and require 0 portable classrooms.
10/24/2019 16:17	Dunwoody ES	Balances Enrollment to School Capacity is the main driving reason for Option 1 to be select.		Good for Austin only and does not redistribute the load across different schhols		More equitable balancing across schools and matches enrollment to school capacity		Option 3 best serves the students by equitable distributing and matching enrollment to capacity
10/24/2019 16:35	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 16:53	Dunwoody ES					Less overcrowding at DES		Option 3
10/24/2019 17:11	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 17:12	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 17:13	Dunwoody ES				Opening Austin without trailers is not equitable	Provides most relief for DES, the only school in the cluster with projected growth  Austin must open with its share of trailers  Students from multi family and apartment complexes should be districted to Austin to relieve traffic flow from these children coming by car/bus through neighborhood streets (we need relief on Womack - there are no street lights and back up is unbearable)		Option 3 provides most relief to DES and places the most children in brick and mortar buildings (and not trailers). Trailers need to be minimized and cannot be considered a long term solution.
10/24/2019 17:20	Dunwoody ES	Similar utilization of each school	Less potable change		Not fully utilizing new austin ES. Not having portable in only one school is not fair to others	Decrease more potable from most of schools Most close and fair utilization of schools		Option 3, full and fair utilization of each schools with less portable
10/24/2019 17:42	Chesnut ES	The Austin people get their way and everyone else gets screwed. Chesnut works well as a 450 enrollment school. No one complains. Leave Chesnut ALONE, Mr. Drake.	Dunwoody North needs to remain an INTACT COMMUNITY. Our Dunwoody North Civic Association and our pool/swim team include Dunwoody North on BOTH sides of Tilly Mill. Because you changed the name to Dunwoody East is your own doing and does not represent the community. Get it together, Mr. Drake.	The Austin people always get their way. LEAVE CHESNUT ALONE.	Dunwoody North needs to remain an INTACT COMMUNITY. Our Dunwoody North Civic Association and our pool/swim team include Dunwoody North on BOTH sides of Tilly Mill. Because you changed the name to Dunwoody East is your own doing and does not represent the community. Get it together, Mr. Drake.	The Austin people always get their way. Follow the money. LEAVE CHESNUT ALONE	Dunwoody North needs to remain an INTACT COMMUNITY. Our Dunwoody North Civic Association and our pool/swim team include Dunwoody North on BOTH sides of Tilly Mill. Because you changed the name to Dunwoody East is your own doing and does not represent the community. Get it together, Mr. Drake.	NONE. Back to the drawing board, Mr. Drake. Move the DES kids to Kingsley and leave Chesnut alone. (And send some of the Hightower kids to the new Doraville school. Why isn't that in there?)
10/24/2019 17:48	Dunwoody ES							Option 1
10/24/2019 18:19	Dunwoody ES							In looking at the three options, it would appear that option number three would be the best choice.
10/24/2019 18:32	Chesnut ES	I don't see any pros	You are splitting up a neighborhood (dunwoody north) you are taking 43 kids out of a community - you have families that have moved into this neighborhood to attend that school. Plus you are taking kids out of a 183 ranked school and putting them in a 500 ranked school..	You keep the neighborhood and the kids together - these kids grow up together - hangout at the same pool together - it would be wise to keep the neighborhood that has built a very strong knit community together -		Keeping dunwoody north together		I think you should keep neighborhoods together . To split up dunwoody north - a neighborhood with a great community is wrong- and hurtful for the kids - taking them away from their friends and people who they grow up with. We aren't just a neighborhood we are a community.
10/24/2019 18:38	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving community School.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school community	None of these serves the students in the Chesnut/Dunwoody North community.
10/24/2019 19:10	Dunwoody ES					Offers the most relief across all Dunwoody as well as schools in the Doraville		Option 3
10/24/2019 19:14	Dunwoody ES	I really like the balancing across all schools and the more contiguous mapping.	No cons other than a lack of a more permanent solution to overcrowding.	Less student movement I think.	Not as balanced as it should be and unfairly impacts some schools over others.	Less students in the Dunwoody cluster and Doraville cluster gains students	Some students move out of cluster but least con of the options.	Option 3 is likely the best because even the students moving out of the cluster are placed in a better position to succeed. Reducing the overall student population is very beneficial to all schools involved.
10/24/2019 19:41	Dunwoody ES	Less awful windowless trailers. Not affected geographically.	DES still overcrowded and doesn't really solve overcrowding in the long run. Temporary solution.	Less trailers. Not affected geographically.	Still does not create long term solution.	Even less trailers is good. Does not affect me geographically. Makes sense given pervasive overcrowding.		Option 3 is a good option. My kids would still be at DES in all options. Having no trailers is ideal. What about also keeping the old Austin online for a while?
10/24/2019 20:02	Dunwoody ES		It seems odd that Austin just out to B2 are vs just continuing down the western border of the county		Seems like the Austin line should continue south of C for Austin attendance. There should be a balance of apartments among all of the elementary schools and not unusual borders drawn to minimize the number of apartments inat Austin elementary school	These borders seem more uniform and this option relieves the most overcrowding for the cluster. The school being moved out of the cluster seems closer to its new elementary than the current one		Option 3
10/24/2019 20:12	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
10/24/2019 20:21	Dunwoody ES		Does not provide enough relief for DES's problem with instructional capacity or remove enough portables. It also does not take into account projected growth for the DES area.		Very unfairly distributes the problem of lack instructional capacity and projected growth to DES by keeping Austin as small as possible and with no portables. This is a totally unfair distribution of students and seats for schools equal in size.	Provides the most relief of instructional capacity for DES and provides the most relief for projected growth as well as more evenly distributes portables	It does not take into account all the areas with geographic proximity to Austin in the Perimeter Center area where the projected growth is expected. A new map needs to be drawn that encompasses the current footprint and more of the areas with the highest projected growth that are also geographically closer to Austin within the Austin line.	Option 3 but with additional areas with the highest projected growth that are also geographically closer to Austin included in the Austin district.
10/24/2019 20:27	Vanderlyn ES		Chesnut movement in Grid D: 1.1 miles from chestnut/ 2.7 miles from Kingsley 2. Instructional - moving people from 183rd school to 500+ ranked school does not benefit the kids 3. Enrollment - Taking out 43 kids already attending school and replacing it with 25. Chesnut isn't overcrowded. Dunwoody North neighborhood not intact in school		Overcrowding in all schools Negatives for Chesnut already commented in option 1	We're ok having / adding trailers at Vanderlyn, so we can keep our current students.	Segment D shouldn't move out of Vanderlyn to Kingsley. Kingsley doesn't have the same ratings as Vanderlyn. These neighborhoods are a part of our community. Vanderlyn is not so badly overcrowded to do this. Options to move Segment F out of Chesnut to Kingsley is not favorable per option 1 feedback.	Option 1 without moving Dunwoody North to Kingsley. Chesnut isn't overcrowded.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/24/2019 20:45	Dunwoody ES		Smallest reduction in trailers cluster-wide. Doesn't give enough relief to DES-one of the most overcrowded schools with the most growth projected! The Dunwoody North neighborhood needs to stay in tact to support the Chestnut school- it would be a devastating loss for that school to lose half the Dunwoody North community.	Fewest number of students affected.	Not enough relief to DES which is the ONLY school that is projected to keep growing. Section A of the map needs to be much bigger to reduce the number of students at DES and make Austin at capacity. The new living developments near Ashford Dunwoody Rd will be going to DES and we need to prepare for that growth.	Gives the most relief to DES which is the only school with continued projected growth. Gives Hightower much needed relief. Greatest reduction in trailers.	More relief is needed for DES in order to prepare for the new residential developments being built. The apartments on the west side of Ashford Dunwoody Road are in closer proximity to Austin and should be zoned there to reduce the overcrowding at DES. This would simply add a bus, so it would not affect the traffic on Roberts Dr.	Out of the 3, I believe that option 3 is the best as it reduces the amount of portables across the cluster and gives the most relief to DES & Hightower. However, they both need more relief. I believe more students from Hightower should attend the new Doraville school & more should go to Austin as well to prepare for the continued growth at these schools.
10/24/2019 20:53	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
10/24/2019 20:56	Dunwoody ES	Looks good as it balances enrollment		No portables needed				Option 1 and 2 both look okay to us.
10/24/2019 21:07	Dunwoody ES			None	Least relief for DES which is the only school projecting significant growth and is already the most overcrowded.	Provides the most relief to DES which is the only school currently severely overcrowded and the school projecting the most future growth. Provides relief to Hightower and gets the most portables gone! Why do we build these beautiful schools and teach our children in trailers?!!		Option 3. It provides the most relief to those suffering the most. The schools that will pick up said relief are more than capable of picking up the surplus. I will never understand why a community has to shout from the roof tops to get people in power to do the right thing that just anyone looking at a paper and enrollment numbers would be like "Get it? Why is this even a question?"
10/24/2019 21:18	Chesnut ES		This is shuffling deck chairs on the Titanic! All schools are still over crowded. Moving a handful of kids around does more harm than good by breaking up successful neighborhood schools like Chesnut.		How does capacity decrease? Either do a major redistricting overhaul or just stop. Move Des kids to new Austin and call it a day.		Isn't this virtually the same as the others? Just adding in move to doraville United?	None! Go back to the drawing board. There is just no reason to destroy neighborhood schools that work, with high rates of family involvement, just to lower enrollment by a few students per grade.
10/24/2019 21:22	Chesnut ES		You are moving CHESNUT families who have developed relationships with each other and their school. When the overcrowding should have been addressed years ago with new facilities within the same school district.		You are moving CHESNUT families who have developed relationships with each other and their school. When the overcrowding should have been addressed years ago with new facilities within the same school district.		You are moving CHESNUT families who have developed relationships with each other and their school. When the overcrowding should have been addressed years ago with new facilities within the same school district.	None of them.
10/24/2019 21:43	Dunwoody ES	Some relief to other schools.	Not enough relief to other schools.		Austin must have trailers to be equitable.	Offers most relief to overcrowding.		Option 3
10/24/2019 21:43	Chesnut ES	My kids have to make new friends	We loose connection to half our the neighborhood we live in. Longer drive to school drop off	Kids get to make new friends	This divides our neighborhood and my kids won't see most of her friends. Have a long drive to drop off can't walk to school	Make new friends	This divides our neighborhood and my kids won't see most of her friends. Have a long drive to drop off can't walk to school	I am not happy with any of the choices. I want a map where we stay in the chesnut school zone
10/24/2019 21:54	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 21:54	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 22:00	Dunwoody ES							
10/24/2019 22:04	Dunwoody ES	All are more balanced	Everything is still overcrowded		All schools still over crowded	Utilizing Doraville best option	Vanderlyn no relief so should utilizes portables for new austin	Option 3
10/24/2019 22:11	Dunwoody ES	Austin Parents will be happy	EVERYBODY else is overcrowded. This is the Do Nothing plan	Nope	Nope	Fair balance of overcrowding	Austin Parents are going to whine	Redistrict the Middle and High School as well. Move students out of the Dunwoody Cluster to the Chamblee or Cross Keys cluster. Stop putting a band aid over a bullet hole and redistrict already.
10/24/2019 22:31	Dunwoody ES		All still have DES over capacity		Still has DES over capacity	Comes closest to balance for DES		Option 3
10/24/2019 22:35	Dunwoody ES		This option does not provide relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole. DES is still left with the Ashford Dunwoody corridor where new residential development is already planned. This does not create an equal balance among all the schools as all should share in the burden of our population growth and school cluster overcrowding.		This option does not provide relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole. DES is still left with the Ashford Dunwoody corridor where new residential development is already planned. This does not create an equal balance among all the schools as all should share in the burden of our population growth and school cluster overcrowding.	This is the only option that provides relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole by moving children from Hightower ES to the new Doraville United. It also leaves the fewest children in our cluster in trailers. It does the most to alleviate some of the burden DES bears from its massive overcrowding. It also provides the most opportunity for all the schools to share in the burden of the overcrowding.		Option 3 because it is the only option that provides relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole by moving children from Hightower ES to the new Doraville United. It also leaves the fewest children in our cluster in trailers. It does the most to alleviate some of the burden DES bears from its massive overcrowding. It also provides the most opportunity for all the schools to share in the burden of
10/24/2019 22:48	Dunwoody ES	Balanced student population and less portables.						Option 1
10/24/2019 23:13	Dunwoody ES					This is my preferred option. Fewer students allow for improvements across all fronts- better teacher to student ratio, less portable classrooms.	None	Option 3
10/25/2019 1:05	Dunwoody ES							
10/25/2019 1:48	Dunwoody ES							Option #3 is my first choice, or option #2 as the second choice.
10/25/2019 4:36	Dunwoody ES	doesn't break up neighborhoods	still not enough movement, some children are too far away from closest school		not moving enough students out of dunwoody		Doravill united sudenly only has 100 students? and you've shifted the overcrowding at dunwoody to be over crowded at austin	There needs to be a new school int he cluster but needs to draw from the schools that are 20% r more overcrowded
10/25/2019 5:50	Dunwoody ES	I like this option as it more evenly distributes the enrollment. Less portables than other options.		Better enrollment balance and less portables.			Do not like this option. Selfishly, this would require that my son moves to Kingsley. I have worked so hard over the past 2+ years to get him settled at DES with special assistance for his learning challenges. It is finally doing better, and I hate to have to start all over.	Option 1
10/25/2019 7:51	Chesnut ES		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.		Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.
10/25/2019 7:52	Dunwoody ES	Better balance between Austin and DES	Does not address future crowding at DES from new development along Hammond Drive.	None.	It is completely unfair to have 11-trailers and over-burdened facilities at DES and Vanderlyn and none at Austin. This screams of socio-economic injustice and racial preference. This is an absolutely ridiculous option. The fact that this was even presented as an option is farical and an embarrassment to the county.	Somewhat balanced.	Doesn't address general overcrowding in the cluster - build another school. Doesn't address future overcrowding at DES from new development on Hammond Drive.	Option 3 is the most balanced and fair, just looking at the facts of the resulting enrollment and trailers. However, none of the options address the CURRENT overcrowding and the FUTURE overcrowding that will come to DES from development on Hammond drive.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/25/2019 8:01	Dunwoody ES							I would like to suggest that the redistricting should impact only PreK - 4th Grade only for the year 2020-21. Students starting 5th grade in year 2020-21 should be allowed to attend their current schools if they still want to as that is the most critical year in elementary school. They have known only a certain school environment for 4 years and a sudden change might impact their scores negatively
10/25/2019 8:16	Dunwoody ES		Does little to relieve the over crowding problem at des.		Does little to relieve the over crowding at des	Moves the most out of des which is extremely over crowded	While this moves the most students from des, it only begins to address the overcrowding issue.	
10/25/2019 8:28	Dunwoody ES	Relieves burden of overcrowding in DES significantly. Also			Austin Elementary not having portables and still not helping with burden of overcrowding at other schools, especially DES.	Relieving overcrowding to DES and also shifting the numbers between the different schools to get a more balanced attendance for all.	Dissecting existing neighborhoods to different school zones.	Option 1 or option 3 serves best.
10/25/2019 8:29	Dunwoody ES					This option brings the biggest relief to DES.	I don't understand how adding another Elementary is going to help PCMS or Dunwoody High overcrowding. Send Hightower and Doraville to another Middle and Highschool	3
10/25/2019 8:30	Dunwoody ES	5 less portables than currently Equitable portable to Austin	Not enough relief to overcrowding at dunwoody es and Hightower.		Not enough overcrowding relief for dunwoody es and Hightower. Austin not equitable in utilization vs other schools.	Best option. Provides most relief for dunwoody overcrowding. And most trailer reduction. Provides most relief for Des and Hightower.	Hightower could have more relief if more students went to doraville es. And then redistricting down to other regions could start from the region above it. Fill empty seats in the south of the county or consolidate schools and close schools.	Option 3
10/25/2019 8:34	Vanderlyn ES		About the same # going into Vanderlyn as taking out so no change . Very little relief in portables		Not balanced as Austin has 0 portables and Vanderlyn keeps 11 actually increasing enrollment	Overall best relief for portables in the cluster. Only fair for Austin to share in some of the overcrowding. This is the next solution for the cluster as a whole.	Vanderlyn does not get much relief . Could move a small amount to Austin to even it out even more	Option 3
10/25/2019 8:58	Dunwoody ES	Better dispersal of student population, most overcrowded schools (Dunwoody and Hightower) moves the most students, better traffic pattern on North Peachtree		better dispersal of student population	Chestnut district expanding across Shallowford Road creates unfavorable traffic pattern; School with newest infrastructure will be 10% under capacity while Chestnut, Dunwoody, and Vanderlyn will continue to be massively overcrowded	Better balance of student population across all schools allows for more flexibility as population grows in the future	Massive Kingsley district is funneling traffic to a school buried in a residential area	Option 1
10/25/2019 9:10	Chesnut ES		Area D is part of Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Option 1 breaks up the neighborhood. Moreover, Area D is closer to Chesnut than it is to Kingsley. Many kids from area D walk or ride their bikes to Chesnut. This will not be possible if rezoned for Kingsley.		Like Option 1. Area D is part of Dunwoody North Neighborhood, and this option splits the neighborhood. Students in Area D are closer to Chesnut. Moving them to Kingsley would limit their ability to walk or bike to school as they do to Chesnut.		All 3 of these options split Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Area F is part of Dunwoody North Neighborhood, and this option splits the neighborhood. Students in Area F are closer to Chesnut. Moving them to Kingsley would limit their ability to walk or bike to school as they do to Chesnut.	None. Don't break up neighborhoods. Don't send students further than necessary.
10/25/2019 9:16	Dunwoody ES	Reduced # of portable classrooms	Overcrowding not relieved	Reduced portables	Still overcrowded	Moving kids out of the cluster will not only help overcrowding at the elementary schools but also at the middle and high schools	Less portables than option 1 and 2 but still overcrowded	Option 3
10/25/2019 9:24	Dunwoody ES	Ease of bus routing	Change of school in the middle of year, should be planned from new academic year		Change of school in the middle of year, should be planned from new academic year		Change of school in the middle of year, should be planned from new academic year	Either is fine but not during middle of acedemic year.
10/25/2019 9:42	Dunwoody ES				Not enough students moved to Austin. Leaves DES overcrowded with growth projections placing too much burden on DES	More equitable sharing of apartments		3
10/25/2019 10:05	Dunwoody ES					Most relief for DES. Keeps neighborhoods (Village Mill) at their neighboring elemenraty school.		Option 3
10/25/2019 10:15	Chesnut ES	None	Splitting our neighborhood in half.	None	Splitting our neighborhood in half.	None	Splitting our neighborhood in half.	There is not an option that works best.  These are YOUR criteria that are not met by these options when it pertains to Chesnut. 1. Geographic Proximity 1. Safety and Traffic Patterns 2. Instructional Capacity 3. Projected Enrollment 4. School Feeder Alignment 5. Intact Neighborhoods
10/25/2019 10:29	Chesnut ES	Spreading the overcapacity across the cluster is the right thing to do.	Chesnut does not want to lose half of the Dunwoody North neighborhood to the east of Tilly Mill. Dunwoody North is one neighborhood that utilizes the same civic association and neighborhood swim/tennis facility. It seems more critical to ease the Dunwoody, Vanderlyn and/or Hightower overcrowding with the capacity at Kingsley. The capacity number for Chesnut seems absurdly low.		Overcapacity should be spread across the cluster. Dunwoody North is one neighborhood that crosses Tilly Mill Road. This should not be split. Excess capacity at Kingsley would be better used to ease overcrowding at Dunwoody, Vanderlyn or Hightower. Chesnut capacity of 358 seems too low.	I think it is important to look cross clusters for redistricting. However, I believe that should not result in split feeders. A further step here would be to also look at the excess capacity from the new Pleasantdale to try to balance across all 3 areas that are redistricting.	Overcapacity should be spread across the cluster. Dunwoody North is one neighborhood that crosses Tilly Mill Road. This should not be split. Capacity at Kingsley would be better used to ease overcrowding at DES, Vanderlyn or Hightower. Chesnut capacity of 358 seems too low. No split feeders - ES redistricting should result in MS and HS changes also. A new high school must be the short term priori	I believe Options 1 or 3 best serve the cluster. Option 2 only benefits Austin.
10/25/2019 10:32	Dunwoody ES							Option 1 and 4
10/25/2019 10:58	Dunwoody ES							
10/25/2019 11:01	Dunwoody ES	Dunwoody moves to Austin is good	AUSTIN has very few apartments in it's new drawing. Should be evenly dispersed.	No pros	Austin doesnt get any apartments.	Hightower moves kids and gets some relief. Du woody trailers diminish.	Austin still does not get apartments	None. Austin should have to receive more apartments in the reorg. It's not fair to Dunwoody ES which has the lion's share of them right now.
10/25/2019 11:21	Dunwoody ES	Geographic Proximity- All the redistricting appears to be consistent with geographic proximity. I don't see any oddly shaped districts and it looks like the changes "make sense". Instructional capacity - All the utilization numbers drop fairly and don't favor one school over another. Projected enrollment - it decreases high enrollments at the largest schools as far as utilization.	Geographic Proximity- Any time you redistrict, people will be moved. That's not ideal. Instructional capacity - Vanderlyn and Kingsley will need additional relief in the future. Projected enrollment - it doesn't lower the total number of children in the Dunwoody cluster.	Geographic proximity - the moves appear to be consistent with smart process and reason.	Instructional capacity - It unfairly rewards one school over the other schools because they don't want trailers. No one wants trailers, why is Austin special? This won't increase instructional capacity like Option 1 or 3. Projected enrollment - Again, this has a smaller relief of decreased attendance, just to favor Austin. This is an inequitable balance of resources for capacity and enrollment.	Instructional capacity - This option offers the overall utilization numbers at all the schools in the Dunwoody cluster the highest reduction. Projected enrollment - This reduces the projected enrollment at the highest level. This is the best option for everyone in the Dunwoody cluster except those Hightower families.	Geographic proximity - This is difficult because there will be children who will pass Hightower ES on their way to Doraville United ES. This is also an issue with intact neighborhoods and school feeder alignment. I realize these children will still go to Peachtree and Dunwoody, but many of their classmates will not.	Option 1 & Option 3 are the only choices. Option 1 may be the most equitable - option 3 would give the greatest amount of relief. Option 2 is not equitable. It does the least for instructional capacity and projected enrollment. It is also based on a single issue (trailers)- Austin families don't want trailers. Option 2 does the least to affect the 3 primary criteria and should not be considered.
10/25/2019 11:28	Dunwoody ES	Most Balanced. Most Reasonable.	Splitting up Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Removing single family homes from Chesnut and affecting their PTA and funding. This is very wrong. Same number can be achieved by moving apartments instead. I am unaffected by this, but simply think it's very wrong.	None	Not balanced. Austin should have portables like all the other schools.	Relieves all schools the most. Students moving to Doraville united actually live in Doraville.	Too many students moves. Split Feeder not good for students (they should continue in the Chamblee/cross keys path). Too many moves in Dunwoody.	Option 1 is the best with small changes, move apartments to Kingsley instead of splitting Dunwoody North.
10/25/2019 11:40	Dunwoody ES		This is temporary solution, Dunwoody needs a new Elementary and Middle School.		This is temporary solution, Dunwoody needs a new Elementary and Middle School.	Relieves the most DES Removes the most Trailer	This is temporary solution, Dunwoody needs a new Elementary and Middle School.	Option 3 in my opinion serves best, but just temporarily. We need a new school!

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/25/2019 11:51	Chesnut ES	Helps with overcrowding at all schools except Vanderlyn	Breaks up Dunwoody North neighborhood (DNCA and DNDC membership area) into two districts, adds trailers at Kingsley and doesn't eliminate trailers from any school, and doesn't help Vanderlyn	Helps with overcrowding at all schools except Vanderlyn, eliminates trailers at Austin	Breaks up Dunwoody North neighborhood (DNCA and DNDC membership area) into two districts, add trailers at Kingsley, and doesn't help Vanderlyn	Best rebalancing of the three options	Breaks up Dunwoody North neighborhood (DNCA and DNDC membership area) into two districts, Vanderlyn still way over capacity, and doesn't fully utilize Doraville United ES	3, but I am opposed to all three breaking up the Dunwoody North neighborhood for Geographic Proximity, Safety and Traffic Patterns (students can cross Tilly Mill from Andover to Dunkerrin to walk to school but have to walk ON Tilly Mill and much farther to Kingsley), Intact Neighborhoods, and Efficient and economical operations that follow keeping the neighborhood together.
10/25/2019 12:18	Vanderlyn ES	There is no pro for Vanderlyn students	The school remains overcrowded	None	Vanderlyn becomes more crowded	No pros	This is the worst scenario for Vanderlyn as it remains overcrowded and students are still moved to a lesser quality school	None of these are strong options. I suggest building an additional elementary school in the area
10/25/2019 12:25	Dunwoody ES	Less portables. More balance of over enrollment. More equality for all Dunwoody residents.	Could appear like over utilization is focused predominantly in areas where properties have lower value. Some may claim discrimination. Also, Vanderlyn is super overcrowded--we need to consider that.	One less portable.	Seems to largely target apartment homes and multifamily units. Seems like discrimination. Why no portables in Austin? We all pay taxes and should share the burden of overcrowded schools. This decision would stir up the neighborhood. It's the worst out of the three options.	This would benefit almost everyone the most. Hightower has terrible ratings and needs to be smaller. Most of the schools would share the burden of being overcrowded.	Vanderlyn still has the same issue--a lot more portables than the others. How do we solve this?	Balance and equality for all students in Dunwoody.
10/25/2019 12:47	Dunwoody ES	All schools get portables as opposed to just some schools. Spread out the areas with apartments more equally - don't just have almost all the apartment complexes in DES. this will help with the enrollment	Traffic will be worse on Roberts drive, But traffic is bad everywhere.....	Some relief for very crowded schools	Some relief but still leaving DES with over 10 portables. Doesn't seem fair when they need the most relief. Portables are not ideal anywhere but all schools should share that burden and not just some while others get none.	This is the BEST option. If gives the most relief to the very over crowded schools PLUS eliminates the most portables!	Some Vanderlyn families will not be happy moving to Kingsley.....	Option #3. The best solution is always to build more schools as opposed to moving students around
10/25/2019 12:52	Chesnut ES		Splitting a neighborhood		Splitting a neighborhood		Splitting a neighborhood	
10/25/2019 13:26	Vanderlyn ES	It the most fair as all schools in the Dunwoody cluster will be at similar utilization levels. Balancing school enrollment like this should be the primary goal of redistricting. This option also does not seem to have a major impact on any traffic patterns.		Least amount of students moving schools, which is highly important as that is a major change to ask of children, so the less affected the better. Also doesn't appear to have any major impact on traffic patterns.			Impacts the most children, this is a major issue. Also doesn't make sense to expand Kingsley westward into the Vanderlyn district as this could affect traffic patterns. Expanding the geographic footprint of Kingsley this way could also have major impact on future over-enrollment as new families move to Dunwoody and displace empty nesters in many of the neighborhoods impacted here.	
10/25/2019 14:05	Dunwoody ES	None	Poor alignment - overcrowding at DES	More fair alignment	None	Best alignment for the schools with weighted towards newer schools	None	Option 3
10/25/2019 14:40	Dunwoody ES	Good balance for all.	Safety issue due Portable!		Not balance! New Austin need at least 3/4 portable to relief all others.	Good relief for Dunwoody ES		Option 3
10/25/2019 14:44	Dunwoody ES		Are we doing what's best for everyone or only for Austin? How can you let one school not have any portables when every other school would have portables? Option 2 makes no sense if we're looking at everyone as equals.		Again, why is Austin being treated at a different level then all the other dunwoody cluster schools? Just b/c they are hiding behind the argument then they don't want to set the precedent that its ok for a school to open with portables? Why should the students at the other schools suffer so that Austin is taken care of?	Spreads around portables equally. By far the best option.		Are we doing this for all the students in the Dunwoody Cluster or only the kids that go to Austin. Why should we keep more kids in portables at all the other schools so that the students at Austin don't have to be in a portable? We'll know the answer to this question by which map is chose.
10/25/2019 14:44	Dunwoody ES							
10/25/2019 15:09	Dunwoody ES	I like this option. Some students will be closer to a school than they are now (Zone G for example). Those moving would not cause any more congestion on the roads with transport as numbers are not moving in great numbers.	Zones E, F and G will be farther from school.	It seems similar to option 1....but Option 1 is better.	Perhaps greater transportation and congestion issues. It seems that it is not best to move zones D & F to a school when there are two others closer than Kingsley. Moving Zone E from DES to CES will be problematic for transport across Shallowford in the mornings.	Like this option. Logical. Seems to solve some issues.	Perhaps Zone C should go to Austin as well given that Zone B is going to Austin. This will keep neighborhoods together and reduce the need for more buses to 2 different schools.	1 or 3 ....but prefer 3
10/25/2019 16:07	Dunwoody ES	Dunwoody ES gets significant relief.	Every single school is set to be over crowded. Including your brand new building.	You have one less portable. keeping cost down and your new school has at least one year of relieve before overcrowding occurs.	Dunwoody ES still has significant problem.	Spreading density over additional schools.	Still have overcrowding everywhere. Distance for kids to go to Doraville United.	Option 3.
10/25/2019 16:31	Dunwoody ES	Relieves a large quantity of students from DES to Austin.	Option B2 sends 21 students from Vanderlyn to Austin. Option C sends 22 students from DES to Vanderlyn. This doesn't make sense. Keep the students in B2 at Vanderlyn and send the 22 students in C to Austin. Ashworth is in C and the residents want to make the move from DES to Austin. Ashworth is less than 1 mile from Austin. Ashford Center Pkwy should be the dividing line for Austin/Vanderlyn.	None	C should move from DES to Austin. Austin needs more students to attend it. Ashworth is in C and the residents want to make the move from DES to Austin. Ashworth is less than 1 mile from Austin. Ashford Center Pkwy should be the dividing line for Austin/VES. North of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Ashworth) goes to Austin. South of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Jefferson Apts) goes to Vanderlyn.	School attendance is more balanced across the cluster. This option also sends more students to Austin.	C should move from DES to Austin. Austin needs more students to attend it. Ashworth is in C and the residents want to make the move from DES to Austin. Ashworth is less than 1 mile from Austin. Ashford Center Pkwy should be the dividing line for Austin/VES. North of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Ashworth) goes to Austin. South of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Jefferson Apts) goes to Vanderlyn.	Option 1. This option disrupts the cluster the least, while also balancing student enrollment. We vote for option 1, but instead moving C (Ashworth) to Austin and keeping B1 at Vanderlyn.
10/25/2019 18:01	Dunwoody ES							
10/25/2019 18:26	Dunwoody ES	This provides relief for DES while balancing enrollment. All schools get trailers	This still doesn't address projected growth due to current apartment complexes which are being built. Quite frankly everything west of Ashford Dunwoody should be moved to Austin. The county is trying to save face while opening the school under capacity. The cluster is crowded and all schools need to share in the overcrowding.	Least disruption.	I can't believe that the county listed this as an option. This is a slap in the face to the overcrowded schools in the Cluster. This shows favoritism to Austin. Austin doesn't have trailers is the main issue. Austin has open seats while everyone else is overcrowded. Austin doesn't have equality in regards to students associated with mobility.	Finally the county is thinking outside of the box. Provides the most relief to DES. Shares the trailers. The larger schools Austin, DES and Doraville United share students based on capacity.	A lot of movement. Still doesn't address mobility. We all pay taxes in the county and the choices outlined by the county aren't equitable	We need another school. Charter schools have been able to find spaces. If I have to vote on an option, I would go for 3. Option 2 is discriminatory and inequitable.
10/25/2019 18:32	Dunwoody ES							
10/25/2019 18:38	Austin ES		Portable at Austin To many Students relocated to austin	No portables at Austin The least amount of students moved at all schools.			To many portable at Austin To many students relocated.	Option #2
10/25/2019 19:17	Vanderlyn ES	Evenly spreads out the over-crowding across the cluster			Austin does not absorb any of the over-crowding of the cluster Vanderlyn becomes even more over-capacity	Addresses more long term over-crowding in the cluster by pushing kids to Doraville cluster Reduces the portables in the cluster by the largest amount	Affects the most kids Does not respect the feeder 'lines' into middle/high schools - kids being pushed to Doraville for ES will come back into the cluster for middle and high and have to reform friends?	Option 3
10/25/2019 19:53	Vanderlyn ES	Most equitable distribution of students		Least movement of students, large groups of students moving from each school to keep community	Not equitable distribution for austin		Most negative impact for students with largest amount of movement, moves students to a new feeder pattern	I think high school redistributing needs to be considered prior to elementary needs
10/25/2019 20:27	Dunwoody ES				Worst option for relief from Dunwoody ES	Relieves the overcrowding at Dunwoody ES. This is the best option!!		Option 3. The spread of students across all elementary schools is now equal and fair.
10/25/2019 21:17	Dunwoody ES	Relief for dunwoody elementary Less trailers	Austin needs to take on more kids Keep Kids on Tilly Mill side of DES with DES. They can walk to school now, they can't if moved to Kingsley	No pros Austin needs to take in more kids to help DES with overcrowding	Austin with ZERO PORTABLES IS NOT ACCEPTABLE!!! If the rest of us have to suffer then they need to have some of the load. Worst option by far.	Best option for most amount of kids moves out of DES and spread to other dunwoody schools. Less portables for DES also.	Keep the kids on DES side of Tilly Mill with DES. They can walk to school right now, if the change, they have to cross Tilly mill rd to get to Kingsley	Option 3
10/26/2019 0:43	Dunwoody ES							
10/26/2019 4:44	Kingsley ES							Option 3 Doraville Relief

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/26/2019 8:16	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at the new Austin ES. Does not provide enough relief for forecast of Dunwoody ES. No cluster relief. Dunwoody Forest West neighborhood is split.	This option has the fewest students and families being moved/impacted.		The cross cluster move increases capacity for the Dunwoody cluster.	This plan is the most disruption, relocating the most kids. It splits Vanderlyn ES, disrupting their community. It splits Chestnut ES, disrupting their community. Proposal moves kids to and from Vanderlyn ES but serves no benefit.	Option 2. Is there another option that serves the Cluster?
10/26/2019 8:49	Dunwoody ES	Load is somewhat distributed among schools.	Dunwoody North Community is split and removed from Chesnut.		Less relief for DES; all schools should share trailer load and be equally at capacity of 117%	This is the only option that reduced the over capacity in the cluster and at an economically disadvantaged school. This gives some Hightower students a brand new school to attend, and a good idea. DES and HT get trailer relief.		None represent the needs perfectly. Mission should be to: 1) distribute trailer load among all schools 2) reduce enrollment for cluster (move some Hightower students to Doraville United that will serve them and they will not be further put at disadvantage) 3) Keep neighborhood together like Dunwoody North
10/26/2019 9:09	Dunwoody ES		Does not provide enough relief for DES.		Does not provide enough relief for DES. Does not fill New Austin to capacity.	Provides the most relief for Dunwoody Elementary with 239 students.		Option 3
10/26/2019 10:43	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
10/26/2019 11:11	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
10/26/2019 15:03	Dunwoody ES	The load is shared better among the Dunwoody schools (more transient population in apartment complexes creates a further strain on DES; trailers should be divided equally among the schools)	Peachtree Middle and Dunwoody high still receive a high number of Hightower kids- they should be redistricted to Chamblee high	None whatsoever, ridiculous and insulting. Austin cannot function as the only school without trailers	It's not ok for Austin to operate as the only school without trailers- DES is strained beyond belief, many trailers and very little backyard space. We pay taxes just as Austin parents do so they can't remain a small school while all other school are overcrowded. All the new apartments planned for the next 10 years are still zoned for DES, so there needs to be thinking ahead	Best option for the Dunwoody cluster, Dunwoody high needs to be relieved by more Hightower kids going to Chamblee high. This option will help with that with Doraville United. This is the best option in the long run and it considers the future growth of Dunwoody with hundreds of new apartments planned for the next 10 years		3
10/26/2019 16:04	Dunwoody ES	Helps reduce overcrowding at Dunwoody ES						
10/26/2019 16:51	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and eliminates some of the trailers		Least students being moved, keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools			Most disruption of kids relocated, splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES, does not benefit anyone, positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. adversely affects traffic/safety issues for all, Oxford Chase will have very dangerous left turn on Mt.Vernon in morning and no side walks/cross walks for safe walking to school.	2
10/26/2019 17:06	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it eliminates some of the trailers	Not enough relief for forecast growth for Dunwoody ES	Fewest students being moved/disrupted, keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools			Most kids disrupted, splits communities of both Vanderlyn & Chesnut, VERY dangerous traffic & walking patterns given new school assignments.	2
10/26/2019 17:08	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced Enrollment Trailers equitably split among schools Eliminates some trailers	does not provide enough release for Dunwoody ES	Fewest students moved, less disruption to the students 10 trailers removed from all schools	none	none	Most disruptions and changes for the students Traffic safety issues for students and commuters - its difficult to turn left on Mt Vernon Rd in the morning for those in Oxford Chase Lack of sidewalks and crosswalks for students to get to Kingley from Oxford Chase (much safer for those students to make the trip to Vanderlyn)	Option 2 has the most positives and fewest drawbacks. Communes are safer for the students and ther will be less disruption.
10/26/2019 17:09	Vanderlyn ES	all schools balanced, and eliminates some trailers		Fewest students disrupted, keeps most ES communities intact, trailers removed from all schools			Total idiocy given how this would screw up traffic patterns on Mt Vernon during rush hour and not give the kids any safe passage to new schools	option 2 - best outcome with least upset
10/26/2019 17:39	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, equitable trailer distribution while still reducing total.	No overall cluster relief. Need a new school, not to move kids.	Smallest disruption, maintains Vanderlyn's community, big trailer removal.	None.	Improves cluster utilization but at a ridiculous overall cost that far outweighs any benefit as constructed.	Most disruptions with 10% of kids moving, wrecks Vanderlyn and Chestnut communities, part D helps no one but instead suffers from proximity and safety issues (no sidewalks, twice as far, impossible left turn into traffic). Moves some kids across 285 meaning they can't walk or bike to school.	1
10/26/2019 18:37	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster	Doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary.	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary.	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms.	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster.	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic
10/26/2019 20:02	Dunwoody ES	does not truly help with overcrowding	does not truly help with overcrowding	does not provide enough relief for the overcrowded DES	does not provide enough relief for the overcrowded DES	The best option given the overcrowding at DES!		
10/26/2019 22:20	Chesnut ES							Not breaking up the Dunwoody north neighborhood
10/26/2019 22:22	Chesnut ES	While we reject all three options, option 1 is more equitable than option 2 - why should Austin be the only school that doesn't have portables and that isn't over capacity?	You are not giving Briers North Drive residents ANY choices - all three options are the same for our children and ignore our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association. We challenge your Chesnut capacity numbers of 358 (it was 450 for years). Ultimately, a new ES in Dunwoody is needed to solve the overcrowding issue in a sustainable manner.	REJECTED - only pros are for Austin, while everyone else shares a heavier burden	REJECTED. You are not giving Briers North Drive residents ANY choices - all three options are the same for our children and ignore our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association. We challenge your Chesnut capacity numbers of 358 (it was 450 for years). Ultimately, a new ES in Dunwoody is needed to solve the overcrowding issue in a sustainable way	While we reject all three options (Dunwoody needs longterm solutions to overcrowding which can only be solved with a new school), this option at least shares the overcapacity more equally among all our current schools.	You are not giving Briers North Drive residents ANY choices - all three options are the same for our children and ignore our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association. We challenge your Chesnut capacity numbers of 358 (it was 450 for years). Ultimately, a new ES in Dunwoody is needed to solve the overcrowding issue in a sustainable manner.	We need to ensure ALL students can thrive (vs having some schools that thrive while others fail due to being overburdened). The CCRPI is a good indicator to monitor for instance. It doesn't serve us to have some schools with high % of econ. disadv. students while others barely have any - schools must be sufficiently supported to make sure ALL students can thrive. Chesnut is a great example.
10/26/2019 23:19	Dunwoody ES	Reducing overcrowding at DES		None	All other schools in the cluster are still overcrowded and working out of portable classrooms. Austin should share some of the burdens that all other schools carry	The best option. Seems to do a better job of reducing overcrowding while keeping students and portables equally distributed throughout the cluster.		Option 3
10/27/2019 8:28	Vanderlyn ES		Less relief for Dunwoody elementary Splits north Dunwoody neighborhood and this community supports chestnut and would be devastating to that school		Less relief for Dunwoody ES	Help even out portables and helps move the most students	Moves a lot of students	Whatever helps with overcrowding the best.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/27/2019 8:40	Vanderlyn ES	None.	How do you see this as an option when all of the schools are still way over enrollment?	Austin wouldn't have trailers.	How is this fair that one school should have no trailers while all the others in the cluster our way over enrolled?	This provides a lot of relief to Hightower Elementary.	All of the elementary schools are still over enrollment and, though this would provide relief for Hightower Elementary, you are not providing relief to PCMS or DHS by allowing those students to come back to middle school and high school.	DCS do you needs to do a massive rolling redistricting around the county and needs to build another elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster. Of course, these should've happened years ago, but better late than never!
10/27/2019 9:04	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster	Doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody elementary	Provides some relief for dunwoody elementary	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody cluster	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill / North Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill rd. Section E should remain zoned to DES due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhood.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned for Austin ES. By doing so, DES zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill rd. Keeping option 3, section E with DES would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe, and not increase traffic.
10/27/2019 10:52	Vanderlyn ES		Vanderlyn is already inundated with kids from Ashford Dunwoody. Families purposely move to apartments to go to Vanderlyn and then move away before DHS		Ashford Dunwoody should not even be a part of this district		This option is the least desirable since The Woodlands home prices are heavily based on Vanderlyn school district, Kingsley would lower that tremendously. I attended Vanderlyn from my current home in the early 80's and my kids went there from the same address.	One or two with an emphasis on the fact that Ashford Dunwoody apartments were the last to be added to this cluster. Homes in Dunwoody proper should not be impacted.
10/27/2019 11:04	Dunwoody ES						Why are we just focusing on apartments? Should we also be concerned with ensuring that our schools are diverse both economically and keep multicultural schools intact.	Option 2
10/27/2019 11:25	Vanderlyn ES						This would hurt the resale value of our home	Leave it alone
10/27/2019 11:29	Vanderlyn ES	the redistricting is not too much different than the original attending areas.			people that live very close to Vanderlyn would still have to attend Kingsley.		Kingsley has too much redistricted to itself.	Option 1 and 2 are both good options for the students in Dunwoody.
10/27/2019 11:33	Dunwoody ES	At least it doesn't do what the last redistributing did and force us and our neighbors to go to different schools	Austin is once again favored in this map because they hardly have any students compared to the other schools, especially Dunwoody Elementary. These are all public schools and none of them should be treated like country club schools.	Commities are close together	Austin and DES were built to hold the same amount of schools, yet, DES will be the one with the most trailers, the way this is written. Austin should be a bigger district. These are PUBLIC schools, not private.	Communities stay together	Kingsley is not set up to absorb that many more students. Austin should have to absorb many more students. Why is a new school built to hold a small amount when we knew the amount of need was so much greater? This division needs to be more equitable with Austin Public absorbing more students, too.	None. Please use some tax dollars to build a new school or draw these lines equitably. The newest school can hold more people than any of these maps.
10/27/2019 11:43	Dunwoody ES	It makes sense and it is more balanced than other option. Finally we see a reasonable mapping coming out after all those bad years.	Not really.	Still more balanced than what we currently have. But not as good as option 1.	It is not as balanced as it should be. Austin should take more.	Better than current. But not as good as option 1.	Not as balanced as option 1.	Option 1.
10/27/2019 11:51	Dunwoody ES	Correct the current unbalance among Dunwoody schools. All changes make sense in terms of the distances to new assigned schools. In particular, areas A, B1, B2, and C are closer to new schools.	None	This is not as good as Option 1 but still better than current map. The moves of areas B and C make sense on traffic.	The Austin has an unfairly low enrollment. More students from DES and Vanderlyn should be moved to Austin.	It balances the enrollments of all schools. The movement of A, B, C also make senses on traffic.		Option 1
10/27/2019 13:06	Chestnut ES	None	Divides Dunwoody North Neighborhood. The kids will be going to a farther school, disrupting traffic and financial means for Chestnut.	None	Divides Dunwoody North Neighborhood. The kids will be going to a farther school, disrupting traffic and financial means for Chestnut.	None	Divides Dunwoody North Neighborhood. The kids will be going to a farther school, disrupting traffic and financial means for Chestnut.	Keeping neighborhoods together.
10/27/2019 13:10	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools and it does eliminate some trailers	Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of DES, no overall cluster relief, and Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted, keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools		Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody cluster but there are too many cons	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids), splits Vanderlyn and Chestnut communities. Option 3 map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for all involved, for example, Oxford Chase will have extremely dangerous left turn on Mt Vernon in morning and no sidewalks/cross walks	Option 1 and 2
10/27/2019 13:10	Dunwoody ES	Some relief to DES, equitable to all Schools	All schools appear to be over capacity?	Better than doing nothing	Not moving enough students to Austin at expense of overcrowding	None	Forces students on Peeler and Luray to cross majors roads to get to school can't walk to school anymore. DES is so close to families in those subdivisions. It's part of the neighborhood.	Option 1 appears to be the least worst solution, but none of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem well. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. This would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic.
10/27/2019 13:19	Dunwoody ES	It's fair for all schools in the cluster	Doesn't provide relief for Dunwoody Elementary's overcrowding	Provides some relief to Dunwoody elementary	Not a fair distribution of students and portable trailers	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary.	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase
10/27/2019 13:27	Vanderlyn ES	Keeps Oxford Chase in Vanderlyn		Keeps Oxford Chase in Vanderlyn			Dangerous and traffic nightmare for Oxford Chase. We have only one way out through Mt. Vernon. There is no traffic light and no turn lane for a left turn and limited visibility.	Move as few students as possible. Moving students is much worse than more trailers.
10/27/2019 13:38	Dunwoody ES	Areas/changes A, B1, B2 and C all make for traffic patterns that make much more sense than the current lines.	Dunwoody Elementary will remain overcrowded and still keep all the property on which residential growth is planned.		Dunwoody Elementary needs much more relief than this, as the only school projected to keep growing. Whatever overcrowded figure is written in for 2021, will increase in the years after, putting DES in a dangerous position of overcrowding that impacts its ability to continue to perform well.	This option provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by sending Hightower kids to Doraville United.  This option leaves the fewest students in trailers.  Areas A, B and C all make for traffic patterns that make much more sense than the current lines		Definitely option 3. Fewest students in trailers which is crucial because trailers are not proper learning environments for kids. Options 1 and 2 do NOT address the overcrowding of the CLUSTER AS A WHOLE. Neither options 1 nor 2 send a single student from the Dunwoody Cluster to Cross Keys/Chamblee.
10/27/2019 13:47	Dunwoody ES					helps lower the overcrowding of High Tower Elementary	Still more new development will cause DES to be overcrowded	The new Austin needs to take on the most students it can since DES can not fit any more students. High Tower needs to move to new Doraville elementary ! DeKalb needs to build a new Doraville High School to help ease overcrowding at Dunwoody High School!!!

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/27/2019 13:52	Vanderlyn ES	Does the best job of balancing enrollment across all existing schools.	Does not address the underlying problem, this district needs a whole new school to absorb the 646 students it currently doesn't have seats for! Displaces 350 kids from their current school by shifting students from one to another without really addressing the individual school's overcrowding (ex. Vanderlyn). Would be great to plan on expanding Vanderlyn's capacity in the mid-long term.	Disrupts the fewest students from their current home schools and neighborhoods. Results in the greatest reduction in trailers per student impacted across all schools.	Why is Chestnut's school capacity so low? They are reporting it has been messed with! And why is that school losing 1/2 it's charter leadership in all three options? Why not leave all schools alone except New Austin and DE to balance their seats against their capacities on the north end of the district??? Then commit to building another school to resolve this once and for all!	Reduces overcrowding by 1/6 for district (temporarily). Still does not address middle or high school overcrowding and still leaves 540 kids that need seats at elementary school level for the districts - almost the same number of kids being displaced for NO district benefit overall.	Displaces the most amount of students from each school and splits established communities, splits the poorest HE students out for elementary years (they are not throw-away kids!), safety issue (turning left out of Oxford Chase onto Mount Vernon is near impossible during school hours for anyone; bus, cars, walkers, bikers), no sidewalks to KE from CE or VE students moved to KE	2, if I had to pick one. But as I said, a better option for now is to reduce the overall impact on the district by focusing on NA and DE seats only and commit to building a new school or expanding a school like VE in the future to address the 646 seats we lack in the district as a whole!
10/27/2019 14:13	Dunwoody ES	Efficient- everyone has portables	-Dunwoody North cut in half -classroom utilization- not enough students sent to Kingsley since numbers don't reflect the 3 open classes they'll have		-classroom utilization- not enough students sent to Kingsley since numbers don't reflect the 3 open classes they'll have -adds to overcrowded Vanderlyn	-lowers enrollment at all schools -helps most stressed schools -lowest utilization rate -helps with DES traffic	-Doraville dependent since they're also doing redistricting -classroom utilization- not enough students sent to Kingsley since numbers don't reflect the 3 open classes they'll have	Option 3
10/27/2019 14:26	Dunwoody ES						For parents who drive their students to school, this plan adds two very challenging (including a extremely challenging, time-consuming, and potentially dangerous one - left turn from Peeler onto N. Peachtree Rd.) intersections and a longer drive from Shenandoah Estates neighborhood compared to the current assignment to Dunwoody Elementary.	#1
10/27/2019 14:36	Vanderlyn ES	trailers split across schools and eliminating some, balanced enrollment	trailers at a new school, does not provide relief for Dunwoody ES growth and Dunwoody Forest West is split	fewest students moved, keeps vanderlyn in tact while still removing 10 trailers from all schools	no major cons	provides relief for dunwoody cluster on paper	most disruption with 1 in 10 kids moving and splits existing vanderlyn and chestnut communities. This option adversely affects geographic proximity & huge concern for traffic safety...in our neighborhood alone we would have a very dangerous left turn onto mt vernon road and a lack of side walks/cross walks from our neighborhood to kingsley impacting our kids that walk to school us vanderlyn	I support the directions proposed in option 1 or option 2.....avoid splitting communities
10/27/2019 14:44	Dunwoody ES	Fewer portable classrooms for DES.	DES loses more students.	DES loses fewer students.	DES has more trailers.	Fewer trailers at DES.	I would hate to lose so many students.	Option 2
10/27/2019 14:56	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated.	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower some relief.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Will add portables to the new Austin.	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students having to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, they shift dramatically more students, and have negligibly better or slightly worse results with respect to minimizing portables.
10/27/2019 15:15	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster	This option does not provide the needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms	Provides appropriate relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster	Does not appear safe for children in my neighborhood. Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic
10/27/2019 15:25	Chesnut ES		Would directly effect my family. My kids have been at Chesnut since PreK and would have to change to Hightower.		Splitting a huge neighborhood that includes a larger Chesnut population.		Again, I do not want my kids to have to leave their school family for Hightower.	None
10/27/2019 15:26	Austin ES							Option 2
10/27/2019 15:38	Dunwoody ES	Pretty good relief of DES overcrowding		None	Not enough relief of overcrowding	Excellent relief of overcrowding	More student movement, but necessary for equitable balancing of the enrollments.	Equitable balancing of enrollment across the schools.
10/27/2019 15:38	Austin ES	Didn't see any.	Over crowding (portables) in new school leads to worse traffic on Roberts Road. and local roads. Roberts is already congested during rush hours. If you wanted a larger school, why didn't you build it instead of having to use trailers(portables) within the first years? It is poor planning with our resources!	It looks like the schools will be better balanced. No trailers (portables) at the new school (Austin)	Can't think of any.		Portable classrooms don't make sense, especially adding them to a new school. Didn't you plan ahead? Are you planning for the future? Doraville Elementary has 844 empty seats! ? Why not use some?	Option 2 Plus use Doraville Elementary School better
10/27/2019 15:40	Dunwoody ES	Evenly distributes the overcrowding problem across entire district and not just at a few schools; offers immediate relief at DES	Doesn't help total district overcrowding; doesn't account for future growth at DES with all the new construction projected around Perimeter mall area.	None	Doesn't help with the overcrowding at any school in the district; allows AES to open under capacity while all neighboring schools are still overcrowded; only hurts the district in the long run; doesn't address future growth at DES with future construction projects; splits up neighborhoods on west side of Ashford Dunwoody	Helps overall district overcrowding; less portables at each school; evenly distributes overcrowding across schools; gives immediate relief to DES and Hightower	Doesn't account for future growth at DES with projected construction; crosses districts and feeder schools for Hightower students	Option 3
10/27/2019 15:54	Austin ES		Starts Austin with portables Significant additional traffic on Roberts makes it unsafe for kids New Austin area gives Austin the highest growth areas, which is terrible for the long term. Getting across Dunwoody Village (and Mount Vernon) is the worst traffic in Dunwoody. Don't make it even worse by sending more kids through	Best of the 3 options. Starts with zero portables are the newly built Austin. Means no bad PR from day 1. Helps alleviate Dunwoody Elem overcrowding. Better for Roberts Drive traffic than other 2 options. Moves the least number of students in the Dunwoody cluster while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 special ed classrooms moving to Austin, creating a higher than actual portables figure at Kingsley.	Helps Hightower but that's it.	Moves way too many students around. Doesn't help most of the overcrowding. Will start austin with portables leaving no room for growth despite putting the highest growth area in Austin's district.	Option 2 is absolutely better than the other 2. Minimizes the number of kids who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. And option 2 is best for the already bad Dunwoody traffic.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/27/2019 16:17	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment,fair distribution of portables while eliminating 9;logical movement of students based on geographic proximity to schools;keeps feeder schools/process in-tact;doesn't cause major traffic or safety issues for students going to new schools/in new directions.	Similar to Cons for all options there is no long-term relief for the overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster. Does split the Dunwoody Forest West neighborhood between two schools which disrupts traffic flow, carpools, and overall neighborhood cohesiveness.	Balanced enrollment; least disruptive to students (fewest moves to new schools);removes 10 portables;Austin has no portables and thus has room for growth anticipated from the Perimeter Mall area (the only real growth area);keeps the most neighborhoods in-tact.	As all options, this is not representing a long-term student growth solution.		Most disruptive to students and neighborhoods (439 student moves);only removes 3 more portables than Option 2 which has the fewest number of student moves-198 fewer than Option 3;major adverse impact to traffic flow (left onto Mt Vernon) and safe walking options (no sidewalks) for students in Oxford Chase neighborhood;doubles the drive/walk distance for Oxford Chase from Vanderlyn to Kingsley.	Option 2; balanced enrollment; fewest number of student moves/least disruptive; keeps most neighborhoods in-tact; presents no major adverse traffic or walking obstacles; still removes 10 portables. Option 1 is the second best option of the three options presented.
10/27/2019 16:17	Vanderlyn ES			Least amount of students disturbed.			It would be easier for kids in the northeast corner of Dunwoody Elementary zone to commute to Kingsley rather than Oxford Chase based on the traffic patterns, shopping centers, lack of sidewalks, etc. They'd have to cross one major road, Tilly Mill. We'd have to cross 2-3 major roads. Also Chestnut should expand more Southeast in the DE zone and Kingsley annex more of Chestnut's north	
10/27/2019 16:20	Dunwoody ES	Provides more balance of apartment complexes across district	Austin ES will have to be more diverse...gasp	None... don't like it	Still way too many apartments in DES zone...no balance across district			Option 1
10/27/2019 16:28	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is unde	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse result
10/27/2019 16:32	Dunwoody ES	See comments on last page	See comments on last page	See comments on last page	See comments on last page	See comments on last page	See comments on last page	None of the options solve the problem. The 18 students in section E are within easy walking distance to DES, why have them be driven to another school or cross the N Peachtree/Peeler intersection to Chestnut if walking. Kingsley is too far to walk. Most important DES is higher rated, why have our students go to an inferior rated school. The 18 section E students should remain in DES.
10/27/2019 17:10	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps rid of 10 portables. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road, as compared with Option 1&2	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for future growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population.Option 1 and 3 also shift the only area in our cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate through the 2021 school year over to Austin ES. Option 2 splits up high growth
10/27/2019 17:29	Dunwoody ES	The option 1 proposal is a fair and reasonable for all the schools in our area.	The down side to option 1 is that it does not relieve the congestion at Dunwoody Elementary School	Option 2 provides some necessary relief for Dunwoody Elementary School and better allocates students throughout the schools in this area.	The down side is that it is not an ideal distribution of students among the schools in this area and it will require utilization of portable classrooms.	It appears that the advantage for Option 3 is that it provides further relief for Dunwoody Elementary School.	One problem with Option 3 is section E students cannot walk to Dunwoody Elementary. Walking to Kingsley is not safe for these students because crossing the Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection & Tilly Mill Rd. is dangerous Two, left turns off Luray and N.Peachtree is difficult. Keep these students in Dunwoody Elem. - it's accessible, safer and traffic patterns work better.	Option 3 is best, but not ideal. Another option to consider: everyone west of Ashford-Dunwoody Rd goes to Austin Elementary. Dunwoody Elementary can accept students up to Tilly Mill Road. Option 3 is safer if another option is not on the table and it keeps neighborhoods intact, allows Section E children to walk when safe and it does not direct traffic to already busy intersections.
10/27/2019 17:41	Austin ES	none. This is a mirage.	This screws new Austin, adding portables immediately. huge additional traffic lift on Roberts Dr, which cannot support parent/teacher/bus traffic AS IT STANDS. Note that there is a fire station + ambulance - what will happen for the morning/afternoon rush hours?	1 Moves / disrupts the least amount of students in the Austin cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. 2 THIS helps alleviate DES overcrowding (look at the numbers/facts). 3 Helps get rid of 10 portables. 4 Provides Hightower ES some relief in terms of overcrowding. 5 Reduces traffic impact on Roberts Road, as compared with Options 1 and 3	questions with Kingsley special ed / portables equation. Still not clear, because Dekalb Schools administrative ===== can't actually, well, add.	Hightower relief through cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. (yay) Highest portables reduction.	This is a disaster for STUDENT MOVEMENT throughout Dunwoody. These are ELEMENTARY CHILDREN you're dealing with, not robots or numbers. Unfairly shifts growth to Austin in 2021. PORTABLES at the NEW Austin, screwing Austin as administrators intended from the beginning. Nearly 500 students will be required to change schools. Are you crazy?	OPTION 2
10/27/2019 17:46	Dunwoody ES	Portables to all schools to help with overcrowding	Not enough relief to Dunwoody schools.	None.	I believe this option should not even be considered. The overcrowding in Dunwoody elementary schools should be shared by ALL schools.	This option gives DES and the cluster the most relief by having portables at all schools and by sending some students from Hightower to the new school in Doraville.	This option still gives DES areas that have already been approved to be developed. I urge you to expand the "A" area of this map.	option 3
10/27/2019 17:48	Vanderlyn ES			Best Option, our community goal should be 0 portables at all new schools. How can we build a new school and already have portables.			Terrible Option, too many student moves.	Option 2 is our choice. All new schools should have no portables, that should be a Dekalb School goal.
10/27/2019 18:23	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced but with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines give Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. * Significant Traffic Increase on Roberts	Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief in terms of overcrowding. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road, as compared with Options 1 and 2. New Austin ES starts with 0 portables	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES	* Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction	* Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment Significant additional traffic!	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Option 2 also splits up the high growth area equitably between Austin ES and Dunwoody ES. Options 1& 3 are less favorable, they shift dramatically more students and adds portables!
10/27/2019 18:28	Austin ES	Initial balance but it isn't sustainable	Gives Austin too many clusters with highest growth trajectories, Traffic on Roberts Rd is already bad and this will make it much worse.	Helps alleviate DES overcrowding while still allowing Austin to open new school without portables. Traffic patterns for Roberts Rd better with this then other 2 options.	Kingsley overestimated due to 3 special ed classes moving to Austin	Highest portable reduction	Requires the most students to move schools while still not helping with current overcrowding.	Option 2
10/27/2019 18:53	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster.	Doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary.	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary.	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms.	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody cluster.	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety, and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Section 3 with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact and children safe and not increase traffic.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/27/2019 19:25	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students.	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding. Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some overcrowding	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for future growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse
10/27/2019 19:36	Austin ES	Sounds good. Spread the wealth.		Austin should share the load. Solidarity!		Sounds good. Sharing is caring.		Third. Open up the Doraville cluster!
10/27/2019 19:36	Austin ES							
10/27/2019 19:38	Austin ES	None in my opinion	Way too many students redirected to Austin ES. Will require the addition of portables to a brand new Austin ES which is ludicrous. Why build a new school without thinking about growth and thus requiring portables? Terrible planning. NO portables at Austin.	This is THE best of all 3 options. New Austin starts with 0 portables as it should be. Reduces traffic disruption on Roberts road which is already a nightmare. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding. Again, the single best option.	None in my opinion	Seems like this would help most with overall portable use. Also, gives relief to Hightower ES.	Very dramatic change for many students (young, impressionable children), and doesn't really seem to help with most of the overcrowding according to these numbers - every single school is still above 100% utilization. Also requires the addition of portables at the new Austin, which is absurd since it is a new school. Also would create a significant additional amount of traffic on Roberts Road	Definitely option 2. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students. Option 2 splits up the high growth area equitably between Austin ES and Dunwoody ES.
10/27/2019 19:39	Austin ES							Option 2
10/27/2019 19:44	Austin ES							Smaller class size
10/27/2019 19:44	Austin ES			I feel this is the best option			Too many students affected	Option 2
10/27/2019 20:02	Dunwoody ES	Closest school to neighborhood. Kids can walk to school. Kids can remain in their current school.		Closest school to neighborhood and kids can walk to school. Kids can remain in the school they attend today.		Kids in group B would actually get to go to their closest school.	Would have to go through the tilly mill N.peachtree intersection. Walking to school would be a nightmare.	Option 1
10/27/2019 20:04	Austin ES	Attempting to be balanced, however it will become unbalanced very quickly at Austin.	Starts Austin our overcrowded with no room for growth. Also has Austin on the highest trajectory for growth. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Starts Austin at 0 portables which allows for growth. Gives DES relief. Reduces traffic on Roberts dr. Moves least amount of students.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Relieves Hightower overcrowding.	Moves the most amount of students. Significant traffic increase on Roberts dr. These new attendance lines give Austin the highest growth trajectory. Opens a new school over crowded.	Option 2. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2).
10/27/2019 20:06	Austin ES							
10/27/2019 20:07	Austin ES		Every school is over capacity. Every school has portable classrooms.	Fewer portable classrooms. At least one school is "at" capacity.			Every school is still over capacity.	Build out the schools - not with portable classrooms but with the buildings themselves. Build another school for Dunwoody students.
10/27/2019 20:12	Chesnut ES		Move takes children to a school significantly further from their home - anywhere from approximately 50%-100% further away depending on home address. It breaks up a neighborhood (Dunwoody North) and introduces new traffic and safety issues for those affected.	Move takes children to a school significantly further from their home - anywhere from approximately 50%-100% further away depending on home address. It breaks up a neighborhood (Dunwoody North) and introduces new traffic and safety issues for those affected.	Move takes children to a school significantly further from their home - anywhere from approximately 50%-100% further away depending on home address. It breaks up a neighborhood (Dunwoody North) and introduces new traffic and safety issues for those affected.		Move takes children to a school significantly further from their home - anywhere from approximately 50%-100% further away depending on home address. It breaks up a neighborhood (Dunwoody North) and introduces new traffic and safety issues for those affected.	All three options share a common glaring flaw. The move of 43 children from Chesnut to Kingsley from the Dunwoody North neighborhood takes children to a school significantly further from their home - anywhere from approximately 50%-100% further away depending on home address. It breaks up a neighborhood (Dunwoody North) and introduces new traffic and safety issues for those affected.
10/27/2019 20:12	Austin ES		Leaves ALL schools overcrowded!	Least impact on traffic patterns... makes sense from a proximity standpoint.	Vanderlyn is still severely overcrowded.	None.	Horrible traffic patterns! All schools are left overcrowded.	Option 2
10/27/2019 20:13	Austin ES		Does not account for any future growth at Austin and will just result in more portables at Austin	Least amount of students moving to new schools, eliminates the most portables, which allows for the most room for growth at Austin				Moving the fewest number of students, eliminating portables while allowing the most room for growth in high growth areas
10/27/2019 20:14	Austin ES			New Austin starts with no trailers. It allows for growth in an area that is projected to grow in 2021. And alleviate overcrowding in other schools without overcrowding Austin.				Option 2
10/27/2019 20:21	Austin ES	Option 1 Pros: Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	Option 1 Cons: New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new	Option 2 Pros: New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower	Option 2 Cons: Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Option 3 Pros: . Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction	Option 3 Cons: Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Option 1 and 3 also shift the only area in our cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate through the 2021 school year over to Austin ES.
10/27/2019 20:25	Austin ES	None	Dekalb County is not held responsible for commitments to the New Austin school. Also, it breaks up Dunwoody families.	Dekalb County delivers on their commitment.	None	None	Dekalb County is not held responsible for their commitments.	Option 2
10/27/2019 20:27	Vanderlyn ES	balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, it does eliminate some of the trailers.	Trailers at Austin, doesn't provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief, and Dunwoody Forest West is split.	fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), keeps Vanderlyn community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools		improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are too many cons.	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids), splits Vanderlyn ES & Chestnut ES communities, map D doesn't benefit anyone, net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues, for example: Oxford Chase will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt Vernon and increased traffic in am and no sidewalk/crosswalk for safe trek to Kingsley.	Option #2 or Option #1
10/27/2019 20:33	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated	Option #2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/27/2019 20:39	Dunwoody ES	At least reduces a little the problem for Dunwoody Elementary, Dont see much impact in the rest of the other schools	I personally think it falls short on the main issue and goal of the redistricting. I would think since Austin is getting budget for a new school, they should at least get another apartment complex. (Post Crossing) for example. Austin is the ONLY school not overflowing. Why is not helping the others?	None	Don't see that helps much to the grewoing population for DES.	none	I think is very unfair for the families of Vanderlin.	Option 1. However it falls short. Austin should get at least one more apartment complex. DES population keeps growing so it needs more help.
10/27/2019 20:43	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/27/2019 20:44	Austin ES							Option 2
10/27/2019 20:51	Austin ES	Spread out more	Moves most kids with least amount of trailer reduction. Also swaping at a few schools is odd.	Reasonable increase in austin for traffic.recognizes the projections are likely understated.	Geographic area where austin, DES, VES meet is not ideal. Vanderlyn gets more overcrowded	Cross cluster movement alleviates the area which desperately needs it. That shift makes sense based on geography.	Moving those hightower kids back into dunwoody for middle means it doesn't benefit area much. Also hard on kids.	I don't like any of them and see negatives of all. Option 2 is best of the bad bunch.
10/27/2019 20:52	Austin ES	Alleviates some of overcrowding for DES and hightower	Doesn't leave room for future enrollment due to residential development growth in Dunwoody Too many portable classrooms for a brand new school No relief for Vanderlyn which is already overcrowded	No portable classrooms for Austin - a brand new building	Same as option 1	All schools better balanced except for Vanderlyn	Even with relief from Doraville - all schools over utilization with no room for growth Split feeder doesn't help longterm solution for Dunwoody cluster overall	None
10/27/2019 21:03	Austin ES		Moves too many children into other schools and created a huge traffic problem on Roberts	Moves the least amount of children, gives Austin some time for growth and settling i to new building and new larger student population- no portables a huge positive!!! This is the best option				Option 2
10/27/2019 21:06	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/27/2019 21:08	Austin ES							
10/27/2019 21:09	Austin ES		Portables	Fewer students from other schools needing fewer portables	Projecting portables		200 students is a lot coming in plus portables	Option 2
10/27/2019 21:14	Austin ES	None	1. Underestimates future growth of Austin 2. It creates too much traffic on Roberts	1. Uses capacity at all the schools in Dunwoody cluster 2. It allows for new school growth affect	None	None (worst option)	1. Breaks up neighborhoods 2. Underestimates growth of Austin 3. Causes the most disturbance cluster wide	Option 2 best serves the students in the Dunwoody Cluster. It allows for new school growth while still alleviating overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary.
10/27/2019 21:15	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly!	New attendance lines give AES highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment w/ obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to AES, creating higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to new AES campus, no room for organic growth.	New AES starts with 0 portables, allows for organic growth in area that is projected to grow at high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding. Moves least # of students in cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES relief in terms of overcrowding. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes most dramatic movement of students in Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives AES the only area in cluster that's expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity understated. Additional traffic	Option 2 is clearly the best. It minimizes the # of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the # of portable units necessary. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse results. The other options also don't consider organic growth.
10/27/2019 21:18	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster, all ES with portables	Although this option balances enrollment, it doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary and Hightower Elementary schools.	This option provides some relief to all elementary schools, including the very overcrowded Dunwoody ES and Hightower ES.	There isn't an equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms. Austin Elementary School stays under capacity while every other school is above capacity, Austin ES doesn't have any trailers, while all others do.	This plan provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster. Area A goes to Austin ES, which is geographically closer to Austin and allows for an area of high density, transient student population to be shared divided among all of the Dunwoody cluster.	This map moves the most children. In particular, section E loses the ability to walk to DES through the neighborhood path. Walking to Kingsley becomes twice as time consuming and causes a commute through a very congested intersection. Section E identifies with Village Mill neighborhood. Please keep Section E zoned for DES to promote geographic proximity, to promote walking, and to decrease traffic.	None of the maps completely solve the cluster overcrowding problem. I support a 4th option, where the area west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Otherwise, option 3 is best. Section E should be with DES to promote the priorities stated. This area has a safer, healthier walking option to DES
10/27/2019 21:19	Austin ES							Option 2
10/27/2019 21:19	Austin ES	Spreads overcrowding across all schools	Dunwoody Village roads unable to flush both commuters to the top of Perimeter and additional school traffic	New Austin opens with appropriate population to capacity as a starting point for right-sizing Dunwoody ES schools	Overcrowding at Dunwoody ES left unresolved.	Relieves pressure on Dunwoody ES and Vanderlyn ES.	Overcrowding at Austin is unacceptable for a brand new school. Should have been built bigger.	Option 2 best serves Dunwoody students. Opening a brand new school already overcrowded is not a reasonable solution. Only net additional capacity will work. Balancing overcrowding normalizes it and postpones what needs to be done.
10/27/2019 21:20	Austin ES							2
10/27/2019 21:28	Austin ES	Spreads overcrowding	Opens Austin already overcrowded	Opens Austin ES with the appropriate number of students	Does not sufficiently address crowding at Dunwoody ES or Vanderlyn ES	Adds net new capacity to alleviate Dunwoody overcrowding	Still far too much overcrowding at Vanderlyn, Dunwoody and Austin.	Option 2
10/27/2019 21:30	Austin ES	Pros would be that it evens out the overcrowding.	It only makes it so every school is overcrowded and would need help. It makes more sense to work on the schools that are overcrowded already and look at adding a new school or updating the existing schools rather than make a new school overcrowded. Austin is only going to get more kids in the next few years with all the older residents moving so it's going to naturally be overcrowded soon.	I like this option much better because it only adds portable classrooms to one school (which is in both options) and actually lowers the number to most. It would be crazy to have to add portable classrooms to a brand new school (Austin). The overcrowding is only going to continue so why not improve and enlarge the overcrowded schools rather than mess up a new school.	It obviously doesn't help the overcrowding at Vanderlyn and makes Kingsley overcrowded, but I think doing what it takes to make the existing schools get the funding they need to get more permanent space and teachers OR adding a new school to the area makes more sense. Clearly we need to look at the long-term now and start fixing the problem rather than band-aids that will only work for short-term.	It helps Hightower not be as overcrowded	I would be concerned about a new school if I were one of the 106 kids moved there. Its crazy to overcrowd Austin when it is a new building and we would already have portable classrooms -its a bandaif for a brand new school which I hate! Why didn't we just build a bigger building in that case??? Option 2 is the only one that makes sense for Austin.	Option 2 has the most potential to pave the way for an ultimate fix. AES is a new building so clearly they would not do more construction to make more permanent classrooms so why overcrowd that one and not focus on permanent solutions for the schools that are older and need permanent solutions? I like the idea of utilizing Doraville UE, but think it would be so sad to see the new AES ruined now.
10/27/2019 21:39	Dunwoody ES	It balances the children out more across the schools	The only way to obtain the pro is to get trailers - please build a school - every school is over capacity!	Austin is not overcapacity	Dunwoody has more children			
10/27/2019 21:41	Austin ES	I don't see any.	Why would you put portable classrooms at a brand-new school? Why didn't the county plan better? I thought the purpose of building a new school was to relieve overcrowding.	Reduces the number of portables. Keeps the new school like new with no portables. Helps Hightower (Title 1 school I think) more		Fewer portables over all	Portables at a new school. Doesn't make sense. Why wasn't the schools build larger to begin with? Can Dunwoody ES be added to?	Combination of Option 2 and option 3. No portables at New Austin; reducing number of portables at Hightower by sending some of those students to Doraville United while leaving room to relieve overcrowding at Carey Reynolds which greatly needs it.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/27/2019 21:49	Austin ES							Option 2
10/27/2019 21:50	Chesnut ES	NA	The Dunwoody North (And neighborhoods that make up the Dunwoody North Civic Association ) have been divided in half. Neighborhood not in tact, 2 more busses going down crowded tilly mill, the loss would drastically reduce fundraising The numbers used that show for Chesnut Capacity are incorrect	None	The Dunwoody North (And neighborhoods that make up the Dunwoody North Civic Association ) have been divided in half. Neighborhood not in tact, 2 more busses going down crowded tilly mill, the loss would drastically reduce fundraising The numbers used that show for Chesnut Capacity	I cannot say a pro since I don't think you are giving correct data	The Dunwoody North (And neighborhoods that make up the Dunwoody North Civic Association ) have been divided in half. Neighborhood not in tact, 2 more busses going down crowded tilly mill, the loss would drastically reduce fundraising The numbers used that show for Chesnut Capacity	They are all unacceptable. Data needs to be accurate. I know for a fact Chesnut is wrong so I can't assume the rest are correct. You are playing with people's daily life and we deserve correct info
10/27/2019 21:58	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Based on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/27/2019 22:03	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New lines gives Austin the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with long-term impacts. Kingsley's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley. Adds portables to the new Austin, no room for organic growth Reduces Roberts Traffic	New Austin starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down). Moves least amount of students while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower some relief Reduces traffic on Roberts Dr	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the biggest movement of students in Dunwoody (nearly 500 students to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New lines gives Austin the only area that is expected to grow at a high rate for 2021. Kingsley's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving to Austin Traffic on Roberts More portables	Option 2 is the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most impact on the # of portable units. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have slightly worse results minimizing portables. Option 2 splits the growth area between Austin and Dunwoody equa
10/27/2019 22:03	Austin ES	Advantage Dunwoody elementary. Balanced capacities.	Geographic proximity is not optimal for Austin. Potential students closer west of Austin are ignored and routed further to other schools. Traffic on Roberts increases as more density funneled north to Austin.	Less students impacted	Austin school demographics shift to higher density and highly shifting student population. Austin disadvantaged by not opening to students closer in distance to the west. Geographic size of Austin Undersized compared to Dunwoody, Vanderlyn and Kingsley.	Better aligns to the Dunwoody city map. Will help crowding and Dunwoody middle and high schools.	Disadvantage Austin with significant traffic and student commutes.	None of the options are good for the cluster. I strongly think that all students should stay put. Austin be at capacity just based on the new school bump.
10/27/2019 22:33	Austin ES							3
10/27/2019 22:45	Austin ES	Looks to be balanced (but rapid forecasted growth rate area moves to Austin zone)	Gives Austin the highest growth areas, Kingsley capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from to Austin, More trailers to the new Austin	New Austin starts with 0 portables, allows for natural growth in an area projected for high growth, Helps alleviate DES overcrowding. Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while reducing number of trailers, Provides Hightower some relief from overcrowding, Reduces impact of traffic on Roberts Road	None	Gives most relief to Hightower through cross-cluster shift to Doraville United, Highest portable reduction.	Biggest movement of students in the Cluster with little solution to overcrowding, gives Austin the area with highest expected growth, adds portables to new Austin and traffic to Roberts Drive.	Option 2 is the best option for students in our cluster.
10/27/2019 22:47	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students.	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, and greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Rd		Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students.	Option 2, mostly because it moves the fewest # of kids around and still reduces portables. It allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment for Austin. I'd love to see an additional option that keeps Dunwoody North with Chesnut. Its a shame the neighborhood is separated (North to Kingsley, South to Chestnut) in all 3 options.
10/27/2019 22:56	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Based on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/27/2019 23:04	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and eliminate 9 trailers	Trailers at Austin does no provide enough for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES. no overall cluster relief.	Fewest student being moved/disrupted, Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. 10 trailers removed			Most disruptive options. 10% kids relocated (439). Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chestnut ES communities. Map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map-D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic safety issues for all involved. Oxford Chase will have very DANGEROUS left turn on Mt. Vernon in morning. No sidewalk for safe treks to Kingsley.	Option 1 and Option 2
10/27/2019 23:08	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate some of the trailers (9).	Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief, and Dunwoody Forest West is unfortunately split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools	This is the best plan of the 3 offered	Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are too many cons for this plan as a whole to be a viable option (parts are okay)	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids), splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities, Option #3-map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for all involved, ex. Oxford Chase will have very dangerous left turn on Mt.Vernon & dangerous left turn for a bus/very inefficient bus route	Option 1 or 2
10/27/2019 23:10	Austin ES							Geographic proximity is most important.
10/27/2019 23:19	Chesnut ES		The current Dunwoody North neighborhood should not be split in half. Traffic is much worse to go north on Tilly Mill, traffic is much less going east and west from our neighborhood to Chesnut. The kids can currently walk home from school and moving to Kingsley takes all the walking options away. We have a strong neighborhood/community on both sides of Tilly Mill, this option splits us in half.		This again splits the Dunwoody north neighborhood in half. It takes away waking options and is much worse for traffic congestion on Tilly Mill. Current traffic is east to west to Chesnut, going up Tilly Mill to Kingsley will have a negative impact on traffic for busses and for drivers when kids need to go in at other times and can not ride the bus.		Moving Chesnut students breaks up a long standing neighborhood. It takes away walking options and makes traffic worse. The capacity of Chesnut seems incorrect, I believe it can seat more students. This move to Kingsley is farther away and there seems to be mistakes in the capacity of the current Chesnut building.	
10/28/2019 0:24	Austin ES							Option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 3:14	Austin ES		The traffic congestion created on Roberts drive will be crippling. That is a main artery off of 400 as well as filled with neighborhood traffic. The less distance kids are bused is ideal. The traffic will make the extra busing needed almost impossible and kids will have to get picked up and arrive excessively early. Extra staff will be	This seems to reduce the distance busing that will be needed and this help with the traffic issues that will be created on Roberts.		Similar to option 1		Option 2
10/28/2019 6:04	Austin ES							
10/28/2019 6:17	Austin ES		Austin elementary maintains a high standard of academic performance because of the balance between teachers and students. I am concerned that adding more students will dilute the effectiveness of the excellent programs that are in place	I believe that the new Austin ES should have time to get accustomed to the new space without concerns about finding room for additional students. I think that changing the student/teacher ratio immediately after moving into a new facility would be premature			Austin elementary maintains a high standard of academic performance because of the balance between teachers and students. I am concerned that adding more students will dilute the effectiveness of the excellent programs that are already in place	Balancing student space while maintaining academic excellence whenever possible
10/28/2019 6:30	Austin ES							
10/28/2019 6:34	Vanderlyn ES							2
10/28/2019 6:38	Austin ES	First year looks balanced.	Austin quickly becomes overcrowded and too much traffic.	Balances out overcrowded schools while still allowing room for growth. No portables at Austin. Least disruptive as far as least amount students moved.		Helps Hightower the most.	Very disruptive in how many students moved. Austin will quickly be over capacity.	Option 2
10/28/2019 6:42	Austin ES		Too many portables		Too many portables	No portables. No new school schools ever have portables.		Option 2
10/28/2019 6:46	Austin ES	Minimizes impact over time on Austin. Still overcrowded. It's BRAND new.	How do you require portable classrooms on a brand new school? What kind of planning was performed.	None. Austin should not absorb other schools	Auston should not absorb other schools	None. Overcrowding austin	Overcrowding Austin. Too much traffic up here already	None. Planning is pitiful. Need a new elementary school or when schools are rebuilt increase the capacity with some forward thinking. Austin enrollment should not be increased. So whichever plan doesn't increase Austin.
10/28/2019 6:51	Austin ES							Option 2
10/28/2019 7:22	Vanderlyn ES	reduces the amount of overall portables, changes are within a good proximity. provides some relief for most of the surrounding schools. gives portables to the new austin, which will presumably have better facilities and relieves the pressure off the older schools in the area that need help with their facilities.	still over capacity	Less changes and the new austin elementary can stay self contained	bad for the other schools - doesnt relieve any pressure from their overcrowding issues.	adds another much needed school for growth and development. removes portable trailers for many schools.	involves the most amount of moves. doesnt provide any relief to vanderlyn es. i dont understand why only 106 spots would open and why the math shows that we would be under capacity with 300+ spots, when we are only planning on using 106? Dunwoody ES shows 7 portables, but a portable difference of 9, so there should be some redistricting of that school to remove portables (like vanderlyn)	option 1
10/28/2019 7:38	Dunwoody ES	Like that this alleviates some of the overcrowding at DES.	Seems to be a lot of different smaller groups of kids moving between schools.	Some relief for DES overcrowding.	Doesn't utilize the new Doraville Elementary School to alleviate overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster.	This is by far the best option which provides much needed relief to DES and utilizes seats at the new Doraville school.		The majority of the plans within Option 3.
10/28/2019 7:49	Dunwoody ES	Option 1 takes some of the overcrowding away from Dunwoody Elementary. Dunwoody being the most overcrowded.	Still not enough balance going on for Austin. They are the newest school and aren't over using there space like all the other schools.	Enrollment is a little better balanced	Dunwoody Elementary still is very overcrowded. We really need to think about portable units so we can all take some stress off Dunwoody Elementary. Making the boundaries bigger for other areas might help.	Seems to spread the load of kids more even.		The option that helps Dunwoody Elementary spread the load of kids. The option that moves kids to have Dunwoody less crowded. Even with all these plans Dunwoody is still over crowded.
10/28/2019 8:04	Austin ES							2
10/28/2019 8:06	Austin ES	No pros..	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables.	Not any	Some relief to Hightower ES	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment.	New Asutin has been a dream for local residents and please dont start it with trailer. We have rooted for it all along and want to see the dream come true.
10/28/2019 8:07	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated.	I believe option 2 is the best option for the students in this cluster.
10/28/2019 8:07	Austin ES	Chestnut ES to Kingsley ES seems like a good move since Kingsley is the least crowded.	Section A of 179 children to the new Austin ES seems like too many new children in the already overcrowded school.	Better movement for Austin ES. Less addition of students to the already overcrowded school.		Section H movement of children out of the Dunwoody cluster.	Section A still too many new students to the already overcrowded Austin ES.	Moving 199 students out of the Dunwoody cluster in Option 3 seems like the best FIRST step. Then numbers can be reallocated based on the space that has been freed up.
10/28/2019 8:09	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
10/28/2019 8:10	Austin ES		Doesn't plan for future growth. Moves too many kids.	Obviously the most equitable. Moves the least amount of kids. Best plan for future growth. Easiest and cheapest to implement.			Biased and unfair. Really hurts Hightower kids.	Option 2 is the most equitable, moves the fewest kids, and keeps communities together. It also accounts for new students coming in from high growth areas.
10/28/2019 8:15	Other							
10/28/2019 8:17	Austin ES		Option 1 does not take into the new school effect for Austin that Dekalb County has historically considered.	Option 2 considers the new school effect that Dekalb County has historically included in enrollment forecasts.		Option 3 helps the larger problem in the current Dunwoody cluster by re-districting some students to a new school out of the cluster.	Option 3 does not consider new school effect for Austin that Dekalb County has historically included.	Keeping current Austin students together and balancing the new school effect.
10/28/2019 8:22	Dunwoody ES	- Based on the "Geographic Proximity" criterion, redistricting attendance area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn provides a small, insufficient, but ultimately measurable amount of "instructional capacity" relief to Dunwoody ES. - Neighborhoods in the northeast attendance areas remain at Dunwoody ES, which make sense due to "Geographic Proximity."	- Different growth projections are used for each school--why should we assume Austin receives a new school bump? - Estimates beyond 2021 should be used given growth projections at Dunwoody ES - No net relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster via Doraville United	- Based on the "Geographic Proximity" criterion, attendance areas A and C are redistricted to Austin and Vanderlyn, respectively. Similar to option 1 map, this provides a small and insufficient "instructional capacity" relief to Dunwoody ES. - Neighborhoods in the northeast attendance areas remain at Dunwoody ES, which make sense due to "Geographic Proximity."	- Dunwoody ES does not receive sufficient relief from overcrowding - Estimates beyond 2021 should be used given growth projections at Dunwoody ES - Different growth projections are used for each school--why should Austin receive a new school bump? - Portables are deployed in an unbalanced fashion across the schools - No net relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster via Doraville United	- Provides some net relief to the Dunwoody Cluster via Doraville United - Benefits Dunwoody ES by moving attendance areas A and C to other schools	- Estimates beyond 2021 should be used given growth projections at Dunwoody ES - Differing growth projections are used for each school--should Austin receive a new school bump? Growth in the Ashford Dunwoody corridor seems more likely than contemplated here (disproportionately impacting Dunwoody ES) - Based on the "Geographic Proximity" criterion, attendance area E should remain with Dunwoody ES	I prefer Option 3: - Provides some relief to the Dun. Cluster via Doraville United - Benefits Dun. ES by moving attendance areas A and C to other schools - Minimizes number of students in portables  Attendance areas west of Ashford Dun. should be redistricted to Dun. ES to optimize geographic proximity, minimize traffic, improve safety and instructional capacity, and accommodate projected growth.
10/28/2019 8:23	Vanderlyn ES	There are no pros to option 1.	No relief for Vanderlyn. New Austin still has trailers. Does not bring any true relief in any school in this area.	Reduces trailers for most schools. Seems to be the most equitable solution for short term.	Vanderlyn does not receive any relief.	Reduces trailers at some schools.	Does not provide enough relief to the schools and is not equitable at all. You are not giving Briars North Drive residents ANY choices - all three options are the same for our children and ignore our Round 1 feedback NOT to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association. We challenge your Chesnut capacity numbers of 358 (it was 450 for years). Ultimately, a new ES in Dunwoody is needed to solve the overcrowding issue in a sustainable manner	Option 2. Do not open a new school with trailers. Build a new school in the perimeter area to help with overcrowding in that area.
10/28/2019 8:24	Chesnut ES	All schools have portables, and therefore This may be more equitable across schools.	The district is ignoring our Round 1 feedback NOT to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association. We challenge your Chesnut capacity numbers of 358 (it was 450 for years). Ultimately, a new ES in Dunwoody is needed to solve the overcrowding issue in a sustainable manner.	REJECTED since Austin is the only school to benefit from this scenario while everyone else must take on a heavier burden.	You are not giving Briars North Drive residents ANY choices - all three options are the same for our children and ignore our Round 1 feedback NOT to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association. We challenge your Chesnut capacity numbers of 358 (it was 450 for years). Ultimately, a new ES in Dunwoody is needed to solve the overcrowding issue in a sustainable manner.	While we reject all three options, this scenario seems more equitable than the other two options (especially option 2 where the burden is higher on all schools except Austin) since schools share more equally in the overcrowding burden. But we certainly sympathize with Hightower students who would go across clusters only to return for middle/high school (split feeder schools).		We need a solution that will NOT divide a close knit commuter. Dunwoody North received approval to build a new intersection to make it easier for our kids to bike to school. Please DO NOT divide up the Chesnut community when we all share in the same resources in terms of pool, intersections, and community. Dunwoody North should be kept intact.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 8:24	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster. Keeps DES and my neighborhood intact.	Doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms. It unfairly burdens DES Trailers	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods. It will increase traffic density in the area while separating children	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic
10/28/2019 8:37	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse results
10/28/2019 8:37	Austin ES	None	This option pushes all the growth to Austin, and doesn't allow for any organic growth at the new school. It just completely fills up and overloads the school to start. Isn't it Kingsley's special ed rooms that creates a discrepancy in how many kids they can fit? It makes no sense to build a brand new school and then throw portables at it? Swing and a miss. Overcrowds Austin. Traffic issues this	Allows the brand new school to start with no portables and allows for organic growth. Fixes DES overcrowding. Moves less students and achieves maximum portable reduction. Hightower gets relief. Roberts Road traffic is better than option 1.		None.	Very dramatic movement of students. Doesn't really do much for overcrowding.	Option 2 provides the best impact for the most amount of students. It is clearly the best option. It minimizes students changing schools, reduces portables the most. Options 1 and 3 are not very good.
10/28/2019 8:40	Austin ES	Balancing in this effort means that for this year, the district may seem balanced, but Austin will turn into the most overcrowded school in the entire district because of future growth.	This means Austin Elementary will open as a new school already overcrowded. Means Austin will open a new school with already portable classrooms, which shows it's already overcrowded. Roberts road traffic will go from horrible to a complete disaster with new students. Walking will be extremely dangerous for my three children with so many more cars.	Gives a brand new school the opportunity to grow. As a father with a 4 year old going to Kindergarten at Austin next year and a new born who will attend in the future, this is the best option to allow Austin to grow into and get portable classrooms in the future when needed. This option also helps other schools get relief from overcrowding. Gets a better portable classroom reduction than option 1	Kingsley's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives the highest amount of portable classroom reductions	Gives the highest amount of children moving schools. Austin still will become the highest overcrowded classroom and will suffer dramatically as a result. This leaves no room for a brand new school to grow. It already starts out overcrowded. That's insane. And traffic again on Roberts goes from horrible to disaster, and poses a life threatening danger to my sons walking to school	The best option for the students is obviously option 2. It has the most positive impact on portable classrooms and minimizes the number of students who change schools. Option 1 is the safest option for my walking children because options 1 and 3 move more students and will cause traffic to become more dangerous for walkers. Option 1 also lets a new school grow and not start out overcrowded.
10/28/2019 8:43	Austin ES			Fully in support of this option!!				Option 2 is the most appropriate.
10/28/2019 8:50	Vanderlyn ES							Option 1
10/28/2019 8:52	Austin ES			Leaves room for growth at new Austin ES, helps alleviate traffic problems.			Not a suitable option. Overcrowding a brand new school? Poor planning by DCSD.	Option 2
10/28/2019 8:53	Austin ES	Hardly any at all. Not a good option.	Adding portables to Austin does not allow for any growth. Significant traffic increase around Roberts & Chamblee Dunwoody. Austin absorbs highest growth areas with new lines.	Zero portables for Austin allows for future growth. Alleviates DES overcrowding. Moves least amount of students in cluster. Eliminates portables. Reduces traffic impact around school and area. Provides overcrowding relief for Hightower.	Not really any cons for Option 2.	Gives some relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United.	Most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster. Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Portables at Austin removes opportunity for future growth. Significant traffic impact around school and Roberts Rd	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. Minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 & 3 create issues more than solve. (Option 3 moves 200 more students than Option 2). Option 2 splits up the high growth area equitably between Austin ES and Dunwoody ES.
10/28/2019 9:03	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is und	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse results
10/28/2019 9:06	Austin ES	I assume the pro is that this is "balanced enrollment" however does not appear to allow for any growth at any of the elementary schools	I don't see any pros for option 1 as there has not been anything done to relieve overcrowding at Dunwoody Cluster Elementary schools. This allows for no growth in population at Dunwoody elementary schools while also giving Austin the highest growth areas.	Helps alleviate overcrowding at DES and Hightower while allowing room for growth and moves least amount of students into the cluster and reducing overall portables.	While appears that Kingsley goes from underutilized to overutilized but that number in option 2 is overstated as you know with 3 special ed classes moving to Austin.	Gives most relief to High tower	Imposes most dramatic shift to student population causing disruption to the most families while only slightly reducing portables from option 2. Allows for no growth in highest growth areas.	Option 2
10/28/2019 9:08	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is und	I feel that option 2 best serves the students in the Dunwoody Cluster.
10/28/2019 9:13	Austin ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools and it does eliminate some of the trailers (9).	Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief and Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools		improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are too many cons.	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocation (439 kids), splits Canderlyn ES and Chestnut ES Communities, Option #3, Map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for all involved, for example, Oxford Chase will have a very dangerous left turn on Mr. Vernon in morning and no side walks/cross-walks	Option 1 or Option 2
10/28/2019 9:26	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at DES Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES does not get enough relief from overcrowding Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES
10/28/2019 9:29	Austin ES			Best for students and traffic on Roberts Drive				

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 9:38	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria. Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/28/2019 9:40	Dunwoody ES					This plan alleviates overcrowding in the entire cluster more than the other two options This provides the most relief to DES which has suffered from major overcrowding	Still likely to overcrowd in the future due to the high density area included in the district (Ashford Dunwoody corridor). Also places majority of transient population into one school due to high concentration of apartment complexes (but all the plans do this not just option 3)	Option 3
10/28/2019 9:54	Dunwoody ES	Not enough instructional capacity or overcrowding relief, but some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn (which aligns with Geographic Proximity criteria). The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. These neighborhoods should stay in DES due to proximity & neighborhood connections	Figures used are not factoring projected growth at DES, but are at Austin (new school bump- is not valid for this area). No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. This neighborhood should remain at DES due to Geographic proximity.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth past 2021 in which DES bares most of the growth projections, but does grant Austin a "new school bump". Not a fair application of the numbers. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United. Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with geographic proximity criteria. This area walks and rides bikes to school by utilizing neighborhood connections/trails so that DES is 0.6 miles away. Tilly Mill is a dangerous road to cross. Too many students at Vanderlynn, Chesnut and DES are moved from existing communities.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, and keep neighborhoods intact.
10/28/2019 9:56	Austin ES			Prefer this option		Veto this option		Option 2
10/28/2019 10:00	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment.	Net difference for Vanderlyn is zero, so why move current students to Austin only to add new students from DE? C section can be moved to Austin instead and keep Vanderlyn as is for the least impact on students and intact neighborhood. This will still help DE overcrowding without much disruption to VE.	least number of moves so least disruptions to the students.	No portables at Austin. reduced portables at other schools. What's wrong with having portables and less disturbance to the students?	HE will get relief from Doraville elem.	Most disruptive to ALL. DON'T move current VE students from section D only to add new from C. Don't break our neighborhood by spitting oxford chase. Oxford chase and Dunwoody forest share a fence. North of mt Vernon ONE neighborhood. will have to cross 2 major roads, no sidewalks, traffic light and dangerous left turn from oxford chase to KE. walking distance 1.6 miles vs less than mile to VE	option 2 with portables. least moves and hence less disruptive to students. If for any schools net effect is zero or very small, then don't change anything for those schools. Please keep neighborhoods intact. option 3, section D is separated from the rest of the KE community by 2 major unsafe roads. Kids cannot safely travel by themselves to the school or their friends places the way they do now.
10/28/2019 10:01	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enroll. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Opt 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower some relief in terms of overcrowding. Reduces the impact of traffic.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	most dramatic movement of students in Dunwoody Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Opt 2. New attendance lines gives Austin only area in cluster that is expected to grow at high rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin - Will add portables Significant additional traffic	Option 2
10/28/2019 10:04	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	1) This option, like all others, splits Dunwoody North and Chesnut. Chesnut is a small school where everyone is very happy. Leave it alone and shift other population areas if needed. While I am not a Chesnut parent, this is my top priority. 2) New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster. 3) Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus 4) Traffic on Roberts	1) This option involves the fewest student moves in the cluster. These are elementary kids who just want to stay with their friends and teachers. Leave as many in place as possible. 2) Least impact to Roberts traffic. 3) Provides for organic growth at Austin while still alleviating overcrowding at DES and reducing portables cluster-wide.	1) This option, like all others, splits Dunwoody North and Chesnut. Chesnut is a small school where everyone is very happy. Leave it alone and shift other population areas if needed. While I am not a Chesnut parent, this is my top priority.	1) Most relief for Hightower. 2) Highest portable reduction.	1) This is the most student moves. These are elementary students who just want to stay with their friends and teachers. Choose the option with least disruption. 2) Biggest concern is move of Dunwoody North and Chesnut. Leave them together. This is a small happy school and makes no sense to split it up. 3) Significant traffic on Roberts. 4) Does not allow for projected growth at Austin.	Option 2. This has the fewest student moves. These are elementary students who need stability and to stay with their friends and teachers. Option 2 moves the fewest students while still having a reduction in overcrowding and trailers. While the other options may relieve a little more, it is not worth the 200+ extra moves. Also leave Chesnut as is and do not split Dunwoody North.
10/28/2019 10:07	Austin ES	Utilization equal	Growth won't keep it balanced in less than 2 years	DES overcrowding addressed, traffic on Roberts not that bad. Less Portables		Hightower gets relief	500 students move, Austins growth will cause plan to be impacted in less than 2 years. Traffic around Austin would be crazy with 200 more students	Option 2
10/28/2019 10:15	Dunwoody ES	Utilization percentage is more even across the district even though all being over capacity.	none	none	Why have one school on target and force the rest to bear the burden of carrying the rest of the load?	Best plan so far to get numbers evenly on target.	none	Option 3
10/28/2019 10:17	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. Keeps existing communities together.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in. Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem and Area E stays with DES. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES.
10/28/2019 10:23	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. Keeps existing communities together.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United. Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in. Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be assigned to Austin Elem and Area E stay with DES. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES.
10/28/2019 10:23	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts w/ 0 portables & allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than opt1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES overcrowding relief	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road	Option 2 is clearly the best for students. Minimizes the # of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 & 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), & have negligibly better or slightly worse results re: portables

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 10:27	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools and does eliminate some trailers  This would be second best option of the 3 proposed!!!	Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES (and likely Vanderlyn ES based on Oxford Chase's increased projections alone). No overall cluster relief and splits the community of Dunwoody Forest West	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241) and keeps Vanderlyn ES community in tact, 10 trailers removed from all schools and provides the most support for future growth (although there seems to be a systemic issue and all 3 options look more like band-aids - however this option is the strongest band-aid)	No cons. By far the best of the 3 options for the Dunwoody community	Temporary alleviation for overcrowding at Dunwoody ES but this is a SMALL band-aid that will propose enrollment issues within a very short period. This minute pro is FAR outweighed by the below cons	- Most disruptive (17/10 kids relocated, ~500 kids total) +splits Vanderlyn/Chestnut ES communities - No real benefit-only temporary relief for DES-net positive effect to VES is negligible. - Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for all. For Oxford Chase (OC), VES is closer & has sidewalks/cross-walks; KES routes do not. Left out of OC to KES is a major traffic hazard	1) Option 2 - SIGNIFICANTLY 2) Option 1
10/28/2019 10:38	Austin ES			I definitely think this is the best option				Option 2
10/28/2019 10:39	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin. It does not provide enough relief for forecast of Dunwoody ES. There is no cluster relief, and Dunwoody Forest West is split.	This option would move the fewest students and cause much less disruption.			This would cause the most disruption with about 450 children being relocated. The proposed move of kids to and from Vanderlyn serves no benefit. There is a very dangerous left turn onto Mt. Vernon and no sidewalks or cross walks for safe walkings to Kingsley.	Option 2
10/28/2019 10:44	Austin ES							
10/28/2019 10:47	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attend lines gives Austin highest growth in cluster, expect highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment w/ obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley's capacity is understated as 3 Special Ed classes moving to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley. Will add portables to new Austin, leaving no room for organic growth. Sign addtl Roberts Dr. traffic due to 200+ student	New Austin starts with 0 portables, allowing for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Reduces 10 portables. Lowers Hightower overcrowding some.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools). Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley's capacity is understated as 3	Option 2 is best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2). Option 2 splits up the high growth area equitably btw AES DES.
10/28/2019 10:51	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools). Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse results for 2021 enrollment.
10/28/2019 11:05	Dunwoody ES	Tries to balance enrollment across cluster	Doesn't address portables as well as other options	Disrupts least number of students	Worst option for DES overcrowding. I think Austin should have portables if the other overcrowded schools do.	Seems like the most equitable, using all schools and existing resources and giving Austin portables. Best help to DES which is the most overcrowded. Biggest reduction in portables.	Moves most number of students from current school.	I think option 3 is the fairest option to address the issues of overcrowding and portables for all schools in the cluster.
10/28/2019 11:08	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools and it does eliminate some of the trailers.	Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief, and Dunwoody Forest West is split.	Fewest students being moved and disrupted (only 241 kids). Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools.	n/a	Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are too many cons.	Most disruption, 17/10 kids relocated (439 kids), splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities, Option #3-map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for all involved, for example, Oxford Chase will have very dangerous turn left on Mt Vernon in am, no side walks/cross walks treks to Kingsley	Option #1 or Option #2
10/28/2019 11:11	Vanderlyn ES							
10/28/2019 11:17	Dunwoody ES	Alleviates the most overcrowded school, Dunwoody ES, which should be the biggest concern. Most importantly, the quality of students' education is being put in jeopardy. Additionally, the number of portables, parking for school events, and traffic are all becoming untenable.	This is a fair option, though overcrowding is still a significant problem compared to Option 3.	Slight alleviation of congestion at overcrowded schools, but it makes no sense that Austin shouldn't share some of the challenges of overcrowding.	Since all schools in the cluster are dealing with overcrowding, Austin should help take a share of this and add portables just like every other school.	This is the best option as it does the best job of balancing overcrowding across the schools and leverages Doraville United.	None really. This is the best map.	Option 3. It does the best job of spreading the problem of overcrowding while trying to alleviate it some as well.
10/28/2019 11:20	Austin ES	Temporary relief for DES.	I can't imagine the new school starting with already 7 portables and being overcrowded on day 1. We know the population is growing and Austin has no room to grow at all at this point. Seems pointless. Not sure where 7 portables will fit on a new campus. Will probably destroy neighboring DNC. Roberts road cannot handle that much traffic already.	Probably not ideal for everybody, but at least will put Austin in the better place for next few years, until it will be overcrowded again. Will cause the least disruption for students.	Not solving the overcrowding issue in Dunwoody cluster. Just shifting the students around as many times before.	Temporary relief as option 1.	Same as option 1. Not long term solution for overcrowding as the brand new Austin will already start overcrowded. Big traffic issues on Roberts Rd. No room for portable units. Destroying one last green oasis in the area - DNC. Will not solve the issues as we will be probably shifting the kids around in next couple of years.	I think option 2 is the best solution at this point. Dunwoody cluster have had issues with overcrowding for many years and the district just keeps moving the students in the circle. I believe the only solution is to build a brand new school.
10/28/2019 11:23	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.  The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	• Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem • Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for • No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	• Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	• Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United • Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	• Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage • Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in • Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/28/2019 11:34	Dunwoody ES	alleviates crowding for DES, HES. Balances portables	Long term problem for growth in uninhabited area West of Ashford Dunwoody	only for AES	AES has the newest facility- they must take their share of students. It is ridiculous for all other schools to have so many trailers and for AES to have none. Long term projected enrollment must be addressed or we are going to be doing this again in 5 years. We must do what is best for the entire cluster.	Most even distribution and overcrowding relief of all students in cluster	Does not plan for estimated growth at DES.	Option 3 is best as it relieves overcrowding for the whole Dunwoody cluster by utilizing Doraville with the least number of trailers. However, I feel that all of these maps are short-sighted. We cannot plan to redistrict again in 2 years. ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should move to AES. This utilizes the main criteria of geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected growth
10/28/2019 11:34	Austin ES							Option 2
10/28/2019 11:46	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	• Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem • Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for	• Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.	• Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United • Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	• Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage • Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in • Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 1 is the best of the 3 options presented because it gives DES the most relief, most equitably spreads out trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES
10/28/2019 11:47	Vanderlyn ES	Yes		Yes		No too many children affected		1
10/28/2019 11:51	Vanderlyn ES	Provides much-needed relief for DES, as well as Hightower.	None of the options provide ANY relief for Vanderlyn. We remain the most overcrowded in all options. We have 2 full grades in trailers. Woodsong area is part of a larger Dunwoody Club Forest neighborhood, so am surprised it was removed to Austin in this option. Chestnut appears to be oddly split up in each option.	some relief for DES, but not much	No portables for Austin - while no one should portables - is not fair to the rest of the cluster who has an abundance of trailers. Vanderlyn has 2 full grades in trailers. Austin needs to share in the pain. This option does not provide as much relief as it should for DES. Again Chestnut seems to be unnecessarily split up	Moving the Oxford Chase neighborhood to Kingsley makes more sense than moving Woodsong to Austin. From a proximity standpoint - Oxford Chase seems like a straighter shot. I like that this idea is more out of the box thinking in that there the enrollment relief for Hightower crosses the cluster. This option also reduces the most number of portables out of all options.	Vanderlyn get NO relief from this option. We have 2 full grades in portables. Chestnut seems unnecessarily split up within the Dunwoody North neighborhood area.	Option 3
10/28/2019 11:54	Dunwoody ES					Best solution of the 3		3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 12:00	Kingsley ES	No split feeder	Provides no relief for overcrowding in the cluster at large. Puts the least amount of students in new buildings or better facilities. Least beneficial to current Kingsley and Hightower students-- who should be a high priority for district support. Changes Kingsley demographics so the vast majority of students are low-income without providing additional educational resources and support.	No split feeder	Provides no relief for overcrowding in the cluster at large. Puts the least amount of students in new buildings. Overcrowding not equally distributed. Least beneficial to Kingsley and Hightower -- who should be a high priority for district support. Changes Kingsley demographics so the large majority of students are low-income without providing additional educational resources and support.	Puts the most students in new facilities Provides the most overcrowding relief for the entire Dunwoody cluster. Least amount of trailers throughout cluster. Provides continued and consistent support for low-income students.	Split feeder	Moving students to Doraville United provides the most relief for the Dunwoody Cluster because the most students benefit. Hightower students who are redistricted will be in a new facility, and all schools will have less overcrowding.
10/28/2019 12:00	Vanderlyn ES	no pros	Trailers at Austin; Does not provide enough relief for forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted	no cons		Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Proposed "chess moves" to move kids to and from Vanderlyn ES serves no benefit; Safety aspects: For OC nhood, very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon and no side-walks or cross-walks for any safe treks to Kingsley; on contrary, current ride and walk/bike to Vanderlyn School is closer and much safer	Option 2, open to new option 4 if it becomes available
10/28/2019 12:00	Vanderlyn ES							
10/28/2019 12:28	Austin ES	Makes the other two options look better.	Trailers at opening of brand new school.	No trailers at brand new school. Only one transfer from Vanderlyn. Fewer trailers in cluster. Share trailer burden with Kingsley.	Does not improve over-crowding as well as serious re-districting.	Fills the need to present three options w/o being significantly better than option one.	Trailers at a brand new school. Moves too many students out of Vanderlyn.	Hobson's choice? In that case, Option 2 as 1 & 3 serve only to make 2 the obvious/only choice. This district needs system-wide re-districting shifting students away from over-crowded areas towards those with empty seats. Somehow that option did not make your list.
10/28/2019 12:33	Vanderlyn ES	A lower number of kids being disrupted. No cross-cluster moves. Austin utilization rate increases bringing it to triple digits like the rest of the cluster.	It does not provide enough relief for the forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted. No cross-cluster moves.	It does not provide enough relief for the forecast of Dunwoody ES. Austin utilization is less than 100% unlike the other schools which is more than 100%.	Trailers are present at all schools.	The largest amount of disruption. Includes cross clustering, which introduces disruption for the elementary children once they move on to middle and high school.	Option 2
10/28/2019 12:37	Austin ES							
10/28/2019 12:42	Austin ES			Of the optins, this requires the least number of students moves and a signifcant reduction in portables.			Compared to Option 2, this option requires roughly 200 additional kids to move schools all for the sake of reducing 3 additional portables. That can not be worth it.	Option 2
10/28/2019 12:49	Vanderlyn ES	There are none.	Cons = Trailers at Austin; Does not provide enough relief for forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted	There are no cons - this option provides for the fewest children being moved and people's property value changing.	None.	1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Proposed "chess moves" to move kids to and from Vanderlyn ES serves no benefit; Safety aspects: For OC nhood, very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon and no side-walks or cross-walks for any safe treks to Kingsley; on contrary,current ride and walk/bike to Vanderlyn School is closer and much safer	Children being moved and disrupted. This not only hurts their development, but causes unnecessary strain on parents as well.
10/28/2019 12:51	Vanderlyn ES		Trailer at Austin, does not provide enough relief for Dunwoody ES : No cluster relief.	Fewer students being moved thus less disruption for families and children. Fewer neighborhoods will be adversely affected. Less safety issues regarding transporting students to schools.		NONE	Most disruptive for students and families. Safety issues regarding cars, school buses and children walking or riding bikes to school	Option 2. Would like to see a new a Different Option.
10/28/2019 13:04	Chesnut ES	They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358 st		They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358 st		They ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community, The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. We challenge their stated "school capacity" of 358 st		Keeping Dunwoody North neighborhood in the Chesnut District. It is a homogenous group that should stay together.
10/28/2019 13:04	Austin ES	None	All schools are overcrowded!! How can this be? Will tailors be at all Dunwoody Elementary schools? If so, what a disappointment! Traffic is already horrible on Roberts Drive (to Austin). I walk with my children everyday, while we are lucky to have a crossing guard; there are so many vehicles now (not related to the school) - overloading the new Austin will make the situation worse.	No trailers at new Austin! Makes it seem like DCS did something right! Makes sense for a new, expanded school that soon will be overcrowded too. Reduces 10 portables - thank goodness. Who wants to go to school in a trailer - an unnecessary distraction! Less traffic on Roberts than other option. Would like to see the DCS decision makers walk on Roberts on a school morning & get their thoughts		Reduces portables - trailers - yeah!!!	Trailers for Austin - boo! That is almost a disgrace at a new school. Traffic will be worse on Roberts. DCS leaders, please take a walk on Roberts on a school morning - preferable Mon - Thurs. Bring your kids too. Why shift so many kids? Too much change.	Option 2
10/28/2019 13:10	Dunwoody ES							
10/28/2019 13:11	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	â€œNew attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. â€œKingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. â€œWill add portables to the new Austin ES	â€œNew Austin ES starts with 0 portables and allows for organic growth in area projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021. â€œHelps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization) â€œMoves least amount of students, achieves greater port. reduction than #1. â€œHelps get rid of 10 portables. â€œProvides Hightower ES relief in terms of overcrowding â€œReduce Roberts Drive traffic	â€œKingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	â€œGives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. â€œHighest portable reduction.	â€œMost dramatic mvmt of students in D-woody Cluster (~500 students required to change schools) â€œNegligible impact on overcrowding, moves 200 more students than in #2. â€œNew attendance lines give Austin ES only area expected to grow at high trajectory rate for 2021. â€œKingsley ES's cap. is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from KES to AES creates higher-than-actual portables at KES.	#2 is best for students. Minimizes # of students changing schools, has most dramatic impact on # of portables needed to serve pop. #1 & 3 = less favorable. They shift many more students (#3 moves ~200 more students than #2), negligibly better or slightly worse results w/respect to min. portables. #1 & 3 shift only area in cluster expected to grow at a high rate to AES. #2 splits growth equitably.
10/28/2019 13:21	Vanderlyn ES							Option #2 (first choice) option #1 (second choice). If my neighborhood is redistributed I will move out of Dunwoody. Least amount of trailers would be ideal for all schools.
10/28/2019 13:25	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment; portables equitably split across all schools; eliminates 9 portables. Oxford Chase children/families can safely walk to school (1 mi on sidewalks). Less likelihood of accidents on Mount Vernon with Oxford Chase families turning right to Vanderlyn instead of left (dangerous left turn) to Kingsley	Portables at Austin. Does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES. No overall cluster relief. Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (this is a big deal!!). Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. 10 portables removed from all schools. Oxford Chase children/families can safely walk to school (1 mi on sidewalks). Less likelihood of accidents on Mount Vernon with Oxford Chase families turning right to Vanderlyn instead of left (dangerous left turn) to Kingsley		TEMPORARILY relieves cluster overcrowding	Most disruption! Splits Vanderlyn and Chestnut communities. Map D does not benefit anyone, net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues: Oxford Chase will have very dangerous left turn on Mt Vernon in morning and no side-walks/cross-walks for safe trek to Kingsley vs. closer and much safer ride/walk to Vanderlyn	1 or 2; probably 2
10/28/2019 13:38	Dunwoody ES							
10/28/2019 13:50	Dunwoody ES	It moves students from DES to other schools.	Option 3 moves the most number of students from DES to other schools (which is urgently needed).	There are no pros for option 2.	It doesn't move enough students from overcrowded DES. Also, for equitability, Austin should be required to have temporary trailers until Dekalb can build another elementary school. (By the way, Austin should be required to take students from apartment complexes, so DES is not so overwhelmed by highly-mobile apartment students.)	(1) It moves the most students from DES (i.e., it provides the most relief from overcrowding). (2) Temporary trailers at Austin help relieve overcrowding. (3) Students are moved from Hightower to Doraville United, relieving their overcrowding.	There are no cons for option 3.	Another elementary school (plus middle/high school) is desperately needed. DES must get some relief from apartment complex overcrowding. Perhaps consider an urban school campus (like North Atlanta High School) for the area with the most growth/mobility -- Ashford-Dunwoody apartments. Then students would be closer to their school (without clogging Roberts Dr./Womack & Vermack).

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 14:04	Dunwoody ES	<p>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</p>	<p>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p> <p>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</p>	<p>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria</p> <p>However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p>	<p>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</p> <p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage.</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</p> <p>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.</p>	<p>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</p> <p>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</p>	<p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</p> <p>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</p> <p>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</p>	<p>Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity &amp; projected growth at DES. **Also, area E should remain at DES.</p>
10/28/2019 14:05	Austin ES	<p>New Austin (which has the best capacity to do so) absorbs more students. Attendance lines for Vanderlyn are evened out.</p>	<p>Austin begins day one with portables. What a shame. Kids deserve better than these makeshift trailers.</p>	<p>Less children are shifted around overall. In my opinion, ALL families should be offered the chance to be grandfathered in to their old attendance zones. It is too disruptive otherwise.</p>	<p>Apartment communities who bring diversity to Austin are shunted to Dunwoody ES. Kids in the same apartment complex end up at different schools.</p>	<p>Many portables are eliminated.</p>	<p>The bulk of the overcrowding burden falls on the east side of the county. Families at Hightower are greatly inconvenienced.</p>	<p>There is no perfect option. Option 2 feels like the best of 3 bad choices. The least number of students will be impacted, and New Austin gets a (brief) moment to enjoy the shiny new feeling before they're overcrowded again.</p>
10/28/2019 14:14	Dunwoody ES	<p>moving our neighborhood from DES to VES creates better access from traffic but dont see a huge difference</p>	<p>Our son has IEP within DES and while I know it will be honored in VES, I dont like to create a lot of change for my son. This is a very unique situation hence would love to keep him where he is with minimal change in his life</p>	<p>same as option 1</p>	<p>Our son has IEP within DES and while I know it will be honored in VES, I dont like to create a lot of change for my son. This is a very unique situation hence would love to keep him where he is with minimal change in his life</p>	<p>dont have an opinion on this</p>		<p>We should think about creating a new school in the dunwoody cluster to handle the overcrowding. It looks like every school is over utilized and seems like all these options are just rearranging the deck chairs and not sustainable solutions.</p>
10/28/2019 14:31	Dunwoody ES	<p>It does not provide any pros to Dunwoody Community growth.</p>	<p>Austin is a brand new school that does not take on any new students, Why? DES is 10 years old and has exceeded its capacity. Austin should take on more students with the amount of space they have now.</p>	<p>It does not provide any pros to Dunwoody Community growth.</p>	<p>Austin still doesn't take on any new students with this map.</p>	<p>It does not provide any pros to Dunwoody Community growth.</p>	<p>Austin still doesn't take on any new students.</p>	<p>Neither. I'm happy to sit down and draw up a 4th solution.</p>
10/28/2019 14:35	Austin ES	<p>reduced trailers</p>	<p>Utilizes trailers on Day 1 in the new Austin ES (a school built with the intent of relieving overcrowding at the old Austin ES)</p> <p>Increases traffic on Roberts. It's hard enough to turn left onto Roberts from Wyntercreek. It would be nearly impossible with an extra 200 students</p>	<p>No trailers, which allows room for growth in district. Still helps with overcrowding at Dunwoody ES. Eliminates 10 trailers in district. Moves the fewest number of students.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Eliminates the most trailers in Dunwoody elementary schools.</p>	<p>Moves the most amount of students. Increases traffic on Roberts. Adds trailers to a new Austin ES built with the intent of relieving overcrowding. Still leaves every dunwoody elementary school overcrowded.</p>	<p>Option 2. It allows for growth in the Austin district which is getting more and more students. It relieves overcrowding at Dunwoody ES. It moves the least number of students and still decreases the total number of trailers in the district by 10.</p>
10/28/2019 14:36	Vanderlyn ES	<p>Keeps most of current school population at Vanderlyn and transfers some to Austin which is rated slightly better.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Only one student moves from Vanderlyn School district and Vanderlyn gains students from Dunwoody ES</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Vanderlyn loses 35 students to Kingsley, a poor performing school, which will result in a drop in property values for those families.</p>	<p>2</p>
10/28/2019 14:38	Vanderlyn ES	<p>Balance Enrollment and trailers are equally split across all schools. It also eliminates some of the trailers.</p>	<p>Austin Elementary would open with trailers.</p>	<p>Fewest students being moved/disrupted which is only 241 kids being disrupted. This option keeps Vanderlyn Elementary community intact and will remove 10 trailers from all school. Austin Elementary will open with no trailers.</p>			<p>This option creates the most disruption which is 439 kids making this 1 out of 10 kids will be relocated. This will split Vanderlyn and Chestnut Elementary communities. This adversely affects geographic proximity &amp; traffic safety issues for Oxford Chase community. Oxford Chase community will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt Vernon in the morning &amp; no sidewalks for walking/bike to Kingsley</p>	<p>Option 2 would best benefit the Dunwoody Cluster</p>
10/28/2019 14:38	Vanderlyn ES	<p>Balanced Enrollment Trailers are equitably split across all schools Does eliminate some of the trailers</p>	<p>Dunwoody Forest West is split no overall cluster relief does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES Trailers at Austin</p>	<p>Fewer students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact 10 trailers removed from all schools</p>		<p>Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are way too many cons</p>	<p>Most disruption 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids!) Splits Vanderlyn ES &amp; Chesnut ES communities Map D- does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible, adversely affects geographic proximity &amp; traffic/safety issues from all involved (Oxford Chase will have to turn left on Mt Vernon in the mornings, very dangerous. No side walks or cross walks for safe walking/biking to Kingsley)</p>	<p>Option #1 or #2</p>
10/28/2019 14:54	Vanderlyn ES	<p>Balanced Enrollment, Trailers are equitably split across all schools, Does eliminate some of the trailers</p>	<p>Trailers at Austin, Does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, Dunwoody Forest West is split, no overall cluster relief</p>	<p>Fewer students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools</p>		<p>Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are way too many cons</p>	<p>Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids!), Splits Vanderlyn ES &amp; Chesnut ES communities, Does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. It adversely affects geographic proximity &amp; traffic/safety issues from all involved, for example, Oxford Chase will have to turn left on Mt V in the mornings, very dangerous &amp; no side walks or cross walks for safe treks to Kingsley</p>	<p>Option 1 or 2</p>
10/28/2019 15:10	Vanderlyn ES	<p>Balanced Enrollment. Equitable split of trailers at all schools with some elimination of trailers.</p>	<p>Austin will have trailers and hasn't even opened yet. Growth for Dunwoody ES is expected with no relief. No overall cluster relief.</p>	<p>Fewest students being moved. Vanderlyn ES community is intact. 10 trailers are removed from all schools.</p>		<p>More efficient use of the Dunwoody Cluster.</p>	<p>Most disruptive - more students are moved. Splits Intact Communities of Vanderlyn and Chestnut. Geographic proximity is adversely affected. Traffic/safety issues are dangerous - left turn onto Mount Vernon. Route does not have all the sidewalks necessary for walk/bike</p>	<p>Option 2</p>
10/28/2019 15:11	Dunwoody ES	<p>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</p>	<p>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p> <p>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</p>	<p>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not NEARLY enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p>	<p>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</p> <p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage.</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</p> <p>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.</p>	<p>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United - good for all the overcrowded schools</p> <p>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</p>	<p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</p> <p>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</p> <p>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</p>	<p>Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem! This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety &amp; addresses instructional capacity &amp; projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.</p>
10/28/2019 15:14	Vanderlyn ES						<p>I live in the Woodlands and my husband and I bought our house 3 years ago in that neighborhood specifically so that we would be districted for Vanderlyn Elementary as we have a daughter who will begin kindergarten next year. Vanderlyn is rated 9 on Great Schools. Your proposed Option 1 map would put us in Kingsley, which is rated a 6 on Great Schools. We are not OK with this change</p>	<p>Keeping students at schools that are rated as the same level at which they are currently districted for is important. If you want to move students to new schools, I think you need to make sure the new destination schools are on par with the current school before you do that. Option 2 seems to be the best option because it has the least amount of movement between schools of different quality levels</p>
10/28/2019 15:14	Austin ES							<p>Option 2</p>
10/28/2019 15:20	Austin ES							<p>Option2</p>

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 15:20	Dunwoody ES	<p>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</p>	<p>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</p> <p>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</p>	<p>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p>	<p>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</p> <p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage.</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for.</p> <p>Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</p> <p>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville</p>	<p>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</p> <p>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</p>	<p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</p> <p>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</p> <p>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</p>	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/28/2019 15:23	Austin ES	must disruption to students	adds more traffic to an already overcrowded Robert's drive.	best option of the 3				Number 2 is the best option
10/28/2019 15:24	Dunwoody ES	<p>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</p>	<p>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</p> <p>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</p>	<p>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p>	<p>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</p> <p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage.</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for.</p> <p>Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</p> <p>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.</p>	<p>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</p> <p>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</p>	<p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</p> <p>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</p> <p>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</p>	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/28/2019 15:28	Dunwoody ES							All three options serves to ease the most overcrowded school. It serves the need in terms of quantity wise, however, changing school will have some impact on student learning. Will DeKalb county have any support for children whose district changed? Every school is different, no matter what it is a good rated school or not. In my opinion, DES should be the one to have a new school bldg.
10/28/2019 15:37	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated.	Option 2
10/28/2019 15:39	Dunwoody ES	Reduces the over-crowding at DES and might reduce need for portable classrooms.	May not work for very long...over-crowding is likely to be an issue in 2 or so years.	Reduces the enrollment of DES slightly. Effects the least amount of schools making minor changes.	Barely reduces the population at DES and will not make much of an impact. Also will likely be back the same in a few years.	Reduces the enrollment for DES the most.	Affects many schools.	Reducing the number of enrollment in DES
10/28/2019 15:41	Vanderlyn ES	yes			not agree - vanderlyn is already crowded		disagree - vanderlyn is closest for option D	Option 1
10/28/2019 16:35	Austin ES							option 2 is the best approach. it minimizes the # of students changing schools.
10/28/2019 16:36	Vanderlyn ES	Pros: Balanced enrollment. Portables equally split amongst elementary schools	Cons: New Austin has portables day 1 instead of not introducing them and performing proper planning. No proper relief to DES. Dunwoody Forest West split	Least amount of students mobilized. Keeps Vanderlyn community intact. 10 Portables removed		Improve overall utilization of Dunwoody cluster	Most disruptive to students. The worse student mobilization option. Splits 2 neighborhoods: both Vanderlyn and chesnut communities. Safety hazard #1: issue for Oxford Chase Residents needing to make a left turn onto Mt. Vernon Safety hazard #2: 1.9 miles of non-walkable distance with no sidewalks	Option 2; then Option 1
10/28/2019 16:39	Austin ES	Initially balances utilization rate, but not best long term answer given rapid forecasted growth rate of area moving to Austin ES.	Austin ES would have the highest growth areas in the cluster, bad in the long term. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated. 3 Special Ed classrooms are moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Adds portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth 200 new students will clog an already overcrowded Roberts Drive.	Allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1 Eliminates 10 portables Provides Hightower ES some overcrowding relief Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road, as compared with Options 1 and 2.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Improves overcrowding over Option 2 only negligibly. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Significant traffic impact on Roberts Road with 200+ new students	Option 2
10/28/2019 17:09	Dunwoody ES	Balance between the school is the best		Option 2 looks better			Again same problem arises	Austin school redistributing looks better
10/28/2019 17:22	Austin ES			More geographically aligned	None			Option 2
10/28/2019 18:35	Chesnut ES		Geography - section of Dunwoody North moving to Kingsley makes no sense it is 1.1 miles from Chesnut and 2.7 miles from Kingsley Enrollment- Taking our 43 children already attending school (friends, money donated to improving school, sense of community, etc.) and replacing with 25. 18 person swing to uproot kids. Chestnuts scores are higher than ever eh mess with that		Chesnut scores are so high now please do not mess with that. We struggle to get volunteers and a lot of them come from the Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Do not mess that up. Tearing neighborhoods apart will also impact the Dunwoody Civic Association and the Dunwoody North Driving Club.		Chesnut is the oldest school building in the Dunwoody Cluster. It is also on the biggest piece of land. It needs to be rebuilt bigger and better. The students you are sending to another school would all be brought back when we are rebuilt one day so leave them there now even if we have to have trailers. Our school has lost a beloved principal and a beloved art teacher in the last few years	None Keep Dunwoody North Neighborhood Together at Chesnut.
10/28/2019 18:53	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students.	Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief in terms of overcrowding. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road, as compared with Options 1 and 2.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	2. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse results with respect to minimizing portables.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 19:04	Kingsley ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES	2 is the best overall. It moves the least amount of students and keeps the special education classrooms in tact. It also is the best for the Oxford Chase neighborhood- who doesn't want to be split up, as well as the entire Dunwoody cluster as it fairly distributes students across the area.
10/28/2019 19:46	Vanderlyn ES	Alleviates some overcrowding	Splitting of Dunwoody Forest neighborhood	Not as many students moved Property values intact	Portables	None	Traffic safety Affects property values Eventually Kingsley will be over capacity	Remolding schools/Improving the types of portables especially at schools like Chesnut and Kingsley. Those trailers do not have restrooms and are not pods unlike other schools. It would also be best to keep students with their current neighborhood schools. Home values will unfortunately be affected and that is not positive when people paid for homes due to schools servicing a neighborhood.
10/28/2019 19:52	Dunwoody ES							
10/28/2019 20:05	Chesnut ES	None	All opinions split the Dunwoody North Civic Association neighborhoods, which is a huge swim and tennis community made up of multiple neighborhoods. These neighborhoods have all gone to the same elementary school since 1980s.	None	This map splits Dunwoody North Civic Association.	None	This option splits Dunwoody North Civic Association. Also it creates a split feeder for the Hightower children.	None. All these options are identical for Dunwoody North neighborhoods. All of our comments from the first meet were ignored.
10/28/2019 20:14	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/28/2019 20:46	Austin ES	Leave it all in place. No need for re-district.	TRAFFIC, roads will get worse faster from more TRAFFIC. TAX dollars will go up to pay for more infrastructure=assessments..	Leave it all in place. No need for re-district.	TRAFFIC, roads will get worse faster from more TRAFFIC. TAX dollars will go up to pay for more infrastructure=assessments..	No need for re-districting at this time.	TRAFFIC, roads will get worse faster from more TRAFFIC. TAX dollars will go up to pay for more infrastructure=assessments.. When Dunwoody elemen. was built there should have a redistrict then.	Leave it ALL ALONE
10/28/2019 20:52	Austin ES							Option 2
10/28/2019 21:27	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/28/2019 21:59	Austin ES		*Will add trailers to the new Austin ES campus- leaves no room for growth, should not have trailers at a brand new school *Will add significant traffic on Roberts Rd with the addition of so many students, already overcrowded and dangerous	*Less impact of traffic on Roberts Rd than options 1 and 3 *Moves the least amount of students *No trailers at Austin ES *Helps alleviate DES overcrowding *Helps alleviate Hightower overcrowding		*Gives some overcrowding relief to Hightower	*Moves almost 500 students! *Will add trailers to Austin *Again adds significant traffic to Roberts Rd.	Option 2 is the best for students. It allows for the least amount of students to have to change school and limits the number of trailers that will be at the schools. Options 1 and 2 move dramatically more students without effectively addressing overcrowding and trailers. Additionally Options 1 and 2 will result in a danger increase in traffic on Roberts Rd.
10/28/2019 22:02	Dunwoody ES		Doesn't remove enough students from the most crowded school - DES		Doesn't remove enough students from the most crowded school - DES	Removes the highest number of students from the most crowded school - DES.		Option 3
10/28/2019 22:04	Dunwoody ES					Most balanced distribution across all in terms of utilization	Vanderlyn remains excessively overpopulated	Option 3 - most equitable
10/28/2019 22:10	Vanderlyn ES	Trailers are split equitably across schools while eliminating some trailers overall.	No overall cluster relief	Less disruptive of all options. keeps neighborhoods and feeder schools in tact.			Most disruptive. Splits neighborhoods and feeder schools. Traffic and safety issue having students that could walk or bike now have to get on a bus or car and sit in traffic on Mt. Vernon/Tilly Mill.	believe Option 2 is the best Option but also see value of Option 1.
10/28/2019 22:16	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it eliminates some of the trailers.	Trailers at Austin and does not provide enough relief for growth forecast	Fewest students being moved/disrupted and keeps Vanderlyn community intact. Also removes 10 trailers from all schools.	Fewest cons	Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody cluster but there are too many cons and affects the most families and students.	Most disruption, splits Vanderlyn and Chestnut communities, traffic concerns, dangerous left turns, no sidewalks or crosswalks for students who walk.	Option 2 best serves the community with the fewest disruptions. Our family and community has invested in Dunwoody by purchasing our forever homes here. To see us removed from the school we belong to would be incredible disruptive and would cause many families to leave the area.
10/28/2019 22:23	Dunwoody ES	Some relief to DES -Austin gets portables for fairer distribution among other Dunwoody schools	Not enough relief for DES -Doesn't include enough apartments/extended stay to Austin for fair distribution -Doesn't include a new school in Dunwoody -Doesn't account for growth projections beyond 2021, including potential development in areas currently zoned for DES (eg, around Ashford Dunwoody) that should not be zoned for DES because of overcrowding	Some relief to DES (but not enough)	-Austin has no portables, which is not equitable distribution among Dunwoody schools -Least amount of relief for DES among the options -Doesn't include enough apartments/extended stay to Austin for fair distribution -Doesn't include a new school in Dunwoody -Doesn't account for growth projections beyond 2021/potential development in areas currently zoned for DES (Ash.Dun) that shouldn't be	-Best relief for DES of the three options -Includes the most apartments/extended stays (a significant contribution to DES overcrowding) to Austin for fairer distribution among Dunwoody schools -Includes portables at Austin for fairer distribution among Dunwoody schools	-Moves houses very close to DES to other schools -Doesn't include a new school in Dunwoody -Doesn't account for growth projections beyond 2021, including potential development in areas currently zoned for DES (eg, around Ashford Dunwoody) that should not be zoned for DES because of overcrowding	-Option 3 is best among the 3 presented -Option should include potential development along Ash-Dun to be zoned somewhere other than DES -Option should include distributing current and potential apartments/condos/extended stay equally across all Dunwoody schools -Option should account for growth beyond 2021 -Option should include a new Dunwoody school
10/28/2019 22:49	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines give Austin the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest rate for 2021 enrollment with long-term impacts.Kingsley's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from KES to AES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.Will add portables to the new Austin leaving no room for growth. More traffic on Roberts Driv	New Austin starts with 0 portables and allows for organic growth in area that is projected to grow at a high rate for 2021. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1.Helps get rid of 10 portables.Reduces traffic on Roberts Driv	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic move of students in the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines give Austin the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high rate for 2021. Adds portables to the new Austin campus, with no room for growth	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools while having the most dramatic impact on the # of portables needed to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are not favorable,they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more than Option 2), plus they shift the only area with high projected growth rate solely to AES.
10/28/2019 23:08	Dunwoody ES	Most equitable solution for cluster. Relief for DES but not enough. Portable classrooms at Austin for cluster equity, and overall portable reductions. Very good option.	C should obviously be moved to Vanderlyn or Austin, and based on proximity Austin makes the most sense. However moving C to Austin would reduce needing B2 to move, reducing the number of students moving by 5-7% in option 1. Not enough relief for DES considering growth projections.	Some relief for DES, but not enough. C is moved to a more sensible school (although Austin is 50% closer).	Not an equitable solution for cluster, as not near enough students in new Austin. Austin needs to open with trailers. Not enough relief for DES considering growth projections.	Arguably provides most relief to cluster (although probably angers the most people). Relief for Hightower and some for DES.	Greatest number of students moved, and minimal impact to portable utilization. Not enough relief for DES considering growth projections.	I would vote for option 1, as it provides trailers at Austin to provide the most equitable solution in the cluster. However ultimately whatever reduces capacity at DES the most is my preference. In your recent growth projections, this appeared to be the school with the highest growth rate in the entire county. Getting capacity relief today any way possible is critical.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/28/2019 23:18	Austin ES	Basically none. Opening schools beyond capacity leaves no room for growth in an expanding neighborhood. Relieving some pressure off of Dunwoody is a minimal consolation.	Austin is already over crowded, that's why a new school was built. By minimizing the problem (lack of educational capacity) in the cluster, it makes it harder to argue for an actual resolution (additional capacity). Roberts Drive does not have the capacity for the current student load, and will struggle to manage with additional capacity. The solution should never be make things bad for everyone.	Relieves some pressure from Dunwoody, but not much.	Makes things worse for Vanderlyn, while still leaving no real room for growth at Austin. Again, all of those schools are dealing with the capacity issues that Austin has been dealing with. Finally Austin is getting relief. Don't dump that so that there are 3 fewer portables in the cluster. Focus on new schools with more capacity. The wait is worth it for all communities involved.	Almost none. Dunwoody gets relief again at the cost of other schools. They must be lobbying hard for this.	Another example of putting stress on the other schools for minimal relief at a few locations. 8 fewer portables isn't worth it. Hold out for new schools to increase capacity with demand. Change takes time.	No change. Leave things as planned. All options pander to a specific problem area with total disregard for the wider community. Focus on new schools.
10/28/2019 23:22	Austin ES							option 2
10/28/2019 23:50	Austin ES	None that I see	Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth. Unacceptable	No portables for the near future. Less traffic impact - people like us that live off of Roberts and their only street out need to get to work to be able to pay their taxes.	Still not much room for Austin to organically grow. Most homes in the area turn over to young families. Adding portables defeats the purpose of a brand new school and unfair to the students and teachers who have to be in portables.	None	Overcrowding of Austin - unfair to the people who have purchased homes to be in this district at premium prices paying the most in taxes and then having an immediately overcrowded school. Unacceptable Traffic will be prohibitive and unfair to residents living off of Roberts Dr. You will have to add more lanes to Roberts Dr.in the near future for both Option 1 and 3. This will be very costly.	OPTION 2 is the only acceptable and fair option.
10/28/2019 23:51	Dunwoody ES	More enrollment balance between the schools	Results in the least amount of portables change. All schools are over-enrolled.	Least amount of moves. Better balance (but not the best) and fewer portables.	Austin is the only school that isn't fully utilized (or over-utilized depending on your level of pessimism) - share the love.	With the exception of Vanderlyn, seems to be better balanced. Least amount of portables.	Results in the most move.	Balance of enrollment. Over-enrollment results in worse student-to-teach ratios which is detrimental to the students.
10/29/2019 6:41	Austin ES			vehicle traffic	vehicle traffic			option 2
10/29/2019 8:08	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Will add portables to the new Austin ES camp, leaving no room for organic growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students.	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster Helps get rid of 10 portables. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts drive Provides Hightower ES overcrowding relief	none	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students) being required to change schools) Negligible overcrowding impact while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road Portables at new Austin	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students & make Austin ES only area in our cluster expected to grow at a high trajectory rate through the 2021
10/29/2019 8:10	Austin ES	While intention may be good, this will quickly become unbalanced as the forecast shows rapid growth at AE.	AE looks to have highest growth trajectory with highest impacts to attendance. Kingsley ES figure is understated. Will have portables at brand new AE leaving no room for growth. Significant increase of traffic on Roberts with the addition of over 200 students - this road is already a high traffic area.	Start AE with no portables, leaving room for growth in the area with the highest trajectory for growth. Help alleviate DES overcrowding. Reduces portables by 10. Provides some relief to Hightower ES. Reduces impact of traffic on Roberts, compared with options 1 and 3.		Highest portable reduction.	Most movement of students in cluster, while having little impact on overcrowding. Will add portables to AE leaving no room for future growth in the area with the highest trajectory for growth. Significantly increase the traffic on Roberts Road.	Option 2
10/29/2019 8:10	Austin ES	None	Adding more kids to Austin is not the answer. We want no trailers. Dekalb needs to be redistricted from ES, to MS onto the HS. Over crowding is dangerous and counters a positive learning environment.	None	Adding more kids to Austin is not the answer. We want no trailers. Dekalb needs to be redistricted from ES, to MS onto the HS. Over crowding is dangerous and counters a positive learning environment.	None	Adding more kids to Austin is not the answer. We want no trailers. Dekalb needs to be redistricted from ES, to MS onto the HS. Over crowding is dangerous and counters a positive learning environment.	None. I want to see the district as a whole distribute the kids across all grade levels.
10/29/2019 8:33	Chesnut ES	None	Move We have purchased our property based on school district so we are against the change and believe that this will decrease our property value		Move We have purchased our property based on school district so we are against the change and believe that this will decrease our property value		Move We have purchased our property based on school district so we are against the change and believe that this will decrease our property value	
10/29/2019 8:43	Vanderlyn ES	'- Decreases population at overcrowded schools	'- Does not tackle the problem much - Overcrowding still will exist	'- Decreases overcrowding and utilization much better than Option 1 - Portables will be decreasing over time which means we're headed in the right direction - Better ROI than Option 1	'- Essentially just shifting weight from one side to another, not really fixing anything	Decreases utilization the most out of all options	- Extreme option that will effect the community greatly negatively - Raises many traffic issues such as causing neighborhoods to have to take left turns on to Mt Vernon during heavy traffic. This is already an issue that will be exasperated by this change - Increases inflow of traffic towards the city. - The ROI for this extreme option is not much better than Option 2	Option 2 as it takes us in the right direction of solving the problem. Taking extreme measures to such solve problems rarely works. Changes like this should be incremental over time to allow effective analysis of trends. Option 2 provides the best ROI while not making drastic changes to the community. This is the best step to take to take us in the right direction.
10/29/2019 8:55	Dunwoody ES	balanced enrollment	1. too much traffic with the new mapping 2. too many children expected to move to AES				entirely too much transition for kids at ALL of the schools, this is disruptive to not only the curriculum but to the growth and development of all the children affected.	Option 1
10/29/2019 9:03	Austin ES							Option 2
10/29/2019 9:21	Austin ES	Starts off balanced, but then becomes very unbalanced with growth in the area.	Portables for Austin limit the amount of organic growth! The teaching and instruction will be challenged from Day 1. This also puts the highest amount of growth on Austin ES. This should be more evenly distributed to other schools and areas!	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower relief	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated.	Option 2 is the best, fairest solution!
10/29/2019 9:34	Vanderlyn ES	All schools have some trailers, including Austin Elementary School. Overall trailer reduction across the board.	Some Chestnut students will have to cross Peachtree Industrial to get to Hightower.	Overall 10 trailers removed. Least redistrict disruption of students/neighborhoods.	Still, a few small area have disruption (redistricted).	Students off-loaded from Dunwoody Cluster to City of Doraville. This lowers overall student count. But, for these poor students, this is hardly a "pro". Heck... if they are being "kicked out" of Dunwoody Cluster, then they should be given a voucher to attend a school of their choice.	Lots of redistricting! Chestnut students isolated by Peachtree Industrial to go to Hightower (not walkable). Vanderlyn students crossing Mt Vernon/Tilly Mill (busy commuter roads). Some Hightower students crossing I-285 to attend Doraville United? (What!?!?) Isn't Geographic Proximity a high priority?	Option 2. Option 1 is our second choice.
10/29/2019 9:38	Dunwoody ES	Seems good for all the schools in our district	Doesn't provide needed relief for DES (Dunwoody Elementary )	Some relief to DES	Doesn't not include equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster.	For parents who drive and any buses that go to Kingsley from this area, this plan adds challenging intersections and a longer drive from Shenandoah Estates neighborhood.The left turn from Peeler Rd.onto N.Peachtree Rd is time-consuming and potentially dangerous during going to and from school. And a high volume of Ga State traffic in am turning left onto Tilly Mill. It also makes walking impossih	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic
10/29/2019 9:45	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, eliminates some trailers.	Trailers at Austin don't provide enough relief for growth forecast of DES, no cluster relief, and Dun West is split	Fewest students being moved and disrupted, keep Vanderlyn community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated, splits Vanderlyn and Chestnut communities, does not benefit anyone! Benefit to Vanderlyn is negligible. Oxford Chase will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt Vernon in am, and no sidewalks and crosswalks - safety issues involved for all.	Option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/29/2019 9:51	Vanderlyn ES	A is a positive move and increases Austin's numbers.	Splitting the Dunwoody north neighborhood (area D) This solution doesn't provide enough future relief for Dunwoody Elementary.	Minimizes changes to the number of schools and communities impacted.	Austin needs to take more kids. Mix Option 1 + Option 2 and it's a better alternative. No relief for DES.	A is very positive - good mix of kids moving from DES to AES	D will greatly impact traffic patterns, turning left out of those neighborhoods will be super negative. Oxford chase is a very important community for VES - we need to keep the community in tact.  H is positive but doesn't help with the future overcrowding at PMS and DHS. We need to think about the long term impact not the short term	No option alone is best. A mix of 2 and 3 would be ideal. Leaving Oxford Chase where they are but moving the full 199 to AES.
10/29/2019 10:20	Austin ES			This is the BEST option for the students and community!!				Option 2
10/29/2019 10:33	Austin ES			Best plan offered No portable classrooms for Austin				Option 2
10/29/2019 10:33	Vanderlyn ES							Option 1
10/29/2019 10:40	Austin ES			Option 2 is the best.				#2 is the best for the kids and Dunwoody citizens.
10/29/2019 10:56	Chesnut ES		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers."		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers."		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers."	By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers."
10/29/2019 11:21	Dunwoody ES							
10/29/2019 11:30	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate some of the trailers	Trailer at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools	N/A	N/A	Most disruptive option, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids in total), splits Vanderlyn ES and Chestnut ES communities. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for all involved, for example Oxford Chase will have a dangerous left turn on to Mt. Vernon in morning; no sidewalks/cross-walks for safe treks to Kingsley; the ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn is closer and much safer	Option 2
10/29/2019 11:31	Vanderlyn ES			Fewest Students Being Moved/disrupted (only 241 Kids), keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools				
10/29/2019 11:40	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Cons = Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chestnut ES Communities. A Net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 2
10/29/2019 12:08	Dunwoody ES	Keeps Village Mill neighborhood in tact and in DES district.	Most portable classrooms overall.	Keeps Village Mill neighborhood in tact and in DES district.	Has Austin at 99% utilization - not fair when other schools are between 115% and 139%. Gives Austin 0 portables - not fair.	Keeps Village Mill neighborhood in tact and in DES district. Most equitable utilization percentages of all three options for Dunwoody cluster. Fewest amount of portables overall. Fewest amount of portables for DES.	None.	Option 3. It is the most fair in terms of portables, most even utilization percentages, and gives the most relief to the most crowded school, DES.
10/29/2019 12:36	Vanderlyn ES	Option 1 offers balanced enrollment and eliminates some trailers but trailers that are needed are equitably split across all schools.	Trailers at Austin does not provide enough relief for growth forecast at Dunwoody Elementary. There is no overall cluster relief and Dunwoody Forest West is split.	In option 2 the fewest number of kids are being moved and it keeps the Vanderlyn community intact. 10 trailers are being removed from all schools.		Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster but there are too many Cons.	This option brings the most disruption to our existing communities. 1/10 kids are being relocated. Option number 3 map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/ safety issues. For example, Oxford Chase will have to make a dangerous left turn onto Mt. Vernon in the morning and there are no side walks or crosswalks for a safe trek to Kingsley	Option 2 is the least disruptive to our existing communities so that is my choice.
10/29/2019 12:39	Chesnut ES		By re-districting portions of Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic pattern, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.		By re-districting portions of Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic pattern, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.		By re-districting portions of Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic pattern, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.	
10/29/2019 12:58	Dunwoody ES	Reduce the overcrowded number of students in DES.	The deficit still high	Pros only to Austin students	The worst option to the majority.	The overall best option for all students, reducing the number of portables	Utilization % still high a new building/school plan should be in place right away.	option 3.
10/29/2019 12:59	Vanderlyn ES							
10/29/2019 13:01	Dunwoody ES		You are splitting the Chesnut community. Yikes!		You are splitting the Chesnut community. :(		You are splitting the Chesnut community - again!! It seems to me that the Hightower community will go to another cluster for elementary and Dunwoody after that. I would not want that to happen!	None. My family is not impacted, but they all seem to impact Chesnut more adversely - with fewer options for them! It seems to me that the largest impact should be shifting kids out of Dunwoody Elementary and moving them to Austin where there's room... and making room for Hightower kids at other Dunwoody cluster schools. Portables should be dispersed between all schools.
10/29/2019 13:22	Austin ES			this is the best and only acceptable for our neighborhood	traffic and the potential consequence of widening the road. Soooo ,, No way to this			option 2
10/29/2019 13:43	Vanderlyn ES	balanced enrollment; equitable split of trailers across all schools; eliminates some trailers.	Not enough relief for Dunwoody ES	Fewest students being moved = least disruption for children. Keeps Vanderlyn community intact Removes trailers from all schools			Most disruptive - 1 in 10 children in cluster affected rips apart Vanderlyn and Chestnut communities Section D (Oxford Chase and environs) does not help anything - huge impact on traffic patterns on Mt. Vernon/Tilly Mill, much longer trip time (hard left turn from OC neighborhood), more dangerous for walkers/bikers, lack of sidewalks. No positive gain from move. no improvement in VES enrollment	Option 1 or 2 are best since they affect the fewest children and schools.
10/29/2019 13:58	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Option #2 Pro = Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Cons: not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.			Option 2
10/29/2019 13:59	Vanderlyn ES	This is the most balanced in terms of enrollment. Trailers are equally split across schools and in addition some trailers are removed from our schools (~9)	Austin ES opens with trailers.	The less amount of students are moved across the board (241 kids compared to above 400 in option 3). Less disruption to traffic patterns. A total of 10 trailers are removed from our school. This seems like a fair solution and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Does not create as much relief for Dunwoody ES as option 1. In addition, Austin will be below the school capacity.	It does seem to improve the overall utilization, bit in my opinion has too many cons.	Most disruptive-439 kids are moved! Buses exiting from Oxford Chase will have an extremely dangerous left turn onto Mt. Vernon (already congested). There are no sidewalks from Oxford Chase to Kingsley, as apposed to the nearby Vanderlyn school with fully covered sidewalks allow to safely promote walking to school. Splits Vanderlyn and also Chestnut. Negligible positive effect to Vanderlyn	Option 2 But, option 1 is suitable as well.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/29/2019 14:01	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2 and option 1.
10/29/2019 14:02	Vanderlyn ES	Minimal disruptions for existing families. Provides relief to DES.		Minimal disruptions for existing families.	Minimal relief for DES.	Relieves DES overcrowding.	Major disruptions to existing families. Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe walking to Kingsley " kids can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer.	Options 1 & 2 are much better than option #3. They both balance the enrollment numbers with minimal disruptions to existing families. Option 3 is good for DES, but causes a huge disruption for the most number of existing families. Safe walking access to schools is important to my family and there are no safe walking routes to Kingsley from Oxford Chase.
10/29/2019 14:04	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer	Option 2 or Option 1
10/29/2019 14:05	Vanderlyn ES	Safer walking; proximity; keep neighborhoods/communities together; safer driving and less congested	More risk accidents walking and driving; split up communities	Safer walking and driving; keep neighborhood and communities together	More dangerous walking and driving; split up communities	Don't see any obvious ones over first two options	Longer walk; more dangerous walk; traffic congestion and more risk accidents; split up communities	Option 2
10/29/2019 14:08	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons. Cons = Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer/safer	The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2
10/29/2019 14:22	Vanderlyn ES	Minimum disruption of the students.	Trailers	Minimum disruption of students	Trailers	None for our cluster	Major changes to our cluster. Most concerning is the need to take a left turn out of our neighbor into very heavy traffic on all school days.	Option 2 is best, closely followed by Option 1
10/29/2019 14:25	Vanderlyn ES	Moves a good bit of DES students to relieve overcrowding	Moves B2 families that are connected in Vanderlyn, our neighborhood and swim/tennis to Austin.		No portables at all schools Does not alleviate overcrowding	Helps the overall district by spreading the overcrowding to the new school in Doraville All schools share in the relief and with use of portables		Option 3
10/29/2019 14:26	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	none	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	none	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 1
10/29/2019 14:30	Austin ES	This option is intended to balance attendance throughout the cluster and may for the short-term. It fails to break up the area with the highest projected growth, so schools would become unbalanced by the 2020-2021 school year though.	Everyone agrees a new school creates organic growth with large families being drawn to homes in that district. By concentrating the area w/ highest projected growth in the same attendance line, Austin will experience very dramatic growth requiring a redistricting in the near future. Additionally, these students will all come from south of Roberts Drive which cannot handle increased traffic.	splits up area with highest projected growth so one school does not absorb this growth entirely, while significantly alleviating DES overcrowding. Moves (impacts) fewest amount of students. Eliminates 10 portable classrooms! Provides Hightower relief. Reduces impact of traffic on Roberts. This choice isn the clear winner of the goal is to alleviate overcrowding with minimal student disruption!!!	I don't see any?	Provides relief to Hightower (which 2 does as well).	FIVE HUNDRED students will be shifted, that is equivalent to nearly half Austin's new student capacity. Like option 1, this option does not break up the area with highest projected growth. This area should be split so the two schools with the largest capacity split/share this rapid increase in growth.	Option 2, it alleviates overcrowding while minimizing student impact. Unlike option 3, does not require that 500 students be moved to reduce the number of portables and alleviate overcrowding. This option is also best for the community as it will have a less adverse impact on traffic.
10/29/2019 14:35	Chesnut ES	None	Re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and increase commutes from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers. This option would also separate our neighborhood community.	None	Re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and increase commutes from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers. This option would also separate our neighborhood community.	None	Re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and increase commutes from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers. This option would also separate our neighborhood community.	None, new options are needed which do not split up neighborhoods (in my case the Madison neighborhood).
10/29/2019 14:40	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution	Cons = Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	PREFERRED OPTION: Option #2 or Option #1.
10/29/2019 15:05	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment	Trailers in Austin	Better option		None	Big disruption and traffic will increase on Mt Vernon	Oxford chase should remain in the Vanderlyn district
10/29/2019 15:25	Vanderlyn ES	More relief for Dunwoody ES which has the worse overcrowding.			Not has many students moving from Dunwoody ES as option 1			Option 1
10/29/2019 15:28	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Upheaval for Vanderlyn	Fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools.	Less relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	Very few pros.	Cons = Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2
10/29/2019 16:00	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1.
10/29/2019 16:04	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/29/2019 16:46	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster		Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary			Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	Options 1 or 2
10/29/2019 17:17	Austin ES	Initially it balances in terms of utilization rate, however with the rapid forecast in growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with U portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding. Moves least amount of students in the Austin cluster while achieving greater portable reduction than Opt 1. Provides Hightower ES some overcrowding relief. Reduces traffic on Roberts Rd compared to the other 2 opt	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students (nearly 500 students being required to change schools). Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students at new Austin ES.	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while minimizing the # of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 & 3 are less favorable (shift more students & have negligibly better or slightly worse results with respect to minimizing portables). Option 2 splits evenly the high growth area between Austin and DES.
10/29/2019 17:19	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin, not enough relief for Dunwoody ES forecast, no cluster relief, Dunwoody Forest West is split	Preferred Option: Fewest students being moved				Map option 2
10/29/2019 17:24	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools. This is a proper, balanced solution.	No major cons.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	No major pitfall; Austin slightly under capacity, Option 1 creates more relief for Dunwoody Elementary.	Helps Dunwoody Cluster, but at the peril of neighboring communities.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2 is clearly the best option. It features the fewest student moves and lowers the use of trailers by -10. Option 1 is similarly balanced. Option 3 is extremely chaotic, forcing nearly 500 students to move and only barely reducing trailers more than other options. Please, please do not choose the nuclear Option #3 which harms much of the community.
10/29/2019 17:26	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, as suggested, with trailers equally split across all schools and aims at eliminating nine trailers across the cluster. It still does not solve the problem of addressing overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster, which can only be solved by opening a new school quickly, not ten years later, after the projections are no longer valid.	The new Austin school may have to open with trailers subject to the fact that the forecasts are accurate, which there appeared to be considerable doubt about, given the interactions at the last redistricting meeting.	This option leads to the fewest students being disrupted. This results in 10 trailers removed from the cluster and is the most equitable and fairest solution for all. It also keeps old school communities like the Vanderlyn school community intact. It also opens up some additional capacity in the new Austin ES, for future expansion. In all aspects, this option makes the best of a very bad situation	NONE.	NONE	This option is possibly the most disruptive of all the options. It relocates 439 students. Oxford Chase traffic will have a dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon and make it even more congested in the morning. There are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe walks to Kingsley whereas students can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES communities.	Option 2
10/29/2019 17:27	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 1
10/29/2019 17:32	Chesnut ES		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.	
10/29/2019 17:41	Vanderlyn ES	Safe walk to vanderlyn , keeping community intact , balance enrollment		Safe walking to school, keeping community intact, least impact for kids moving (numbers porting over ), balance enrollment		None	Unsafe walk, breaking community -in Oxford chase we share neighborhood activities with Dunwoody club behind us and social activities will break , too many kids porting impact for kids .	Option 2
10/29/2019 18:26	Vanderlyn ES	Everybody gets trailers	Does not solve the problem	Less moving of students. Less trailers	W	bad Traffic patterns and not as safe. Kids might get killed and reduce overcrowding	Bad traffic patterns. More congestion	2
10/29/2019 18:34	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
10/29/2019 19:31	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools and it does eliminate 9 trailers.	Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief, and Dunwoody Forest West is split.	Fewest students being moved, keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact 10 trailers removed from all schools	None	none	Most disruption 1 out of 10 kids relocated, splits Vanderlyn & Chestnut Communities, adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues.	Option 2
10/29/2019 19:32	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools and it does eliminate some of the trailers (9).	Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody Elementary School, no overall cluster relief and Dunwoody Forest West is split up.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), keeps Vanderlyn community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools.		Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster but too many cons.	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids), splits Vanderlyn and Chestnut ES communities, Option #3 map D does not benefit anyone, net + effect Vanderlyn negligible, Map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for all involved - example, Oxford Chase would have dangerous left turn Mt. Vernon in morning, no side/cross walks to Kingsley. Ride/walk/bike Vanderlyn safer	Option 1 or Option 2
10/29/2019 20:12	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced	Austin has trailers				Too much disruption	
10/29/2019 20:14	Vanderlyn ES	It is a balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools. Ok with it.	Austin starts with Trailers but that is common across all schools in Dunwoody	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not much relief to DES and Austin is slightly under-utilized	Only Pro, if we don't disrupt Vanderlyn intact neighborhoods	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oppose Area D move - Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is preferred. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2
10/29/2019 20:30	Austin ES			New school with great capacity opens with no portables which still allows for portables if needed for organic growth. Elevated some overcrowding from DES. Reassigns the least number of students and has less negative impact on traffic than other options, splits growth between Austin and DES (two largest schools)			Highest number of students being reassigned to a school that still has portables, the traffic impact would be highly negative and cause significantly longer bus routes for students due to congestion crossing through dunwoody village	Option 3. Least amount of students reassigned, no portables at new school which allow for future portables with organic growth and significantly better impact to traffic in an area that is highly congested impacting bus routes. The larger issue of overcrowding within Dunwoody Cluster is not solved by shifting students from one overcrowded school to a new school that will be over capacity as built
10/29/2019 20:53	Vanderlyn ES						Moves Oxford Chase into Kingsley which will cause major traffic jam leaving Oxford chase.	
10/29/2019 20:59	Vanderlyn ES	Minimal change for current communities, consistent traffic flow with current	none	This seems like the fairest solution for all schools as the least number of students are moved/disrupted and a net decrease of trailers	There does not seem to be as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1. The new Austin opens at under-enrollment and could be used better	Not much	Has the most disruption to our students, Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic, which is already a big issue in AM but will worsen) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley as we see many students currently ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer.	Option 1 (or option 2)

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/29/2019 21:01	Dunwoody ES		Area A needs to be expanded to 285, this entire area is geographically closer to AES Area C should stay within DES		This option makes 5 of the 6 elementary schools in the cluster have portables. Kingsley currently has 0 portables but under this option will have 5. Austin becomes the only school to be completely free of portables and that causes inequity within public schools in the same cluster.	Its good to consider cross-cluster capacity and enrollment to solve two areas Area E makes complete sense	Expand area A to 285 Keep area C within DES Keep area D within VES	The "parent" produced option 4 (Balanced Enrollment with intact communities) does the best job. It keeps neighborhoods intact and causes the least amount of disruption across the cluster. It utilizes the new DUES for cross-cluster redistricting.
10/29/2019 21:01	Dunwoody ES	no	keep dunwoody north together	also no	keep dunwoody north together austin has to take more kids.	this is the best bet. push everyone out to allow for more internal growth, but keep dunwoody north together. No one wants to go to chesnut anyways.	this is the best bet. push everyone out to allow for more internal growth, but keep dunwoody north together. No one wants to go to chesnut anyways.	push out, growth from within.
10/29/2019 21:21	Dunwoody ES		Not enough relief for Vanderlyn. The Vanderlyn area west of Chamblee Dunwoody should join the Austin district. Dunwoody Glenn should be districted to Kingsley with the neighboring complex.	Only if you live in the Austin district.	Unfairly biased towards Austin. Vanderlyn's situation worsens, Chestnut gets no relief, not enough relief for DES.	Best option for DES. Removes the most number of portable classrooms of all the options and keeps neighborhoods together.	Not enough relief for Vanderlyn, the school with the most portable classrooms. More of the Vanderlyn territory needs to be redistricted to Austin. The carve out west of Chamblee Dunwoody does not make sense, the Vanderlyn students here need to go to Austin.	Option 3
10/29/2019 21:24	Vanderlyn ES							
10/29/2019 21:26	Dunwoody ES					Option 3 provides the most relief for DES and also the most relief for portable classrooms across the district.		Option 3
10/29/2019 21:29	Austin ES		Not the best option	This is the best option		Not the best option		Please don't consider new maps created by a few parents acting as if they are representing everyone.
10/29/2019 21:37	Vanderlyn ES	The most balanced of all enrollment options.	New Austin school has to have portable classrooms(but that is fair).	Least amount of disruption while also lowering total number of portables.	Austin would not be utilized at capacity.		Most amount of disruption overall. The traffic and safety concerns are a whopper. No way to turn left toward Kingsley without causing a traffic nightmare on Mt. Vernon Rd. Not safe for kids to walk or bike (no sidewalks) like it is to Vanderlyn. Splits up the Vanderlyn and Chesnut communities.	Option 2 seems the most fair without a major disruption.
10/29/2019 21:38	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced Enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate some of the trailers	Trailers at Austin does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted, keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools		Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are too many cons	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids) splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES communities, Option #3-map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for all involved. Oxford Chase will have very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon in morning rush hours and no sidewalks for safe treks to Kin	Option #1 or Option #2
10/29/2019 21:40	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment. Reduce number of trailers.	No overall cluster relieve	Few students being moved, keep community attached. Trailer number reduced.		Improve overall utilization of Dunwoody cluster	Too many students disruptions. Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities. Creates school feed issue when the students in G area return for middle school.	Option 2
10/29/2019 21:41	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option #2 or Option #1
10/29/2019 21:42	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin Does not provide enough relief for forecast of Dunwoody ES No cluster relief Dunwoody Forest West is split	This option has the fewest students being moved/disrupted			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids) Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities Proposed "chess moves" to move kids to and from Vanderlyn ES serves no benefit;	Option 2
10/29/2019 21:45	Austin ES		By redistributing portions of Dunwoody North and Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley you will have longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns and separating a community. Commutes will grow from 1 mile to 2 miles which might be dangerous to the walkers.		By redistributing portions of Dunwoody North and Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley you will have longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns and separating a community. Commutes will grow from 1 mile to 2 miles which might be dangerous to the walkers.		By redistributing portions of Dunwoody North and Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley you will have longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns and separating a community. Commutes will grow from 1 mile to 2 miles which might be dangerous to the walkers.	Please keep the Madison neighborhood in Chesnut ES
10/29/2019 21:55	Kingsley ES		Does not solve the over crowding through the cluster while keeping the most trailers in the cluster	Moves a lot of children seemingly unnecessarily.	Does not address the over crowding throughout the cluster. For Kingsley in particular it's the worst option for even distribution of low SES students. creating a larger disparity throughout the cluster. Does not equality distribute trailers across the cluster	Attempts to relieve the over crowding throughout the cluster. Offers some Hightower students the option of a new school while evening distribution of Low SES & ESOL students. Lowest number of trailers throughout	Still does not fully address the projected overcrowding for DES.	Option 3
10/29/2019 22:00	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers split equitably split across all schools, eliminates trailers	Trailers at austin provide little relief, no cluster relief, Dunwoody west split	Fewest students being moved, only 241 kids! Keeps Vanderlyn in tact, 10 trailers removed from all schools	None	Too many cons	1/10 kids moved! 439 relocated kids, traffic will lead to dangerous conditions for subdivisions	2
10/29/2019 22:02	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
10/29/2019 22:09	Dunwoody ES	Remove as many students from DES to other schools.	Not moving enough students. Move the Jefferson apartment complex to Austin- that will help alleviate some of the crowdedness n	None				Option one. Move out as many students from DES to other schools.
10/29/2019 22:09	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	None	In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options).
10/29/2019 22:12	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option #2 or Option #1
10/29/2019 22:14	Kingsley ES	From a KES perspective, I don't see any positives. This takes KES from an underutilized to top 3 utilization school. That's quite a bit of change in a short time frame and a large impact of portable classrooms...which means free cutting, less green space for kids, or less parking for teachers.	This doesn't address the overcrowding issues we have in Dunwoody. All of the schools are over utilized; for KES, I don't see any positives. This takes KES from an underutilized to top 3 utilization school. That's quite a bit of change in a short time frame and a large impact of portable classrooms...which means free cutting, less green space for kids, or less parking for teachers.		Again, this doesn't address over capacity schools and creates three schools with a high level of over utilization.	This option addresses the over utilization the best while keeping the majority of those homes with the school they want. There is a better balance of diversity across all schools with this map. And it does alleviate serious over crowding at Hightower.	Vanderlyn is still over crowded but they will tell you they don't want to be redistributed as well, so not sure if it's a con or not.	Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/29/2019 22:15	Kingsley ES	None	Provides no relief to entire cluster. Violates DCSS geographic location policy as LaCota is only 1 mile from Hightower Elementary. Does not relieve use of trailers.	None	Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster. Violates DCSS geographic location policy. LaCota is only one mile from Hightower Elementary.	Follows DCSS geographic location policy. Fewest number of trailers throughout entire cluster. Provides the most students access to new schools. Provides the most relief to entire cluster which is a long term solution.		Option 3 is the most obvious.
10/29/2019 22:24	Other	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1	none	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1. Or the newly introduced Option #4 from Oxford Chase/Jeff Guggenheim
10/29/2019 22:28	Vanderlyn ES						We would like our children to remain at Vanderlyn so they can remain with their friends whom they are with outside of school.	Option 1 or 2.
10/29/2019 22:29	Other	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, ok balanced solution	Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 1 or Option 2 (DEFINITELY NOT OPTION 3). ALL seem like a major band-aid though on a problem that is much larger and not really being addressed like permanent seating for the projected growth
10/29/2019 22:38	Vanderlyn ES	1. Balanced enrollment 2. Portable classrooms are fairly split across all schools.	1. The new Austin still opens with portable classrooms.	1. This option affects the fewest students. It's much less disrupted than Option 3. 2. Without adding portable classrooms in the new Austin, it still can remove 10 trailers from our schools. 3. As a Vanderlyn parent and Oxford Chase resident, this option keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	1. The new Austin ES opens at under-utilization. 2. Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option 1.	1. It provides the instant relief for Dunwoody ES and Hightower ES.	1. Too much disruption with 439 kids being relocated. 2. Oxford Chase (Area D) residents and the school bus will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon. It will back up our already congested traffic. 3. Oxford Chase students now can safely walk/bike to Vanderlyn, but there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley. 4. It splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES communities.	Option 1. It will provide necessary relief for Dunwoody ES and Hightower ES, while keeping Vanderlyn ES communities intact and avoiding Oxford Chase residents to make dangerous left turns to Mt. Vernon. It is also less disruptive than Option 3, which too many students will be affected. The new Austin ES can be fully utilized and thus enables other schools to decrease some portable classrooms.
10/29/2019 22:46	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin; Not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Option #3, map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible while increasing geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D.	Map Option 2
10/29/2019 22:55	Vanderlyn ES	Instructional capacity spread evenly across the schools by having trailers at the new Austin Elementary, and most efficient and economical use of common facilities (e.g. cafeteria) since all will have trailers. Safety and traffic pattern better for the Oxford Chase neighborhood who can continue to turn right toward Vanderlyn instead of a left on a very busy Mount Vernon.		Fewest students moved (241) so will have the lowest negative impact on intact neighborhoods. Safety and traffic pattern better for the Oxford Chase neighborhood who can continue to turn right toward Vanderlyn instead of a left on a very busy Mount Vernon.	Instructional capacity now equally shared among the schools since all will have trailers except the new Austin Elementary.		Disrupts the School Feeder Alignment by having kids attend Doraville Elementary but then will have to leave their new friends to go to different Middle and High Schools. Intact neighborhoods disrupted with the most kids impacted (439). Safety and traffic pattern much worse for the Oxford Chase neighborhood who will now have to take a left on a very busy Mount Vernon.	Option 1 because of Instructional capacity spread evenly across the schools by having trailers at the new Austin Elementary, and most efficient and economical use of common facilities (e.g. cafeteria) since all will have trailers. Safety and traffic pattern better for the Oxford Chase neighborhood who can continue to turn right toward Vanderlyn instead of a left on a very busy Mount Vernon.
10/29/2019 22:58	Chesnut ES	None	Re-districting portions of Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods (D in the map above) to Kingsley, will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and split up a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.	none	Re-districting portions of Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods (D in the map above) to Kingsley, will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and split up a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.	None	Re-districting portions of Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods (F in the map above) to Kingsley, will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and split up a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers.	I don't think that any of the options best serves the students in the Dunwoody cluster. Even though we were told the county was presenting three options there was only one option presented for the Dunwoody North & Madison Communities. That option will divide our neighborhood and separate a community that has been in place for decades.
10/29/2019 23:33	Vanderlyn ES	All schools have trailers, thus seems to be more fair.	Brand new Austin would have trailer.	Least amount of kids impacted Keeps Vanderlyn community in tact.	Dunwoody Elementary isn't provided as much relief.	Most number of trailers removed.	Requires the most number of kids to move. For Oxford Chase, it removes the ability to walk or ride bikes to school which many of our families do today.	Keeping communities in tact. Vanderlyn has long preferred to stay in trailers in order to preserve our community.
10/30/2019 7:05	Dunwoody ES	As long as we stay in the zone of DES, We are fine with this plan. We are in walking distance to DES and this is very important to our family. (we try to stay as green as possible)		We are in agreement with this plan as long as we stay in DES. We love that it is in walking distance. (we try to stay as green as possible)		none	This is NOT a great redistricting plan. DeKalb County made a HUGE mistake in the rebuild of Austin Elementary and should have had the insight to accommodate the over crowding. It just seems crazy we are building a brand new school and you have to change all school. Poor management. Also, what about all the new homes which are currently have not been accounted for? Again, major mismanagement	I would say option 1 or 2. Austin is a great school and the parents do not want to change anything about it. They need to understand (just like when DES was built) it is an advantage to all parents when a new school is built. It should not effect ALL Dunwoody parents,
10/30/2019 7:20	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
10/30/2019 8:24	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers equitably split across all schools and eliminates nine trailers	Austin opens with trailer	Fewest students being moved/disrupted. Ten trailers removed from school. Most equitable and fairest solution for all. Keeps Vanderlyn community in tact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES	May improve overall utilization of Dunwoody cluster ES	Most disruption to area. Oxford Chase bus will have very dangerous left turn on MountVernon and back up already congested traffic. No side walks or cross walks for safe movement to Kingsley, currently can ride, walk or bike to Vanderlyn. Vanderlyn close and safe and don't want to split community	
10/30/2019 9:13	Austin ES		Worst of the 3. Too much high-growth new locations added to Austin Opening a new school with trailers and then soon needing to add more with the high growth area Significant additional traffic on Roberts, which is already bad	Best option! Splits high growth area, reduces portables overall, isn't as bad for traffic in the community on Roberts, moves the least students	Chestnut community split. My understanding is they would prefer to be over-capacity and keep their current students since they are not forecasted to have significant future growth	Reduction of most portables	Again gives Austin all of the high-growth area, likely leading to soon needing to redistrict and inconvenience families by moves. Moves more students than option 2. Traffic on Roberts. Chestnut community split. My understanding is they would like to keep all their students and remain over-capacity since there is not future growth expected there.	Overwhelmingly option 2, with the small change that you listen to Chestnut families and allow them to keep all of their current students.
10/30/2019 9:14	Vanderlyn ES		Breaks up Dunwoody Club Forest Vanderlyn will have the highest over capacity figure	Best option of the three options Does not break up Dunwoody Club Forest neighborhood	Vanderlyn will have the highest over capacity	Keeps Dunwoody Club Forest neighborhood together	Vanderlyn has the highest over capacity	Keeping neighborhoods together in the same school district
10/30/2019 9:24	Austin ES		Overcrowding at Austin for 2021 which would include portables - why build a new school that doesn't fit the needs of the community	Less movement of students and keeping Austin below capacity			Overcrowding at Austin and portables at a brand new school is absurd	None of the options are good
10/30/2019 9:40	Vanderlyn ES							
10/30/2019 9:51	Vanderlyn ES			Best and keeps Vanderlyn community intact				Option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 10:00	Chesnut ES		The primary issue with all plans is that it splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood community in half. This neighborhood and close community is located on both sides of Tilly Mill Rd. It removes 43 kids from the Chesnut. This is roughly 10 percent of our kids. Chesnut widely relies of heavily on parental involvement, and these 43 family would be a devastating loss to our school and long term damage		The primary issue with all plans is that it splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood community in half. This neighborhood and close community is located on both sides of Tilly Mill Rd. It removes 43 kids from the Chesnut. This is roughly 10 percent of our kids. Chesnut widely relies of heavily on parental involvement, and these 43 family would be a devastating loss to our school and long term damage		The primary issue with all plans is that it splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood community in half. This neighborhood and close community is located on both sides of Tilly Mill Rd. It removes 43 kids from the Chesnut. This is roughly 10 percent of our kids. Chesnut widely relies of heavily on parental involvement, and these 43 family would be a devastating loss to our school and long term damage	None of the options gives the Dunwoody north Chesnut community a viable solution. Chesnut would be much be suited to absorb 25 Hightower students and not lose 43 other kids. Add students but please do not take away these pivotal families and kids from Chesnut. That school and families have worked so hard to create an amazing learning environment. This is evident by our test scores. Do not split us
10/30/2019 10:04	Dunwoody ES							Option 1 Provides balanced enrollment and furthers geographic proximity
10/30/2019 10:19	Dunwoody ES	'- Can only benefit if we get Austin ES, not the other ES that are on your list	'- Getting schools other than Austin ES - Should make sure all scores, recommendations, etc are carried forward from Dunwoody ES to Austin ES	'- Will be pro only if we get redistricted to Austin ES	'- Getting schools other than Austin ES - Should make sure all scores, recommendations, etc are carried forward from Dunwoody ES to Austin ES	'- Will be pro only if we get redistricted to Austin ES from Dunwoody ES	'- Getting schools other than Austin ES - Should make sure all scores, recommendations, etc are carried forward from Dunwoody ES to Austin ES	Nothing in particular; we are happy with DES, but would not mind getting redistricted to Austin ES as long as scores, recommendations get carried forward to Austin ES
10/30/2019 10:19	Kingsley ES	na	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Pros: Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	trailers at Kingsley.	Option 3 best serves the ENTIRE community!!!!
10/30/2019 10:34	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment. trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools		Fewest students being moved/disrupted, 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all				Option 1 is the most balanced solution
10/30/2019 10:48	Kingsley ES							3
10/30/2019 10:54	Kingsley ES							Option 3
10/30/2019 11:10	Kingsley ES		no relief for Vanderlyn. why not move some Vanderlyn students to new Austin		this makes Vanderlyn worse! while decreasing students at new Austin. More reason to move students from Vanderlyn to Austin			3
10/30/2019 11:17	Austin ES	While I appreciate the goal of balancing in terms of utilization rate, this is a short term view. With the , high forecasted growth rate in the area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced VERY quickly.	The new attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, This will add portables to the new Austin, leaving no room. And traffic will be awful!	This provides the new Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. And, this helps alleviate DES overcrowding. This moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Gives Hightower ES relief. Reduces the impact of traffic	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	This option provides relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United and reduces portables.	The impact to students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster, over 500 students being required to change schools with minimal impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. Austin has planned growth at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment, the only one in the cluster and this is not planning for it. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated - Special Ed program changes to	If we focus on the students, option 2 is by far the winner. It reduces the impact to students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2).
10/30/2019 11:24	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools. Boundary cutting mostly at around major road, better transportation scheduling.	Austin new school got trailers. B1+B2 from Vanderlyn to Austin vs. C from Dunwoody to Austin seems over-doing, why don't just C to Austin , and stay B1+B2 no change?	The fewest students being moved/ disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps many communities intact; The fewest communities disrupted, and boundary of school zones mostly cutting at major roads, so much better traffic patterns. Austin new school get new trailers once opening	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES, and Austin opens at under-utilization	Better overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, as we shift many kids to the Doraville new school	Most number of communities/kids disrupted School boundary cutting at local roads causing most traffic congestions Oxford Chase buses have dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon to Kingsley (extra existing congested traffic) No sidewalks for safe treks to Kingsley (for now Vanderlyn is closer and much safer to go) Splits Vanderlyn & Chesnut Communities Net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 2 seems current the best, as it cuts at major roads with much less disruptive to communities
10/30/2019 11:26	Dunwoody ES	None	None	None	None	None	None of these options actually alleviate the overcrowding. Why only look 2 years out? Are we going to redistrict again in 2 years? As a parent, I am frustrated and angry. Option 3 would require my son to move schools. I specifically bought a house 6 years ago in DES school district, so my son could attend DES.	It has taken me 2 years to get him on a solid path academically as he has learning disabilities. He is gaining confidence and I have worked tirelessly with the school. Now, I may have to start this process all over again, and , my son will likely regress when introduced to a new school, new teachers/administrators, and new peers. And let's not forget the lost property value due to the change.
10/30/2019 11:32	Vanderlyn ES			Keeps Vanderlyn intact			1/10 kids move? No.	option 2
10/30/2019 11:33								
10/30/2019 12:18	Kingsley ES		It splits up Dunwoody North. Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers		It splits up Dunwoody North. Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools	It splits up Dunwoody North.	Option 3. I am afraid if the other options are chosen, Kingsley will be at 75% low income students. I'm very concerned that my rising Kindergartner will be overlooked as teachers have to focus on kids needing additional attention. I also think property values will decrease. I think options 1 and 2 only set us up to fail.
10/30/2019 12:22	Vanderlyn ES	With this option, there will be a more balanced enrollment. It does eliminate some of the trailers.	The newest elementary school, Austin, will already have trailers when it opens.	With this option, the fewest number of students will be disrupted. There will be trailers removed (10) and this choice seems to be the fairest and most equal solution for our children. This option keeps our Vanderlyn community intact. From a personal perspective, I faced this same kind of situation when I grew up, and it impacted my friendships tremendously. Please consider this option for kids	There is not as much relief fort DES and Austin still opens with trailers.	It might help for the cluster but the cons far outweigh the pro.	This choice disrupts the most number of children. The left turn onto Mt. Vernon from Oxford Chase is dangerous, especially with all the congestion in the mornings. There are no sidewalks to Kingsley, and Vanderlyn is much safer and closer for our children and their drivers. If you go with option #3, you are splitting both VES and CES communities. The impact to Vanderlyn is negligible with #3.	I strongly believe that Option # 2 is the least disruptive to our children and grandchildren. The numbers are accurate in that the fewest students will be moved with option #2, but also please take into consideration the emotional impact on families and friends if you select option #3. The community is like a family - don't destroy the connections and select option #2.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 12:35	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Cons: not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2 or Option 1
10/30/2019 12:36								
10/30/2019 12:38	Kingsley ES							
10/30/2019 12:39	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 12:41	Dunwoody ES		Does not adequately relieve DES overcrowding and leaves school still well beyond its instructional capacity. Also, it does not address the projected enrollment and continued growth for DES.		Does very little to relieve DES overcrowding and leaves school still well beyond its instructional capacity. Also, it does not address the projected enrollment and continued growth for DES.	Moves some Hightower students to Doraville United. This promotes keeping neighborhoods and communities intact as Hightower E. is in Doraville and not Dunwoody. Allows for the greatest relief of overcrowding in school over capacity.	Does not adequately relieve DES overcrowding and leaves school still well beyond its instructional capacity. Also, it does not address the projected enrollment and continued growth for DES.	Option 3 is closest to addressing overcrowding issues in Dunwoody and more fairly balances the large number of students coming from the large apartment complexes in Dunwoody.
10/30/2019 12:42	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 12:59	Vanderlyn ES							
10/30/2019 13:02								
10/30/2019 13:05	Vanderlyn ES							Option #1
10/30/2019 13:08	Austin ES							Option 2. Option 2 provides the most equitable division of high growth areas to both Austin and DES. It gives DES immediate relief, while providing space for growth. It also minimizes the number of students how have to change schools. Also has the most dramatic impact on number of portables.
10/30/2019 13:09	Austin ES							
10/30/2019 13:11								
10/30/2019 13:15	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 13:15	Austin ES	none	too many students moving around, portables at a brand new school which does not make sense	does not overcrowd Austin the day it opens, more balanced throughout	none	makes sense if Doraville has capacity, but still over crowds Austin	Still over crowds Austin	2
10/30/2019 13:20	Austin ES		Dont want more portables at Austin and this will increase it	Helps alleviate DES overcrowding, helps get rid of 10 portables, helps to reduce traffic congestion outside my subdivision			Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools), significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students, Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus leaving no room for future growth	Option 2- It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Option 2 splits up the high growth area equitably between Austin ES and Dunwoody ES. Options 1 & 3 shift the only area in our cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate through the 2021 school year to Aust
10/30/2019 13:30	Austin ES		more portables every school is over populated more families affected	fewer students impacted fewer portables at least one school is not above max occupancy		more equalized utilization least number of portables	most students impacted part of current attendance area goes out of the Dunwoody school group	2
10/30/2019 13:32	Vanderlyn ES	Fewer Students displaced than Option 3	Trailers at Austin, albeit fewer	This moves the fewest students and keeps the Vanderlyn Community intact - only disrupts 241 students	Given options available - fewer Cons than other options	Increases overall capacity of Dunwoody Cluster	Most disruptive option - 439 children! Splits Vanerlyn and Chestnut communities!	Very obviously Option 2 as it causes disruption to fewer children and families. Please select Option 2
10/30/2019 13:38	Vanderlyn ES	1.Balanced enrollment 2. trailers are equitably split across all schools 3.eliminates 9 of the trailers at our schools A	Austin new building will have trailers but still a balanced solution.	1. Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) 2.10 trailers removed from our schools 3.most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps communities intact. Cons: not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1	may improve overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons compared to other options	1.Most disruption (439 kids relocated) 2. Oxford Chase traffic will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt.Vernon (backing up already congested traffic) 3.no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley. At present we ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer & safer 4.splits Vanderlyn & Chesnut Communities 5. net positive effect on Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 1 and Option 2 are good options with minimal disruptions to students as well as lower traffic and safety issues. It also ensures safety by redistricting according to geographical proximity.
10/30/2019 13:49	Dunwoody ES	overcrowding in schools isn't an issue today. what are the actual problems? are students in our school being taught poorly? no. are we happy families in Dunwoody ES? yes. we are fine. the overcrowding is nonsense	Major disruption. Way too much chaos in the environment, do not move so many young children around and disrupt their entire childhood so dramatically!	better. less disruption and movement of kids			see what i wote for option 1	Option 2
10/30/2019 13:52	Austin ES							Option 2
10/30/2019 13:54	Vanderlyn ES	presenting balanced enrollment. the trailers seems equitably split across all schools. It eliminates 9 of the trailers at our schools. overall balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	This is the most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact; Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids). 10 trailers removed from our schools	Austin opens at under-utilization. does not provide as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible!!!	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1. Option 2 in particular has the fewest students being moved/disrupted.
10/30/2019 13:57								
10/30/2019 13:58	Austin ES							Option #2
10/30/2019 13:59								
10/30/2019 14:15	Vanderlyn ES	This option provides for balanced enrollment in terms of both children and the use of trailers that are equitably split across all schools. It appears to provide for a balanced solution.	Unfortunately, the New Austin Elementary School will open with trailers.	This option involves the fewest number of students being moved or disrupted while removing ten trailers from the schools. It appears to be the most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn Elementary School community intact.	This option does not provide as much relief for Dunwoody Elementary as option one and the New Austin School opens in an under-utilization situation.	No notable pros observed.	This option results in the most disruption to children, with 439 children being relocated. Oxford Chase buses will have to negotiate a very dangerous left turn from Oxford Chase Way on to Mt. Vernon Road with its already congested traffic and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley. We can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn, which is closer and much safer.	Option Two seems to be the wisest and most equitable solution.
10/30/2019 14:15								
10/30/2019 14:17	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin; Not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Option #3, map D does not benefit anyone - causes disruption for students in this area with a negligible net positive effect to Vanderlyn and increases traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D	Option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 14:18	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus Significant additional traffic Roberts Dr	Option 2 is best. It minimizes the # of students who have to change, while having the most dramatic impact on portables. Options 1 & 3 are less favorable, they shift dramatically more students, and have negligibly better or slightly worse results for portables. Option 1 & 3 also shift the only area in cluster that is expected to grow at high trajectory to Austin, Option 2 is more equitable split.
10/30/2019 14:18	Austin ES							Option 2 best serves students
10/30/2019 14:36	Kingsley ES	N/A	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers	N/A	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	N/A	Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "contact" neighborhoods. KES will receive students from Vanderlyn, DES, and Chesnut in close proximity to KES. There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.
10/30/2019 14:41	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 14:43	Vanderlyn ES	Balances out enrollment to utilize school capacity	Doesn't reduce the portable classrooms by much.	Reduces portable classrooms from current state	Forces students to travel further to school that isn't close	Keeps students closer to school and reduces portable classrooms		
10/30/2019 14:44	Austin ES							
10/30/2019 15:00	Vanderlyn ES	Least disruptive to kids and traffic patterns	None	Less disruptive than option 3		None	Extremely disruptive for hundreds of Dunwoody students. Will force large number of Oxford Chase families to have to make a left turn out of Oxford Chase during morning rush hour, in already traffic dense area. Same for the school bus	Option 1
10/30/2019 15:08								
10/30/2019 15:09	Austin ES							
10/30/2019 15:09	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 15:15								
10/30/2019 15:16								
10/30/2019 15:18	Vanderlyn ES	I believe this option is the most equitable among all Elementary Schools. It balances enrollment more equitably and trailers are equally split across all schools. It also eliminates 9 of the trailers at our schools. It also has the least impact on existing neighborhood zones. This is the most fair & balanced solution in my opinion.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	This has the fewest students being moved or disrupted (approx 241 kids). Approx 10 trailers would be removed from our schools. Also a more equitable and fairest solution for all. Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	There is not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are far too many negative impacts that far outweigh any positives this solution may provide.	This is the worst option in my opinion. It has the most disruption (439 kids relocated). Oxford Chase buses & cars will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) & there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities	Option #1 or Option #2.
10/30/2019 15:21	Vanderlyn ES	It does eliminate 9 of the trailers at VES schools, a fine balanced solution. Balanced enrollment. trailers are equitably split across all schools.	Austin new school opens with trailers. not provide enough relief for growth forecast of DES, no overall cluster relief.	10 trailers removed from all schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids)	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	option #2 and option #1
10/30/2019 15:25	Austin ES		Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 students	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road, as compared with Options 1 and 3			Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools). Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for future growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students.	Option 2
10/30/2019 15:30	Dunwoody ES	Some relief is provided to DES, but not enough.	Based on projected growth for the area and undeveloped land, DES will likely be overcrowded again. Zero students are moved from Hightower to Doraville United so the cluster as a whole remains crowded	Areas A and C align with geographic proximity	Not enough relief for DES. Fails to address projected growth. No trailers at Austin. No relief to the cluster as a whole as no students moved to Doraville United.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole by shifting students to Doraville United.	Fails to use projected growth for DES and around the Perimeter area.	Option 3 provides relief to the Dunwoody cluster as a whole, but it would be better if all property west of Ashford Dunwoody were funneled into Austin. This would use geographic proximity, relieve traffic and provide more balance.
10/30/2019 15:31	Austin ES	None	Hightower should have been expanded and not have been allowed to grow faster than it did. Poor planning and management. Traffic increase on a road that is already stop and go during am and pm.	Keep neighborhood intact. Reduces the projected traffic increase that is suggested in option 1. Avoids overcrowding in the future due to 200 more students should you choose the other options. Allowing trailers to educate out students is a terrible solution and should not be encouraged.	None	None	More pressure on teachers to teach children with English as their second language. Pulls them from their own neighborhoods causing a longer commute and potential issues with traffic and need for more buses.	option 2 for reasons already stated
10/30/2019 15:49	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3
10/30/2019 16:00	Dunwoody ES	I think this makes sense. Still relief, not a lot, but some. Some portables are gone and everyone in woodsong is happy about that move.	Splitting up dunwoody north is not cool.	This appears to do the least good to alleviate the problem.	all of it. It's all cons. Boo option 2.	Moves the most kids, and most relief. People will be pissed, but if we all have to suffer...	Nobody wants to go to chesnut but the people at chesnut, so just keep dunwoody north together.	The third option. I don't think it's a long term solution.
10/30/2019 16:13	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 16:17	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin; Not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Option #3, map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible while increasing geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D.	Map 2
10/30/2019 16:25	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
10/30/2019 16:32	Vanderlyn ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 16:41	Dunwoody ES	Balanced	Not enough relief	None	Ridiculous for Austin's future.	Most relief to entire cluster and des	Still not long term solution.	3
10/30/2019 16:45	Austin ES							Option 2
10/30/2019 16:48	Vanderlyn ES		No relief for Vanderlyn		Utilization not balanced	Better balance of utilization. Removes highest number of trailers.	Please do the right thing and choose this option, or a modified version to keep Dunwoody North intact. Please do what is right by the children living in our community. Take them out of moldy trailers. Conditions at Hightower are shameful. We need additional elementary, middle and high schools in Dunwoody/Chamblee/Doraville.	Option 3
10/30/2019 17:13	Chesnut ES	No Pros	Violates Geographic Proximity, Intact Neighborhoods, and causes traffic problems. Moving 43 students to from Chesnut to Kingsley makes no sense considering the capacity numbers for Chesnut are based on incorrect numbers. It also makes no sense to move 21 students out of Vanderlyn to move in 22 students from DES.	None	Violates Geographic Proximity, Intact Neighborhoods, and causes traffic problems. Moving 43 students to from Chesnut to Kingsley makes no sense considering the capacity numbers for Chesnut are based on incorrect numbers. It would be closer to move the 25 students from DES to Kingsley than Chesnut. This creates an inequitable distribution of students.	No Pros	Violates Geographic Proximity, Intact Neighborhoods, and causes traffic problems. Moving 43 students to from Chesnut to Kingsley makes no sense considering the capacity numbers for Chesnut are based on incorrect numbers. This creates an inequitable distribution of students.	No Change option would best serve the students currently in the Dunwoody Cluster.
10/30/2019 17:17	Dunwoody ES	It's not the worst one. I think it provides relief but not much.	More kids should go to Austin, but more importantly more of the high growth areas.	None.	Why don't you keep dunwoody north together since they are happy the way things are and take kids from vanderlyn and des to kingsley?	This makes the most room for us to grow from within. I think it is best of the three.	Again, I think you should keep all of dunwoody north at chesnut and populate empty seats at Kingsley with des and vanderlyn families? Shift everyone toward empty seats.	Option 3. Again, I think you should keep all of dunwoody north at chesnut and populate empty seats at Kingsley with des and vanderlyn families? Shift everyone toward empty seats.
10/30/2019 17:24	Austin ES							
10/30/2019 17:35	Dunwoody ES	The DES area seems really big but it does move some of the apartments.	Can you make the DES area smaller?	I don't really see any.	Why are they moving kids further in, shouldn't we be moving them out?	I don't have small kids. We just live in DES area. This seems to make the most room.	I guess a lot of kids move, but isn't that what we need?	I guess 3. My neighbor said to shift kids from des and vanderlyn to Kingsley where they have open seats. It makes sense.
10/30/2019 17:38	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 17:54	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are uniformly split across all schools, and 9 of the trailers are eliminated	New Austin school opens with trailers	Fewest moved/disrupted students (only 241 kids), 10 trailers eliminated from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and maximally preserves Vanderlyn ES community (only one student is moved).	Lesser relief for Dunwoody ES compared to Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	In the long run Option 1 seems the most fair and balanced while having the largest positive net effect on the currently overcrowded Dunwoody ES. However, Option 2 may be as good or comparable in the long run, while least disruptive to the kids currently enrolled. Disruption may have long lasting effects on the growing children.
10/30/2019 18:01	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option #2 or Option #1
10/30/2019 18:09	Dunwoody ES	My daughter stays in her current school		My daughter stays in her current school		My daughter stays in her current school		I would prefer that my daughter stays at DES or goes to Austin
10/30/2019 18:45	Vanderlyn ES	Pro = Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools in a fair manner, and it does eliminate some of the trailers (9).	Cons = Trailers at Austin, does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief, and Dunwoody Forest West is split.	Pro = Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) which minimizes harm, keeps Vanderlyn ES (VES) community intact, keeps Oxford Chase neighborhood in closer geographic proximity to VES, keeps Oxford Chase neighborhood kids safe in walk/bike/walk journey as well as bus/car travel is safer to VES (right turn to go to VES is 500% safer than left to KES), 10 trailers removed from all schools		Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are too many cons.	Most disruption, 10% kids relocated (439), splits Vanderlyn ES (VES) & Chesnut ES Communities, Option #3 map D doesn't benefit anyone, net positive effect to VES is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for all involved, for example, Oxford Chase has very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon in morning and no side-walks/cross-walks for safe bike/walk to KES	Option 1 or Option 2. Desire DCSD to consider Ryan Stalling's citizen-grassroots new Option 4 map, positive traits include: Removes some students from the Dunwoody Cluster to DUES; Splits the perimeter area between Austin and DES down Ashford Dunwoody to balance growth; Moves Dunwoody Village town homes to Austin to remove growth from Vanderlyn; Moves a segment from Hightower to fill KES seats.
10/30/2019 18:48	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools. Option 1 avoids what would be terrible traffic implications and the negative split feeder system that would result from Option #3.	None	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. Option 2 avoids what would be terrible traffic implications and the negative split feeder system that would result from Option #3	None	None, this is a terrible option. See cons.	Awful split feeder scenario; disrupts the most students by far (439 relocated); Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon across backed up westbound traffic; there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe passage to Kingsley whereas we currently can ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn & Chesnut Communities. Worst option!	Option #2 best serves Dunwoody Cluster students. It relocates the fewest number of students, keeps more neighborhoods intact, avoids split feeder, and provides a balanced distribution of students.
10/30/2019 18:55	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, it eliminates some of the trailers	Trailers at Austin, doesn't provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES, no overall cluster relief, Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 341 kids), keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools		Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster, but there are too many cons!	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids), splits Vanderlyn and Chesnut ES communities, option #3 map D doesn't benefit anyone. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for all involved: for example, Oxford Chase will have very dangerous left turn on Mt Vernon Rd in am (potential increase in accidents and traffic) & no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe trek to Kingsley	Option 2 or option 1
10/30/2019 19:03	Vanderlyn ES							
10/30/2019 19:10	Dunwoody ES	Best option of 3 maps but more of perimeter mall area needs to be districted to Austin. More apartments will be built in that area. Causing more over crowding at DES	More of perimeter mall area apartments heading south to 285 should be included into the Austin district. Better balancing over crowding of DES	Not very different from option 1				None but if forced to pick option one. Would like to see Austin have more of the perimeter mall area to help unpredictable over crowding at DES
10/30/2019 19:14	Austin ES							
10/30/2019 19:29	Austin ES							Option 2
10/30/2019 19:37	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.		Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all.			Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 1
10/30/2019 19:39	Kingsley ES		Trailers at Kingsley again? They just got rid of those ugly things! NO TRAILERS AT KINGSLEY!	Fewer trailers than option 1.	Trailers at Kingsley again? They just got rid of those ugly things! NO TRAILERS AT KINGSLEY!		Trailers at Kingsley again? They just got rid of those ugly things! NO TRAILERS AT KINGSLEY!	Trailers at Kingsley again? They just got rid of those ugly things! NO TRAILERS AT KINGSLEY!
10/30/2019 19:41	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 19:41	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 19:50	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 1 or 2 - definitely NOT option 3
10/30/2019 19:51	Kingsley ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	1 or 2 (NOT 3)
10/30/2019 19:53	Vanderlyn ES	Option #1 Pro = Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 1 or 2
10/30/2019 19:55	Vanderlyn ES	9 trailers eliminated - That's good. Trailers equitably split across all schools. Good balanced enrollment situation. Not as many kids moved as in Option 3, so that's also good.	Should a brand new school (Austin) open with trailers? That may seem crazy, but maybe to reduce pressures across the Dunwoody cluster it's necessary. Also, not sure about option D - isn't that splitting a n'hood? Surely you can come up with a better option than this. Suggest you focus on moving kids between Austin, Vanderlyn and Dunwoody ES's....and then between Chesnut, Kingsley and Hightower.	10 trailers being removed is a good thing. Most equitable and fairest solution for all affected - fewest kids moved around. Keeps most n'hood schools intact.	Austin opens at under-utilization. Why not chg C to going to Austin (instead of Vanderlyn)? D is still splitting a n'hood - leave them at Chesnut. If you keep E at DES, and D at Chesnut, you would be affecting less kids. (E and C are net negligible to DES). Can you go deeper down under area A and move those kids to Austin?	Most trailers removed from the Dunwoody cluster (13), but seriously removing 9 or 10 is basically the same effect. So overall, this is the worst option. Overall utilization for the cluster looks good, but drill down - the effects on indiv schools is negligible, why cause disruption like this for minimal relief?	Worst option of all. Most disruption (439 kids). Area D chg would cause more traffic problems. Also area D (spec. Oxford Chase) is easily accessible to Vanderlyn for biking/walking, cannot do that to Kingsley. At a min, move C to Austin and keep D in Vanderlyn. That chg is negligible. Don't split up that "north of Mt Vernon" n'hood. N of Mt Vernon belongs in 1 of 2 schools: Austin or Vanderlyn.	Either Option #1 or #2: Go for the least disruption. Hoping y'all can come up with a better option. OK to open new school w trailers. Keep everything north of Mt Vernon in 1-2 schools (Austin and/or Vanderlyn). Don't split n'hoods apart. All north of Mt Vernon belong in either of those 2 schools. To do otherwise will impact traffic and be dangerous for our children.
10/30/2019 19:55	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1.
10/30/2019 20:13	Chesnut ES		This splits the Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Dunwoody North is a single neighborhood split by Tilly Mill Road. The community is served by one Swim Tennis Club, which is the social center and heart of the neighborhood and is also served by the Dunwoody North Civic Association. This plan would weaken the strong community bonds and neighborhoods by moving children away from their neighbors.		This splits the Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Dunwoody North is a single neighborhood split by Tilly Mill Road. The community is served by one Swim Tennis Club, which is the social center and heart of the neighborhood and is also served by the Dunwoody North Civic Association. This plan would weaken the strong community bonds and neighborhoods by moving children away from their neighbors.		This splits the Dunwoody North Neighborhood. Dunwoody North is a single neighborhood split by Tilly Mill Road. The community is served by one Swim Tennis Club, which is the social center and heart of the neighborhood and is also served by the Dunwoody North Civic Association. This plan would weaken the strong community bonds and neighborhoods by moving children away from their neighbors.	None. They all split a vibrant community and weakens the neighborhoods.
10/30/2019 20:41	Austin ES							#2
10/30/2019 20:58	Austin ES							2
10/30/2019 21:01	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
10/30/2019 21:04	Chesnut ES	None	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	None	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	None	Ignored our Round 1 feedback not to split up an intact community: The Dunwoody North Civic Association (specific neighborhoods listed here: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ). By losing the proposed 43 students, Chesnut will suffer financial and parent volunteerism support that will negatively impact a currently thriving school. 358 is not the true capacity of Chesnut, it is 437.	None. All 3 options have Chesnut losing the same 43 students and not keeping a neighborhood intact.
10/30/2019 21:08	Dunwoody ES	• While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	• Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem • Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for • No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	• Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	• Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United • Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	• Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage • Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in • Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/30/2019 21:08	Chesnut ES		Option 1 violates many criteria points for the Dunwoody North families living in section D: Geographic proximity, Safety and Traffic Patters, Intact Neighborhoods and Efficient and Economical Operations. Section D is part of the Dunwoody North neighborhood. The neighborhood should be kept intact to ensure the safety of our children as they walk/bike to school as well as shared social amenities.		Option 1 violates many criteria points for the Dunwoody North families living in section D: Geographic proximity, Safety and Traffic Patters, Intact Neighborhoods and Efficient and Economical Operations. Section D is part of the Dunwoody North neighborhood. The neighborhood should be kept intact to ensure the safety of our children as they walk/bike to school as well as shared social amenities.		Option 1 violates many criteria points for the Dunwoody North families living in section D: Geographic proximity, Safety and Traffic Patters, Intact Neighborhoods and Efficient and Economical Operations. Section D is part of the Dunwoody North neighborhood. The neighborhood should be kept intact to ensure the safety of our children as they walk/bike to school as well as shared social amenities.	None of the options best serve Chesnut Elementary. The Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary supporter of Chesnut Elementary in both financial contributions and parent volunteers. The redistricting of the East side of Tilly Mill (sections D in options 1&2 and F in option 3) would remove that valuable support and most certainly disrupt the efficient and economical operations of the school.
10/30/2019 21:08	Vanderlyn ES	No students movement from a high score school to a low score school	Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids); keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	None	Cross cluster move; increases the overall capacity of Dunwoody Cluster	Most disruption, more than 10% kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn and Chesnut Communities; Option #3 - Area D moving does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible while increasing geographic distance and traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D. Highest number of students moving from high score school to lower score school.	Option #2
10/30/2019 21:11								
10/30/2019 21:11	Chesnut ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 21:11	Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</li> <li>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</li> <li>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</li> <li>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</li> <li>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</li> <li>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage.</li> <li>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</li> <li>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</li> <li>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</li> <li>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</li> <li>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</li> </ul>	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/30/2019 21:11	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:17	Chesnut ES		If this map is put in place, it will divide up our neighborhood with our neighbors across Tilly Mill will be rezoned. Our neighborhood is a tight knit community and we do not want those families (and parent volunteers) zoned out of Chestnut.					
10/30/2019 21:19								
10/30/2019 21:19	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:19	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:20	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:21	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 21:21	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:26	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers equally split across all schools, eliminates some trailers	Trailers at Austin do not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES	Fewest students being moved, keeps Vanderlyn community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools			Most disruptive. 439 kids relocated, splits Vanderlyn and chestnut communities, map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues example Oxford Chase will have a dangerous left turn on Mt Vernon, and no side walks and cross walks to forbsafe treks to Kingsley	Option 1 or Option 2
10/30/2019 21:30	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:34	Dunwoody ES	I don't see any pros.	All the capacities are over 100%. What is the point.	Well this option looks worse.	This doesn't have any relief for dunwoody elementary.	This seems like the best option with most relief.	Looks like it will upset some folks.	I was told there is room at Kingsley and chestnut. Can you move students that way? I've seen this option 4 and it seems like dekalb is letting the animals run the zoo. Just hand picking which families they want. Seems like trouble.
10/30/2019 21:37	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 21:38	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:42								
10/30/2019 21:43	Chesnut ES						Please do not separate our Dunwoody North.	
10/30/2019 21:45	Vanderlyn ES	equitable distribution of kids across cluster; keeps neighborhood intact		Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	Nothing tangible.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated); Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic); There are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley whereas now we can ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2 or Option 1
10/30/2019 21:50	Chesnut ES	none	Our neighborhood, Dunwoody North will be split into two different school districts. This would affect house value for half the neighborhood. Kids that are currently friends, go to the same pool, swim team and social events would start being in different schools. The home owners association would be split between schools. It would tear up our lovely neighborhood.		Do not split dunwoody north neighborhood!			
10/30/2019 21:51	Chesnut ES		Looks like our neighborhood will be split		Do not split dunwoody north		Same as before	
10/30/2019 21:51	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 21:55	Chesnut ES							Option 1
10/30/2019 21:56	Dunwoody ES	This is my second choice. I've heard that some neighborhoods are happy with it.	Why doesn't dcsd build a new elementary school. There isn't room in these schools.	No	This looks like it goes through our backyard which is fine but I don't think our neighbors want to move so don't come any closer.	Relief for some schools but doesn't seem like enough.	Not enough still.	I think of the 4 options everyone is talking about, three makes the most room for now.
10/30/2019 21:57	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 22:00	Dunwoody ES	Less traffic and miles traveled for our students to Dunwoody elementary school. Also a reduction of portable classrooms is a good incentive. Seems like a step in the right direction for balancing the distribution of students throughout the district	Not a fair enough distribution of students from apartment zones. Need to assign more students from the perimeter area to Austin elementary		Not a fair enough distribution of students from apartment zones. Need to assign more students from the perimeter area to Austin elementary		Probably one of the worst distribution of students from apartment zones among all schools with better capacity in that regard. Need to assign more students from the perimeter area to Austin elementary	Option 1
10/30/2019 22:00	Vanderlyn ES						This is the most disruptive option. 1 in 10 kids would be relocated (439 kids); Splits both Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES communities. It will cause more traffic and safety issues for all involved in Area D.	Option 2 because it would cause fewest students to be moved (only 241) and keeps Vanderlyn elementary community intact.
10/30/2019 22:03	Dunwoody ES	Relieves some of the overcrowding	Does not help with growth areas near perimeter mall Breaks up neighborhood in chestnut district Need more relief at DES now Does not address overcrowding at Vanderlyn or Hightower	Leave chestnut are neighborhoods together	Does not give enough relief to DES overcrowding Austin not take fair share of overcrowding or growth area near perimeter mall Not address overcrowding at Vanderlyn or hightower	Give relief to DES and Hightower	Does not offer relief in growth area near perimeter mall Leave Vanderlyn way overcrowded	3
10/30/2019 22:03	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.		Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 2
10/30/2019 22:13								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 22:13	Chesnut ES	Everyone gets trailers	Dunwoody North Community torn apart, PTA cut in half, Redistricting homes on the east side of Tilly Mill from Chesnut to Kingsley actually increases the distance that these families must travel to attend school by 20% to 40%. Additionally, the significantly increased dangers on those roads to the school requires transport by car, eliminating walking or bicycling.		Dunwoody North Community torn apart, PTA cut in half, Redistricting homes on the east side of Tilly Mill from Chesnut to Kingsley actually increases the distance that these families must travel to attend school by 20% to 40%. Additionally, the significantly increased dangers on those roads to the school requires transport by car, eliminating walking or bicycling.		Dunwoody North Community torn apart, PTA cut in half, Redistricting homes on the east side of Tilly Mill from Chesnut to Kingsley actually increases the distance that these families must travel to attend school by 20% to 40%. Additionally, the significantly increased dangers on those roads to the school requires transport by car, eliminating walking or bicycling.	Option 1 but change Chesnut to include all of Dunwoody North Community.
10/30/2019 22:18								
10/30/2019 22:22	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 22:24	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 22:26	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1
10/30/2019 22:26	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 22:28	Chesnut ES	none	Increased traffic, this will break up our neighborhood, not safe for students moved to Kingsley to walk or bike to Kingsley.	None	Will increase traffic. Will break up our neighborhood. Not safe for students to have to walk or bike ride to Kingsley.	None	Will increase traffic. Will break up our neighborhood. Not safe for students to have to walk or bike ride to Kingsley.	Focus on moving kids at the most crowded schools and plan on a larger scale 5 years out.
10/30/2019 22:29	Chesnut ES		This option splits up our neighborhood and we would lose great parental support that our school needs as well as cause divide in our community.		This option splits up our neighborhood and we would lose great parental support that our school needs as well as cause divide in our community.		This option splits up our neighborhood and we would lose great parental support that our school needs as well as cause divide in our community.	I do not think any serve our community best. Is there an option that can keep Dunwoody North residents at Chesnut and those in the shaded area that are in other neighborhood be redistributed?
10/30/2019 22:30								
10/30/2019 22:31	Chesnut ES		Breaks up neighborhood for Chesnut		Breaks up neighborhood for Chesnut		Breaks up neighborhood for Chesnut	None of the options works for me. It seems like the enrollment in Chesnut would be acceptable and best served by not splitting the neighborhood into different schools.
10/30/2019 22:34	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution	Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Either option 1 or option 2
10/30/2019 22:36								
10/30/2019 22:41	Dunwoody ES							
10/30/2019 22:42	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 22:45	Chesnut ES	I see no pros	You are dividing the Dunwoody North neighborhood in two. We have strong community with extremely active parents. We have a financial decision to stay in the school area because of the Principal Williams and the staff. I don't understand why Chesnut's capacity has reduced to 358 all of a sudden. Impacting quality of life and safety of our child with long commute along Tilly mill and no walking	no pros	You are dividing the Dunwoody North neighborhood in two. We have strong community with extremely active parents. We have a financial decision to stay in the school area because of the Principal Williams and the staff. I don't understand why Chesnut's capacity has reduced to 358 all of a sudden. Impacting quality of life and safety of our child with long commute along Tilly mill and no walking	none	You are dividing the Dunwoody North neighborhood in two. We have strong community with extremely active parents. We have a financial decision to stay in the school area because of the Principal Williams and the staff. I don't understand why Chesnut's capacity has reduced to 358 all of a sudden. Impacting quality of life and safety of our child with long commute along Tilly mill and no walking	I believe the board needs to understand the community better and go back to the drawing board. Why did the county build the new school with so much capacity the on once side of Dunwoody farther away from all the other schools. Anyways, there is a better options than cutting our community in two.
10/30/2019 22:46								
10/30/2019 22:56	Vanderlyn ES							Option 1.
10/30/2019 22:59	Chesnut ES	None	Destroys community	None	Same reason, destroys our community	None	Still destroys chesnut community..... you guys are idiots.	Keeping the current Chesnut cluster.
10/30/2019 23:03	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 23:05	Dunwoody ES	balance enrollment	out of balance in the future	I cant see...	Just works for New Austin community Definitely this is the worst option, because leave the overcrowd for others ES on Dunwoody Cluster	Best utilization rate, reduce portables, provides the most relief for DES (currently and future the most overcrowded school)	Increase commute	Geographic proximity and future developments should be the major criteria to define redistricting and new schools. Dunwoody requires now a new high school, the middle school should be improved, and elementary schools should be redistricted and new ones should be build. The geographic criteria should always come first.
10/30/2019 23:11	Chesnut ES	Redistributes the children to reduce overcrowding	Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood	Reduces overcrowding	Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood on an illogical line (Tilly Mill Road)	Reduces overcrowding	Splits the Dunwoody North Neighborhood.	None of the three as concerns current Chesnut students.
10/30/2019 23:22	Chesnut ES							
10/30/2019 23:26	Vanderlyn ES	They can walk to school. Keep there friends.	No cons	Traffic stays the same We can walk to school	No cons	No pro	Traffic will be a nightmare. Impossible to take left! It won't be possible for busses. We have worked so hard over the years to bring Vanderlyn to it high level and it's not fair that our younger kids cannot go to the school. We won't be able to walk to school, in an age where social connections are dying. Our kids need to walk to school to build friendships.	No 1 and no 2.
10/30/2019 23:28	Dunwoody ES	The best of the three options since it keeps families in close proximity to schools, provides some relief for DES, and may be the least disruptive to areas west of N. Peachtree Rd.	Don't have any cons. Note that DES overcrowding could be further alleviated by moving students west of ashford dunwoody from DES to Austin.	Provides some relief for DES while maintaining proximity to schools for many families. Minimal disruption.	Not as much relief for DES as option 1.	Provides some relief for DES.	For students in section E, walking distance to Kingsley is much further away than DES and more dangerous. Currently, to get to DES, students/parents can use the pedestrian paths that cut-thru Georgia State. (These are not evident on street maps.) Also for Kingsley, they would have to cross the congested intersections of Tilly Mill, N. Peachtree, and Peeler which also present driving problems.	Option 1
10/30/2019 23:35	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.		Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.			Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley "we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option 1 or 2, or creating a option 4
10/30/2019 23:47								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/30/2019 23:57	Vanderlyn ES	This is good as the current traffic situation for parents driving to school don't get impacted. Students continue to go to existing schools for majority of the part, and enables walk to school for our residents. Avoids the long wait left turn from the community if they were to be moved to Kingsley	none	Easier commute to existing school, helps avoid left turn from the community if we were to be routed to Kingsley, causing traffic pile up on Oxford chase way and longer commute time from home to school in lieu of vast amount of cars from out of the county, transiting on mount Vernon road towards perimeter during the early morning hours.	Jefferson Community should be moved out to Dunwoody ES, as they can commute straight on Womack road to Dunwoody Elementary or Austin.	None...	Elephant in the room is the vast number of students from Jefferson Apartments- They should be moved either to Austin or Dunwoody ES, which are in direct traffic routes. Jefferson always has a lot of elementary school children- two buses of children commute from Vanderlyn to Jefferson, these parents stay only for elementary and then move out of DeKalb, check records of Middle School.	Option-2 is the best. Every student deserves to continue to the same school, the problem is due to lack of a new school in Dunwoody ES region.
10/30/2019 23:57								
10/31/2019 0:58	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 1:04	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 1:33	Chesnut ES		Splitting up Dunwoody north neighborhood and sending 40 families to Kingsley		Splitting up Dunwoody north neighborhood and sending 43 families to Kingsley		Splitting up Dunwoody north neighborhood and sending 43 families to Kingsley	None. Regarding chestnut elementary, I don't understand why capacity went down so much to 358. If you keep it 458, which is what it has been close to for years, there is no overcrowding issue. Seems like we are solving a problem that does not exist, at least for Chesnut.
10/31/2019 5:10	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 5:35								
10/31/2019 5:44	Chesnut ES		Do not break up Dunwoody North and the DNCA area to send students from Chesnut to Kingsley.		Do not break up Dunwoody North and the DNCA area to send students from Chesnut to Kingsley.		Do not break up Dunwoody North and the DNCA area to send students from Chesnut to Kingsley.	
10/31/2019 6:02	Dunwoody ES	A	A	A	A	A	A	
10/31/2019 6:07	Dunwoody ES			none	this option makes no sense to me. Why would we overcrowd every Dunwoody school so that 1 school can stay at capacity? This option should be eliminated/		This option seems to put Vanderlyn at a distinct disadvantage.	Option 1.
10/31/2019 6:13	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 6:30	Chesnut ES		Dunwoody North neighborhood is split between Chesnut and Kingsley		Dunwoody north neighborhood is split between Chesnut and Kingsley		Dunwoody North neighborhood is split between Chesnut and Kingsley	None of the three. All will result in increased traffic on an already dangerous Tilly Mill road and will negatively impact the Dunwoody North and Chesnut community
10/31/2019 6:56	Chesnut ES		Dunwoody North neighborhood is split between Chesnut and a new school, causes more traffic		Dunwoody North neighborhood is split between Chesnut and a new school, causes more traffic		Dunwoody North neighborhood is split between Chesnut and a new school, causes more traffic	Keep neighborhoods intact
10/31/2019 7:05	Dunwoody ES	Relieves some of the pressure facing DES.		More proportionate distribution of students.	Still leaves DES with a severe overcrowding issue with little to no recourse in years to go when dealing with area growth.	More pressure taken off DES	Still does not address the growth factor this area will experience in the coming years.	I feel focusing on available space is a better option. At this point, trailers seem like the preferred method of dealing with overcrowding in the area. However, this does nothing to address that some schools do not even possess the room to put the trailers and does even less to acknowledge the burden it places on teachers tasked with instructing students in them.
10/31/2019 7:06	Chesnut ES		Splitting dunwoody north		Splitting dunwoody north		Splitting Dunwoody North	Need to rethink process.
10/31/2019 7:10	Chesnut ES		You are changing my zone from Chestnut. We don't want that change.					
10/31/2019 7:27	Chesnut ES		Splits Dunwoody North Community		Splits Dunwoody North community		Splits Dunwoody North community	None - neighborhood communities should be able to attend the same ES
10/31/2019 7:33	Chesnut ES		You are breaking Dunwoody North neighborhood in half.		You are breaking Dunwoody North neighborhood in half		You are breaking Dunwoody North neighborhood in half	None as all options is breaking Dunwoody North neighborhood in half
10/31/2019 7:35								
10/31/2019 7:43	Chesnut ES	Vanderlyn has no portables.	Lines are not protecting neighborhoods. Dunwoody North is getting split up. Neighbor kids separated. Negative impact on some house values. Austin as a new school still gets portables.		Still splitting Dunwoody North neighborhoods into pieces. Kingsley should not get portables if they do not have any now.	Largest number of students seem to move to newer or better school.	Still breaking up Dunwoody North. None of the changes seem to factor that in. Seems like a few more Hightower kids could go Kingsley to leave Dun North intact and use the natural line of 141	Logistics and neighborhood integrity
10/31/2019 7:45	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 8:04	Vanderlyn ES							
10/31/2019 8:18	Chesnut ES	NONE	Moving kids from Dunwoody North to Kingsley will go against the geographic proximity criteria. Kingsley will be farther away for those families and will increase traffic on Tilly Mill (already extremely congested). It also does not keep our neighborhood in tact. Chesnut is not severely overcrowded so consider using the available seats at Kingsley to relieve one of the other schools in the cluster.	NONE	Moving kids from dunwoody north to Kingsley will go against the geographic proximity criteria. Kingsley will be farther away for those families and will increase traffic on Tilly Mill (already extremely congested). It also does not keep our neighborhood in tact. Chesnut is not severely overcrowded so consider using the available seats at Kingsley to relieve one of the other schools in the cluster.	NONE	Moving kids from dunwoody north to Kingsley will go against the geographic proximity criteria. Kingsley will be farther away for those families and will increase traffic on Tilly Mill (already extremely congested). It also does not keep our neighborhood in tact. Chesnut is not severely overcrowded so consider using the available seats at Kingsley to relieve one of the other schools in the cluster.	All 3 options create a lot of disruption for the students with minimal gain (in terms of decreasing portables/relieving overcrowding). We need another option.
10/31/2019 8:22	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 8:23	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 8:30	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 8:31								
10/31/2019 8:32	Chesnut ES	None	Dunwoody North and Madison communities are suggested in all 3 options to be split. The last redistricting took more single family homes from Chesnut leaving a void in parental support and finances. This would do the same. You will weaken the school so that Austin who gets EVERYTHING in their favor continues to have the privilege. Leave Chesnut out of your plans.	None	Dunwoody North and Madison communities are suggested in all 3 options to be split. The last redistricting took more single family homes from Chesnut leaving a void in parental support and finances. This would do the same. You will weaken the school so that Austin who gets EVERYTHING in their favor continues to have the privilege. Leave Chesnut out of your plans.	None	Dunwoody North and Madison communities are suggested in all 3 options to be split. The last redistricting took more single family homes from Chesnut leaving a void in parental support and finances. This would do the same. You will weaken the school so that Austin who gets EVERYTHING in their favor continues to have the privilege. Leave Chesnut out of your plans.	None
10/31/2019 8:42	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 8:45								
10/31/2019 8:47								
10/31/2019 8:50	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 8:50								
10/31/2019 8:55								
10/31/2019 9:04	Chesnut ES		As a parent in the Chesnut district, redistricting to Kingsley will increase the already congested traffic situation on Tilly Mill by requiring a commute on Tilly Mill as opposed to the neighborhood roads that can be used to get to Chesnut. It would be a significantly longer commute by both time and distance and worsen an already congested traffic situation.		As a Chesnut parent, redistricting to Kingsley would prevent children who can currently walk or bike to school via established safe routes and designated cross walks from continuing to do so providing an undue burden to parents who rely on their kids to get to school themselves. Furthermore, it would break up neighborhoods that are part of the same civic association and swim and tennis club.		As a Chesnut parent, redistricting to Kingsley would be burdensome and hurtful to Chesnut because many of the parent volunteers and financial contributions emanate from the Dunwoody North neighborhood. Removing this support from Chesnut would disrupt the operations and financial resources of the school and, as a result, hurt the performance of the school and the County.	None of the options that would require redistricting kids from Chesnut to Kingsley, as all of them do, serves the best interest of the schools, the kids or the County for the reasons stated in each of the options. This seems to be done solely for the purposes of appeasing Austin students at the expense of all other students in the area. Benefitting the few while harming most.
10/31/2019 9:05	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/31/2019 9:08	Chesnut ES		Splitting Dunwoody North into two separate schools makes no sense for a variety of reasons. Proximity to Kingsley is double the distance to Chesnut which children could walk to. The Dunwoody North community is a close nit community with a club where children socialize and splitting the members into two different schools breaks that early bond. Increased traffic through two lane roads.		Splitting Dunwoody North into two separate schools makes no sense for a variety of reasons. Proximity to Kingsley is double the distance to Chesnut which children could walk to. The Dunwoody North community is a close nit community with a club where children socialize and splitting the members into two different schools breaks that early bond. Increased traffic through two lane roads.		Splitting Dunwoody North into two separate schools makes no sense for a variety of reasons. Proximity to Kingsley is double the distance to Chesnut which children could walk to. The Dunwoody North community is a close nit community with a club where children socialize and splitting the members into two different schools breaks that early bond. Increased traffic through two lane roads.	None. I moved to my location to attend Chesnut Elementary school. This proposed plan increases distance from our neighborhood school.
10/31/2019 9:08	Vanderlyn ES							
10/31/2019 9:11								
10/31/2019 9:33	Chesnut ES	More equitable dispersion of utilization %.	Causes current Chesnut ES students in move "D" to travel further for school and draws a stark dividing line through a cohesive neighborhood.	Attempts less division of existing zoning lines.	Forces change for every other ES to just to accommodate the new Austin ES. I don't think forcing others to grow in order to ensure Austin ES doesn't have unsightly trailers is not fair to the overall district.	Alleviates overall crowding the most.	Those alleviations come at a high cost for the affected current students at Hightower ES.	Option 1 is the best TEMPORARY fix, but upgrading/adding to our current schools or building a new elementary best serves the Dunwoody Cluster.
10/31/2019 9:36	Other		It splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood into two different elementary schools.		It splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood into two different elementary schools.		It splits the Dunwoody North neighborhood into two different elementary schools.	None of the three options
10/31/2019 9:37								
10/31/2019 9:38	Chesnut ES							Option 1
10/31/2019 9:39	Austin ES	Keeps my neighborhood (greater branches) in one school. Adds some diversity to Austin.	Splits Dunwoody North and other member neighborhoods into 2 schools. "D" These students would be subject to a longer and less safe commute. Kingsley is much closer to some of the Vanderlyn neighborhoods and those should be considered for redistricting into Kingsley before these in D.	Keeps greater branches neighborhood together	Splits Dunwoody North and other member neighborhoods into 2 schools. "D" These students would be subject to a longer and less safe commute. Kingsley is much closer to some of the Vanderlyn neighborhoods and those should be considered for redistricting into Kingsley before these in D.	Map maintains Great Branches neighborhood and adds diversity to Austin.	Splits Dunwoody North and other member neighborhoods into 2 schools. "F" These students would be subject to a longer and less safe commute. Kingsley is much closer to some of the Vanderlyn neighborhoods and those should be considered for redistricting into Kingsley before these in F.	I do not think any of them are a reasonable plan.
10/31/2019 9:41	Chesnut ES	None	Dunwoody North Community is split from Dunwoody and the Chesnut feeder school. This rips apart kids from their playmates and siblings from their alma maters	None. It only considers the wealthier Austin communities and ignores the needs of Dunwoody North.	Dunwoody North is a vibrant and active part of the community. Nearly all volunteer parents come from Dunwoody North. It should not be split from the literally attached Dunwoody community and removed from the Chesnut district.	Horrible.	Removing Dunwoody North from Chesnut is ludicrous, and it will lead to all Dunwoodyans to form their own school district and to strip the corrupt DCSD from its decision making over the lives of our children. Enough is enough.	I believe if any of these three plans is initiated and Dunwoody North is detached (literally down a street line) from its Chesnut roots and Dunwoody heritage, the calls to form an Independent Dunwoody School District will finally be acted upon.
10/31/2019 9:44	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 9:49	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 9:55	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 10:03	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 10:12	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 10:21	Chesnut ES		Kingsley is further away than Chesnut (1.1 vs. 2.7 miles). Traffic on Tilly Mill Road & North Peachtree Road will increase. Students who walk/bike safely to Chesnut will not be able to do so at Kingsley. All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of one whole and should remain intact. The Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary source of financial and volunteer support at Chesnut.		Kingsley is further away than Chesnut (1.1 vs. 2.7 miles). Traffic on Tilly Mill Road & North Peachtree Road will increase. Students who walk/bike safely to Chesnut will not be able to do so at Kingsley. All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of one whole and should remain intact. The Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary source of financial and volunteer support at Chesnut.		Kingsley is further away than Chesnut (1.1 vs. 2.7 miles). Traffic on Tilly Mill Road & North Peachtree Road will increase. Students who walk/bike safely to Chesnut will not be able to do so at Kingsley. All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of one whole and should remain intact. The Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary source of financial and volunteer support at Chesnut.	All 3 options are bad for Dunwoody north neighborhood and chestnut. Other options need to be explored.
10/31/2019 10:23	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 10:26	Vanderlyn ES	B1 should go to Austin	G & E should stay with Chesnut. Those on the Dunwoody side of P Tree Industrial should go to Chesnut. D should stay with Chesnut. Do not divide a neighborhood. Part of Dunwoody Elementray School could go to Kingsley, especially those closest to N. P Tree.	B should go to Austin.	Don't split neighborhoods - D should stay with Chesnut. Streets closer to N. P Tree and Peeler could go to Kingsley from Dunwoody ES.	H to Doraville United. D to Kingsley. B to Austin. E to Kingsley.	F needs to stay with neighborhood.	3
10/31/2019 10:28	Chesnut ES		It does not make sense to split Dunwoody North between Chesnut and Kingsley. I live in area D - Dunwoody North and support having all kids in the neighborhood go to the same school.		All of the children living in Dunwoody North should go to the same school. It makes no sense to have part of my neighborhood go to Chesnut and part go to Kingsley. Both schools are great, but why would you separate neighbors?		Every option has Dunwoody North split. I don't understand why the county wants to have kids in my neighborhood go to different schools. All students living in Dunwoody North should go to the same school. A number of children ride their bikes to Chesnut, they could never do that to Kingsley.	Don't divide Dunwoody North into two schools! All of the kids in Dunwoody North should go to the same school.
10/31/2019 10:35	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 10:37	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>Does not relieve use of trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> </ul>		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with outside voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley, and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.
10/31/2019 10:42	Chesnut ES	balance and proximity for moves A, B1, B2 and C	Breaking up Dunwoody North in move D. Not proximate to closest school	same as option 1	same as option 1	same as option 1	same as option 1	They are all materially similar. I think the Chesnut to Kingsley move does not comply with DCSD's stated priorities and should be removed.
10/31/2019 10:42								
10/31/2019 11:09	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 11:17	Chesnut ES		Option 1 violates many criteria points for the Dunwoody North families living in section D: Geographic proximity, Safety and Traffic Patters, Intact Neighborhoods and Efficient and Economical Operations. Section D is part of the Dunwoody North neighborhood. The neighborhood should be kept intact to ensure the safety of our children as they walk/bike to school as well as shared social amenities.		Option 2 violates many criteria points for the Dunwoody North families living in section D: Geographic proximity, Safety and Traffic Patters, Intact Neighborhoods and Efficient and Economical Operations. Section D is part of the Dunwoody North neighborhood. The neighborhood should be kept intact to ensure the safety of our children as they walk/bike to school as well as shared social amenities.		Option 3 violates many criteria points for the Dunwoody North families living in section D: Geographic proximity, Safety and Traffic Patters, Intact Neighborhoods and Efficient and Economical Operations. Section D is part of the Dunwoody North neighborhood. The neighborhood should be kept intact to ensure the safety of our children as they walk/bike to school as well as shared social amenities.	None of the options best serve Chesnut Elementary. The Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary supporter of Chesnut Elementary in both financial contributions and parent volunteers. The redistricting of the East side of Tilly Mill (sections D in options 1&2 and F in option 3) would remove that valuable support and most certainly disrupt the efficient and economical operations of the school.
10/31/2019 11:31	Chesnut ES		Our neighborhood of Dunwoody North would be getting split. This is not right.		Dunwoody North subdivision should not be split up.		Dunwoody North Should not be split.	Splitting from DeKalb County and becoming their own school system.
10/31/2019 11:43	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 11:46								
10/31/2019 11:57								
10/31/2019 11:57	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/31/2019 12:10	Austin ES	Balances overcrowding across all schools in cluster.	Trailers at brand new school in high growth part of cluster, with additional potential high growth areas added to attendance zone. Austin opens over capacity and problem becomes worse over time.  This is simply redistributing overcrowding across the cluster, while not addressing the fundamental problem. DCSD needs to redistrict/realign across the current clusters/districts.	Balances overcrowding across the cluster while allowing for possibility of continued growth at new school (with trailers at least).	Brand new school in high growth part of cluster, with additional potential high growth areas added to attendance zone, opens at 100% capacity. Austin will be over capacity and with trailers within a year or two.  This is simply redistributing overcrowding across the cluster, while not addressing the fundamental problem. DCSD needs to redistrict/realign across the current clusters/districts.	Split feeder option to relieve overcrowding at Hightower makes sense. More cross-cluster realignment is necessary to solve problem.  Balances overcrowding in cluster across schools	Trailers at brand new school in high growth part of cluster, with additional potential high growth areas added to attendance zone. Austin opens over capacity and problem becomes worse over time.  This is simply redistributing overcrowding across the cluster, while not addressing the fundamental problem. DCSD needs to redistrict/realign across the current clusters/districts.	Option #2
10/31/2019 12:17	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 12:26	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 12:32								
10/31/2019 12:36	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 12:41								
10/31/2019 12:43	Dunwoody ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at Vanderlyn, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers - however, they have the new resources to accommodate this	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	temporary relief for DES - larger issue is not addressed and the benefits from this option will be outweighed in just a few years time - not worth the disruption is causes to neighboring communities	THE WORST OF THE 3 OPTIONS! Most disruption (439 kids relocated), It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities among others. The net positive effect to the elementary schools is negligible.	Options 1 or 2!
10/31/2019 12:49	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 12:57	Austin ES							Option 2
10/31/2019 12:59	Chesnut ES		I object to each of these maps based on the following reasons:  Dunwoody North Families on the east side of Tilly Mill will be forced to attend a school that is further away  Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact: All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dunwoody North Civic Association and The Dunwoody North Driving Club		I object to each of these maps based on the following reasons:  Dunwoody North Families on the east side of Tilly Mill will be forced to attend a school that is further away  Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact: All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dunwoody North Civic Association and The Dunwoody North Driving Club		I object to each of these maps based on the following reasons:  Dunwoody North Families on the east side of Tilly Mill will be forced to attend a school that is further away  Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact: All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dunwoody North Civic Association and The Dunwoody North Driving Club	None. I strongly believe the Dunwoody North community should be kept together at Chesnut Elementary
10/31/2019 13:02								
10/31/2019 13:12	Dunwoody ES	Overall balanced and equitable distribution of students across the cluster. Some relief is provided to DES shifting Area A to Austin which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. Cherring Dr neighborhood remains at DES, as these homes are in walking distance to DES with designated walk ways/paths.	No relief is provided to the Dunwoody cluster overall as zero students are moved from Hightower to DUES. Basing the growth on 2021 estimates is not an accurate representation of projected growth for DES, needs to be based further out. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections at DES are not accounted for. <b>Out of balance for the future</b>	Shifts section A to Austin, for some relief to DES. Cherring Dr. neighborhood remains at DES as students walk to DES on designated pathways.	Inequitable distribution of portables across cluster as Austin has 0, while overcrowded schools can have up to 11! This option only moves 86 students to new Austin, while new Austin has additional 250 capacity. This option does not provide for an equitable distribution of students among the cluster. All other schools are significantly over capacity, while Austin is at 99%	Most relief to Dunwoody cluster as shifts some Hightower students to DUES. Provides some relief to DES by shifting area A to Austin, but DES needs more due to projected growth - consider west of Ashford Dunwoody to shift to Austin.	Creates split feeder situation for those Hightower students shifted to DUES. Should consider these students to attend Chamblee/Cross Keys MS & HS. Cherring Dr. neighborhood shifted to Kingsley and thus loses mobility to walk/ride bikes to school and have to cross dangerous Tilly Mill Rd.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to DUES, and has the least # of students in portables. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be AES. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity and projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
10/31/2019 13:25	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 13:43	Other	Provides relief for DES	Moving La Cota (E) from Hightower to Kingsley. Both Hightower (1 mile) and Chesnut (2.4 miles) are closer. No enrollment change for Vanderlyn		Moving La Cota (E) from Hightower to Kingsley. Both Hightower (1 mile) and Chesnut (2.4 miles) are closer.	Does the best job of relieving overcrowding schools and removing trailers form campuses.		Option 3.
10/31/2019 13:54	Vanderlyn ES							
10/31/2019 14:03	Austin ES		You need to come up with more options. You shouldn't be splitting neighborhoods. We're putting a bandaaid on the problem but it's not really solving anything. There is too much growth in our area for you to not work to build more schools. I am so disappointed in DCS. how are you going to open a brand new school with trailers?! How have the schools become so overcrowded with no real solution?!		You need to come up with more options. You shouldn't be splitting neighborhoods. We're putting a bandaaid on the problem but it's not really solving anything. There is too much growth in our area for you to not work to build more schools. I am so disappointed in DCS. how are you going to open a brand new school with trailers?! How have the schools become so overcrowded with no real solution?!		You need to come up with more options. You shouldn't be splitting neighborhoods. We're putting a bandaaid on the problem but it's not really solving anything. There is too much growth in our area for you to not work to build more schools. I am so disappointed in DCS. how are you going to open a brand new school with trailers?! How have the schools become so overcrowded with no real solution?!	None. You need to do better
10/31/2019 14:16	Chesnut ES	None	Tearing apart a tight knit strong community of homeowners who are instrumental in the foundation of Chesnut	None	Divides a tight knit neighborhood of dunwoody north in half and creates more issues	None	is not favorable to Chesnut. Separates a strong neighborhood and looks to only shuffle people around vs fix any issue	None of the options presented.
10/31/2019 14:17	Chesnut ES		Dunwoody North would be split between 2 schools		Dunwoody North would be split between 2 schools		Dunwoody North would be split between 2 schools	None
10/31/2019 14:17	Chesnut ES	Provides relief to Dunwoody Elementary	Splits up Dunwoody North. Area D is part of the DNCA and Swim & Tennis Area D kids will go farther to school and would no longer be able to walk/bike safely, increased traffic. Losing these families = significant Financial and voluntary support taken from Chesnut & disruption to the efficient and economical operations of the school. Losing 2 portables is not worth losing 58 neighborhood families.		This would disrupt/split an entire neighborhood only to add kids from another neighborhood (who could instead go to Kingsley) Area D is part of the DNCA and swim & tennis community. These kids have a safe route to walk/bike to Chesnut and wouldn't at Kingsley. Traffic on Tilly Mill and N. Ptree would increase. Chesnut would lose volunteer and \$ support unlikely to be replaced by the new families.	It is clear another school needs to be built in what is now the Dunwoody Elementary area. Perhaps rebuild on what used to be Shallowford elementary	This would disrupt/split an entire neighborhood. Keep the two portables at Chesnut and let us keep our neighbors together. Area F is part of the DNCA and swim & tennis community. These kids have a safe route to walk/bike to Chesnut and wouldn't at Kingsley. Traffic on Tilly Mill and N. Ptree would increase. Chesnut would lose volunteer and \$ support from the 58 families leaving.	I do not think any option as is best serves the Dunwoody Cluster. I do not support any option which splits up the 43 Dunwoody North families from the Chesnut community. I support keeping portables at Chesnut and keeping our community intact.
10/31/2019 14:18								
10/31/2019 14:18	Hightower ES							
10/31/2019 14:20	Dunwoody ES	'-Some relief for DES but not nearly enough	'-Not using projected growth to balance growth throughout the cluster giving the expected growth for DES, however the new school bump is used for Austin (is not equitable) -No relief for the Dunwoody cluster which is the most overcrowded and fastest growing (zero students moving to the new Doraville United Elementary)	'-Provides some relief to DES but not nearly enough	'-DES does not provide nearly enough relief to DES -Inequitable distribution of trailer across cluster and goes against all three primary criteria: geographic proximity (Area south of A closer to Austin), Instructional capacity (impacted by trailers in all schools but austin), projected enrollment for DES is not considered or would have shifted more students out of DES	'-provides some relief to Dunwoody cluster by shifting some hightower students to doraville -balances enrollment by a bigger shift of students from DES to Austin more than any other option	'-Fails to use projected growth past 2021 which places DES at a disadvantage and against the primary criteria, however, speculative School bump is included	I am in favor of Option 4 that was presented by a parent within the cluster. The area south of Area A west of Ashford Dunwoody should be moved to Austin and will align with the DCSD criteria: Proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment as well as safety and traffic patterns (keeping on main roads), Intact neighborhoods. PLEASE consider this option for next round and final!!
10/31/2019 14:20	Chesnut ES		This divides the Dunwoody North Civic Association neighborhood - please do not go with this option!		This divides the Dunwoody North Civic Association neighborhood - please do not go with this option!		This divides the Dunwoody North Civic Association neighborhood - please do not go with this option!	None. We need a new Elementary school and a new high school so that all may attend!
10/31/2019 14:22	Vanderlyn ES	Acceptable option			I think it unfair for all other elementary schools in the area to have portable classrooms and Austin would be exempt. I would say each school would have portable classrooms to balance the influx of students.		There are a number of families we know in section D that are deeply involved as students/parents in Vanderlyn. To move their kids, when it doesn't look as if the move offers any long-term relief in terms of overcrowding, would be an emotional disruption to their lives and pull them from a community.	Option 1 seems to be reasonable although I'm curious if there are OTHER approaches to consider. Whatever option is selected, there will be disruption, impact on property values & frustration across Dunwoody. A better long-term solution would be the addition of another elementary, middle & high school. Dunwoody is a wonderful place to live - we just need to plan smartly and consider the impact.
10/31/2019 14:22	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 14:23	Dunwoody ES		Chesnut loses kids in a walking distance and splits a neighborhood!		Chesnut loses walkers and splits a neighborhood!		Chesnut loses walkers and splits a neighborhood!	Keeping dunwoody north together

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/31/2019 14:25	Chesnut ES	None	Increased Traffic: Moving Dunwoody North Civic Association to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road.(Kingsley) that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles). Safety: There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North students. Students are able to walk or bike to school. This will disrupt the efficient and economical operations	None	Increased Traffic:Moving Dunwoody North Civic Association to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road.Families will be forced to attend a school that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles). Safety:There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North Students who can walk or bike to school disrupting the efficient and economical operations	none	Increased Traffic:Moving Dunwoody North Civic Association to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road.Families will be forced to attend a school that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles). Safety:There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North Students who can walk or bike to school disrupting the efficient and economical operations	None of these options work for the Chesnut community. Keep neighborhoods together and give Austin more apartments and trailers. Every other Elem school in the Dunwoody cluster has trailers and several apartments that feed into them. Austin should have the same. Then, leave everyone else how they are.
10/31/2019 14:29								
10/31/2019 14:30	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 14:30								
10/31/2019 14:41	Chesnut ES		Proximity-Dividing Dunwoody North neighborhoods, 43 students (D) from CES to KES, KES being from 1-1.5 miles further away; No students moving from VES or DES to KES, both closer in prox KES than any school in cluster. Capacity-No sig gains to any ES, more strain on KES; Loss of donations/funding for CES and shared neighborhood amenities. Safety/Traffic-Need survey for Tilly Mill corridor					
10/31/2019 14:42	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 14:44	Kingsley ES							Option 3
10/31/2019 14:45	Vanderlyn ES							
10/31/2019 14:46	Dunwoody ES		Not enough relief for DES	None	Austin is the school in the city without trailers Not enough relief for DES	Keeps the most neighborhoods together Most relief for DES Fair distribution of trailers Fair representation of future growth bw DES and AE		3
10/31/2019 14:56								
10/31/2019 14:58	Chesnut ES	All of it is a horrible idea	All of it is horrible	Do not change things	Keep as is	Bad idea	Bad idea	Keeping it as is
10/31/2019 14:58	Kingsley ES		LaCota Apartments are much closer to Hightower than Kingsley. Does not make sense to send to Kingsley		LaCota apartments are much closer to Hightower than Kingsley	Helps to balance the ESOL programs across the district. Also, this plan follows the DeKalb county geographic policy for redistricting.		Option 3
10/31/2019 14:59	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 14:59	Austin ES		Displaces many children and least amount of portable relief. Maximizes new Austin elementary leaving no room for future growth. ALL SCHOOLS STILL OVERCROWDED.	Best of the 3 options because it moves less students yet still provides some relief to all schools and removes 10 portables. Allows for future expected growth at new Austin.	All schools still overcrowded, except Austin which would be by 2022.		Displaces the most amount of children. ALL SCHOOLS STILL OVERCROWDED. Maximizes new Austin elementary leaving no room for future growth.	Option 2 is clearly the best option for all students as it balances enrollment and reduces portables while displacing less students. I ask that you do not consider the additional maps provided (rumored by DES and Chesnut PAC members) as they are not desirable for the overall Dunwoody cluster and unfairly shifts all high volume housing and future grown areas to Austin.
10/31/2019 15:06								
10/31/2019 15:07	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	None	In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "intact" neighborhoods with loud voices.
10/31/2019 15:07	Austin ES	Utilization is more spread a bit more evenly.	Too many families will be displaced (109 more students will be displaced vs. Option 2), and the number of Portables also increases.	Displaces the least amount of families and children, while also minimizing the use Portables. This seems to be the least detrimental and still highly effective option.	Kingsley will experience the biggest impact in utilization, however this would be more in line with the distribution within the other ESs.	Even utilization and less Portables.	This suggests that well over 300 families and 439 children will be impacted. For children who have attended school for 2+ years, this would be especially traumatic. With the other options on the table, this seems completely unacceptable.	Option 2 because it displaces the least amount of families and children while at the same time reducing the number of Portables necessary.
10/31/2019 15:09	Dunwoody ES							As long as students are sent out of DES to bring the numbers are relaxed back to an acceptable norm, any option works.
10/31/2019 15:10	Dunwoody ES	Pros for option 1 map: Equitable for all schools in our cluster	Cons for option 1 map: Does not provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary.	Pros for option 2 map: Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary.	Cons for option 2 map: Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms.	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster.	Cons for Option 3 map:Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic
10/31/2019 15:14	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 15:14	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 15:14	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 15:14	Kingsley ES					This is the best option	No cons	
10/31/2019 15:22	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 15:23	Chesnut ES	The pros I see are that Chesnut will be slightly less overcrowded and need two less trailers.	Please don't split up Dunwoody North neighborhood.	I see very little pros for Chesnut in this option. 18 students isn't really enough to make a difference.	Please don't split up Dunwoody North neighborhood.	Chesnut has less students and less trailers.	Dunwoody North is split up.	
10/31/2019 15:24	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 15:28	Kingsley ES	None. This ignores primary criteria #1	This option ignores primary criteria #1. IT moves kids from chestnut and has them attend a school farther away (Hightower), then takes kids from Hightower and moves them to a school farther away (Kingsley).	none. This ignores primary criteria #1	Everything about F, in both options 1 and 2 ignores trying to keep kids close to school. Stop proposing garbage options that ignore primary criteria. This shouldn't even be on the table as an option. Every student in F will have to go directly past two closer schools to end up at their new school.	The best of the three options. It at least "makes an attempt" to get kids at a school close to them. All three of these options are still an embarrassment and do not satisfy the three primary criteria. I don't know why you're bothering establishing criteria that you're ignoring.	This is still a crazy option if you think it meets the primary criteria. You have kids that almost live in Gwinnett County attending school in Doraville? That's an embarrassment	None of the options are good. You're ignoring your criteria so it's impossible to understand the underlying motivation for the options. No option that forces kids to drive by multiple closer schools to get to their new school actually serve the cluster. This appears to be forced busing to satisfy some undisclosed criteria.
10/31/2019 15:29	Kingsley ES							
10/31/2019 15:36	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 15:43	Kingsley ES							
10/31/2019 15:44	Dunwoody ES		We would like to be with DES as my son has an IEP and any change would impact. We are also happy with the school and my kid is happy at this school		We would like to be with DES as my son has an IEP and any change would impact. We are also happy with the school and my kid is happy at this school		We would like to be with DES as my son has an IEP and any change would impact. We are also happy with the school and my kid is happy at this school	we want to keep this community with DES as we are happy with that school

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/31/2019 15:45	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	None	In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "intact" neighborhoods with outside voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley, and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.
10/31/2019 15:45	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 15:51	Dunwoody ES		Area C (Ashworth) stays in Dunwoody Elementary School.		Area C (Ashworth) stays in Dunwoody Elementary School.		Area C (Ashworth) stays in Dunwoody Elementary School.	
10/31/2019 16:02	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with a rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts.	Allows for organic growth in an area (Austin) that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves the least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of a cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools). New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment.	Option 2
10/31/2019 16:02	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 16:02	Kingsley ES							3 It creates a better balance of students and keeps the children in the same general area.
10/31/2019 16:04	Dunwoody ES	A to Austin, B1 To Austin	way too much movement between schools, affects too many students and neighborhoods. Both schools- Vanderlyn & Chestnut have requested no changes to their current set-up.	A & B to Austin, F to Kingsley	too much shifting between schools. One group should shift from DES to AES and a group should shift from Hightower to Kingsley and/or Doraville United. Large group shifts would be better for neighborhoods and students.	A to B to Austin, H to Doraville United.	Too much shifting. Too many small groups and split neighborhoods moving. DES needs to shift students and Hightower needs to shift students. That should be the only movement unless VES wants a little relief.	I feel there needs to be a new option proposed with large chunks moving in one group out of DES and Hightower. These are a good start for people to understand the lines, but all of these options have too many cons that affect too many students and neighborhoods.
10/31/2019 16:06	Dunwoody ES	None	If using proximity, Austin ES is closer to cluster C. I believe Cluster C should either retain its assignment to DES or be reassigned to Austin ES.	None	If using proximity, Austin ES is closer to cluster C. I believe Cluster C should either retain its assignment to DES or be reassigned to Austin ES.	None	If using proximity, Austin ES is closer to cluster C. I believe Cluster C should either retain its assignment to DES or be reassigned to Austin ES.	
10/31/2019 16:07	Dunwoody ES	Relieves overcrowding at DES	Too many portables at DES. Not enough apartments moved to Austin	No relief for DES.	Austin is prioritized for no portables and DES winds up sucking it up for Austin not taking its share of kids.	Not enough relief for DES		None of these serves DES well. We need another school, major relief for DES and Vanderlyn. DES can't have an extra 300 or more kids - that limits gym time, library time and access to computers
10/31/2019 16:08	Austin ES	reduces a few portables at some schools	too little impact to the overcrowding and reduction of portables in the overall system		just shuffling the students around... no real plan to address the over crowding of the Dunwoody cluster.	largest reduction in portables	shifting Dunwoody cluster students to Doraville is only a short term fix but does not address the larger issue of over crowding in the Dunwoody Cluster;	none of the options provide a long-term solution to over crowding in the Dunwoody Cluster. This only load balances the schools by shifting them around.
10/31/2019 16:09								
10/31/2019 16:12	Chestnut ES		There is no need to break up the Dunwoody North homeowner's association to move 43 students from Chestnut to Kingsley. Chestnut is not overcrowded. The parents of these 43 children are very involved with the Dunwoody North community and Chestnut. Kingsley is too far away and traffic getting there north on Tilly Mill is terrible.		There is no need to break up the Dunwoody North homeowner's association to move 43 students from Chestnut to Kingsley. Chestnut is not overcrowded. The parents of these 43 children are very involved with the Dunwoody North community and Chestnut. Kingsley is too far away and traffic getting there north on Tilly Mill is terrible.		There is no need to break up the Dunwoody North homeowner's association to move 43 students from Chestnut to Kingsley. Chestnut is not overcrowded. The parents of these 43 children are very involved with the Dunwoody North community and Chestnut. Kingsley is too far away and traffic getting there north on Tilly Mill is terrible.	None of the options were very good. The Austin redistricting should only affect Austin, Dunwoody, Vanderlyn, and maybe Kingsley. It should not have any affect on a school so many miles away like Chestnut. Whoever did this analysis, was only concerned with balancing each school's capacity level and take away a few trailers. Building another school in the next few years is the real solution.
10/31/2019 16:17	Kingsley ES							
10/31/2019 16:19								
10/31/2019 16:21	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 16:27	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 16:31	Chestnut ES	DES and Vanderlyn need relief and this provides more balanced enrollment for them. Please leave CES current area intake.	Strongly oppose based on Area D, moving CES students to KES. Oppose based on Geographic Prox-students in Area D will have to travel 40% further to school. Instructional Capacity & Projected Enrollment-CES will not strongly benefit from the minimal input of this move. Traffic Patterns-Tilly Mill Rd in this area is big problem. Intact neighborhoods: don't split DN-shared amenities & close knit area!	Not a PRO - this plan needs to be dropped. AES needs to have trailers. I think as a Dunwoody community, we would rather have trailers at schools if it helps lessen the disruptions moving students would cause.	Strongly oppose based on Area D, moving CES students to KES. Oppose based on Geographic Prox-students in Area D will have to travel 40% further to school. Instructional Capacity & Projected Enrollment-CES will not strongly benefit from the minimal input of this move. Traffic Patterns-Tilly Mill Rd in this area is big problem. Intact neighborhoods: don't split DN-shared amenities & close knit area!	Using Doraville United to ease the overcrowding at Hightower ES is good. However, please leave CES alone and do not separate Area F as this splits a VERY tight knit community with shared amenities and does benefit CES. See below.	Strongly oppose based on Area F, moving CES students to KES. Oppose based on Geographic Prox-students in Area F will have to travel 40% further to school. Instructional Capacity & Projected Enrollment-CES will not strongly benefit from the minimal input of this move. Traffic Patterns-Tilly Mill Rd in this area is big problem. Intact neighborhoods: don't split DN-shared amenities & close knit area!	Keeping neighborhoods intact. Our neighborhoods, are very close-knit communities, and that is one of the reasons we buy homes and live here. PLEASE do not tear apart what makes this place so special. Give DES and Vanderlyn relief via the new AES as much as you can. Leave CES and surrounding Dunwoody North community intact. We are not asking for relief. Thank you!!
10/31/2019 16:37	Chestnut ES	DES and Vanderlyn need relief and this provides more balanced enrollment for them. Please leave CES current area intake.	Strongly oppose based on Area D, moving CES students to KES. Oppose based on Geographic Prox-students in Area D will have to travel 40% further to school. Instructional Capacity & Projected Enrollment-CES will not strongly benefit from the minimal input of this move. Traffic Patterns-Tilly Mill Rd in this area is big problem. Intact neighborhoods: don't split DN-shared amenities & close knit area!	Not a PRO - this plan needs to be dropped. AES needs to have trailers. I think as a Dunwoody community, we would rather have trailers at schools if it helps lessen the disruptions moving students would cause.	Strongly oppose based on Area D, moving CES students to KES. Oppose based on Geographic Prox-students in Area D will have to travel 40% further to school. Instructional Capacity & Projected Enrollment-CES will not strongly benefit from the minimal input of this move. Traffic Patterns-Tilly Mill Rd in this area is big problem. Intact neighborhoods: don't split DN-shared amenities & close knit area!	Using Doraville United to ease the overcrowding at Hightower ES is good. However, please leave CES alone and do not separate Area F as this splits a VERY tight knit community with shared amenities and does benefit CES. See below.	Strongly oppose based on Area F, moving CES students to KES. Oppose based on Geographic Prox-students in Area F will have to travel 40% further to school. Instructional Capacity & Projected Enrollment-CES will not strongly benefit from the minimal input of this move. Traffic Patterns-Tilly Mill Rd in this area is big problem. Intact neighborhoods: don't split DN-shared amenities & close knit area!	Keeping neighborhoods intact. Our neighborhoods are very close-knit communities, and that is one of the reasons we buy homes and live here. PLEASE do not tear apart what makes this place so special. Give DES and Vanderlyn relief via the new AES as much as you can. Leave CES and surrounding Dunwoody North community intact. We are not asking for relief. Thank you!!
10/31/2019 16:40	Kingsley ES							Option 3
10/31/2019 16:41	Kingsley ES							Option 3
10/31/2019 16:43	Kingsley ES	It looks like it would ease some overcrowding in some schools.	Kids would be going to the schools that aren't the closest to them, which is supposed to be a high priority for the district	Same as option 1: it looks like it would ease some overcrowding	Kids wouldn't be attending the schools closest to them, which is critical.	Kids would be attending the schools closer to them. This by far seems like the most equitable option.		Option 3 is best
10/31/2019 16:44	Chestnut ES	None for Chestnut Elementary community.	Increased Traffic: Moving part of Chestnut district to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Families will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles). Reduced Safety: Students will no longer be able to walk or bike safely to school. Dunwoody North Civic Association neighborhood will be split in two.	None for Chestnut Elementary community.	Increased Traffic: Moving part of Chestnut district to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Families will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles). Reduced Safety: Students will no longer be able to walk or bike safely to school. Dunwoody North Civic Association neighborhood will be split in two.	None for Chestnut Elementary community.	Increased Traffic: Moving part of Chestnut district to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Families will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles). Reduced Safety: Students will no longer be able to walk or bike safely to school. Dunwoody North Civic Association neighborhood will be split in two.	None of these options serve the Dunwoody Cluster. We need a new option that doesn't split the Chestnut Elementary district in two.
10/31/2019 16:53	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 16:54	Kingsley ES							3
10/31/2019 17:00	Austin ES							
10/31/2019 17:01								
10/31/2019 17:05								
10/31/2019 17:14	Austin ES	None	Immediately overcrowds Austin.	No portables at Austin.	Immediately pushes Austin to max capacity vs no change option.		Immediately overcrowds Austin and maintains portable classrooms.	No change option.
10/31/2019 17:15								
10/31/2019 17:17	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/31/2019 17:19								
10/31/2019 17:34	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 17:35	Chesnut ES		Increased Traffic: Moving DNCA to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Safety: There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North students east of Tilly Mill Road. Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact Efficient and Economical Operations.		Increased Traffic: Moving DNCA to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Safety: There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North students east of Tilly Mill Road. Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact Efficient and Economical Operations.		Increased Traffic: Moving DNCA to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Safety: There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North students east of Tilly Mill Road. Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact Efficient and Economical Operations.	None of them. Please do not split the Dunwoody North neighborhood. There are so many other options available without splitting Chesnut--a FABULOUS school.
10/31/2019 17:55	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 17:56	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 18:01	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
10/31/2019 18:09	Kingsley ES					Geographically makes sense to keep Dunwoody students in the community		Option 3 makes better sense for student well being and allows better community involvement w/ other students who live in the neighborhood. Currently Kingsley has students who live too far from the school and driven some cases thirty miles to the school which is absolutely ridiculous. I believe children mentally get to know the other children as they age from same geographic area better learning
10/31/2019 18:13	Chesnut ES	NO I do NOT like this option!!!! Chesnut children should NOT be re-routed across PT Industrial Blvd to Hightower. This is totally UNACCEPTABLE!	I want my children to stay going to chesnut where their neighborhood and community goes. The same kids they play with at the pool and throughout the neighborhood on both sides on Tilly Mill Road	NO I do NOT like this option!!!!	I want my children to stay going to chesnut where their neighborhood and community goes. The same kids they play with at the pool and throughout the neighborhood on both sides on Tilly Mill Road.  Also, Hightower students will suffer as well being re-routed in this scenario to Kingsley as this is no where near their neighborhood or population!	The relief for Hightower Elementary to do to the new Doraville Elementary!	Chestnut should be the PRIMARY and ONLY school for Dunwoody North. These children should not be separated out to go to ANY other school. They live and play with the kids from both sides of Tilly Mill Road and belong to the Pool with them, etc. Keep ALL of Dunwoody North at CHESNUT!	Option #3 BUT really NONE are GOOD enough. Dunwoody North should NOT be split up and moved out of Chesnut No MATTER which side of Tilly Mill Road you live on. Find another solution!
10/31/2019 18:15	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 18:18	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 18:53	Vanderlyn ES							
10/31/2019 18:57	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 19:06								
10/31/2019 19:12	Austin ES							
10/31/2019 19:27	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 19:43								
10/31/2019 19:51								
10/31/2019 19:56	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 20:00	Chesnut ES		You split up our neighborhood!!		You will break up our neighborhood!!		You will break up our neighborhood!!	
10/31/2019 20:09	Kingsley ES							Option 3
10/31/2019 20:14	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 20:17								
10/31/2019 20:22	Vanderlyn ES							
10/31/2019 20:26	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 20:35	Dunwoody ES	Balances overcrowding across all schools.	Vanderlyn still heavily overcrowded					
10/31/2019 20:40	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 20:41	Vanderlyn ES	Seems like a decent option just not as good as option two. Definitely a happy medium between 2 and 3.		Like this plan the most: least amount of students impacted and does not impact as many boundaries and neighborhoods. Also least amount of impact on property values.			This is plan has way too big of an impact. Moves way too many students. Also you r moving people to other schools that could literally walk to their current school. I would go with a plan that impacts the least amount of students and boundaries because these moves also impact property values as well.	Option 2 least amount of impact on students, neighborhoods and property values.
10/31/2019 20:47	Kingsley ES	Kingsley elementary has always suffered from these district decisions. First with bussing which pulled most of the neighborhoods children to private schools. Chestnut to Kingsley is an option; however, could an option of taking the neighborhood children to either Vanderlyn or Chesnut and the apartments to Hightower be introduced? We desperately need to bring back the value of our local school.	See above	Are you kidding me? Stripping Kingsley of homes instead apartments is a horrible option.		Again.. horrible options for Kingsley		None of these. This is definitely a Dekalb Administration proposal and not one that comes from home owners in Dunwoody. PLEASE let Dunwoody operate its neighborhood schools independent of the County.
10/31/2019 20:56	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 21:04	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 21:09	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 21:12								
10/31/2019 21:12	Austin ES							Option 2
10/31/2019 21:17								
10/31/2019 21:18								
10/31/2019 21:26	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster	Doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary.	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms.	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster.	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because of having to cross the Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection.	None of the maps presented solve the redistricting problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd goes to Austin Elementary. By doing so, our small section E would be able to remain at Dunwoody Elementary.
10/31/2019 21:26	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 21:26	Chesnut ES		Breaks up Dunwoody North Neighborhood.		Breaks up Dunwoody North Neighborhood.		Breaks up Dunwoody North Neighborhood.	Keeping together pre-existing neighborhoods!
10/31/2019 21:39	Kingsley ES							Option 3
10/31/2019 21:40	Chesnut ES							
10/31/2019 21:45	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster	Doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary.	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary.	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms.	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster.	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic
10/31/2019 21:50	Kingsley ES							Option 3
10/31/2019 21:52	Chesnut ES		Dunwoody North kids on east side of Tilly Mill should not be separated from friends and neighbors that go to Chesnut.		Dunwoody North kids on east side of Tilly Mill should not be separated from friends and neighbors that go to Chesnut.		Dunwoody North kids on east side of Tilly Mill should not be separated from friends and neighbors that go to Chesnut.	Option 3
10/31/2019 21:53								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
10/31/2019 21:54								
10/31/2019 21:56	Chesnut ES	none	The D section of this map is NOT acceptable as it splits up Dunwoody North neighborhood which stretches across Tilly Mill Rd. This is completely against the geographic proximity and neighborhood criteria. This proposal adds more than a mile to the current distance. Currently, students can walk to school through the Dunwood North. In addition, this is one neighborhood, which should NOT be split up.	NONE	Again, this option impacts the students from Chesnut in area D as it has them leaving their neighborhood school. Tilly Mill can't support more traffic heading north. These students currently travel through their neighborhood via bikes, carpool, or by walking. Kingsley would no longer be a "neighborhood school". Again, this proposal splits up a neighborhood.	The students from Hightower should be moved to the Cross Keys/Doraville Cluster. They should not be kept in the DHS cluster. DHS can't handle the number of students.	Again, ALL of Dunwoody North should remain part of Chesnut. No part of Dunwoody North should be redistricted to Kingsley, as shown here, as this is a community. The parents and students have worked hard to make Chesnut what it is today. We, as Chesnut, would rather have our students in trailers, if needed, than go to Kingsley. No offense to the school, we just want to remain as one	None.
10/31/2019 22:04								
10/31/2019 22:06								
10/31/2019 22:08	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 22:11	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 22:20								
10/31/2019 22:24								
10/31/2019 22:31	Chesnut ES	Considering this proposal fails all of Dekalb County's supposed criteria for area D, hard to find pros. Splitting close knit Dunwoody North Neighborhood in two in all proposals suggests forgone conclusion and calls process transparency into question. Considering Dunwoody Cluster has more students than seats, but not counting portable capacity further delinks proposals from true pro/con conversation	Option 1 fails all criteria for area D. As a resident, move to Kingsley is 58% longer commute from my driveway on more Dangerous Tilly Mill vs neighborhood streets. Also, good to learn that Dekalb County views student commute safety as a secondary criteria. Chesnut is a success thanks to Dunwoody North serving the community; county has offered no defense/logic for damaging this community.	Considering this proposal fails all of Dekalb County's supposed criteria for area D, hard to find pros. Splitting close knit Dunwoody North Neighborhood in two in all proposals suggests forgone conclusion and calls process transparency into question. Considering Dunwoody Cluster has more students than seats, but not counting portable capacity further delinks proposals from true pro/con conversatio	Option 1 fails all criteria for area D. As a resident, move to Kingsley is 58% longer commute from my driveway on more Dangerous Tilly Mill vs neighborhood streets. Also, good to learn that Dekalb County views student commute safety as a secondary criteria. Chesnut is a success thanks to Dunwoody North serving the community; county has offered no defense/logic for damaging this community	Considering this proposal fails all of Dekalb County's supposed criteria for area D, hard to find pros. Splitting close knit Dunwoody North Neighborhood in two in all proposals suggests forgone conclusion and calls process transparency into question. Considering Dunwoody Cluster has more students than seats, but not counting portable capacity further delinks proposals from true pro/con conversatio	Option 1 fails all criteria for area D. As a resident, move to Kingsley is 58% longer commute from my driveway on more Dangerous Tilly Mill vs neighborhood streets. Also, good to learn that Dekalb County views student commute safety as a secondary criteria. Chesnut is a success thanks to Dunwoody North serving the community; county has offered no defense/logic for damaging this community	All options fail Dekalb's criteria for Area D in Option 1 and are completely unacceptable. New options must be brought showing true capacity (including portables) and work to address DES (and Austin's desire to limit diversity). The diverse and thriving Chestnut/Dunwoody North community must not be split as a casualty to Dekalb's inability to address the true issues. Please focus on your criteria
10/31/2019 22:40	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 22:45	Dunwoody ES	Equitable for all schools in our cluster	Doesn't provide needed relief for Dunwoody Elementary	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Elementary	Not equitable distribution of students and portable classrooms.	Provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster.	Section E loses the ability to walk to DES. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross the dangerous Tilly Mill/N Peachtree intersection or Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns and intact neighborhoods.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin Elementary. By doing so, Dunwoody Elementary zoning on the east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary would be safer, keep neighborhoods intact, children safe and not increase traffic
10/31/2019 22:45	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 22:49	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 23:07	Chesnut ES		I have no pros for any of the options given. I live in the Dunwoody North community that is being redistricted to Kingsley. We thought the board was not going to split neighborhoods. Dunwoody North is a very tight community with a vested commitment to Chesnut. We chosen to live and purchase our home here because of Chesnut and the exceptional community supporting the school.					These options were to help elevate the crowding at DES and repopulate the new Austin, but the the only consistant item is the division of the Dunwoody North neighborhood. All three of your options split this very tight knit community. As a community we are very vested in Chesnut, our neighborhood school. I chose this neighborhood because of this school. CCRPI of 94.9 Chesnut to Kingsley 76.6. No.
10/31/2019 23:21	Dunwoody ES							
10/31/2019 23:36								
10/31/2019 23:40	Chesnut ES		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria.		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria.		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria.	Allowing Chesnut Elem zoning to remain as is. Allowing Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to remain a 1mile commute and keeping the neighborhood together. Geographic proximity should be a primary criteria.
10/31/2019 23:45	Dunwoody ES	I like that this map has balanced enrollment and that it moves section A out of DES, which is substantially overcrowded and needs relief. Most moves seem to reasonably incorporate consideration of proximity. Section A moving to AES addresses proximity, growth projections, and instructional capacity as well as traffic considerations.	This map provides no relief for the cluster as a whole, and does not account for the projected growth in the southwest corner of the cluster beyond 2021. That is all currently zoned to DES (more than 3000 new residences planned). DES can not possibly accommodate that growth. I suggest zoning west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin, as reflected in maps proposed by the community following round 2.	None noted. Do not choose this map.	-protects a single school from overcrowding, placing the burden on students in all others; this harms instructional capacity, ignores proximity, and creates more traffic problems. -provides a fairer split of students across schools -This map does not account for projected growth beyond 2021. Areas of likely new growth in the southwest corner should be shared by more than one school. These areas are closest to Austin. Moves section C out of DES.	-helps relieve crowding in the cluster as a whole by shifting some students to Doraville United. -provides a fairer split of students across schools -results in balanced enrollment -move of section A to Austin aligns with proximity, instructional capacity, and traffic criteria. -this map is the best of the three	-This map does not account for projected growth beyond 2021. Areas of likely new growth in the southwest corner should be shared by more than one school. These areas are closest to Austin. Moves section C out of DES. -does not keep communities intact, such as section c and section e. -would be better to keep c and e in DES, and remove some students in the southeast corner (closer to AES)	Option 3, but I actually prefer some maps created by the community following round 2 meetings. (Options 4-6). These provide suggestions to consider in drafting your final map. The community-developed options keep communities intact and better split areas of projected growth across more than one school (by moving the area west of Ashford-Dunwoody to AES).
11/1/2019 0:08	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/1/2019 0:24	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 0:38								
11/1/2019 4:58								
11/1/2019 6:26								
11/1/2019 6:48	Kingsley ES	non	not enough help for DES why break up Chesnut community		not enough help for DES Vanderlyn has no relief	Sends multiple different subgroups to Kingsley Has biggest positive impact on entire Dunwoody Cluster	disrupts Chesnut community	3
11/1/2019 6:54								
11/1/2019 6:59	Chesnut ES							
11/1/2019 7:06	Kingsley ES							Option 3 is the most equitable. Geographic proximity matters.
11/1/2019 7:08	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 7:17	Kingsley ES							If Kingsley is to be a viable option for elementary education in the future, we must stop with the knee-jerk response of pulling from the east. This historical response has resulted in the current title 1 classification. You need to pull in households west of Tilly Mill and north of Mt. Vernon
11/1/2019 7:26	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/1/2019 7:27	Kingsley ES		Doesn't seem to actually balance enrollment.		Does not seem to actually balance enrollment.	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting -fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster -Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) -Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster -Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools -Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation Only option to that makes sense.		3 as is creates the most balanced enrollment, reduces overall trailer use, and allows communities in Dunwoody to come together.
11/1/2019 7:37	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/1/2019 7:53								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?	
11/1/2019 7:55	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cons:</li> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pros:</li> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> </ul>		Option 3 is the most equitable option that does not favor more powerful neighborhoods.	
11/1/2019 8:26									
11/1/2019 8:29	Chesnut ES								
11/1/2019 8:48	Kingsley ES	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially separated neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options).	
11/1/2019 8:48	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 8:56	Kingsley ES							Option 3.	
11/1/2019 8:58									
11/1/2019 9:02	Chesnut ES							No redistricting of current Chesnut students to Kingsley.	
11/1/2019 9:03	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 9:05	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 9:17	Dunwoody ES							Option 3	
11/1/2019 9:41									
11/1/2019 9:48	Chesnut ES	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Families in DNCA/Dunwoody North neighborhoods will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles). Right now, Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Also, all neighborhoods on *both* sides of Tilly Mill are part of the DNCA/DN. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a>) Not to mention increased traffic on the already awful Tilly Mill Rd.</li> </ul>	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Families in DNCA/Dunwoody North neighborhoods will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles). Right now, Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Also, all neighborhoods on *both* sides of Tilly Mill are part of the DNCA/DN. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a>) Not to mention increased traffic on the already awful Tilly Mill Rd.</li> </ul>	N/A		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Families in DNCA/Dunwoody North neighborhoods will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles). Right now, Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Also, all neighborhoods on *both* sides of Tilly Mill are part of the DNCA/DN. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a>) Not to mention increased traffic on the already awful Tilly Mill Rd.</li> </ul>	An option yet to be presented by DeKalb County.
11/1/2019 9:50	Chesnut ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The children and their families are neighbors and friends. This creates a bond with the children who enjoy going to school together. Many of our neighborhood children walk to school. They will not be able to walk to any other school listed -- and may be separated from their neighbors and friends if the children in Dunwoody North and made to move to another location other than Chesnut.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proximity to the school. Separated from friends and neighbors. Parents who help each other out with after school activities because children are together in the same location won't be able to do this without driving further distances. Traffic is already unbearable in Dunwoody.</li> </ul>					I do not believe that elementary school children should be shifted around if it causes them to be further from home and away from friends and neighbors.	
11/1/2019 10:00	Kingsley ES							Option 3	
11/1/2019 10:01	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 10:01	Dunwoody ES	Helps some students near austin better.	Dunwoody elementary district is still huge and with us on the other edge its still challenging. Dunwoody elementary still remains over crowded.						
11/1/2019 10:02	Dunwoody ES							option 1	
11/1/2019 10:09	Dunwoody ES		Area C would be better in Dunwoody Elementary School or Austin ES		Area C should be in Dunwoody Elementary school or Austin ES		Area C should be in Dunwoody Elementary school or Austin ES		
11/1/2019 10:15	Dunwoody ES	1) Least amount of disturbance for existing enrolled students 2) overcrowding is distributed more evenly (fairly) across all Dunwoody Cluster schools	There are none unless your child is enrolled in the current Austin district and consider portables to be a con. However, if New Austin follows DES' model and places an entire grade rather than specific classes, unless it's specials, then it would be fine as the third grade class of DES really enjoys the portables.		Unfair distribution of students.			Option 1	
11/1/2019 10:32	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 10:40	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 10:44	Kingsley ES	none	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	none	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>		OPTION 3 OPTION THREE	
11/1/2019 10:48	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 10:53	Austin ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Dunwoody Club West and the east side of Mt. Vernon Way should attend Austin Elementary because it is in closest proximity and Vanderlyn needs overcrowding relief.</li> <li>2. The Chesnut neighborhoods shouldn't be divided.</li> <li>3. The amount of students that will eventually live in the B1 area should be considered. The 80 townhomes currently being sold are likely to go to families.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Dunwoody Club West and the east side of Mt. Vernon Way should attend Austin Elementary because it is in closest proximity and Vanderlyn needs overcrowding relief.</li> <li>2. The Chesnut neighborhoods shouldn't be divided.</li> <li>3. The amount of students that will eventually live in the B1 area should be considered. The 80 townhomes currently being sold are likely to go to families.</li> </ul>				
11/1/2019 10:53	Dunwoody ES								
11/1/2019 11:20	Dunwoody ES	Less Students at DES.		None.	New Austin has 0 Trailers. Whats going to happen in 3 years. They will have to be built. All the other school need relief. Why are more kids going to be sent to Over Capacity Vanderlyn, while Austin stays under?			1	
11/1/2019 11:32	Dunwoody ES								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/1/2019 11:47	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>		In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices
11/1/2019 11:52								
11/1/2019 12:09	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 12:44	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>		In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options)
11/1/2019 12:59	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 13:18								
11/1/2019 13:41	Kingsley ES							3
11/1/2019 13:49	Chesnut ES	Fixes gross overcrowding for Dunwoody ES by sending kids to Austin.	Changing the Chesnut ES zoning is unnecessary from a capacity standpoint. For those in proposal area D, it would be added traffic on Tilly Mill, which is already overcrowded in the mornings - this is a safety issue, especially in light of the GA college expansion already making traffic worse.		Changing the Chesnut ES zoning is unnecessary from a capacity standpoint. For those in proposal area D, it would be added traffic on Tilly Mill, which is already overcrowded in the mornings - this is a safety issue, especially in light of the GA college expansion already making traffic worse.			
11/1/2019 14:08	Austin ES							
11/1/2019 14:27	Chesnut ES	No pros from Chesnut perspective	Dunwoody North neighborhood residents will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles), at odds with #1 Primary criteria of Geo. proximity. Also, all neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dun North Civic Assoc & Driving Club. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ) Our neighborhood should not be split up. Keep DunNorth whole	No pros from Chesnut perspective	Dunwoody North neighborhood residents will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles), at odds with #1 Primary criteria of Geo. proximity. Also, all neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dun North Civic Assoc & Driving Club. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ) Our neighborhood should not be split up. Keep DunNorth whole	No Pros from Chesnut perspective.	Dunwoody North neighborhood residents will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles), at odds with #1 Primary criteria of Geo. proximity. Also, all neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dun North Civic Assoc & Driving Club. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ) Our neighborhood should not be split up. Keep DunNorth whole	None of these three are acceptable. Please go back to the drawing board and come up with a solution that does not split up the Dunwoody North neighborhood and community.
11/1/2019 14:35	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 14:35	Dunwoody ES							3
11/1/2019 14:38	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 14:41								
11/1/2019 14:48	Kingsley ES					If this the voting place. I recommend opt 3. Keeps communities together. My neighborhood now has lots of little kids and pre-k s. Don't want to have to redistrict in two years because your data was incorrect.		As stated previously option 3
11/1/2019 14:51	Kingsley ES	There are no pros	Balance of diversity is not shown for students there is too much segregation and causing neighborhood students to forgo public education	None	Again still not enough diversity	There is more diversity to Kingsley which is needed & you keep more neighborhood kids in our district or to come back instead of leaving because the the neighborhood kids are the minority.		Option 3
11/1/2019 15:16	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 15:16								
11/1/2019 15:25	Kingsley ES					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> </ul>		Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/1/2019 15:33	Chesnut ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> </ul>		Option 3
11/1/2019 15:40	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/1/2019 16:00	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/1/2019 16:35	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 16:57	Chesnut ES		Families in DNCA/Dunwoody North neighborhoods will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles). Right now, Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Also, all neighborhoods on *both* sides of Tilly Mill are part of the DNCA/DN. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ) Not to mention increased traffic on the already awful Tilly Mill Rd.		Families in DNCA/Dunwoody North neighborhoods will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles). Right now, Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Also, all neighborhoods on *both* sides of Tilly Mill are part of the DNCA/DN. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ) Not to mention increased traffic on the already awful Tilly Mill Rd.		Families in DNCA/Dunwoody North neighborhoods will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles). Right now, Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Also, all neighborhoods on *both* sides of Tilly Mill are part of the DNCA/DN. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ) Not to mention increased traffic on the already awful Tilly Mill Rd.	none... Families in DNCA/Dunwoody North neighborhoods will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (2.7 miles vs. 1.1 miles). Right now, Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely. Also, all neighborhoods on *both* sides of Tilly Mill are part of the DNCA/DN. (See <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a> ) Not to mention increased traffic on the already awful Tilly Mill Rd.
11/1/2019 17:16								
11/1/2019 18:37								
11/1/2019 18:48								
11/1/2019 19:04	Vanderlyn ES							Option 3
11/1/2019 19:19	Chesnut ES							I prefer an option keep dunwoody neighborhoods like dunwoody civic association intact. All options presented to chesnut is to split the dunwoody north neighborhood. Also, moving the kids on a east side of Tilly mill to Kingsley is much further for those working parents to drop off their kids or pick up in the evening due to traffic
11/1/2019 19:38	Austin ES	Initially balanced.	Does not consider growth farther out than 2 years. Various schools in Dunwoody have dramatically different forecasted growth rates. Adds a significant amount of traffic to Mount Vernon and Roberts Dr. corridors based on school movements. Trailers at Austin during tenure of those who had to endure the current (unacceptable) facility.	No portables at Austin should be priority Alleviates some overcrowding at DES Moves fewest number of students in cluster while still eliminating a significant number of trailers		Highest reduction in number of trailers.	Trailers at Austin at opening Too many student movements = lots of disruption Massive contributions to traffic issues in major corridors (Roberts/Mt. Vernon/Vermaak) due to student movements Makes an initially overcrowded school (Austin) the only one that will absorb significant future growth.	Option 2
11/1/2019 20:21	Chesnut ES	None for chesnut	Splits dunwoody north neighborhood	None for chesnut	Increased Traffic: Moving DNCA to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill & N Peachtree Road. Kids will be forced to attend a school 1.6 miles further away. Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact: neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dunwoody North Civic Association. The DNCA neighborhoods are listed on their website: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/ourneighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/ourneighborhood/</a>	None for Chesnut	Increased Traffic: Moving DNCA to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill & N Peachtree Road. Kids will be forced to attend a school 1.6 miles further away. Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact: neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dunwoody North Civic Association. The DNCA neighborhoods are listed on their website: <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/ourneighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/ourneighborhood/</a>	None of the three options serves Chesnut. Splitting the DNCA violates redistricting criteria and hurts Chesnut. Chesnut is willing to sharing in cluster overcrowding. Also, Chesnut is not severely overcrowded as the calculated capacity continues to be reduced arbitrarily. Overall, the three options do not align with key criteria of geographic proximity or keeping neighborhoods intact.
11/1/2019 20:50	Dunwoody ES							
11/1/2019 21:17	Austin ES		Too many students to be moved to Austin and huge increase of traffic as a result. Too many portable classrooms		Too many moves to Austin increasing traffic. Too many portable classrooms		Way too many students moved to Austin significantly increasing traffic. Too many portable rooms	None of them best serve Dunwoody students. Option 2 is the best of the three, which are all unacceptable
11/1/2019 21:19								
11/1/2019 21:36	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin; Not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Option #3, map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible while increasing geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D.	Option 2
11/1/2019 21:46	Kingsley ES							Option3
11/1/2019 23:24								
11/2/2019 0:01	Kingsley ES		It provides no relief for overcrowding. Still too many trailers for Kingsley		Again no relief for Kingsley	This is the best option for Kingsley elementary. It provides for a balanced school, not that many trailers and the best geographical option.		Option 3 seems to be the best
11/2/2019 7:16	Dunwoody ES							
11/2/2019 7:18	Dunwoody ES	only portables are being reduced, which are acceptable if kids are receiving quality education	looking at new options still most stressed school is DES, it is still running over capacity in current year and will be over capacity in year 2021 instead of worrying about map boundaries why not just focus on traffic patterns and allocate kids, like Austin can take in section C instead of B2 traffic pattern will be simple its a straight road	number of portable classroom getting reduced	one additional thought fro traffic patterns why vanderlyn is not being considered to pick up kids from east of DES, it will east traffic patterns and austin can take kids from section C			Option1 .. with some changes with section B1 and C being aligned to AES and B2 continuing with Vanderlyn
11/2/2019 7:48								
11/2/2019 7:48	Vanderlyn ES							
11/2/2019 7:51	Dunwoody ES							
11/2/2019 8:15	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/2/2019 9:09	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>		3. But it is clear that a more substantial, long term solution is needed. In the best case scenario, 39 trailers indicates that another school is needed. Perhaps another K-5 school that can be converted into a 6-8 specialty school in the future.
11/2/2019 9:18	Vanderlyn ES		Overcrowding for students	Not much disruption for students A+			Too much stress for students to change locations	Option # 2 will be the less stressful for students.
11/2/2019 9:24	Kingsley ES							3
11/2/2019 10:15	Vanderlyn ES	No pros Too much disruption of neighborhoods and families	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are too many changes and disruption of students in option 1</li> <li>That makes no sense</li> <li>Also why in such affluent neighborhoods would you need the rooms to be used for pre k.</li> <li>There are two pre kindergartens at Dunwoody Elementary, Chestnut, Kingsley.</li> <li>There is one pre-K each at Vanderlyn and Austin.</li> <li>This would eliminate 8 trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This is the least disruptive plan for the neighborhoods and moves the least amount of students</li> <li>Again if you used the pre kindergarten rooms for kindergarten students it would eliminate 1-2 trailers offer a better lunch schedule etc</li> <li>The pre-K should not be used for families who live in these very expensive homes</li> </ul>	Best plan		More disruptive to students than option 2	Please consider using the pre-K classrooms for kinder and removing the trailers
11/2/2019 10:43	Kingsley ES							option 2
11/2/2019 10:52	Dunwoody ES							
11/2/2019 11:20	Chesnut ES							
11/2/2019 11:35	Kingsley ES							Option 3 Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster
11/2/2019 13:14								
11/2/2019 13:29	Vanderlyn ES							
11/2/2019 13:35								
11/2/2019 13:39	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/2/2019 14:26								
11/2/2019 15:32	Dunwoody ES	It has more balanced enrollment than option 2.	DES is still too overcrowded. Dunwoody north is separated. They want to stay at Chesnut and Chesnut wants to keep them.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DES is way too crowded, especially taking into account most of the growth in Dunwoody is going to be in the perimeter area inside its boundaries.</li> <li>DES lunch period will start too early and end too late to have reasonable lunch times for our children.</li> <li>The traffic patterns for the kids on Ashford Dunwoody are bad. They have to travel father and travel on small neighborhood roads to get to DES</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It offers the best relief for the entire Dunwoody cluster.</li> <li>It offers the most relief to DES</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dunwoody north should not be separated.</li> <li>Dunwoody elementary is still overcrowded and still holds too much of the planned future growth. The area west of Ashford Dunwoody should go to Austin to share that load and because it's closer and easier to get to for those students.</li> </ul>	Relieving the Dunwoody cluster by sending students to the new DUES. Also, splitting up the future growth around Ashford Dunwoody.
11/2/2019 16:30	Vanderlyn ES			Approve option 2. Least movement for current students/families. Less overcrowding in the later years. Less possible property value disruptions.	Much more disruptive for students/families/ landowner values.			
11/2/2019 17:18	Austin ES			Fewest students being moved, keeps Vanderlyn ES intact.			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated, splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES communities. Net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for all involved.	Option 2 - fewest students being moved/disrupted and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. 10 trailers removed from all schools.
11/2/2019 19:16	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Kingsley would go from having a little room for growth to being one of the most over-enrolled schools in the area.</li> <li>* Many students would need to travel much further to get to school.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Kingsley would go from having a little room for growth to being one of the most over-enrolled schools in the area.</li> <li>* Many students would need to travel much further to get to school.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Lowest number trailers</li> <li>* Students are closer to their schools</li> </ul>		Option 3
11/2/2019 19:55	Vanderlyn ES							
11/2/2019 20:52	Austin ES			Seems to make sense geographically and for transportation.				Option 2
11/2/2019 22:08	Austin ES		Not agree. Why we are bringing from far away places to Austin. Too much traffic		Not agree. Why portable class room for new class. My kids are portable last years in Austin current location. Again portable. Give me break		Not agree with portable for brand new school. Period.	Remove portable. Enough kids studying in portable. We want our tax money used efficiently with good knowledge people. Portable for new school very poor planning and management style.
11/2/2019 23:06	Chesnut ES		The D cluster of dunwoody North should not be separated from chestnut elementary. We are a part of dunwoody civic association and we should not be split. This will cause immense traffic along Tilly mill. Our children will not be able to walk to school. Our closest school turns from 0.8 miles to 3.7 miles creating significant safety issues for our children to go to school.		Moving the D cluster of Dunwoody North will create horrible traffic on Tilly Mill Rd. We moved to be a part of chestnut school. Quod children can no longer walk to school		Splitting Dunwoody North to Kingsley elementary school. This is a single community that should not be forced to move to a school that is a far distance from our home. This will create traffic, safety and a broken community	Do not split dunwoody north
11/3/2019 0:25	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/3/2019 6:18	Vanderlyn ES							
11/3/2019 7:03								
11/3/2019 7:27								
11/3/2019 8:21	Vanderlyn ES				The overcrowding at Vanderlyn only gets worse with this option. New students from DES but not enough relief.			Option 1
11/3/2019 8:37	Vanderlyn ES	Very balanced use of resources, cafeteria, counselors, playground space	None	None	Unfairly puts further crowding issues in DES and Vanderlyn	Balanced use of resources. Decrease in trailers.	None	Option 1 or 3.
11/3/2019 8:40	Chesnut ES	Starts a process of making school enrollment more equal throughout the cluster (I believe the county should redistrict every 5-7years)	Why are Vanderlyn and DES still over burdened comparatively?	Starts a process of making school enrollment more equal throughout the cluster (I believe the county should redistrict every 5-7years)	Based on trailer count, still not even	Starts a process of making school enrollment more equal throughout the cluster (I believe the county should redistrict every 5-7years)	Why does V continue to have the bulk of the trailers- way beyond the others?	Sharing the overcrowding among all the schools evenly
11/3/2019 8:52	Vanderlyn ES	None	Doesn't relieve overcrowding in DES cluster.	None	Doesn't relieve overcrowding in DES cluster. All schools but the new Austin remain extremely overcrowded. Vanderlyn at a whopping 139%. Chestnut at 130%.	Best option of 3 presented so far.	Doesn't significantly relieve overcrowding in DES cluster. Vanderlyn remains at a whopping 132% over-capacity. Vanderlyn needs to be rebuilt at its current site & drastically expanded. Vanderlyn has the ground space to expand from the front of the school to Vanderlyn Drive easily doubling school capacity.	None. Option 3 is the lesser of evils. Rebuilding & doubling Vanderlyn's capacity at its current site solves current & future capacity problems.
11/3/2019 9:10	Vanderlyn ES							
11/3/2019 9:54	Kingsley ES	None	Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Oversaturation of ESOL	Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster	None	3. Relieves overcrowding in Dunwoody Cluster
11/3/2019 10:00	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 10:07	Dunwoody ES	Minimal student impact. When students do change schools most go to an equal or better school						
11/3/2019 10:10	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/3/2019 10:17								
11/3/2019 10:18	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 10:34								
11/3/2019 10:50	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 11:17	Dunwoody ES	<p>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</p>	<p>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</p> <p>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</p>	<p>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p>	<p>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</p> <p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage.</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for.</p> <p>Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</p> <p>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville</p>	<p>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</p> <p>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</p>	<p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</p> <p>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</p> <p>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</p>	<p>Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity &amp; projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.</p>
11/3/2019 11:24	Dunwoody ES	Pretty effective due to A move	Not enough relief from DES overcrowding.	N/A	DES overcrowding is barely addressed.	Palpable relief for DES.	DES overcrowding is not addressed completely. Families in E and D zones will be very discouraged.	<p>Option 1 is more or less ok it provides some relief for DES without discouraging a lot of families but it isn't enough. Option 2 doesn't seem to provide any relief for DES. Option 3 more effective than 1 but it will cause more social tension. I would recommend to modify Option 1: additionally re-district Jefferson apartment complex to Austin and move more homes to Vanderlyn.</p>
11/3/2019 11:30								
11/3/2019 12:04	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 12:24	Vanderlyn ES			Less disruption of kids, Most trailers removed			Too much disruption	prefer option #2
11/3/2019 12:25								
11/3/2019 12:47								
11/3/2019 13:00	Austin ES	Provides initial balance for utilization rate but will be unbalanced quickly due to forecasted growth rate in Austin area	<p>Attendance lines grow AES most with expected highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment</p> <p>Creates long-term attendance issues</p> <p>KES capacity is understated due to 3 Spec.Ed classrooms moving from KES to AES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at KES</p> <p>Adds portables to the new AES campus with no room for organic growth</p> <p>Most negative impact on Roberts Dr traffic with addition of 200+ students</p>	<p>New AES starts with 0 portables &amp; allows for organic growth to support high trajectory growth rate for 2021 enrollment.</p> <p>Alleviates DES overcrowding (-132 less students. 13% in utilization).</p> <p>Moves least amount of students while achieving greater portable reduction</p> <p>Eliminates 10 portables.</p> <p>Provides Hightower relief in overcrowding.</p> <p>Most positive impact on Roberts traffic</p>	<p>KES capacity is understated due to 3 Spec.Ed classrooms moving from KES to AES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at KES.</p>	<p>Provides most relief to Hightower with cross-cluster shift to Doraville United.</p> <p>Highest portable reduction.</p>	<p>Most dramatic movement of students in D'woody Cluster; ~ 500 students required to change schools</p> <p>Negligible impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than option 2</p> <p>AES is only school w/ high growth trajectory rate for 2021</p> <p>Does not solve KES Spec.Ed classroom issue</p> <p>Adds portables to the new AES campus, no room for growth</p> <p>Most negative impact on Roberts Dr traffic w/ 200+ more students</p>	<p>OPTION 2</p> <p>Least disruption for families &amp; students. Least negative impact on area traffic. Most opportunity for organic growth.</p>
11/3/2019 13:07								
11/3/2019 13:12	Chesnut ES							
11/3/2019 13:16	Vanderlyn ES							
11/3/2019 13:22	Chesnut ES							
11/3/2019 13:22	Chesnut ES		We were told to copy paste a response, but I hope this works better. Taking Area D out of Chesnut will devastate the school. It is a small school surrounded by apartments, and is held together by Dunwoody North. This option splits the neighborhood in half. The only parental involvement is from our neighborhood. Again, this option (all of the options) will devastate Chesnut.		We were told to copy paste a response, but I hope this works better. Taking Area D out of Chesnut will devastate the school. It is a small school surrounded by apartments, and is held together by Dunwoody North. This option splits the neighborhood in half. The only parental involvement is from our neighborhood. Again, this option (all of the options) will devastate Chesnut.		We were told to copy paste a response, but I hope this works better. Taking Area D out of Chesnut will devastate the school. It is a small school surrounded by apartments, and is held together by Dunwoody North. This option splits the neighborhood in half. The only parental involvement is from our neighborhood. Again, this option (all of the options) will devastate Chesnut.	I'm only concerned about our neighborhood, Dunwoody North, and Chesnut. All of these options screw us over yet again. Chesnut should have been rebuilt before Austin. This is just another example of the little school being forgotten.
11/3/2019 13:25								
11/3/2019 13:26	Kingsley ES		It overcrowds Kingsley Elementary. It causes children to travel much farther for school (i.e., kids who live considerably closer to Hightower and Chestnut would have to travel much farther to go to Kingsley Elementary.)		It overcrowds Kingsley Elementary. It causes kids to have to travel much farther to attend school (e.g., kids who live much closer to Hightower or Chesnut would be driving to Kingsley).	Children travel shorter distances from home to attend school (e.g., less likely to drive past two closer schools in order to reach Kingsley). Shorter travel distance means safer kids, less traffic, more kid/parent engagement at school, and more economical bus routes. Kingsley is less overcrowded than in the other two options.		Option 3
11/3/2019 13:36								
11/3/2019 14:01	Chesnut ES		Areas D & G are part of the Chestnut community... if they are moved to other Elementary Schools, then Chestnut would suffer a huge loss in terms of parent support from these important areas of Dunwoody North area.		Area D is an important part of Dunwoody North neighborhood. We share a community clubhouse and pool, and parents in Area D (east of Tilly Mill Rd) play an important support role for Chestnut Elementary.	Area F is an important part of Dunwoody North and parents in that community support Chestnut ES. Chestnut ES would suffer a loss of support - would rather see Chestnut get expanded some to keep this area at Chestnut ES.	Don't like any of the three options! The area east of Tilly Mill Rd currently indexed to Chestnut ES is an important part of Dunwoody North and parents in that community support Chestnut ES. Chestnut ES would suffer a huge loss of support - would rather see Chestnut get expanded some to keep this area at Chestnut ES.	
11/3/2019 14:02								
11/3/2019 14:14	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 14:49	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 15:05								
11/3/2019 15:05	Austin ES							Option 2
11/3/2019 15:15	Austin ES	Seems fairly efficient traffic wise.	Will have to watch changes in estimates based on new construction plans.	Seems more equitable as far as mobile units which we really want to minimize since they are usually a health hazard (tend to have mold issues).		What a shame to have the Doraville United Elementary School be so underutilized and then have the overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster.	What is the assigned middle school for those students relocated to Doraville United ES?	
11/3/2019 15:27	Austin ES	Share the misfortune	0 trailers at Vanderlyn	Open Austin as it was planned, for 950	11 trailers at 2 schools	Seems like a better distribution of students	Austin opens and is already over utilized	3
11/3/2019 15:54	Vanderlyn ES	Moves more students to Kingsley and Austin	No portables for Austin	Not sure there are any	Doesn't utilize all seats at Austin; increases overcrowding at Vanderlyn	Places a school in the Doraville area, supporting the growth of residency and allowing students to go to a school in their home community	still have overcrowding at Austin and Vanderlyn	Option 3
11/3/2019 15:54	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 16:12	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 16:20	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 16:34	Vanderlyn ES	No Response	no Response	fewer students being moved/disrupted, keeps Vanderlyn community intact. 10 Trailers removed from all schools	none	No Pro's too many cons	most disruptive, 1/10 kids relocated. Splits Vanderlyn and chestnut. Option 3 map D does not benefit anyone. All negative impacts on neighborhoods and property values	Option #2 is the best for all schools and students

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/3/2019 16:39	Dunwoody ES	Relieves a large quantity of students from DES to Austin	Option B2 sends 21 students from Vanderlyn to Austin. Option C sends 22 students from DES to Vanderlyn. This doesn't make sense. Keep the students in B2 at Vanderlyn and send the 22 students in C to Austin. Ashworth is in C and the residents want to make the move from DES to Austin. Ashworth is less than 1 mile from Austin. Ashford Center Pkwy should be the dividing line for Austin/Vanderlyn	None	C should move from DES to Austin. Austin needs more students to attend it. Ashworth is in C and the residents want to make the move from DES to Austin. Ashworth is less than 1 mile from Austin. Ashford Center Pkwy should be the dividing line for Austin/VES. North of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Ashworth) goes to Austin. South of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Jefferson Apts) goes to Vanderlyn.	School attendance is more balanced across the cluster. This option also sends more students to Austin	C should move from DES to Austin. Austin needs more students to attend it. Ashworth is in C and the residents want to make the move from DES to Austin. Ashworth is less than 1 mile from Austin. Ashford Center Pkwy should be the dividing line for Austin/VES. North of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Ashworth) goes to Austin. South of Ashford Center Pkwy (including Jefferson Apts) goes to Vanderlyn	Option 1. This option disrupts the cluster the least, while also balancing student enrollment. We vote for option 1, but instead moving C (Ashworth) to Austin and keeping B1 at Vanderlyn
11/3/2019 16:40	Vanderlyn ES							
11/3/2019 16:48	Austin ES	No pros	Schools need to be able to accommodate the number of student enrolled and projected to enroll. Why did we pay for the new Austin if it still doesn't have the capacity to accommodate the number of students enrolled. We did the same with DES years ago and it is overcrowded. How about better planning and allocation of funds to our kids, teachers and facilities in the northern part of Dekalb.		I echo my comment from map 1			A focus that has better planning allocation of facilities before it becomes a crisis in the Dunwoody cluster. And, it seems to always be a crisis in Dunwoody. DCSD - please step up or let us go and be our own school district.
11/3/2019 16:51	Vanderlyn ES							
11/3/2019 16:56								
11/3/2019 17:14	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	Austin gets the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley's capacity is understated- 3 Sp Ed classes going to AES Will add portables to the new Austin campus leaving no room for organic growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students	Austin starts w/ u portables, & allows for organic growth in an area that's projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding(-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower some overcrowding relief	Kingsley's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Will add portables to the new Austin campus, leaving no room for future growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students	Option 2
11/3/2019 18:36								
11/3/2019 18:39								
11/3/2019 18:41	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 18:43	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 18:48	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 18:48								
11/3/2019 18:52								
11/3/2019 18:52								
11/3/2019 19:07								
11/3/2019 19:08	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 19:10	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 19:13								
11/3/2019 19:16	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 19:18	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 19:21	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 19:21	Chesnut ES							
11/3/2019 19:22	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 19:23								
11/3/2019 19:26	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 19:27	Chesnut ES		Why would you move section D kids to a school they cannot walk to or ride bikes		Again. The section D choice is completely idiotic.		What does anything in the chesnut boundaries have to do with Austin. We already too their damn baseball fields in a shady land deal.	New maps drawn by people who are actual stakeholders
11/3/2019 19:40	Dunwoody ES	Seems to minimize disruption, make sense for the overcrowded areas, keeps neighborhoods intact, reasonable drawn map that makes practical sense regarding distance from school	None	Keeps neighborhoods intact, makes practical sense, makes good use of Austin capacity	None		Moves a lot of kids from high performing schools to low performing schools which may result in property devaluation for some and affect expectations of folks who purchased; large number of kids moved	Option 1 or 2
11/3/2019 19:45								
11/3/2019 19:46								
11/3/2019 19:54								
11/3/2019 19:54	Dunwoody ES	Offer some balanced enrollment across all elementary schools	- Needs to alleviate overcrowding in the cluster by sending some Hightower students to Doraville - Distribute areas of future, potential high growth across different schools - Using data only looking out to 2021	None	although we would prefer not to have any portables, all 6 elementary schools should be equitable in dealing with Dunwoody's overcrowding.	- Alleviates some overcrowding in the cluster by sending some Hightower students to Doraville	- Distribute areas of future, potential high growth across different schools - Using data only looking out to 2021	Option 3. Or even better is Option 4 which gives Austin some of the potential growth area that Dunwoody ES currently has all of.
11/3/2019 19:55								
11/3/2019 19:57	Dunwoody ES	Mostly keeps neighborhoods intact. Better general reduction of overcrowding across all schools	A couple of neighborhoods only have a few kids moving. For example, one neighborhood has only 1 kid moving?	Keeps neighborhoods mostly intact	Not enough reduction of DES overcrowding. Trying to keep portables out of New Austin seems futile as surely there will be portables there within the next few years anyway. Under this plan, Austin is not taking its fair share at the expense of other schools.	Best option for reducing overall overcrowding	Splits up neighborhoods. Sending kids from high performing schools to relatively lower performing schools	Option 1
11/3/2019 20:01	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 20:02	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 20:08	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 20:11	Chesnut ES	We can potentially get rid of old trailers	Our community will be divided.	We can potentially get rid of old trailers	Our community will be divided	We can potentially get rid of old trailers	Our community will be divided	Building new schools instead of just temporary fixing issues.
11/3/2019 20:19	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 20:20	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 20:21	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 20:24	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 20:24	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 20:35	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The Northeast corridor remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	Basing on 2021 estimates is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elementary. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. There is also no relief provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall. zero hightower students are moved to Doraville United.	Area A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not at all enough for Dunwoody elementary.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth which places DES at disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin but growth projections for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distributions of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody cluster, zero hightower students are moved to Doraville	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United. Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth which places DES at a disadvantage. Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria. Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in.	Option 3. It relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least #students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elementary. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. E should stay DES.
11/3/2019 20:48	Vanderlyn ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/3/2019 20:48								
11/3/2019 20:51	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 20:54	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/3/2019 21:04								
11/3/2019 21:05	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 21:05	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 21:13	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 21:13	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 21:22	Dunwoody ES	It helps relieve some overcrowding at DES.	It doesn't take into account the geographic proximity of areas west of Ashford-Dunwoody to Austin rather than DES. This area has a large capacity for growth over the next few years and is still slated for DES, the most overcrowded school in the district.	None.	Preventing any portables at Austin does nothing to relieve the overcrowding of other schools in the Dunwoody cluster. It just appeases Austin parents. It does not take into account projected enrollment growth past 2021.	This is the best option of the three given as it provides more relief for DES and utilizes Doraville United to relieve some overcrowding.	The area west of Ashford Dunwoody should not be slated for a school that already has the highest enrollment in the cluster (DES). New plans should be considered that move this area to another school with closer geographic proximity and potential for additional enrollment.	Option 3
11/3/2019 21:26	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/3/2019 21:31								
11/3/2019 21:33	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 21:44	Vanderlyn ES							
11/3/2019 21:44	Dunwoody ES							
11/3/2019 21:45	Other	None	Austin would be over capacity and adding portals to a new school. Too much traffic on Roberts.	Least amount of disruption. Best for portals	None	None	Austin over capacity and adding portals	Option 2 is the best option for all of the students.
11/3/2019 21:47								
11/3/2019 21:53	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 21:57								
11/3/2019 22:39								
11/3/2019 23:03	Austin ES							
11/3/2019 23:15	Chesnut ES							
11/3/2019 23:52	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment for schools	Trailers	Not as many kids must be moved around			Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES	Option 2
11/4/2019 1:07								
11/4/2019 4:26	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 5:11	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 5:42	Chesnut ES							
11/4/2019 5:45								
11/4/2019 5:52	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 5:59								
11/4/2019 6:15	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 6:27								
11/4/2019 6:29								
11/4/2019 6:31								
11/4/2019 6:43	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 6:44								
11/4/2019 7:04	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 7:07								
11/4/2019 7:11	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 7:11	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 7:18	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 7:30	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 7:33								
11/4/2019 7:35	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 7:44	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 7:49								
11/4/2019 7:56	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 7:56								
11/4/2019 8:01								
11/4/2019 8:05	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 8:12	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 8:49	Kingsley ES		Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting		Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting		Option 3
11/4/2019 8:55	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 8:55	Kingsley ES							Option 3, it provides the least overcrowding
11/4/2019 8:59	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 10 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students amongst the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students (Option 3 moves nearly 200 more students than Option 2), and have negligibly better or slightly worse resu
11/4/2019 9:03								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/4/2019 9:16	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>Does not relieve use of trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>	Option 3 serves the cluster best as it not only balances enrollment across the cluster, but removes more portables than any other option. Geographic proximity is very important to many of the families in our cluster and should be prioritized over keeping neighborhoods together, if a more equitable solution can be found.
11/4/2019 9:26	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 9:26	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 9:30	Kingsley ES	Makes more geographic sense in several instances.				Brings broadest relief of overcrowding with exception of Vanderlyn which seems like it can't be helped.	Doraville neighborhoods off Winters Chapel get moved out of Hightower while the likely more transient apartment dwellers across the road appear to remain.	Option 3 seems to bring broadest and most equitable relief to a difficult and challenging problem.
11/4/2019 9:44	Kingsley ES		This puts ESOL near 70% at Kingsley ES. How can the needs of these children be met? Is Hightower not closer for this group?		Same issue as Option . ESOL at Kingsley ES near 70%. No other elementary schools in the area have close to this number	Closer to each neighborhood. ESOL numbers more reasonable at Kingsley ES		Close to neighborhood Balance out ESOL students to all schools, not just one school
11/4/2019 9:47	Dunwoody ES	Balances enrollment across the schools	Area C should stay with DES. This area was impacted in the last redistricting and is closer to DES. It is also the only neighborhood community being moved out of DES in this option.		Does not balance enrollment. DES has the areas of high growth.	Balances Enrollment	Keep area C and E with DES Expand area A to include areas of high growth	None of the options fully account for the growth that DES will be getting in coming years
11/4/2019 10:06	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 10:09	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high rate for 2021. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables; reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Drive.		Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.		Most dramatic movement of students in the Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools!!) Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the least ability to grow, yet highest growth rate is projected for Austin. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for growth.
11/4/2019 10:15								
11/4/2019 10:15	Austin ES		Austin is saddled with the highest growth areas, which will quickly lead to overcrowding. Additionally, Roberts Road cannot sustain further traffic increases. It is overburdened as is.	Zero portables for Austin. Appears to help alleviate DES overcrowding. Moves the least number of students to different schools. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road.			A very large number of students will have to change schools. The areas with the greatest anticipated rate of growth are all included in Austin's jurisdiction. Significant additional traffic for Roberts Road.	Option 2 - minimizes the number of students needing to change schools, spreads out the areas of anticipated growth in the community, prevents Austin from becoming overcrowded immediately upon building a brand new school, reduces increase of traffic to Roberts Road.
11/4/2019 10:17	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 10:18	Kingsley ES							Option 3 - fewest trailers and most balanced
11/4/2019 10:20	Vanderlyn ES			least disruptive to current homeowners.				
11/4/2019 10:20	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 10:22	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 10:23	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 10:31	Dunwoody ES	We would stay at DES, where we just started after moving here July 1, 2019. I would love to keep my sons in the place they've started here, with the new friends and teachers they've met.	I don't have enough information on the area and the redistricting issue to comment on this.					
11/4/2019 10:31	Austin ES							Option 2 better serves the kids & their families
11/4/2019 10:36	Kingsley ES					Makes the best geographic sense Keeps the neighborhood school intact		Option3
11/4/2019 10:36								
11/4/2019 10:37	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New AES starts with 0 portables & allows for organic growth in area that is projected to grow at high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster. Helps get rid of 10 portables (more than option 1). Provides Hightower some overcrowding relief. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Dr		Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Most dramatic movement of students in Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500). Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200+ students than Option 2. New attendance lines give AES the only area in cluster that's expected to grow at high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Significant add'l traffic on Roberts Dr with the addition of 200+ students. Portables at new AES leave no room for growth
11/4/2019 10:40								
11/4/2019 10:54	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 10:57	Austin ES			the least amount of student and family disruption				Option 2 appears to be the best of the 3 options. However, upon redistricting for this next school year, immediate consideration for long term growth plans need to be made. This problem will not go away and will only grow. Additional elementary, middle, and HS must be built to support this population.
11/4/2019 11:04	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 11:07	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower.) No significant reduction in trailers.	None	Creates lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCoat is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 7 1.0 mile from Hightower.)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting. Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster. Provides the most students access to new schools, (United and Austin). Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster. Balances specials programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools. Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	None	In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "intact" neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of the parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley, and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.
11/4/2019 11:22	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 11:29								
11/4/2019 11:30	Vanderlyn ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/4/2019 11:31	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	Based on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	I support Option #4 by Ryan Stallings. ATL: Option 3 relieves Dun. Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem.
11/4/2019 11:33	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 11:36	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 11:41	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 11:47	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 12:08	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 12:22	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 12:23								
11/4/2019 12:26	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 12:49								
11/4/2019 13:09	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 13:14	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting( LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) Does not relieve use of trailers		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting( LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio- economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3
11/4/2019 13:17	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 13:39	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 13:50	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 13:52								
11/4/2019 13:54	Kingsley ES	None	Lack of diversity within kingsley school Provides no relief to entire dunwoody cluster Violates the DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting	None	Lack of diversity in kingsley Violates DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting	Follows the DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting Long term solution that provides a balance in entire cluster especially special programs and resources Creates socio- economic diversity throughout Addresses all of the schools and benefits all of the schools - resources etc was	None.	OPTION 3
11/4/2019 13:59								
11/4/2019 14:01								
11/4/2019 14:04	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 14:09	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 14:09	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 14:09	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 14:10								
11/4/2019 14:30								
11/4/2019 14:39								
11/4/2019 14:40	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 14:42	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 14:43	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 14:47	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 14:47	Kingsley ES							
11/4/2019 14:54	Kingsley ES		creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Violates DCSS policy. no reduction in trailers.		creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Violates DCSS policy. no reduction in trailers.	I would consider taking my kids out of private school and put them in Kingsley. Creates diversity instead of consolidation. Fewest number of trailers. Follows DCSS policy and is the most equitable solution.		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity and creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation.
11/4/2019 15:08								
11/4/2019 15:12								
11/4/2019 15:16	Austin ES		PLEASE DO NOT OVER CROWD AUSTIN. OUR 4 YEAR OLD STARTS THERE NEXT WEEK. WE DO NOT WANT HER TO BE IN A TRAILOR WHILE AT AUSTIN PLEASE!!!					
11/4/2019 15:22	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 15:30	Kingsley ES							I strongly hope that Option 3 will be chosen because it provides for the fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster, provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin), and is a long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster. This choice spreads ESOL resources across schools which makes the most sense.
11/4/2019 15:40	Chesnut ES							
11/4/2019 15:42								
11/4/2019 15:48	Chesnut ES							
11/4/2019 15:50								
11/4/2019 15:51	Vanderlyn ES	None. Do not want change	None. Do not want change	Do not want change	Do not want change	No change please	No change please	Leave schools as is
11/4/2019 15:58	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 16:03	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 16:04	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
11/4/2019 16:18	Kingsley ES		Vanderlyn has no change in enrollment and is still over utilized. Kingsley becomes over utilized.		Vanderlyn becomes more overutilized as does Chestnut.	Doraville United is closest school to Hightower for transfers. Hightower & Dunwoody have fewer portables.		Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/4/2019 16:29	Dunwoody ES	Balances portables	Not enough relief for DES, VES	fewest student changes	This is not what 's best for the cluster, this is only what's best for Austin. Does not take into account long term projected growth at DES. We will be doing this again in 2 years	Best for entire relief of overcrowding for cluster	splits neighborhood	Options 3, though none of these address the long term issue of projected growth to DES. A new map should be considered that rezones all of the area west of Ashford DUnwoody zones to AES. This will best utilize the facilities in terms of geographic proximity, instructional capacity, and projected enrollment.
11/4/2019 16:36	Vanderlyn ES		trailers at Austin- not enough relief for Dunwoody ES/ No cluster relief	fewest students moved or disrupted. Keeps Vanderlyn intact.			Cross cluster move, increases overall capacity of Dunwoody Cluster but the cons are too many! Cons - Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Option #3, map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible while increasing geographic distance and traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D.	Option 2
11/4/2019 16:42	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 16:53	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 16:58	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
11/4/2019 16:58	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 17:07	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 17:38	Vanderlyn ES			For				2
11/4/2019 18:04	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 18:37	Austin ES							
11/4/2019 18:46	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 18:56	Vanderlyn ES							
11/4/2019 19:07	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at new Austin! No room for growth.	Fewest amount of students moved and Vanderlyn stays the same!			Too many disruptions. Does not benefit anyone. Many safety issues.	Option 2.
11/4/2019 19:28								
11/4/2019 19:37	Kingsley ES					Keep our neighborhood school string		Option 3
11/4/2019 19:53	Vanderlyn ES							Options 1or 2
11/4/2019 19:55	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 19:59	Vanderlyn ES			1st choice. Least amount of kids moving.	Still a lot of portable classrooms.		Too many kids changing schools.	Option 2.
11/4/2019 20:07	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 20:43	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 21:03	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/4/2019 21:05								
11/4/2019 21:08	Dunwoody ES		Not a solution for Dunwoody Elementary	Some distribution	Not enough distribution among students and portable classrooms	Most distribution for the cluster	With Section E going to Kingsley - it's not safe for students to travel on their own (Tilly Mill/N. Ptree intersection). The intersection will be even more congested with traffic. Keep section E zoned to Dunwoody (close proximity, safety, traffic).	There are no solutions with the maps proposed. 4th option proposition: Everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Road zoned to Austin.
11/4/2019 21:18	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around		Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting. Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster. Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin). Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster		Option 3
11/4/2019 21:53	Dunwoody ES							
11/4/2019 22:19								
11/4/2019 22:35	Hightower ES	okay	okay		no		no	none
11/4/2019 23:26	Kingsley ES							3
11/4/2019 23:43	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/5/2019 2:09								
11/5/2019 3:42	Dunwoody ES							
11/5/2019 5:48	Dunwoody ES	more balanced utilization across the cluster			does not make sense to have one school in the cluster without portables while all of the others have several	i like some general relief for the cluster	Unknown entity of Doraville United school - is it a good option for Hightower families	Option 3
11/5/2019 6:26	Dunwoody ES	This option provides a somewhat more equitable distribution of students.	It is deplorable that a new school is opening, and all of the schools in this area remain so overcrowded. Please plan for the explosive growth in this region and build another school! This option leaves too much land not yet developed in the DES region. Please take the area west of Ashford Dunwoody all the way to 285 to Austin to share the potential growth since they have no areas of growth.		It seems unjust for one school to not be equitably bearing the burden of overcrowding. The entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody to 285 should be zoned for Austin as it is geographically closer to that school, addresses educational capacity and considers projected enrollment. DES is expected to have continued explosive growth. It is the only school in the cluster with such projections.	Provides more balanced enrollment and equitable distribution of overcrowding. This map utilizes seats in the county where overcrowding is not as significant.	The Peeler neighborhood (E) is within walking distance to DES. Why move them to a school they cannot walk to because of traffic and safety patterns? The entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody to 285 should go to AES as it is geographically closer, addresses instructional capacity and considers projected enrollment. DES cannot be the only school bearing the brunt of future development.	Balanced enrollment with equitable distribution of overcrowding. Please consider another school in this area and ponder enrollment beyond 2021 when drawing these lines!!! DES is expected to have explosive growth not experienced by the other schools. Please send the entire area west of Ashford Dunwoody to 285 to AES to distribute yet to be developed areas. It is geographically closer!!
11/5/2019 6:29	Kingsley ES					We support option 3		Option 3
11/5/2019 7:26								
11/5/2019 7:28	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 7:30								
11/5/2019 7:36								
11/5/2019 7:52	Vanderlyn ES	It's important to keep the Vanderlyn community together, therefore I don't see a pro in this option.	In this option, you're simply taking out Vanderlyn students to send to Austin and replacing with DES students. Why not send all DES students to Austin and leave Vanderlyn students together?	This option is good because Vanderlyn students are kept together, but it is already so overcrowded. It's important to keep the Vanderlyn community together.	Vanderlyn is already so overcrowded, continually getting new students. This option adds more students to Vanderlyn. Class size is at the maximum and then some.	This has no pros for Vanderlyn, as we would lose 36 students to Kingsley, and then add 22 students from DES. Vanderlyn's community MUST be kept together.	This option would completely break up the Vanderlyn community. Our school community is so wonderfully diverse that it is important to keep these children together and comfortable in their surroundings, many of whom are new to the United States.	Option 2, but all of the options do not alleviate the overcrowdedness at Vanderlyn. We have a wonderfully diverse school community, and we are continually receiving more and more students. It's important that our community stay together so these children feel comfortable and nurtured, as many are new to the United States.
11/5/2019 7:57								
11/5/2019 8:22	Dunwoody ES							
11/5/2019 8:37								
11/5/2019 8:46	Austin ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/5/2019 9:28	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3 is the most equitable solution
11/5/2019 9:38								
11/5/2019 9:43	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 9:47								
11/5/2019 11:05	Austin ES							
11/5/2019 11:31	Austin ES							
11/5/2019 11:42								
11/5/2019 12:32								
11/5/2019 12:44	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 12:56	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 12:56	Austin ES							
11/5/2019 13:00	Dunwoody ES	Everyone has trailers from poor planning and doesn't give the impression that some people's students are less important than others from the district's decision to avoid long term solutions. It gets Dunwoody ES enrollment down low enough to accept the flood of students it continues to receive in your data	Poor VES still over crowded.	Keeps the wealthiest people comfortable just like the last redistricting and minimizes embarrassment opening a school overcrowded.	You leave DES still overcrowded, no room to account for the spike in growth anticipated, and no mindfulness on creating equity in a district struggling to show evidence of it.	Provides the most relief to the VERY OVERCROWDED schools except for the VES parents. Utilized the 3 largest buildings to their maximum capacity. Provides an equitable distribution of all schools having some trailers. Provides an opportunity for most of the subdivisions to stay together. Resolves the bus overcrowding near Target	I hope and pray that the DUES community doesn't need those seats anytime before you erect another school in this cluster.	Option 3, stop putting all the schools against one another. Do the right thing and fix the mess created by a lack of focus and vision.
11/5/2019 13:03								
11/5/2019 14:08	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate INITIALLY.	Gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory with obvious long term attendance impacts. Will add portables to AES leaving no room for organic growth which moves the problem instead of fixing it. Significant additional traffic on Roberts with the addition of 200 plus students. Not safe for walkers who have to cross Chamblee and Roberts.	Austin starts with 0 portables and allows for organic growth in a high trajectory growth area. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding. Moves the least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than option 1. Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road and is more safe than other options. Follows primary criteria used for redistricting "Instructional Capacity"	KES capacity is understated due to 3 special ed classrooms moving from KES to AES, creating higher than actual portable figure at KES.	Gives the most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students among cluster (500 students). Only gives AES the areas expected to grow at a high trajectory rate. Adds portables to Austin leaving no room for growth again moving the problem instead of fixing it. Significant impacts on traffic not safe for our walkers. Goes against redistricting plan criteria for "Instructional capacity" & "projected enrollment"	Option 2 is clearly the best for our students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Option 2 splits the high trajectory growth areas more equitably between AES and DES while the other options put the high growth areas only with AES. Fits redistricting criteria best
11/5/2019 14:28	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin. Does not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES. No cluster relief. Dunwoody Forest West is split. It splits Woodsong from Vanderlyn. Keep Vanderlyn intact!!	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact		Cross cluster move, increases overall capacity of Dunwoody Cluster. But the number of cons makes this option terrible!	This is the most disruptive option, 439 kids. It splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities. Option #3, map D does not benefit anyone, removing several neighborhoods, without having a positive effect to Vanderlyn. It also introduces traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D. <b>Keep Vanderlyn intact!!</b>	Map Option 2. Keep Vanderlyn intact! Let Map area C redone from Dunwoody ES to Austin ES. They want to be rezoned to Austin.
11/5/2019 14:31	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 14:33	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 14:36	Dunwoody ES							
11/5/2019 14:37	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/5/2019 14:37								
11/5/2019 14:40								
11/5/2019 14:42	Dunwoody ES							
11/5/2019 14:45	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	none	In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley, and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.
11/5/2019 15:14	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 15:17	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3
11/5/2019 15:33	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley but only 1.0 mile from Hightower). No significant reduction in trailers.	None	Creates lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley but only 1.0 mile from Hightower).	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting. Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster. Provides the most access to new schools, (United & Austin). Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster. Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools. Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation.	Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.	Option 3
11/5/2019 15:43								
11/5/2019 16:00	Austin ES							
11/5/2019 16:11	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 17:11	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/5/2019 18:33	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which adheres to the criteria of geographic proximity. Still not enough relief to DES, though. The northeast area (Shenandoah and Cherring) remains at DES who are walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 mos away) is not using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at DES. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin ("new school bump") but growth projections for Dunwoody ES are not accounted for. No relief is provided for the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole. Zero Hightower students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody ES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring) remains at DES. Both are walking distance to DES.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 mos away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin ("new school bump") but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster, zero Hightower students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United. Decompresses DES somewhat by shifting Area A to Austin and Area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 mos away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in. Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain at DES in line with the proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # of students in trailers. But, to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin ES. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Area E should remain at DES.
11/5/2019 19:02	Kingsley ES		Sending 65 students from Hightower to Kingsley will negatively impact home values in Kingsley subdivision. Kingsley is already suffering from lower rankings and it will only worsen by sending Hightower students there.		Sending 65 Hightower Students to Kingsley will negatively impact home values in Kingsley subdivision. The school is already suffering from lower rankings any many families in Kingsley area are choosing to send their children to private schools as a result.		Families at Dunwoody area schools transferred to Kingsley and Hightower and may be unhappy	The option where students from Chestnut, Vanderlyn and Dunwoody ES are sent to Kingsley is the most fair and equitable choice. The other options will have irreversible damage on the Kingsley ES community and will negatively impact home values in the area.
11/5/2019 19:12	Vanderlyn ES	Provides relief to DES; No school is privileged to be without trailers; Out of 3 options - least disruptive for communities.		Not very disruptive for communities	Austin Elementary is privileged to be the only school without trailers. This will likely won't last for more than a couple of years.	Provides overall relief to Dunwoody district	Most disruptive for communities; Kids who go to Doraville United, will return to Dunwoody cluster for MS, will lose most of their friends Our community (North of segment D) is going to be isolated by traffic and commercial zone from the rest of the zone. Segment D is going from a top rated school to average, with a huge drop. People are looking into moving out of Dunwoody for better schools.	Option 1
11/5/2019 19:19	Vanderlyn ES							
11/5/2019 19:22	Kingsley ES							Option 3. We live beside Kingsley elementary and have a daughter who will be attending Kingsley in a couple years. This option creates the best socio economic diversity throughout. And fewer trailers which is a concern of ours.
11/5/2019 19:28	Kingsley ES	N/A	Brings a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Does not relieve use of trailers	N/A	Brings a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting	Follows the DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting Least amount of Trailers throughout the entire Dunwoody cluster Provides the most students access to a brand new school, both United and Austin Long term solution because it provides the most relief to the entire district Maintains socio-economical diversity when neighborhoods stay intact		
11/5/2019 19:42								
11/5/2019 19:53								
11/5/2019 19:57	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within our school, no significant reduction in trailers, violates DCSS policy, no relief to entire cluster		Creates a lack of diversity within our school, no significant reduction in trailers, violates DCSS policy, no relief to entire cluster	Follows policy, fewest trailers, provides most relief to entire cluster.		Option 3 is the best for our community at large. It is the most balanced option. Kingsley is literally in our back yard. We have hopes of sending our daughter to an economically and racially diverse school. This redistricting will be a step in that direction.
11/5/2019 20:10	Dunwoody ES							
11/5/2019 20:38	Kingsley ES							3
11/5/2019 20:47	Kingsley ES							
11/5/2019 20:51	Dunwoody ES							
11/5/2019 21:06	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools		Option 3
11/5/2019 21:39	Kingsley ES					Follows geographic location policy while redistricting, fewest # of trailers. Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster.		Option 3
11/5/2019 21:44								
11/5/2019 21:57	Kingsley ES	I do not see any pros.	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	I do not see any pros to this plan.	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	Still funnels numerous multi unit family housing from Chestnut to Kingsley vs pulling from single family homes from Vanderlyn and Dunwoody ES.	Option 3
11/5/2019 22:12	Chesnut ES		Moving DNCA to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Families will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles). There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North students east of Tilly Mill Road. Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely.		the Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary supporter of Chesnut Elementary in both financial contributions and parent volunteers. The redistricting of the East side of Tilly Mill would remove that valuable support and certainly disrupt the efficient and economical operations of the school.		the Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary supporter of Chesnut Elementary in both financial contributions and parent volunteers. The redistricting of the East side of Tilly Mill would remove that valuable support and certainly disrupt the efficient and economical operations of the school. All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dunwoody North Civic Association	
11/5/2019 22:18								
11/5/2019 23:58								
11/6/2019 0:57								
11/6/2019 5:47								
11/6/2019 6:58								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/6/2019 7:56								
11/6/2019 8:09	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 8:17								
11/6/2019 8:37	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 8:41	Kingsley ES		This violates the geographic location policy within Dekalb -- the school is further away! Is not creating diversity in all schools.		This plan creates LACK of diversity within Kingsley school; this is not providing relief in all the schools.	We need a balance in our community for ESOL students in ALL schools; This plan follows the DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting; More students in the new schools		Option 3 is best for the entire community
11/6/2019 8:46	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 8:58	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 8:59	Kingsley ES							
11/6/2019 9:04	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 9:21	Kingsley ES		Lack of diversity within Kingsley. No relief to entire Dunwoody cluster. just moves kids around. Violates DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting		Lack of diversity. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves kids around. Violates DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1 mile from Hightower)	Follows DCSS geographic location policy when redistricting. Fewer number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3
11/6/2019 9:34	Kingsley ES		Hightower students will pass two schools to get to their new school. The distance is much further. What does this do to the busing situation? Do routes have to start even earlier?		Hightower students will pass two schools to get to their new school. The distance is much further. What does this do to the busing situation? Do routes have to start even earlier?	Keep neighbor students within walking/short distance to the school. Keep Kingsley diversified Hightower students will be closer to their school instead of passing two schools from both option 1 and 2.		Option 3
11/6/2019 9:34								
11/6/2019 9:39	Kingsley ES							
11/6/2019 9:50	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/6/2019 9:52								
11/6/2019 9:57	Kingsley ES		Not a good option to add any students to this over extended ES of Kingsley, and why would any person of authority have children from Hightower now go further to school every morning ?? Why not increase size of Hightower??		The option of adding additional students to over extended KingsleyES is negative for everyone, why make children from Hightower go further distance to school? Why not increase size of Hightower?	This is the best option of the three, moving children to different school however not fare, this option at least does not move them any additional distance in the school change process, option 3 would be overall best option, with less of impact on numbers on Kingsley ES and least impact on students being transferred in as they would not be changing distance to school any greater than before		Option 3
11/6/2019 10:21	Kingsley ES							
11/6/2019 10:25	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
11/6/2019 10:34	Kingsley ES	some basic moves are true with all schemes - some sensible geographic grouping in relation to traffic flow.	the most trailers across the entire cluster, this just moves kids around for no real relief. 5 trailers at a brand new school? this looks like bad planning. 20% population increase at Kingsley. What happens as more houses in Dunwoody are turned over to younger owners? this will primarily change in Chestnut and Kingsley which will complicate the math greatly - and will eventually bring you to	similar geographic flow comments as option 1	too many trailers across the entire cluster. 20% population increase at Kingsley. What happens as more houses in Dunwoody are turned over to younger owners? this will primarily change in Chestnut and Kingsley which will complicate the math greatly - and will eventually bring you back to exploring option 3 by creating real relief at Hightower.	this seems to make the most sense. fewest overall trailers in the cluster and gives a good mix to each school, spreading ESOL resources evenly across all cluster schools. this creates more relief to the growing Dunwoody cluster by addressing Hightower issue in Doraville. This also seems to put the county in best position as eastern Dunwoody houses are sold to younger families in the future.	none specifically	providing equal resources and a good mix of racial + ethnic diversity across the cluster with sensible geographic boundaries for sensible transportation approaches.
11/6/2019 10:45	Austin ES							
11/6/2019 11:15	Austin ES							
11/6/2019 11:24	Vanderlyn ES							option #2
11/6/2019 12:20								
11/6/2019 12:27	Dunwoody ES							
11/6/2019 12:32	Austin ES							
11/6/2019 12:50	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 12:52	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
11/6/2019 12:53	Dunwoody ES							
11/6/2019 12:58	Austin ES							
11/6/2019 13:21	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2 Jefferson community needs to stick with Vanderlyn school and also other kids
11/6/2019 13:36	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 14:20	Dunwoody ES	This does spread the overcrowding to all schools which is only fair,.	Fails to address the projected growth and the impact on DES. The Ashford Dunwoody area is projected to grow by 3900 residents which will impact schools and basically this entire area is still zoned to DES. This option still leaves ALL schools at over capacity.	None	This leaves one school at under capacity and 5 at over capacity. This does not address the projected growth that impacts DES.	This is the only option that seems to try and spread the student population most evenly among the schools. This option provides the most relief for the cluster by taking students to the new Doraville academy.	This option does not recognize neighborhoods by splitting Dunwoody North. This option does not address the expected growth and impact on DES. The growth along Ashford Dunwoody is still zoned to DES.	Using all schools to the most of their capacity to ensure that all kids have access to same facility, resources, and staff as their peers. A new school is needed to address this growth and over crowding.
11/6/2019 14:20	Austin ES							
11/6/2019 14:37	Kingsley ES				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>•Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>•Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>•No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>•Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>•Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>•Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>•Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> </ul>		In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options).

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/6/2019 14:42	Dunwoody ES	1) provides some relief to all Dunwoody cluster schools; 2) attempts to fairly distribute the burden of overcrowding across all of the schools	I am extremely concerned about evacuation and emergency plans for a very overcrowded building, violations of building capacity limits, health and safety risks to kids and teachers in overcrowded buildings, and unreasonable lunch times caused by cafeteria overcrowding. This is not enough relief to DES and seems short-sighted given the anticipated growth and planned developments in the DES region.	slightly reduces the number of portables at Dunwoody	Unreasonably and unfairly burdens EVERY school (except 1).Creates: evacuation, emergency plans for very overcrowded schools; likely violations of building capacity limits; health/safety risks to kids in overcrowded bldgs; unreasonable lunch times due to cafeteria overcrowding; traffic and pollution in congested school areas;unsafe busloads; not enough relief to DES given anticipated growth	if Doraville United has capacity, provides most relief for entire Dunwoody cluster	Still not enough relief for DES in the immediate or long-term, creating before-mentioned safety hazards: Ineffective and unrealistic evacuation and emergency plans; violations of building capacity limits; health and safety risks; unsafe busloads; unreasonable lunch times; pollution and traffic in congested areas. And, why would Vanderlyn still have 11 trailers?	Option 3 because it provides relief for all of Dunwoody cluster, albeit short-term relief
11/6/2019 14:45	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 14:50	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> </ul>		My son is just 2 now but we moved to the neighborhood for the location near the school. We look forward to being part of this school community. I opt for option # 3 . Thank you!
11/6/2019 14:53	Kingsley ES							I am in favor of Option 3. Overall, it looks like this option will put the most number of children into new schools. It also appears to be the only option that will alleviate a portion of overcrowding in the Dunwoody cluster over time.
11/6/2019 14:53	Dunwoody ES	Keeps neighborhoods intact the most out of all maps, while still relieving overcrowding significantly. Preserves the balance with regards to feeder schools. Traffic impact is the least out of all maps due preservation of geographic consistency.			Provides the least amount of relief for overcrowded schools. Disrupts continuity of neighborhoods. Feeder school enrollment negatively impacted, as well as traffic patterns.		Disrupts geographic proximity the most, impacting neighborhood continuity. Feeder school enrollment negatively impacted, as well as traffic patterns.	Option 1 best serves the students in the Dunwoody Cluster. As previously stated, it keeps neighborhoods intact the most out of all maps, while still relieving overcrowding significantly. It preserves the balance with regards to feeder schools. Traffic impact is the least out of all maps due preservation of geographic consistency.
11/6/2019 15:00	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 15:10	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 15:14	Dunwoody ES							
11/6/2019 15:16	Austin ES	None.	There will be 350 families affected by the change of moving to different school. As an elementary school parent and district we should strive to decrease the number of affected families by the redistricting. 350 new student to Austin will make traffic patterns already horrible on Roberts Drive even worse. Have you ever been on Roberts at 7:30am on a school day? Pure dreadful for all.	The least amount of families affected keeping routines consistent for our children and families. Least increase in traffic to Roberts Drive which Roberts Drive is already a complete disaster in the mornings. Allows for growth in the area which will occur in the coming year(s) with the increase of residential housing in the area getting completed and/or breaking ground.	The increase of 241 families to be added to Roberts Drive. It is concerning since Roberts Drive is already gridlocked in the mornings and the increase in volume is scary for the safety of our children.	None	This is by far the worst option. It will disrupt the most families and we all know consistency is very important for children. It does not address or alleviate future growth to Austin. What happens when the new residential townhouses and apartments open and we have even more children at Austin? I cannot even fathom how awful traffic will be on Roberts Drive with all those additional families	By far option #2 is the best option. It impacts the least amount of families. It addresses future growth to Austin with the new townhouses& apartments being built in the area. It brings the least amount of additional traffic to Roberts Dr which is already awful. Higher increase in families to the school, increases the concern for our children being safe on the road. Do what's right and implement 2
11/6/2019 15:49	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 15:51	Vanderlyn ES							
11/6/2019 15:56	Dunwoody ES							
11/6/2019 15:59	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 total trailers		Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), keep Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439) kids), splits Vanderlyn ES & Dunwoody ES & Chestnut ES communities, Option 3-map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible. Map D adversely affects geographic proximity and traffic/safety issues for most involved. Kingsley is not a comparable school to Vanderlyn-education, staff certifications, test scores, gifted prog	Option 2 - It is absolutely unfair to move Vanderlyn students to Kingsley. The test scores do not compare. Every Vanderlyn teacher K-2 is OG certified, every teacher at Vanderlyn is gifted certified. Vanderlyn outranks Kingsley in test score by 20 points. It is not a comparable move for students' education in any way.
11/6/2019 16:11								
11/6/2019 16:12	Kingsley ES							
11/6/2019 16:29	Kingsley ES							
11/6/2019 16:34	Dunwoody ES					Op		Option 4 looks best and helps with relieving the overcrowding.
11/6/2019 16:38	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</li> <li>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</li> <li>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria</li> <li>However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</li> <li>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</li> </ul>	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</li> <li>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</li> <li>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</li> <li>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</li> </ul>	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
11/6/2019 16:40	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>	Option 3
11/6/2019 16:42	Kingsley ES		Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy. LaCota is 4miles from Kingsley but only 1mile from Hightower No reduction in trailers		Just moving children around, doesn't provide relief for the entire Dunwoody cluster. Violates the DCSS geographic location policy	Follows the DCSS geographic location policy provides the most amount of students access to new schools like Austin and United Long term solution for the entire Dunwoody cluster Fewest number of children in trailers		Option 3 is the best long term solution for all Dunwoody students
11/6/2019 16:57	Vanderlyn ES		Well intended to be a balanced approach, it puts trailers at the new Austin Elementary school	Moves the fewest number of children while still reducing 10 portable trailers. Also no trailers needed at Austin.				Option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/6/2019 17:38	Vanderlyn ES							Jefferson community needs to stick with Vanderlyn school and other kids as well.
11/6/2019 17:38	Dunwoody ES	Increases diversity in Austin by including a large apartment that simultaneously helps relieve excessive overcrowding at DES.	None that I can see besides the obvious fact that the entire cluster is overcrowded and the attendance zones should all be adjusted to gradually increase attendance in the schools in South Dekalb that are under capacity.	None.	Only benefits the Austin district at the expense of the rest of us, especially attendees at DES.	Increases diversity at Austin and helps relieve overcrowding not just at DES but for the entire cluster. This is the best option for all of Dunwoody.	None.Increases	Option 3
11/6/2019 17:45	Kingsley ES		No reduction in trailers. Just moved kids around and seem to provide any relief to Dunwoody cluster. Violates the cab county school system geographic location policy.		No relief to the entire Dunwoody cluster just move kids around. Violates the Dekalb County School system geographic location policy when redistricting.	Follows the DeKalb county geographic location policy when redistricting. Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire Dunwoody cluster. Provides the most students access to new schools. Long-term solution that provides the most relief to the entire Dunwoody cluster. Create socioeconomic diversity instead of consolidation	I don't see any	3
11/6/2019 17:49								
11/6/2019 17:49	Dunwoody ES							
11/6/2019 18:40	Dunwoody ES	This map provides relief to Dunwoody Elementary and the school utilization ratios seem to be more balanced across the Dunwoody cluster. If there are overcapacity issues in the cluster, shouldn't all schools help share the load more equally until a new school gets built?		Understand the rationale behind a new school bump in Austin but should that preclude a new school from helping out with clusterwide capacity issues? Shouldn't the needs of the entire taxed Dunwoody cluster be prioritized over a single district? It is unlikely that 100 new students will suddenly appear in Austin because will 100 existing single family homes immediately go up for sale in Austin?	This map provides the least amount of relief for Dunwoody Elementary and for most of the other schools in the cluster. A brand new school is being built so why should this new school be exempt from portables if portables are a reality in the Dunwoody cluster? A new school already has the advantages of new facilities, furniture, and fixtures. Should the advantage gap be widened more?widened more?			Sharing the capacity issues equally between schools make the most sense and reducing capacity issues in Dunwoody Elementary should be a priority. A new school shouldn't receive special treatment. Reducing advantage gaps and privilege gaps in facilities between schools is the logical choice because failing to do so will only exacerbate education differences and capacity issues in the cluster.
11/6/2019 18:55	Dunwoody ES							We believe Option 1 is the best option.
11/6/2019 18:58								
11/6/2019 19:19	Dunwoody ES	Like option 1		Agree	None	None what so ever, we purchased this house to be part of Dunwoody elementary where all our kids attend and attended	Real estate value low School is further away	Option 1 and 2 only!!! Austin building a new school and lack of space planning has caused this. apparently there was no population growth taken into consideration which affects everyone else but Austin elementary residents.
11/6/2019 19:33	Vanderlyn ES	Looks somewhat balanced, (other than VES)	Moves more students around than Option 2, so more disruptive to students and neighborhoods	least disruptive for students and neighborhoods, reasonably balanced, leaves room for AES growth	not as balanced as Option 1		very disruptive to students and neighborhoods and not significantly more balanced than Option 1 or 2	Option 2 - less disruptive
11/6/2019 19:42	Dunwoody ES				this does NOT balance out ANYTHING! Also, Leaves the DES Cluster with all of the potential growth in the areas around ashford dunwoody/285		this does NOT balance out ANYTHING! Leaves the DES Cluster with all of the potential growth in the areas around ashford dunwoody/285	I would say another option. These maps do NOT allow for all of the growth that is coming to Dunwoody in the Ashford Dunwoody/285 area. All of this growth would feed to DES
11/6/2019 19:58								
11/6/2019 19:58								
11/6/2019 20:31	Kingsley ES		*creates a lack of diversity with the Kingsley school *provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around *Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1 mile from Hightower) *no significant reduction in trailers		*Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school *provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around *Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley but only 1 mile from Hightower)	*Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting *Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster *Provides the most students access to new schools (United and Austin) *Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster *Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools *Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3
11/6/2019 20:32	Dunwoody ES							Option 1
11/6/2019 20:46	Dunwoody ES							
11/6/2019 20:49	Other	none	-Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school -Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around -Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) -No significant reduction in trailers	none	-Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school -Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around -Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	-Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting -Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster -Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) -Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster -Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools -Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "contact" neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley, and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.
11/6/2019 20:50								
11/6/2019 20:50	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 20:52	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 20:59	Dunwoody ES		There is still overcrowding in DES and no room for growth.		There is still very little room for growth for DES	More going to the new austin	Still overcrowding at DES	Building another school
11/6/2019 21:10	Chesnut ES		Breaking up a existing and fully functional neighborhood.		Same		Are their no other choices?	
11/6/2019 21:15	Dunwoody ES							option1map is best.
11/6/2019 21:17	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 21:17	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 21:22								
11/6/2019 21:25	Other							Option 3. It's pretty obvious we need more classrooms.
11/6/2019 21:35	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 21:39	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 21:46	Dunwoody ES							
11/6/2019 21:49	Kingsley ES		No significant reduction of trailers LaCota is just 1 mile from Hightower		LaCota is just 1 mile from Hightower	Removes most trailers, provided most relief to overcrowding, best follows geographic proximity		Option 3
11/6/2019 21:52								
11/6/2019 21:54	Kingsley ES	Nothing- Just moves students around Dunwoody and adds more trailers.	Has the most trailers of all of the options. (They are less safe/sanitary and an eyesore for our community!) Brings kids from far away to Kingsley (violates DeKalb Co. Schools proximity rules. Seems like gerrymandering.) Creates a lack of diversity within Kingsley. Doesn't provide any relief to Dunwoody's overcrowding since overall Dunwoody student counts are the same as in base case.		Austin is underutilized/not at capacity while all the other Dunwoody schools are busting at the seams! Has lots of trailers. Brings kids from far away to Kingsley (violates DeKalb Co. Schools proximity rules. Seems like gerrymandering.) Creates a lack of diversity within Kingsley. Doesn't help Dunwoody's overcrowding since overall Dunwoody student counts are the same as in "no change" case.	Reduces trailers the most. Enables the most students to use new school facilities (United & Austin). Only option that provides relief to the Dunwoody cluster by decreasing enrollment from base case. Spreads ESOL needs and resources across schools. Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation/segregation. Follows DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting.		Option 3 None of these options are ideal. (We obviously need to build more/bigger schools.) But Option 3 seems like the best one presented since it relieves Dunwoody's overcrowding the most and has the fewest trailers.
11/6/2019 22:06	Kingsley ES		Violates DCSS, stifles diversity		Violates DCSS, stifles diversity	Abides by DCSS, fewer trailers, long term solution, spreads ESOL resources		Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/6/2019 22:15	Chesnut ES	I don't see many.	You are chopping up the Dunwoody North subdivision into pieces and shipping 43 kids off to Kingsley. Chesnut is NOT at capacity. Leave our neighborhood school intact with mom our neighborhood.					
11/6/2019 22:26	Chesnut ES	Increased Traffic: Moving DNCA to Kingsley will add traffic to already congested Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road. Families will be forced to attend a school (Kingsley) that is further away (1.1 miles vs. 2.7 miles).	Safety: There are established Safe Routes to School for Dunwoody North students east of Tilly Mill Road. Students are able to walk or bike to Chesnut safely.	Neighborhoods Must Stay Intact: All neighborhoods on both sides of Tilly Mill are part of the Dunwoody North Civic Association and The Dunwoody North Driving Club (Swim & Tennis Club). The neighborhoods included in DNCA are listed here on their website. <a href="https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/">https://dunwoodynorth.org/our-neighborhood/</a>	Efficient and Economical Operations: the Dunwoody North neighborhood is the primary supporter of Chesnut Elementary in both financial contributions and parent volunteers. The redistricting of the East side of Tilly Mill would remove that valuable support and certainly disrupt the efficient and economical operations of the school.			
11/6/2019 22:32	Vanderlyn ES	Safe walking to Vanderlyn from Oxford chase way	None					
11/6/2019 22:34	Vanderlyn ES	Trailers are equitably split across all schools, eliminates 9 of the trailers at our schools. More balanced enrollement for kids.	Trailers will be at Austin (although if 1 school has it then others should as well)	Fewest students being disrupted, allows Vanderlyn students to remain intact. Eliminates 10 trailers from our schools			Too many kids disrupted (439 kids ), Oxford Chase /cars have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley & we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	safety
11/6/2019 22:35	Vanderlyn ES	Most balanced option	Anticipated growth rate for Austin ES will make it become unbalanced	Fewest students being moved/disrupted, keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact, 10 trailers removed from all schools.			Most disruptive for students Map D adversely affects geographic proximity & traffic/safety issues for many involved. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for future growth.	Option 2
11/6/2019 22:49	Kingsley ES				inequitable with no portables at one school	eastward movement of students and utilization of new school.		Option 3
11/6/2019 22:51	Vanderlyn ES							Jefferson community needs to stick with Vanderlyn school ( option 2 ) and other kids as well
11/6/2019 22:52	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 23:14	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/6/2019 23:25	Chesnut ES							
11/6/2019 23:34	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 0:26	Chesnut ES	Let the Chesnut be,moving them is a terrible idea.	Let the Chesnut community stay as is.					Let the Chesnut community be.Add a trailer.Donot move our kids.
11/7/2019 0:35	Dunwoody ES	None	Not much change			None		Option 4 with 30346 zip upto north park nancy creek mapped to New austin
11/7/2019 2:21	Chesnut ES		Why are you moving students to Kingsley to just support it by adding 5 trailers? They obviously can't support the addition if you have to add that many trailers. Dunwoody, Austin, and Hightower are the issues. I don't understand why the big change to Chesnut.		Why are you moving students to Kingsley to just support it by adding 5 trailers? They obviously can't support the addition if you have to add that many trailers. Dunwoody, Austin, and Hightower are the issues. I don't understand why the big change to Chesnut.			Why are you moving students to Kingsley to just support it by adding 5 trailers? They obviously can't support the addition if you have to add that many trailers. Dunwoody, Austin, and Hightower are the issues. I don't understand why the big change to Chesnut.
11/7/2019 3:56	Vanderlyn ES			Least amount of students affected Austin does not get portables			Maximum number of students affected Students who go to Hightower will not have the same set of friends in middle and high school Traffic congestion for Oxford Chase	Option 2
11/7/2019 4:33	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 4:53	Chesnut ES		Do not split up Dunwoody North neighborhood.					
11/7/2019 5:14	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 5:39								
11/7/2019 5:40	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 5:47								
11/7/2019 5:48								
11/7/2019 5:51	Chesnut ES	None	Takes too much away from our school. The school is not overcrowded	None	Takes too much away from our school. The school is not overcrowded	None	Takes too much away from our school. The school is not overcrowded	N/A
11/7/2019 5:58	Chesnut ES	Traffic	Non the community loves Chesnut				We need to stay at Chesnut	
11/7/2019 5:58	Chesnut ES	Schools will be relieved of overcrowding issues which affect teachers effectively teaching & supporting students instructional needs.	Chesnut will lose some of its students, parents & teachers that all have an active role in Chesnut's success thus far		You're moving some from Chesnut to then add some which doesn't make sense. We need to put the students well-being first at some point and think about how many families lives we're uprooting with these moves that students often times don't adjust well to.	New Doraville school relieving alot of Hightower's overcrowding.	Chesnut will be losing students, parents, and instructional all the key pieces to their continued success.	Option 2.....the less moves you make, the better!
11/7/2019 6:13								
11/7/2019 6:14	Vanderlyn ES							
11/7/2019 6:15	Kingsley ES	None				Option 3 keeps all the students within their current community and does not bring Doraville students to Dunwoody. That is so far for them to go away from their current school and the Dunwoody schools need support from their own local communities. I feel they won't get that support from families that do not live in Dunwoody.		Option 3! This provides the most relief for the overcrowding in our cluster and keeps Dunwoody students on Dunwoody and the Hightower students in a school close to their home.
11/7/2019 6:23	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 6:33	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 6:36	Chesnut ES	help overcrowding of DES by allocating students to Austin	Sending Chesnut students on east side of Tilly Mill to Kingsley. Do not split up this neighborhood!	Sending some DES to Chesnut	Sending Chesnut to Kingsley. Do not split up this neighborhood. Critical for school function and success.	relieving overcrowding at DES by allocating students to Kingsley and Austin	Do not take Chesnut students out of Chesnut. None of the 3 options allow Chesnut a voice. They all dictate splitting up Chesnut. All other schools get a choice. Do not split up our neighborhood.	Feel none fully address real issue. Need to look at Hightower leaving Dunwoody cluster to alleviate overcrowding at feeder Middle and High schools ultimately..... Band-aids do not fix issues. Look at whole, bigger picture please.
11/7/2019 6:45	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 6:48	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 6:56								
11/7/2019 7:15	Vanderlyn ES	Safe walking commute		Safe walking commute		Walking commute increases for Oxford Chase Way		Option 1 and Option 2
11/7/2019 7:20	Vanderlyn ES	None	No relief for cluster. Dunwoody Forest West is split.	Fewest students moved. This plan keeps Vanderlyn intact.		Cross cluster move gives relief, but the rest of the plan makes this option unworkable.	This plan moves the most kids, 439. It splits Chesnut ES. It splits Vanderlyn. Option 3, Map D does not benefit anyone, increases geographic proximity, creates traffic safety issues, and doesn't reduce trailer count at Vanderlyn. Keep Vanderlyn intact!	Option 2. It is the least disruptive to the cluster. It keeps the Vanderlyn community intact.
11/7/2019 7:26	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 7:42	Chesnut ES	There are no pros in this scenario.	This would increase the distance to school for our family. This would also split our intact neighborhood, DNCA.	No positives exist in this scenario.	This would increase the distance to school for our family. This would also split our intact neighborhood, DNCA.	No pros exist in this scenario.	This would increase the distance to school for our family. This would also split our intact neighborhood, DNCA.	None they all do a huge disservice to chesnut and break up our community. I believe that all of these options would negatively affect the families who would be moved from Chesnut. This would split an intact neighborhood, DNCA. This would create longer school commutes for many families, resulting in traffic. This would also negatively impact the school by removing parent advocates who give so much to this school.
11/7/2019 7:46	Chesnut ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/7/2019 7:47								
11/7/2019 7:47	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 7:48								
11/7/2019 7:49								
11/7/2019 8:02	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 8:03	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 8:05	Vanderlyn ES							
11/7/2019 8:08	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 8:11	Chesnut ES		At Chesnut, 40% of PAC Council parent seats and PAC Action Team parent volunteers are l-led by parents in the DNCA area east of Tilly Mill Road.		Any proposal to reduce our student count will impact our ability to lose funding.		All DNCA neighborhoods east of Tilly Mill Road should remain in Chesnut district as they are closer to Chesnut than they are to Kingsley.	None of them. I would prefer that Chesnut increase its student body, per the updated statistics provided on 10/28.
11/7/2019 8:19	Dunwoody ES					This is the best option		
11/7/2019 8:22	Chesnut ES		This would separate the Dunwoody North Community. We all belong to the same Swim / Tennis, as well as have banned together to get a crosswalk for our kids to be able to walk to safely walk / bike to school. This is not acceptable for the folks currently in Chesnut that would no longer have access to walking / biking to school as an option.		This would separate the Dunwoody North Community. We all belong to the same Swim / Tennis, as well as have banned together to get a crosswalk for our kids to be able to walk to safely walk / bike to school. This is not acceptable for the folks currently in Chesnut that would no longer have access to walking / biking to school as an option.		This would separate the Dunwoody North Community. We all belong to the same Swim / Tennis, as well as have banned together to get a crosswalk for our kids to be able to walk to safely walk / bike to school. This is not acceptable for the folks currently in Chesnut that would no longer have access to walking / biking to school as an option.	None would keep the Dunwoody North community intact. It would be counter productive to have a community that currently is well represented for after school activities and walk to school, and to break that tight knit community for the sake of a few kids moving. Please provide an option where Dunwoody North can remain intact.
11/7/2019 8:22	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 8:27	Chesnut ES	None	I purposeful bought my house in the Chesnut Elementry school zone. I have been an active parent in this school and My daughter has excelled in this environment. With this option we will be Rezoned to Kingsley. Traffic is horrible and it would be better for us to stay at Chesnut.	Nothing	We purposeful bought our house this summer to stay at Chesnut Elementry school. Traffic getting to and from Kingsley would be horrible.	Nothing	We purposefully bought our house to stay in the Chesnut Elementry school zone. Traffic would be horrible commuting back and forth to Kingsley.	None because all options have my children going to a new school. I purposefully purchased my house this summer to stay at Chesnut Elementry school.
11/7/2019 8:27	Kingsley ES					Balanced special programs, Long term solution, diversity		Option 3
11/7/2019 8:39								
11/7/2019 8:48	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 8:48								
11/7/2019 8:49	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 8:49								
11/7/2019 8:52	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 8:57	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 8:57	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	Significant additional traffic on Roberts Rd with the addition of 200 plus students. Austin will have highest growth areas in the cluster with long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus limiting future growth.	Reduces the impact of traffic on Roberts Road, as compared with Options 1 and 2. New Austin opens w/ 0 portables allowing for growth projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021. Alleviates DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1 by getting rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower relief	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for future growth. Significant additional traffic on Roberts Road with the addition of 200 plus students. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Negligible impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2.	Option 2 is best. It minimizes the # of students who have to change, while having the most dramatic impact on portables. Options 1 & 3 are less favorable, they shift dramatically more students, and have negligibly better or slightly worse results for portables. Option 1 & 3 also shift the only area in cluster that is expected to grow at high trajectory to Austin, Option 2 is more equitable split.
11/7/2019 9:03	Austin ES							
11/7/2019 9:10	Chesnut ES	Zero	You are breaking up the CHESNUT community without really addressing the issue for the future. We will be down this road again within 3-5 years.	Zero	You are breaking up the CHESNUT community without really addressing the issue for the future. We will be down this road again within 3-5 years.	Zero	You are breaking up the CHESNUT community without really addressing the issue for the future. We will be down this road again within 3-5 years.	Community continuity
11/7/2019 9:17	Chesnut ES	None	The change in enrollment for Chesnut vs Kingsley is minimal, nothing is gained, traffic at Tilly Mill and North Peachtree is a mess now, this doesn't help.	None	This makes no sense to change Chesnut children to Vanderlyn. Why did the county spend money on a study like this that an eyeball test would have shown a change was unnecessary. Leave Chesnut alone!!			
11/7/2019 9:27	Dunwoody ES		This map does not distribute areas of future residential growth across different schools...specifically the apartment and condo developments along Ashford Dunwoody. DES has the largest number of apartment complexes feeding into the school, and the enrollment from these residences is constantly changing. This variable should be spread across the cluster, so overcrowding fluctuations are shared.		This map does not distribute areas of future residential growth across different schools...specifically the apartment and condo developments along Ashford Dunwoody. DES has the largest number of apartment complexes feeding into the school, and the enrollment from these residences is constantly changing. This variable should be spread across the cluster, so overcrowding fluctuations are shared.		This map does not distribute areas of future residential growth across different schools...specifically the apartment and condo developments along Ashford Dunwoody. DES has the largest number of apartment complexes feeding into the school, and the enrollment from these residences is constantly changing. This variable should be spread across the cluster, so overcrowding fluctuations are shared.	These maps do not distribute areas of future residential growth across different schools...specifically the apartment and condo developments along Ashford Dunwoody. DES has the largest number of apartment complexes feeding into the school, and the enrollment from these residences is constantly changing. This variable should be spread across the cluster, so overcrowding fluctuations are shared.
11/7/2019 9:27	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1
11/7/2019 9:38	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 9:52	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES.
11/7/2019 10:05	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	Austin has highest growth areas in cluster, expected to grow at highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley Will add portables to new Austin leaving no room for plants/trees significant traffic increase	Helps DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization) Moves least amount of students in cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1 Helps get rid of 10 portables Provides Hightower some relief Reduces impact on traffic compared to other options	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes most dramatic movement of students amongst Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools) yet negligible impact on overcrowding New attendance lines gives Austin the only area in cluster that is expected to grow at high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment Kingsley growth understated because special ed moving to Austin traffic	Option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/7/2019 10:05	Chesnut ES	less crowding	moves my child into a school scoring significantly lower in the latest standards. investment into Chesnut community must start over in new school.	less crowding in some schools	moves my child into a school scoring significantly lower in the latest standards. investment into Chesnut community must start over in new school.	less crowding in some schools	moves my child into a school scoring significantly lower in the latest standards. investment into Chesnut community must start over in new school.	All three choices have my child leaving Chesnut. That is disappointing and if I have to start over with a new school that isn't performing as well, I will opt for private schooling.
11/7/2019 10:06	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 10:06	Dunwoody ES						We literally hate this option. We purchased our home last year EXPRESSLY so that our children, and grandchildren, could attend Dunwoody elementary school, based on test scores. This would in effect pick our home up, and place it in a different school district. It would make our morning commute more difficult. It would destroy our property values, which we worked for YEARS to afford.	We are partial to Option 1, or 2.
11/7/2019 10:20	Chesnut ES	most preferable/equitable option for chesnut	austin is not filled to capacity, while the others are overloaded?	Lowest number of total moves	Overloaded schools; especially Vanderlyn and Chesnut. but austin is at 90% only? why?	best for chesnut from a capacity standpoint	Highest number of moves. Very disruptive to all schools	option 1. its the middle ground between two extreme options. the only downside with this option is that for some strange reason, austin isnt filled to capacity but almost all other schools are overloaded.
11/7/2019 10:23	Kingsley ES		Lack of diversity in Kingsley No relief to cluster as a whole Does not reduce trailers Violates DCSS Geographic policy		Lack of diversity in Kingsley No relief to cluster as a whole Violates DCSS Geographic policy	Less trailers More students in new schools Longer term solution- most relief for cluster Creates socio-economic diversity Balances special programs Follows DCSS Geographic location policy		Option 3
11/7/2019 10:24	Dunwoody ES	There is some relief provided to DES (although in my opinion, not enough)	There is not enough relief for DES. The new lines are not drawn to equally distribute projected enrollment in the cluster. The lines are not drawn in a manner that is congruent with Geographical Proximity. All the housing to the west of Ashford Dunwoody is closer to AES. All the housing north of Perimeter Center East is closer to Vanderlyn. yet, nearly all of those community are zoned for DES.	None. This map serves to benefit one school and one school only in the cluster. This is to the detriment of the other 5 schools	There is very little relief to DES in this map. DCSS calls DES a "very highly overcrowded school." This map should be thrown out as it provides the least amount of relief to DES and also ignore the enrollment challenges at Hightower ES. This map ignores all 3 primary criteria as well as Safety and Traffic patterns along the AshDun corridor. DES continues to get all the areas of projected growth	Provides the most relief to DES of the 3 maps presented. Relief that is needed by the District's own admission. This map also provides Hightower with the most relief, something that community desperately needs. This also sets up the potential for moving part of the Hightower community into the CKHS cluster in order to provide relief down the road to Peachtree Middle and Dunwoody High.	This map still gives the areas of highest potential growth to DES. Please consider drawing the line straight down Ashford Dunwoody and evenly distributing the Perimeter growth areas between 2 schools. Also, this map does not provide enough relief to Vanderlyn, which is also closer to the northern Ashford Dunwoody neighborhoods than both AES and DES. Consider using MES for relief in the perimeter	An equal distribution of student population, right sizing schools for the brick and mortar building they are in and building a new elementary school in the Dunwoody cluster NOW to relieve the overcrowding that we will still have even after the new Austin opens. Consider moving kids out of the cluster to accomplish this. Both DUES and Montgomery can help.
11/7/2019 10:25	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley School. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1 mile from Hightower). No significant reduction in trailers		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1 mile from Hightower).	Follows DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting. Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster. Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin). Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster. Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools. Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation.		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "intact" neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley, and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.
11/7/2019 10:27	Chesnut ES		Removing significant homeowner residents from Chesnut-participating parents, families part of common community, swim/tennis DNDC. D should absolutely stay w Chesnut.		This is bullshit. Removing significant homeowners w active participation in Chesnut where our children are often part of DNDC swim/tennis to move them to Kingsley so you can add even more transient students and less active parents from more apartments. Unfair to children and to homeowners in Chesnut. D MUST stay part of Chesnut. Give us E if you need to remove them from Dunwoody ES but D MUST stay		F needs to stay w Chesnut. Ideally G stays too but it can go to Hightower if needed. F is an active homeowners area w active parents needed as part of Chesnut. Kids are active in DNDC swim/tennis. Keep us together.	Families in apartments are more transient and homeowners have deeper roots and greater commitment to participation within the school. Be mindful of trying to maintain balance and share apartment dwellers among schools equitably. Be mindful of additional factors such as swim/tennis membership areas and how the community connects.
11/7/2019 10:36	Vanderlyn ES							
11/7/2019 10:45	Austin ES	Looks like balanced enrollment on paper but will need to redistrict again with poor forecast enrollment numbers, moving more students again. Too many to Austin too soon. Chesnut and Hightower get relief, although Dunwoody North neighborhood does not want to move.	Too many to Austin too soon. Cut area A movement down. keep B1 and B2, move area C to Austin and cut area south of C to VES to connect Jefferson community. This would give relief to DES while not over increasing AES. AES numbers would be between Option 1/3 and Option 2. DES concerned about High Street so cut area south of Perimeter Center and east of Ashford-Dun Rd and send 0 kids to Montgomery.	Great for future AES enrollment - will still have portables yr2 but will not have to undo redistricting in 2-3 yrs. Allows room for miscounted special ed classes moving from KES to DES. Could add a little more to AES with area C and area B2 from opt1, maybe even bigger area from around B2. Meet in the middle with AES 50 seats over to start. Cut area south of C to VES to connect Jefferson community	Does not help DES or VES enough. Send more VES to AES and more DES to KES. Dunwoody North hood doesn't want to move so let them keep their portables and use that capacity (area D) going to KES and send DES to KES. To further appease DES cut out area south of Perimeter Center and east of Ashford-Dunwoody Rd and send 0 current kids to Montgomery. This area will need redressed with a new school.	Greatest relief for cluster as a whole using other DUES. Need to change MS, HS district if split feeder is such an issue. Entire county needs redistricted south especially at HS level. G small relief to CES but area F would rather not move and stay in portables. Area E could extend to Shallowford Rd and go to KES from DES. Use Brook Run area if need better contention lines.	Still too many seats to AES. Why 100 seats over to start? Could cut to 50 seats over. Cut area A smaller, add B and C and a hood from VES if needed to AES. Cut area south of C to VES to connect Jefferson community. To further appease DES cut out area south of Perimeter Center and east of Ashford-Dunwoody Rd and send 0 current kids to Montgomery. This area will need redressed with a new school	The best option is a hybrid of options. AES should start with no more than 50 seats over. Move to AES: Opt2 area A, Opt1 B1, B2, and C. Cut area south of C to connect Jefferson community to VES from DES. Cut area south of Perimeter Center and east of Ashford-Dunwoody Rd and send 0 kids to Montgomery. Opt 3 area E extend south to Shallowford Rd for more DES to KES. Opt3 area G and H good moves.
11/7/2019 10:47	Austin ES	Intends to balance due to utilization rate but with rapid forecast growth moving to AES, will become unbalanced quickly.	This gives one school, AES, the highest growth rates in the cluster. Both in the short term and in long term attendance growth. Kingsleys capacity is understated as there are 3 special ed classes moving to AES. Overcrowding a new school and leaving no room for organic growth. Traffic on Roberts is already atrocious. Adding 200 more students will only compound this problem.	allows for organic growth in high trajectory growth area. Helps our friends at DES overcrowding problem & lowers utilization rate by 13%. It also impacts the fewest number of students in our cluster and reduces portables overall more than option 1. Removes 10 portables in cluster. Gives Hightower relief from overcrowding. Reduces traffic impact on Roberts more than other options	Understated info for kingsley as it doesn't reflect that 3 classes will be moving over to AES	cross cluster redistricting provides much needed relief to Hightower / Reduces portables by 13 (highest number)	(Severely dramatic movement of students (almost 500 required to change). Impact is negligible from a solutions standpoint to overcrowding as moves 200 more students than option 2 and only reduces portable count by 3 more. New lines give AES only area in cluster expected to grow at high trajectory. Kingsley capacity still understated. Leaves no room for growth. Traffic on roberts very bad	Option 2 minimizes number of students who have to change schools so is best for the kids. It also reduces portables by the most dramatic number as compared to moving kids. Options 1&3 shift high trajectory rate to AES. Option 2 splits high growth area between AES & DES.
11/7/2019 10:49	Vanderlyn ES							
11/7/2019 11:07	Vanderlyn ES	Seems like the fairest rebalance. Evens the students across districts.	none	Eases crowding at Dunwoody, keeps Austin under 950.	Oddly shaped Vanderlyn district in the west. Austin under capacity at the expense of all other schools.	none	Sends too many kids out of Dunwoody. Too many kids to Kingsly.	Option 1
11/7/2019 11:07	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 11:19	Vanderlyn ES	Most the current school districts would stay the same and Dunwoody kids would stay in Dunwoody. Families would still be able to walk to their schools. It would be balanced and fair that all schools use trailers. School redistricting would keep families who bought in areas with good school districts still within equally good school districts rather than rezoning into poorer performing schools	What is really needed is an additional elementary school closer to perimeter center	Most the current school districts would stay the same and Dunwoody kids would stay in Dunwoody. Families would still be able to walk to their schools. School redistricting would keep families who bought in areas with good school districts still within equally good school districts rather than rezoning into poorer performing schools.	What is really needed is an additional elementary school closer to perimeter center	Worst option. Causes all kinds of community break ups and pushes kids through worse traffic all to save a couple of trailers when there will still be quite a few trailers at every school.	Traffic, including perimeter now. No longer being able to walk to school and having our community broken up. Would cause a lot of moves for students, especially in families that purchased specifically for their current good school zone. We like our community with our neighbors and the proximity to walk safely to school at Vanderlyn. Traffic would get worse having to deal with Tilly Mill.	Option 1 is the most balanced and keeps the most communities/schools intact. Our walkability to school wouldn't be affected and we'd still have our close relationship with our neighboring communities all being in the same school. Sending families along multiple major traffic roads and lessening the walkability to their elementary school is bad for traffic, safety, and community.
11/7/2019 11:23	Austin ES		It vastly changes Austin ES in a single year and over crowds a newly built school that is expected to have continued growth. It has the smallest elimination of portable classrooms. It forces more student changes than option 2.	It moves the fewest amount of students and families, creating the least amount of instability for students. It reduces the overall number of portable classrooms more than option 1. It fully utilizes the newly constructed Austin building, with the realization the known growth will still cause a need for portable classrooms at Austin in the very near future if a new school isn't built in the cluster			This is the most disruptive option, moving the most students and splitting up established communities and neighborhoods, while only having a negligible difference in portable classrooms compared to option 2.	Option 2 is the least disruptive to the community and students as a whole, while also fully utilizing the newly built building.
11/7/2019 11:25	Austin ES							option 2
11/7/2019 11:34	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 11:34	Chesnut ES		Divides existing neighborhood and removes actively involved families		Same		Same	Take advantage of existing space for trailers at Austin to relieve the overcrowding at DES. Send kids from Perimeter Gardens to Chesnut to further relieve DES.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/7/2019 11:35	Chesnut ES		Although it says 15 students will move from Chesnut to Hightower in fall 2020. Most parents in that G zone (Carver/Ridgeway/Van Fleet) play active rolls in Chesnut. The school will lose that parental participation and most of us have already invested a large amount of time in volunteering. Personally, I am the Yearbook Advisor to Chesnut ES and the Photo Day Coordinator for 5 years now.		Most parents in that D zone play active rolls in Chesnut. The school will lose that parental participation and most of us have already invested a large amount of time in volunteering.		To re-iterate, most parents in that G zone (Carver/Ridgeway/Van Fleet) play active rolls in Chesnut. The school will lose that parental participation and most of us have already invested a large amount of time in volunteering. Personally, I am the Yearbook Advisor to Chesnut ES and the Photo Day Coordinator for 5 years now.	Personally, I'm advocating that Chesnut ES take more students in than taking away. That Chesnut keep our current area and add to ours.
11/7/2019 11:37	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity with the Kingsley School. Provides no relief to the entire Dunwoody Cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley yet only 1 mile from Hightower). No significant reduction of trailers.	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley School. Provides no relief for the entire Dunwoody Cluster, just moves children around. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, yet only 1 mile from Hightower).	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy. Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster. Provides most students with access to new schools (United and Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster. Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools. Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation.	None	Option 3 is the most equitable solution. I favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "intact" neighborhoods with loud voices.
11/7/2019 11:46								
11/7/2019 11:51	Chesnut ES	N/A	For Chesnut, none of the options aligns with key criteria- geographic proximity, traffic/safety, and keeping neighborhoods intact. Also, while there's a broader overcrowding problem we implore the county to fix, relative to other Dunwoody schools, Chesnut is not overcrowded. The stated capacity of 358 is a gross understatement. As such, Chesnut is willing to assume some of the overcrowding burden.	N/A	For Chesnut, none of the options aligns with key criteria- geographic proximity, traffic/safety, and keeping neighborhoods intact. Also, while there's a broader overcrowding problem we implore the county to fix, relative to other Dunwoody schools, Chesnut is not overcrowded. The stated capacity of 358 is a gross understatement. As such, Chesnut is willing to assume some of the overcrowding burden.	N/A	For Chesnut, none of the options aligns with key criteria- geographic proximity, traffic/safety, and keeping neighborhoods intact. Also, while there's a broader overcrowding problem we implore the county to fix, relative to other Dunwoody schools, Chesnut is not overcrowded. The stated capacity of 358 is a gross understatement. As such, Chesnut is willing to assume some of the overcrowding burden.	For Chesnut, none of the options aligns with key criteria- geographic proximity, traffic/safety, and keeping neighborhoods intact. Also, while there's a broader overcrowding problem we implore the county to fix, relative to other Dunwoody schools, Chesnut is not overcrowded. The stated capacity of 358 is a gross understatement. As such, Chesnut is willing to assume some of the overcrowding burden.
11/7/2019 12:09	Vanderlyn ES	We would like to continue to send our child to Vanderlyn ES as it is being the closest one for us, we are so happy for the diversity of the class and we as parents always bringing positive vibes among Vanderlyn ES school community		Keeping Jefferson at perimeter community to Vanderlyn ES would be the best possible option as it is the closest school for our kids.				Providing school closest to community, bring diversity, sharing knowledge and culture.
11/7/2019 12:09	Kingsley ES		No		No	Yes, I like this option		I favor geographic proximity.
11/7/2019 12:20	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1.
11/7/2019 12:26								
11/7/2019 12:31	Dunwoody ES	As a pro for option 1, at least this option does not selectively choose one school in the district to be free from portables and not share the burden of overcrowding affecting the cluster.	This option does not do enough to relieve overcrowded schools and does not sufficiently reduce the overall number of portable units in the cluster. Growth beyond 2021 is NOT included in these projections which is very short sighted. No relief is provided to the cluster as a whole with this option.	Any pros associated with this option are far outweighed by the cons listed below.	This option does not do enough to relieve overcrowded schools and does not sufficiently reduce the overall number of portable units in the cluster. Growth beyond 2021 is NOT included in these projections which is very short sighted. No relief is provided to the cluster.	This is the only solution that provides relief to the entire cluster This solution results in the fewest number of portables in the cluster This solution provides the most relief to overcrowded schools	Even though this is the best available option, it still does not do enough to relieve overcrowded schools and does not sufficiently reduce the overall number of portable units in the cluster. The significant growth areas along the Ashford Dunwoody corridor are still not evenly disbursed among schools. Growth beyond 2021 is NOT included in these projections which is very short sighted.	Option three is the best option because it provides relief to the entire cluster and results in the fewest number of portable classrooms within the cluster. However, growth beyond 2021 should be considered due to the fact that the majority of population growth is currently in one school district (DES) for all three options.
11/7/2019 12:31	Austin ES		Putting Austin immediately over capacity, still relying on portable classrooms Splitting the Chesnut district	Not starting Austin at day one with portable classrooms. I am concerned with safety and portable classrooms. What if there is an intruder?				Option 2
11/7/2019 12:32								
11/7/2019 12:44	Dunwoody ES		Should include all the community west of Ashford-Dunwoody Rd. Should not include area labeled B2		Vanderlyn should include the area labeled C and the area between Ashford-Dunwoody and Chamblee-Dunwoody south to Valley View Rd.			Split the size of Dunwoody ES - THAT SCHOOL IS TOO BIG.
11/7/2019 12:45	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3 is the best and most equitable solution for Dunwoody.
11/7/2019 12:45								
11/7/2019 12:46	Kingsley ES							3
11/7/2019 12:50								
11/7/2019 12:54	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 12:54	Kingsley ES	-DES gets some relief -Kingsley gets a few more single family homes, boosting parent involvement	-Vanderlyn has no relief. They lose 22 kids, and gain 22 kids -Kingsley takes 65 kids from Hightower. Most likely, 65 ESOL kids -The cluster gets no relief as a whole. Why are we not utilizing Doraville United????????	-Kingsley gets a few more single family homes, boosting overall involvement	-Kingsley gets 65 kids from Hightower. Most likely ESOL kids -Vanderlyn only grows larger -Why are we not sending Hightower kids to Doraville United???????	-We decrease overall students in the cluster -Large group of kids from DES get to stick together -Single family homes move to Kingsley, boosting involvement		Option 3 helps all 5 elementary schools in the cluster. It spreads out the single family homes amongst the cluster, and it decreases the overall number of kids in the cluster
11/7/2019 12:54	Austin ES							
11/7/2019 12:54	Austin ES							
11/7/2019 13:00	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 13:07	Other							Option #2 or Option #1.
11/7/2019 13:10								
11/7/2019 13:11	Dunwoody ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/7/2019 13:18	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. - The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	• Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem • Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for • No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	• Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	• Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United • Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	• Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage • Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in • Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
11/7/2019 13:24	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 13:24								
11/7/2019 13:33								
11/7/2019 13:43								
11/7/2019 13:47	Dunwoody ES	302 Perimeter Ctr N						
11/7/2019 13:51								
11/7/2019 13:53	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 13:54	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 13:55	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 14:04	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 14:04	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 14:27								
11/7/2019 14:27	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school • Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around • Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) There is no meaningful reduction in trailers					
11/7/2019 14:30								
11/7/2019 14:37	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 14:44	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 14:44	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 14:44	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 14:45								
11/7/2019 14:49	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 14:49								
11/7/2019 14:52	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 14:56	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 15:12	Dunwoody ES	PROS: • While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	CONS: • Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem • Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for • No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	PROS: • Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	CONS: DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doravil	PROS: • Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United • Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	CONS: • Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage • Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in • Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
11/7/2019 15:15	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 15:15	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least students in cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables with the least amount of students moved.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes the most dramatic movement of students Negligible amount of impact while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines leaves Austin the only expected to grow at a high trajectory rate Will add portables to the new Austin, leaving little room to grow Significant additional traffic on Roberts Kingsley capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving	Option 2 is clearly the best for the students. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Options 1 and 3 are less favorable, as they shift dramatically more students and have slightly worse results. Option 2 splits high growth area evenly between AES & DES
11/7/2019 15:16	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem • Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for • No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. • The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	• Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United • Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	• Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage • Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in • Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
11/7/2019 15:20	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 15:22	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 15:26								
11/7/2019 15:36	Kingsley ES	None						
11/7/2019 15:37	Austin ES							
11/7/2019 15:42	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 15:52	Kingsley ES							

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/7/2019 16:10	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution. Overcrowds Vanderlyn ES the least. Keeps Vanderlyn ES walkable, safer route (avoid the dangerous left turn), better traffic patterns in many areas, and better geographical route for Oxford Chase neighborhood.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. Allows Vanderlyn ES to remain walkable, safe (without the left turn or children having to cross major road from bus), geographically makes more sense, no split feeder for Oxford Chase neighborhood, better traffic pattern	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are way too many cons.	Cons = Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley & we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Preferred option #1 or option #2
11/7/2019 16:15	Vanderlyn ES	Trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution and enrollment	Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic), for bikers/walkers, it is very unsafe for treks to Kingsley & we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option #2 or Option #1
11/7/2019 16:17	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic), for bikers/walkers, it is very unsafe for treks to Kingsley & we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option #2
11/7/2019 16:23	Austin ES		Makes Austin too large. Too much of a change for Austin children and families. We are already going to have to adjust to a new principal. Does not hold dekalb accountable for capacity planning mistake. Delays need for a new school in the district with more portables. Creates traffic issues for Austin. Creates instructional planning issues for Austin.	Less change means more manageable for austin families, less traffic, easier instructional planning. Holds dekalb accountable to build schools, not use portables to put off permanent facility investment.		Using overflow into a different cluster is a creative idea--we need to find out how it can help the overall capacity issues in the cluster.	Too much change for austin, which is going through a lot already with the new principal. More traffic, more challenges instructional capacity. Also trailers at Austin do not hold dekalb accountable for capacity planning mistakes. The cluster needs a new school, not new trailers.	Option 2. No trailers at Austin.
11/7/2019 16:35								
11/7/2019 16:41	Dunwoody ES		Option 1 does not provide sufficient relief for Dunwoody Elementary. Areas of future development that are west of Ashford Dunwoody continue to be zoned for DES. This will not ease overcrowding at DES.		Option 2 does not provide sufficient relief for Dunwoody Elementary. Areas of future development that are west of Ashford Dunwoody continue to be zoned for DES. This will not ease overcrowding at DES. And continue to make buses and families travel across the city to get to school when there is one in closer proximity which is the New Austin Elementary.	This is the best option of the 3 provided that relieves overcrowding and more equally distributed students across the cluster.	Option 3 still does not provide sufficient relief for Dunwoody Elementary. Areas of future development that are west of Ashford Dunwoody continue to be zoned for DES. This will not ease overcrowding at DES. And continue to make buses and families travel across the city to get to school when there is one in closer proximity which is the new Austin Elementary.	I do not feel that any of these options truly serves the students in the best way. We respectfully request Option 4: Balanced Enrollment across the Cluster to be presented as an option at the next meeting. This option does not divide neighborhoods and does address the area west of Ashford Dunwoody by placing it in the Austin Elementary lines. Additionally, it addresses future growth for DES.
11/7/2019 16:43								
11/7/2019 16:44	Vanderlyn ES	Little disruption, fewer students are moving.	With forecasted growth rate this also will become overcrowded soon, will be un-balanced again.	Fewest students moved, keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact			Most disruption, little to no positive effect to Vanderlyn.	Option #2 - This keeps all Vanderlyn kids together and the communities that contributed to VES historically.
11/7/2019 16:54	Dunwoody ES	There is some relief for Dunwoody ES, but not enough. Keeps neighborhoods of North Springs, Georgetown, Heathwood, Village Springs, Chateau Springs, and Chateau Woods together (pro for all options). These neighborhoods all use the Georgetown Pool and Rec Club. Many kids socialize together there, as well as parents.	Much more of the Ashford Dunwoody corridor should be in the Austin district. The corridor is geographically closer to Austin than DES. Austin also has more instructional capacity since they are new and have room for portables. DES already has portables. The projected enrollment for DES is also much higher than Austin. Austin needs to pick up the burden not just DES.	No pros unless you live in the AustinThis is completely unfair to the rest of the cluster. I've spoken to a few Austin parents that I know through soccer and baseball teams and even they acknowledge that it isn't fair. They wouldn't support it if they weren't in the Austin district. Only Austin parents like this option.	Austin gets special consideration which is not fair to the rest of the cluster. The overpopulation of the cluster needs to be spread around the entire cluster regardless if portables are used for a brand new school. Ashford Dunwoody corridor should be districted to Austin, it is geographically closer to Austin than DES, AES has the capacity, and AES' growth rate is not as high as DES.	Uses Doraville UES to help. Spreads the burden of overpopulation evenly across cluster except for Vanderlyn. It reduces the overall amount of portables across the entire cluster. Gives the greatest relief to Dunwoody ES, which would help with traffic along Womack Drive. All schools are treated fairly in terms of overpopulation.	The vast majority of the Ashford Dunwoody corridor is still in the Dunwoody ES district. Austin should have part of this area (it is geographically closer to Austin). Suggestion...the area west of Ashford Dunwoody and south of Mt. Vernon should be districted for Austin. DES will keep it's current district in the A-D corridor. As the corridor develops both schools would be used, not just one	Although it has it's deficiencies I'd say out of the 3 presented, OPTION 3 is the best option. It reduces the most amount of portables, it uses Doraville UES, it balances the enrollment, every school would have portables which is fair to every school and it gives the most relief to the two most overcrowded schools....Dunwoody ES and Hightower.
11/7/2019 16:54	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 16:59	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 17:15	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 17:16	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 17:22	Vanderlyn ES				Why send more students to Vanderlyn as it is already overcrowded.		Too dangerous to transport Oxford Chase students to Kingsley. Impossible to turn left on Mt. Vernon Rd at school time. No need to change this neighborhood's school.	Honestly, we need another school in the cluster. We are getting overcrowded by apartment complexes and are not getting the school tax revenue as a result. The Jefferson Apartments alone, unload three buses from Vanderlyn. I understand that is close to 180 students.
11/7/2019 17:35	Vanderlyn ES	Minimal change to present districting	would seem like Austin was built too small and eventually would quickly need more portables	Keeps the fewest amount of portables district-wide. Least impact on moving students to new schools	Again, Austin may not need portables now, but wow, you should have built this school bigger originally!	a lot of relief for Dunwoody ES and Hightower ES	Way too much movement of kids. The figure I got from the powerpoint presentation after the second meeting was more than 10% of the kids in the district would be moved to a new school. That is unacceptable when there are other options	Option 1 or 2 are the only viable options in my opinion.
11/7/2019 18:03								
11/7/2019 18:03								
11/7/2019 18:05	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 18:18	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/7/2019 18:23	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 18:28								
11/7/2019 18:46								
11/7/2019 18:53								
11/7/2019 18:54	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 19:02	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 19:11	Dunwoody ES							
11/7/2019 19:14	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 19:29	Vanderlyn ES							Option 2
11/7/2019 19:54								
11/7/2019 20:03	Vanderlyn ES							
11/7/2019 20:12	Austin ES							
11/7/2019 20:28	Kingsley ES		â€¢Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school â€¢Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around â€¢Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) â€¢No significant reduction in trailers		â€¢Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school â€¢Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around â€¢Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) â€¢No significant reduction in trailers	â€¢Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting â€¢Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster at school time. No need to change this neighborhood's school. â€¢Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster â€¢Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools â€¢Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3 is the most equitable solution

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/7/2019 20:30								
11/7/2019 20:35	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	worst of the three!! While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley â€œ we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 1 or 2
11/7/2019 20:37	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	WORST OF THE OPTIONS - While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley â€œ we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	OPTION 1 OR 2
11/7/2019 20:41	Chesnut ES	Nothing positive, as Chestnut is not overcrowded. The capacity numbers quoted are inaccurate and have been changed to justify a separation.	It splits the Dunwoody North subdivision in half which has been intact for the last 40+ years. It requires students who now walk to school safely to cross Peachtree Industrial a very large, heavily trafficked, and dangerous road.					
11/7/2019 20:41	Dunwoody ES	Is a good start to alleviating overcrowding at DES.	Leaves all potential growth for DES. Thus creating the problem that we will be back here in 2 years fixing it again.	None. This map serves to benefit one school only.	It removes the fewest students from the 2 most overcrowded schools in the cluster.	Removes the most students from DES.	Still does not account for growth in the community as it is all concentrated in DES. It is not equal at all. All the Ashford dunwoody communities are closer in geographical proximity to Vanderlyn, Austin or Montgomery 1st, THEN DES 2nd. Why are they all zoned for 2nd closest (in some cases 3rd closest) school?	Splitting up the overcrowding. I do not trust that DCSD will build about school in our area to alleviate overcrowding in the future.
11/7/2019 20:43	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 20:45								
11/7/2019 20:51								
11/7/2019 21:12	Chesnut ES							
11/7/2019 21:26	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution	Cons = Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic), for bikers/walkers, it is very unsafe for treks to Kingsley â€œ we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 1 and Option 2
11/7/2019 21:27								
11/7/2019 21:35	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (â€œnew school bumpâ€) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria however, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. Keeps existing communities together.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth, which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (â€œnew school bumpâ€) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United. Shifting slightly less kids to Doraville would help fix the cons below.	Section E loses the ability to walk. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross dangerous Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns & intact neighborhoods. Disrupts too many existing communities at DES, CES & VES.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem and Area E (Option 3) stays with DES.
11/7/2019 21:38	Kingsley ES							
11/7/2019 21:41	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (â€œnew school bumpâ€) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria however, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. Keeps existing communities together.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth, which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (â€œnew school bumpâ€) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United.	Section E loses the ability to walk. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross dangerous Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns & intact neighborhoods. Disrupts too many existing communities at DES, CES & VES.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin. By doing so, DES zoning on the north east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road while still addressing the DES overcrowding problem. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary is in line with proximity criteria.
11/7/2019 21:41	Kingsley ES							Option C
11/7/2019 21:45	Kingsley ES		This just shuffles kids around		This is just shuffling kids around like option 1 does	This seems to best spread out the overcrowding and keeps Kingsley more intact		Option 3. Keep Kingsley small!
11/7/2019 21:48	Kingsley ES							option 3
11/7/2019 21:51	Chesnut ES	There are NO pros in this option, as in all three options. These are not options for those in the D area on the MAP as ALL proposals have of our area being rezoned to Kingsley.	Splitting up a Neighborhood Community which VIOLATES redistricting criteria, increased Commute for those in section D, Traffic Patterns WILL increase for all parties driving on Tilly Mill in the A.M. which will contribute to the already awful congestion, Chesnut will lose crucial funding and parental support which is essential to running the school as we receive next to nothing from the County.	There are NO pros in this option, as in all three options. These are not options for those in the D areas as EACH option has section D being rezoned to Kingsley.	Splitting up a Neighborhood Community which VIOLATES redistricting criteria, increased Commute for those in section D, Traffic Patterns WILL increase for all parties driving on Tilly Mill in the A.M. which will contribute to the already awful congestion, Chesnut will lose crucial funding and parental support which is essential to running the school as we receive next to nothing from the County.	There are NO pros in this option, as in all three options. These are not options for those in the F area as EACH option has THIS SECTION being rezoned to Kingsley.	Splitting up a Neighborhood Community which VIOLATES redistricting criteria, increased Commute for those in section D, Traffic Patterns WILL increase for all parties driving on Tilly Mill in the A.M. which will contribute to the already awful congestion, Chesnut will lose crucial funding and parental support which is essential to running the school as we receive next to nothing from the County.	NONE. How can I vote on an option that is the same in every scenario for my area...D and F in the last option. We need another presented option that keeps our neighborhood intact, which is stated as a crucial factor in re-zoning processes.
11/7/2019 21:57								
11/7/2019 21:58	Kingsley ES		B1 moving one student is stupid. Itâ€™s 2019. There should be no trailers.			Better choice for Kingsley and Hightower.	I look at these maps and get so mad at DeKalb County. Seriously. My tax dollars at work?	
11/7/2019 22:00	Chesnut ES	I canâ€™t see anything good about this!	Decreased the price of my house on the market, my son who is AB student since kindergarten would be put in a school who is 2/10 and itâ€™s a mess(heard of friend who had her daughter attending there) and it would cause him anxiety for being away of his friends and all school stuff. It could cause his grades decreased and make him behind in learning!	Itâ€™s the best option in my opinion		Canâ€™t see any pro here	Taking good student away from a good school doesnâ€™t make sense! Kids will be frustrated for being away of his friends, it could decrease their learning and cause them severe problems.	Environmental. Kids succeed where they are welcomed and happy! Taking them and put in a another place would cause them anxiety, frustration and low grades!
11/7/2019 22:36	Kingsley ES					Best of the three. Everyone is going to have some disruption...but this seems to be the most logical		Balance enrollment first but also need to look into replacement of aging schools. When you have water dripping on kids heads and cockroaches the size of MARTA buses at Chesnut and Kingsley...something needs to change.
11/7/2019 22:48	Kingsley ES	None	Why would you move students who live 1 mile from Hightower to Kingsley which is almost 4 miles away. Remember that you may not be hearing from those parents, but that doesn't mean you should let the loud complaints of single-family homes be the only ones you hear.	None	It's ridiculous that you wouldn't have portables at Austin. Our cluster is so overcrowded, every school having portables is the equitable solution. Getting a new school and no portables is SO unbalanced in favor of the Austin community.	The only potential for long-term relief! I know Chesnut is against all options. But how can DNCA, a "community" of 1100 homes, call themselves inseparable? Madisons, Briers North, Andover Estates, etc. cannot just call themselves Dunwoody North. There are a lot of families in that area who already go to Kingsley Swim & Racquet. The Branches have been split along county lines for years.		Option 3 has the most potential for long-term relief. Moving Hightower kids to Doraville United makes the most sense - and then don't split the feeder.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/7/2019 23:11	Kingsley ES							Number 3
11/7/2019 23:15	Austin ES	Not enough movement to solve the issue	Not enough movement to solve the issue	Helps trailers	Not enough movement to solve the issue	Helps the most with overcrowding, less trailers	Most disruption....but we can't be surprised by it. WE NEED HELP and the new school is supposed to truly help with this. We need another elementary school (maybe at the mall or old Chamblee Dun rd. Please don't disrupt Chamblee magnet. There is demand for this program greater than north & south, so build central too. All levels of learning should be served.	
11/7/2019 23:45	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	= Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic), for bikers/walkers, it is very unsafe for treks to Kingsley & we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	#2
11/8/2019 0:25	Dunwoody ES	- Some relief to DES (but not enough) - Better than option 2 - removes growth from Chesnut	- Does not provide enough relief for GROWTH in perimeter area - Perimeter area growth needs to be split between DES and Austin - Breaks up Dunwoody North Neighborhood which should be kept together - Does not provide overall relief to cluster via Hightower to DUES	None	- Does not provide enough relief for GROWTH in perimeter area - Perimeter area growth needs to be split between DES and Austin - Breaks up Dunwoody North Neighborhood which should be kept together - Does not provide overall relief to cluster via Hightower to DUES - Austin needs to provide more relief for the GROWTH in the cluster.	- Relieves cluster of overcrowding via DUES - Of the 3 options, this is the best overall relief to capacity, but still does not relieve enough GROWTH from DES	- Does not provide enough relief for GROWTH in perimeter area - Perimeter area growth needs to be split between DES and Austin - Breaks up Dunwoody North Neighborhood which should be kept together - Austin needs to provide more relief for the GROWTH in the cluster.	GROWTH in the cluster needs to be the focus of this redistricting. Some schools in the short term open under enrolled to achieve this. We should equalize enrollment in the 5 year timeframe with as little disruption to the cluster as possible. Option 4 (presented by the DES PAC) does this. Please implement a plan that accounts for the Perimeter GROWTH and splits this GROWTH across the district.
11/8/2019 0:27	Dunwoody ES	- takes some of the DES overcrowding (but NOT ENOUGH when enrollment projections beyond 2021 are considered)	- does not do enough to relieve DES overcrowding and future growth. - disrupts the chestnut neighborhood	- none -- It is unclear to me why this option is even presented unless the goal is to go against the stated objectives to relieve overcrowding in the cluster.	- disrupts the chestnut neighborhood - Removes current students from DES but does nothing to address the impending DES GROWTH in a meaningful way.	- of the 3 maps presented, provides the most relief for DES --the school that "needs" the most relief when future growth is considered	- takes DES families that currently WALK to school and moves them to Kingsley. Now they have to cross a major street. Also, the students moved from DES --> Kingsley is too small of a number to impact the DES overcrowding anyway. - disrupts the chestnut neighborhood	You guys watch Game of Thrones? (spoiler alert) These maps move kids around like we are fighting over the Iron Throne but totally missing that Winter is coming. The impending GROWTH is overlooked! The 2021 projections used in these maps mask the continued overcrowding projected for DES. Please incorporate a map more similar to option #4 from the DES PAC that distributes GROWTH! Winter is coming!!
11/8/2019 1:48	Chesnut ES							
11/8/2019 5:14	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/8/2019 5:43								
11/8/2019 6:09	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 7:07	Kingsley ES		Not distributing students effectively			Not distributing students effectively.	Best option for distribution of students, especially the ELS students. Feels more integrated across the north dekalb cluster and allows teachers to be able to work more effectively with struggling students as well as those who are excelling.	Option 3
11/8/2019 7:45	Chesnut ES							
11/8/2019 8:10	Dunwoody ES		I propose Area c, Ashworth community should be placed in Austin ES or remain in Dunwoody Elementary school.		I propose Area c, Ashworth community should be placed in Austin ES or remain in Dunwoody Elementary school.			I propose Area c, Ashworth community should be placed in Austin ES or remain in Dunwoody Elementary school.
11/8/2019 8:15	Vanderlyn ES							
11/8/2019 8:23								
11/8/2019 8:31	Vanderlyn ES							
11/8/2019 8:32	Dunwoody ES	A little more balanced but does not account for growth beyond 2021.	This does not account for growth beyond 2021. DES relief will be needed post-2021.	NONE	I am sorry but this map is a joke. AES to open at 99% utilization and isn't even zoned for growth areas.	Some relief for Hightower.	DES and CES both have established neighborhoods being split up. Not ideal. Still not appropriately dividing the future growth areas (Ashford-Dunwoody Rd) between AES and DES.	I endorse Map 4 - Balanced Enrollment with Intact Communities.  Maps 1-3 are not ideal. Some pieces may be okay, but it does not account for FUTURE dGROWTH.  Also, what measures are the Dekalb BOE taking to plan for this growth as our children move up to middle and high school? Mega additions to existing schools without expanding the cafeteria, library, sports fields, etc. are not the answer.
11/8/2019 8:32	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 8:51	Kingsley ES		lack of diversity for kingsley, no relief for dunwoody cluster just moves kids around,violates dcsc geographic policy when redistricting, no significant reduction in trailers		lack of diversity for kingsley, no relief for dunwoody cluster just moves kids around,violates dcsc geographic policy when redistricting, no significant reduction in trailers	follows the dcsc geographic location policy when redistricting, fewest number of trailers throughout entire cluster, provides the most students access to new schools, long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster, balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools, creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3
11/8/2019 9:10	Dunwoody ES		Not enough of the undeveloped land near Perimeter Mall is in the Austin ES district. That area is geographically closer to Austin, but yet it is zoned for Dunwoody ES. It should be zoned for Austin. They'll have the capacity as well since they are a new school. Currently the entire High St. development is in the DES zone. That is 3000+ housing units. AES is closer and needs to be zoned there.	No PROS unless you live in the Ausint district, then you will probably like it. I don't understand how this option can even be put up for consideration. It is not fair and equitable to the rest of the cluster. Doesn't seem right that the cluster would 40 portables and not one of them would be at AES.	Not a good option. The rest of the cluster would suffer with portables while Austin gets special privileges of no portables? Not good. This is not "balanced enrollment." If it were then AES would be overcapacity just like the rest of the cluster. More of the Perimeter Mall area should be zoned for AES. The area is developing, and AES should have more of that land in its district.	This option has the greatest reduction of portables. It also gives the greatest relief to DES. It uses Doraville ES to take kids out of Hightower. Gives the greatest relief to Hightower. Enrollment is balanced across the cluster (at least as much as possible). Austin shares the burden of over-enrollment, they don't get a special privileges of no portables.	Not enough of Perimeter Mall area is zoned for Austin. DES can't be the only school that handles all the future growth on that side of town. AES needs to pick up the slack. The area is closer to Austin (DES is farther). They have the capacity. AES is a new school with 250+ seats plus room for portables. DES already is overcapacity with 12 portables and is projected to have more.	It's not ideal but I think OPTION 3 is best. I'd rather have more of Perimeter Mall in the AES district (it's closer and they have capacity). I'd rather have more relief for Hightower by using Doraville ES. This option does get rid of more portables than the other options, which is good. The enrollment is the most balanced out of the 3 options given.
11/8/2019 9:11	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 9:21								
11/8/2019 9:21	Dunwoody ES	Relieves severe overcrowding at DES	DES remains overcrowded including many portables and has a disproportionate number of multifamily housing compared to other schools.	Overcrowding at DES is relieved	DES has more overcrowding in this option compared to Option 1. Also, Austin has capacity. DES continues with a disproportionate number of multifamily housing compared to others	Relieves overcrowding throughout the cluster and provides most relief to DES of the 3 options.	Disproportionate multifamily housing assigned to DES	Of these 3 options, I would choose Option 3. I fully support the proposed Option 4 submitted to the school board by DES, Vanderlyn and Chesnut PAC members.
11/8/2019 9:54	Dunwoody ES	Overcrowding is relieved for the cluster overall. SOME multi-family housing units are dispersed from DES to Austin.	Cluster is still WAY TOO overcrowded. And expected to get even worse. Multi-family housing units are disproportionately allocated to Dunwoody Elementary and not to Austin or Vanderlyn. Multi-family housing typically cause a lot of movement in student population numbers, and often during the middle of the school year.	I can't think of any.	Austin has available seats (even with 100 additional students magically appearing). All other schools are still WAY OVERCROWDED! DES expected to be even MORE overcrowded beyond 2021. DES still has a disproportionate share of multi-family housing units compared to Austin and Vanderlyn.	Overcrowding for the cluster as a whole is relieved with some students going to Doraville. A decent number of multi-family housing units go from DES to Austin.	Still need more multi-family housing units to be moved out of DES to Austin or Vanderlyn. Section E should remain at Dunwoody Elementary since they can walk to DES. I fully support the proposed Option 4 map that was submitted to the school board by the Dunwoody, Vanderlyn and Chesnut PAC members.	Of the 3 options, option 3 would serve the Dunwoody Cluster the best. I fully support the option 4 map that was submitted to the school board by the Dunwoody, Vanderlyn and Chesnut PAC members and believe the option 4 map is the best fit.
11/8/2019 9:56	Chesnut ES							
11/8/2019 10:03	Austin ES							
11/8/2019 10:03	Dunwoody ES							
11/8/2019 10:14	Kingsley ES					This is the option I would be in favor	I don't see any cons to this plan	The fewest number of trailers, a better long term plan,

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/8/2019 10:17	Dunwoody ES	Relieves some of DES overcrowding, decreases trailers in the cluster	Still leaves most of the Perimeter area zoned for DES. There is risk that DES will become significantly overcrowded again in the near future with so many planned developments and multi-family housing in its attendance zone. Area A should be expanded south to 285 so that Austin equally carries the risk. Also, it isn't logical to move Area C to Vanderlyn, only to shift Area B2 to Austin.	I struggle to see any positives in this option. It does the least to relieve overcrowding in the cluster.	Provides very little relief to DES. If the cluster is overcrowded, then all schools should share the burden of trailers equally. Area A should be greatly expanded (down to 285, everything west of Ashford Dunwoody) to move some of DES's overcrowding to Austin. Vanderlyn enrollment INCREASES in this scenario. Why does Area C need to be moved to Vanderlyn just to make Vanderlyn more crowded?	This option does the most to relieve overcrowding in the cluster since it moves students to the new Doraville school. It gives DES good relief as well.	DES still needs more relief, especially in areas of future growth. DES will likely be more overcrowded in several years, as it includes areas of high growth. Area A should be expanded to include everything west of Ashford Dunwoody down to 285. It seems very disruptive to move Area C to Vanderlyn and then shift Area D to Kingsley - those areas should be kept as they are to minimize student impact.	Option 3 is the best out of the choices available. There are other options (map 4) that may relieve overcrowding more, particularly at DES, by expanding Area A to include areas of planned future growth (all areas west of Ash Dun and south to 285). Austin should be able to handle more than 5 trailers as a 1000+ student school (as DES and even Vanderlyn do). The cluster still needs a new school!!
11/8/2019 10:27	Chesnut ES		Loses area D, which is geographically closer to Chesnut by ~.5 miles.		Loses area D from Chesnut, which is geographically closer to Chesnut.		Loses area F from Chesnut area; area F is closer to Chesnut than Kingsley.	None of these options best serves our school. It divides our established community, and relegates those who are excluded into a situation with less community involvement, and longer commutes. Chesnut welcomes a slight increase in enrollment.
11/8/2019 10:33	Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</li> <li>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</li> <li>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</li> <li>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</li> <li>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</li> <li>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage.</li> <li>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for.</li> <li>Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</li> <li>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</li> <li>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and Area C to Vanderlyn.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</li> <li>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</li> <li>Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.</li> </ul>	I support Option #4 by Ryan Stallings. Alternate: Option 3 relieves Dun. Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has low # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property W of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem.
11/8/2019 11:10	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 11:10	Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some relief to DES (but not enough)</li> <li>Better than option 2</li> <li>removes growth from Chesnut</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not provide enough relief for the GROWTH in the perimeter area</li> <li>Perimeter area growth needs to be split between DES and Austin</li> <li>Breaks up the Dunwoody North Neighborhood which should be kept together</li> <li>Does not provide overall relief to cluster via Hightower to DUES</li> </ul>	none	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not provide enough relief for the GROWTH of the perimeter area</li> <li>Perimeter area growth needs to be split between DES and Austin</li> <li>Breaks up the Dunwoody North Nhood</li> <li>Does not provide overall relief to cluster via Hightower to DUES</li> <li>Austin needs to provide more relief for the GROWTH in the cluster. this is what OUR tax dollars paid for this school for</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relieves cluster of overcrowding via DUES</li> <li>Of the options, this is the best overall relief to capacity, but still does not relieve enough GROWTH from DES</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not provide enough relief for GROWTH in perimeter area</li> <li>Perimeter area growth needs to be split between Austin and DES</li> <li>Breaks up Dunwoody North</li> <li>Austin needs to provide more relief to the cluster, this is what our tax dollars are paying for this school to do.</li> </ul>	providing overall relief
11/8/2019 11:54	Vanderlyn ES	The enrollment in all schools seem quite balanced. Even the trailers are equitably split across all schools. With 9 of the trailers getting eliminated, this is a great solution	None	Extremely low number of students get disrupted (241), 10 trailers are removed and this amongst all solutions and options is certainly the fairest and best. IT KEEPS several communities intact	None	NONE	Too many cons with this option - (1) most relocation issue for all options - 439 families disrupted; (2) ACCIDENT zone increased at Tilly Mill / Mt. Vernon intersection (we have faced this ourselves; (3) Oxford Chase & Wellesley Place buses will have a very dangerous left turn onto Mt. Vernon Rd (backing up an extremely congested zone) for bikers / walkers. (4) extreme unsafe for treks to Kingsley	Either option 1 or option 2 are the best. Option 3 is VERY DANGEROUS for the traffic and children and amazed this is even being presented with the NUMBER of ACCIDENTS that happen at the Mt. Vernon / Tilly Mill intersection on a regular basis (please note record)!
11/8/2019 11:55								
11/8/2019 12:23								
11/8/2019 12:23	Austin ES							
11/8/2019 12:28	Dunwoody ES	None	Austin has very limited participation in potential growth across the region with Option 1. The model lacks diversity in students as well.	None	Similar to option 1, option 2 does not spread the potential growth of the region across schools. Austin needs to benefit from the growth in the perimeter mall area.		Austin has very limited participation in potential growth across the region with Option 1. The model lacks diversity in students as well.	
11/8/2019 12:45	Dunwoody ES							
11/8/2019 12:51	Vanderlyn ES		Divides Dunwoody Club Forest West neighborhood. Diving neighborhoods among 2 elementary schools is senseless. Do not divide neighborhoods.					I am not in support of any of these options. As a parent who is moving into the district from Nashville this is a mess. The absolute only resolution to this issue is a larger Vanderlyn being built pulling students from Austin and Austin taking kids from Dunwoody.
11/8/2019 12:58								
11/8/2019 13:24	Dunwoody ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Takes some DES overcrowding, but definitely not enough (accounting for growth beyond 2021)</li> <li>Removes growth from Chestnut</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Doesn't relieve Perimeter area growth and DES overcrowding in the future</li> <li>Perimeter area growth needs to be split between DES and Austin</li> <li>Disrupts Chestnut neighborhood</li> </ul>	NONE: Why is this even an option?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Removes current students from DES but does nothing to address future growth in the area</li> <li>Perimeter needs to be split between DES and Austin</li> <li>Austin needs to provide more relief. Why would they not have portables, but other schools would?</li> <li>Disrupts Chestnut neighborhood</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides the most relief for DES, which is the most in-need of relief</li> <li>Relieves the overcrowding via DUES</li> <li>Still does not relieve enough growth from DES, but this is the best of the 3</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not enough students to Kingsley to make enough of an impact to overcrowding</li> <li>Moving DES families to Kingsley that live within walking distance of DES</li> <li>Perimeter area growth must be split between DES and Austin</li> <li>Austin needs to provide more relief for growth in cluster</li> </ul>	Option 3 is the least bad option. None of these maps take into account growth BEYOND 2021. There is another map (option 4) presented by the DES PAC that equitably distributes the growth in the cluster. The fair thing to do is split growth across the district. Everyone in the cluster helped to pay for Austin to be rebuilt; this should be equitable.
11/8/2019 13:27								
11/8/2019 13:49	Dunwoody ES	Alleviates some overcrowding at Hightower.	Does not address undeveloped land in the Ashford Dunwoody Corridor - it is unfair to district all of this for Dunwoody Elementary School.		Does not address undeveloped land in the Ashford Dunwoody Corridor - it is unfair to district all of this for Dunwoody Elementary School. It also does not relieve enough overcrowding at Dunwoody Elementary.	Balances current enrollment at DES and Austin. Helps with overcrowding at Hightower.	Does not address undeveloped land in the Ashford Dunwoody Corridor - it is unfair to district all of this for Dunwoody Elementary School.	None - please consider option 4 as submitted by the Dunwoody Elementary PAC. The DES community endorses it because of its balanced enrollment with intact communities while offering a more equitable distribution of land susceptible to potential growth by zoning all of the area west of Ashford Dunwoody and extending to 285 to Austin Elementary School.
11/8/2019 13:55	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 13:59	Dunwoody ES							
11/8/2019 14:03	Dunwoody ES	Balancing of enrollment is exactly what needs to happen as we have enough children to fill an additional elementary school! One or two schools shouldn't take the brunt of the over capacity issues the county is facing.	Projections need to be updated to better represent the realistic picture of growth	NONE	Why would AES not have portables when everyone else does??? We have a capacity issue- fix that. Projections need to be updated to better represent the realistic picture of growth	Better balance of enrollment. Still have enough negative seats to justify an additional school which is incredibly concerning and needs to be addressed.	Projections need to be updated to better represent the realistic picture of growth	Addressing the overcrowding and getting our schools back in line with their capacity numbers.
11/8/2019 14:09	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 14:13	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/8/2019 14:14	Dunwoody ES	Some relief provided to DES; Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhoods remain at DES who are within walking distance to DES.	Projections used are based on 2021 projections which is only 18 months away; use speculated "new school bump" for Austin Elementary; not nearly enough relief for DES; no relief for Dunwoody Cluster (no Hightower students moved to Doraville United.)	None.	Very little relief to DES or other schools. Not a fair solution to redistricting. Favors Austin Elementary. It does not take into account the projected growth at DES or spread the trailers among the schools equally. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster as a whole (no Hightower students are moved to Doraville) United.)	Provides some relief to Dunwoody Cluster Students by moving some Hightower Students to Doraville United. (Middle and High School should follow); Gives DES the most relief to the severe overcrowding.	Fails to use projected growth around the perimeter area for DES, but still takes into account the "new school bump" for Austin; Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES since it is within walking distance to DES.	Options 3 is the best option presented because it is the only option that gives relief to the Dunwoody Cluster via Hightower/Doraville United and has the least number of kids in trailers, but Options 3 should be revised to give Austin all of the property West of Ashford Dunwoody and keep area E at DES. These revisions are in line with geographic proximity and traffic patterns criteria.
11/8/2019 14:15	Kingsley ES							OPTION #3
11/8/2019 14:23	Vanderlyn ES							
11/8/2019 14:29	Dunwoody ES							
11/8/2019 14:40	Kingsley ES	none		none		Follows Geographic location policy. Fewest number of trailers. Provides most students access to new schools. Long term solution most relief to entire cluster. Balances special programs ESOL resources across schools. Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation.		Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/8/2019 14:54								
11/8/2019 14:57	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 14:57	Chesnut ES							
11/8/2019 14:59	Dunwoody ES	Some relief for DES, which helps reduce traffic on Womack. Neighborhoods that use Georgetown pool are intact.	This option reduces trailers the least throughout the cluster. It doesn't use Doraville UES to relieve overcrowding at Hightower. Not enough of Ashford Dunwoody area is zoned for Austin. All areas west of Ash-Dunwoody Rd should be zoned for Austin. That area is closer to Austin than DES. They have capacity and their forecasted enrollment is not as high as DES.	Not any pros unless your kid goes to Austin. This would place the burden of overcrowding on 5 of 6 schools, but one school would not feel the effects. That is inequitable. The enrollment would not be balanced throughout the cluster. Neighborhoods that use Georgetown pool are intact.	One school (Austin) would get what it wants while the rest of the cluster remains overcrowded. That is favoritism. If geographic proximity is a primary criterion then why is most of Ashford Dunwoody zoned for DES? Austin is much closer to the Ash-Dun area. Most of future development in Ash-Dun area is zoned for DES currently, Austin should be zoned for all area west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd.	1. All schools will be overcrowded, so that shows there is no favoritism. 2. Reduces the most trailers in the cluster (-13). 3. Doraville UES is used to help relieve Hightower (there should be more transferred to DUES) 4. Reduces the headcount at DES the most out of the 3 options. 5. The new kids zoned for Kingsley are not from multi family housing. That has killed Kingsley recently.	Again the area that will experience the most growth, Ashford-Dunwoody Rd, is still zoned mostly for Dunwoody ES. Austin should be zoned for that area. I think a healthy compromise would be for AES take everything west of Ashford Dunwoody and DES take everything east of A-D Rd. The best option would be to build a new school to handle the growth, but that is a different conversation	Option 3, although I wish we could tweak it. 1. More kids should transfer to DUES from Hightower. 2. Area west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd should be zoned for Austin. The area is closer to Austin, they have the capacity, and their enrollment is not projected to grow as fast as DES.
11/8/2019 15:02	Dunwoody ES	PROS: While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	CONS: Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	PROS: Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria however, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. Keeps existing communities together.	CONS: DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth, which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	PROS: Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United.	CONS: Section E loses the ability to walk. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross dangerous Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns & intact neighborhoods. Disrupts	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin. By doing so, DES zoning on the north east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road while still addressing the DES overcrowding problem. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary is in line with proximity criteria.
11/8/2019 15:06	Dunwoody ES	PROS: While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	CONS: Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	PROS: Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria however, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. Keeps existing communities together.	CONS: DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth, which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	PROS: Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United.	CONS: Section E loses the ability to walk. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross dangerous Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns & intact neighborhoods. Disrupts too many existing communities at DES, CES & VES.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin. By doing so, DES zoning on the north east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road while still addressing the DES overcrowding problem. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary is in line with proximity criteria.
11/8/2019 15:08	Kingsley ES	everyone stays in dunwoody- but doesn't utilize all new spaces	moves students around - doesn't really fix any issues, creates lack of diversity in some schools, no great reduction in trailers, does not follow geographic location policy for redistricting, does not give relief to whole cluster, no students going to doraville united	some neighborhoods get to stay intact, but does not follow redistricting policy and no one goes to doraville united	creates lack of diversity in some schools, no great reduction in trailers, does not follow geographic location policy for redistricting, does not give relief to whole cluster, no students going to doraville united	Makes use of Doraville United for redistricting, more students have access to new schools, legal for bus routes and rules for distances, follows location policy when redistricting, fewest number of trailers at all schools, creates socio-economic diversity, relief for ALL the schools in region one, favors geographic proximity	breaks up hightower	option 3
11/8/2019 15:16	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/8/2019 15:18	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/8/2019 15:29	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 15:30	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation.		Option 3
11/8/2019 15:42	Vanderlyn ES	Provides some relief for Hightower and DES	It splits Dunwoody North. Students from Dunwoody North can no longer walk to Chestnut if they are moved to Kingley and neighborhoods should not be split. There is no net change to Vanderlyn. Why move and group and add a group if there is no net change. Move area C to Austin from DES and keep area B 2 at Vanderlyn	None- who thought this was a good idea?	This splits Dunwoody North. Students can no longer walk to Chestnut (Area D). Keep neighborhoods intact! You are moving Area C to Vanderlyn which does not need any more students. Move Area C to Austin. This options shows some schools under capacity and others over. Not even close to balanced.	Area H gives relief to Hightower. However, why can't those students move clusters? It makes no sense to bring these students back to PCMS and DHS when those schools are also overcrowded.	This splits Dunwoody North. Students can no longer walk to Chestnut (Area F). Keep neighborhoods intact! You are moving Area C to Vanderlyn which does not need any more students. Move Area C to Austin. Keep Area D at Vanderlyn.	None. All three divide a closely knit neighborhood- Dunwoody North. All three give no net change to Vanderlyn while moving students around. If Vanderlyn is going to stay overcrowded, keep the boundaries the same. You need to build a new elementary, middle and high school. Moving students from Hightower to Doraville United is good, but they need to redistrict the whole cluster & entire county.
11/8/2019 15:47	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/8/2019 15:52	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options).
11/8/2019 16:08	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 16:17	Austin ES	We can see the intention but it's not a viable solution.	- These lines give Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. - Incorrect capacity at Kingsley due to 3 Special Ed classes moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual numbers at Kingsley. - Will immediately add portables to Austin ES which reflects poor planning.	- Austin starts with 0 portables & allows for organic growth in an area projected to grow at a high rate. - Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). - Moves least amount of students, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid of 10 portables. - Provides Hightower overcrowding relief - Reduces traffic on Roberts vs options 1 & 3	Kingsley's capacity is incorrect due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley to Austin, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	- Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. - Highest portable reduction, if that's your only goal.	- Too many students required to change schools with min. impact on overcrowding. - These lines give Austin the only area in the cluster a high trajectory growth rate. - Kingsley's #'s are incorrect with 3 classes moving to Austin, creating a false portables figure at Kingsley. - Adds portables to Austin immediately (poor planning). - Traffic on Roberts with 200+ students will be horrific	Option 2 is the best of the options. It minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, while having the most dramatic impact on the number of portable units necessary to serve the population. Option 2 splits up the high growth area equitably between Austin ES and Dunwoody ES. Less impact on the traffic on Roberts but a long term solution is desperately needed.
11/8/2019 16:19	Kingsley ES					Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation.		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices.
11/8/2019 16:39	Kingsley ES	None	Lack of diversity, no relief to cluster	None	Lack of diversity, no relief to Dunwoody Cluster	Follows DCSS Geographic location. Most students access to new schools, reduction in trailers. Add diversity.	None	Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/8/2019 16:46	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within Kingsley Allows for no real relief to the Dunwoody cluster, only moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 mi from Kingsley but only 1 mi from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers		Creates a lack of diversity within Kingsley ES Allows for no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, simply moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4mi from Kingsley ES but only 1mi from Hightower ES) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy with respect to redistricting Results in the fewest numbers of trailers throughout the Dunwoody cluster Provides the most students access to new schools Long-term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation		Option 3 is the most equitable solution serving the best interests of the Dunwoody Cluster and its students.
11/8/2019 17:09	Kingsley ES		Doesn't make sense to move kids from Hightower to Kingsley when they are 4miles from Kingsley but 1mile from Hightower.		Does not make sense to move kids from Hightower to Kingsley when they are 4miles from Kingsley and 1from Hightower.	This makes the most sense geographically and is the best option.		Option 3
11/8/2019 17:15	Dunwoody ES							
11/8/2019 18:00	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/8/2019 18:12	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for. No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria however, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. Shenandoah Estates & Cherring neighborhood remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES. Keeps existing communities together.	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth, which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United.	Section E loses the ability to walk. Walking to Kingsley is not safe because Section E would have to cross dangerous Tilly Mill Road. Section E should remain zoned to Dunwoody Elementary due to geographic proximity, safety and traffic patterns & intact neighborhoods. Disrupts too many existing communities at DES, CES & VES.	None of the maps presented solve the cluster overcrowding problem adequately. I support a 4th option where everything west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd is zoned to Austin. By doing so, DES zoning on the north east side can remain to Tilly Mill Road while still addressing the DES overcrowding problem. Keeping Option 3, Section E with Dunwoody Elementary is in line with proximity criteria.
11/8/2019 18:27	Vanderlyn ES		my kids are in this tiny section. They won't know 1 person at Austin because no one they know will also go. Seems cruel	Good allocation		Good allocation		
11/8/2019 18:37	Dunwoody ES	relief of students from vanderlyn to austin and some relief from hightower to kingsley	still leave DES extremely overcrowded especially in years to come	same as option 1 really	still doesn't help DES in the future	spreads out students more evenly throughout district. provides most relief to DES	Provides the most relief to DES, still not planning adequately for the future.	Option 3 provides the most relief to overcrowded schools for the time being. I don't feel as though any option is planning for 5+ years in the future.
11/8/2019 18:38	Vanderlyn ES	relief for Dunwoody Elementary	Shuffling kids between schools for no apparent reason. (a plan with the least amount of movement is best) Moving kids from a close school to a school that is farther away. (geographic proximity is supposed to be a primary goal) The projected growth in the perimeter area needs to be addressed. (cannot be absorbed by DES). Overall overcrowding is not addressed. (PCMS and DHS are overcrowded)	no pros for this map	The brand new school doesn't get enough added students to fill the seats and as a result, the remaining schools are overcrowded and have additional portables. Vanderlyn adds students which it cannot take on since it is at the highest capacity already. Geographic proximity is not taken into consideration regarding the students moving from Chestnut and Hightower to Kingsley. Another ES is needed.	Dunwoody ES gets relief. New Austin school fills seats and is utilized at similar capacities to other schools in the cluster. (area C on the map should also go to Austin) Vanderlyn gets relief. Hightower gets relief. (Hightower should remain in Chamblee cluster to continue the relief in overcrowding for Peachtree MS and Dunwoody HS)	Area C should be redistricted to Austin because Vanderlyn is already at 130+ utilization. Area F should stay at Chesnut - it doesn't make sense to move them b/c they are closest to Chesnut. No mention of a new elementary school or relief to PCMS or DHS. We need a new elementary school to be built around the Perimeter & we need relief for PCMS and DHS - new buildings instead of portables!	option 3 with the changes I mentioned: 1 new elementary school around the perimeter, new buildings for DHS and PCMS on the current site instead of portables, area C going to Austin, Dunwoody North neighborhood staying intact, students moving out of Hightower to remain in the Chamblee cluster instead of coming back to PCMS/DHS where we are already overcrowded.
11/8/2019 18:41	Kingsley ES					I prefer this one		Option 3
11/8/2019 19:27	Kingsley ES		No significant reduction in trailers.		LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley but only 1 mile from Hightower.	Fewest number of trailers. Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools.		Option 3
11/8/2019 19:28								
11/8/2019 19:29								
11/8/2019 19:33	Austin ES		Would prefer no trailers.	No trailers will allow for organic growth at Austin.				Option 2
11/8/2019 19:37	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 20:35	Vanderlyn ES							Keeping students in close proximity of their elementary school
11/8/2019 20:46								
11/8/2019 20:57	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/8/2019 21:01	Kingsley ES							3
11/8/2019 21:44	Chesnut ES							
11/8/2019 22:05	Chesnut ES		Students leaving Chesnut elementary		Chesnut student leaving		Chesnut students leaving	Keeping the students in the schools they are in.
11/8/2019 22:27	Kingsley ES		Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower and 3.0 miles from Chestnut ) No significant reduction in trailers		So, the newest school with likely the best facilities to manage more students gets 0 trailers? The optics here are not good. Furthermore, same as option 1 - it looks like DCSD protects socio-economically advantaged schools by not addressing overcrowding in schools like Vanderlyn in any significant way.		Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options)	Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially intact neighborhoods with loud voices. The other options look like intentional protection from the redistricting of socio-economically advantaged students who are in overcrowded schools to other less affluent schools in the cluster who are equally close to their current school.
11/8/2019 22:34	Kingsley ES							
11/8/2019 23:32	Vanderlyn ES							
11/9/2019 0:12	Chesnut ES	No pros	we cannot approve any of the three redistricting options provided on Oct. 23rd, because none of them benefit our school. They all propose an overall reduction in our student enrollment and they all divide the DNCA neighborhood along the Tilly Mill corridor.	No pros	we cannot approve any of the three redistricting options provided on Oct. 23rd, because none of them benefit our school. They all propose an overall reduction in our student enrollment and they all divide the DNCA neighborhood along the Tilly Mill corridor.	No pros	we cannot approve any of the three redistricting options provided on Oct. 23rd, because none of them benefit our school. They all propose an overall reduction in our student enrollment and they all divide the DNCA neighborhood along the Tilly Mill corridor.	Chesnut's Reality: Not Severely Overcrowded We can share the over crowding issue buy welcoming new students.
11/9/2019 6:11	Kingsley ES	None	Violates DCSS Geographic location policy since LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley and 1 mile from Hightower. Provides no relief to the Dunwoody cluster and just moves children around. No significant reduction of trailers. Creates lack of diversity within the Kingsley school.	None	Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting as LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley and 1 mile from Hightower. Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley School. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around.	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting. Fewest number of trailers throughout the Dunwoody Cluster. Provides the most student access to new schools for United and Austin. Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster. Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	None	Option 3
11/9/2019 6:33	Chesnut ES							
11/9/2019 6:48	Dunwoody ES							
11/9/2019 7:09	Kingsley ES							
11/9/2019 7:45	Vanderlyn ES	Vanderlyn gets more children from the surrounding neighborhoods	Half of Vanderlyn's community comes from ONE apartment complex	Vanderlyn gets more children from surrounding neighborhoods	HALF of Vanderlyn's community comes from ONE apartment complex.	Vanderlyn loses too many children from surrounding neighborhoods	MORE than HALF of Vanderlyn comes from ONE apartment complex.	2
11/9/2019 7:59	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/9/2019 8:17	Dunwoody ES	Most balanced within the next 2 years.	Doesn't look far enough into the future. DES has the largest project growth in the foreseeable future, by balancing for today, we will be unbalanced again by the time today's kindergartners are in 5th grade.		Portable classrooms should be distributed among the schools. It does not make sense that that the new Austin remains under utilized (even considering the 100 additional students projected without empirical evidence that there will be such an increase) while other school remain grossly over capacity.	While not perfect, this option most looks beyond 2021 to future overcrowding in the Dunwoody Cluster and where it will occur. It provides extra relief for DES now, which will account for further growth beyond 2021.	If there is a split feeder for the students moving from Hightower to Doraville -- will those students come back into the Dunwoody cluster for middle and high school?	More needs to be done to address the overcrowding at our schools. However, Option #3 does the best to anticipate the growth of our students beyond 2021. Of all the options, it provides the most relief for DES now, which is important since that school will have the most projected growth in the future. It also provides the most relief to Hightower ES which is also massively overcrowded.
11/9/2019 8:24	Kingsley ES					The better option based on a more equable location of schools to movement.	A large number os students moved out of the Dunwoody cluster.	
11/9/2019 8:31								
11/9/2019 8:36	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/9/2019 8:41	Kingsley ES							Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/9/2019 9:14	Dunwoody ES				what could possibly be the rationale for skewing attendance to favor Austin, the only one who would then have under utilized space according to the chart..	would prefer option 4. don't think 'precedent' a valid reason to avoid trailers at the new austin elementary.		as noted on prior page, option 4.
11/9/2019 9:19	Vanderlyn ES			No trailers at a brand new school. Why DeKalb county can plan better is pathetic.				1
11/9/2019 9:56	Kingsley ES		Does not provide for a diverse student population.					
11/9/2019 11:13	Vanderlyn ES							
11/9/2019 11:26								
11/9/2019 11:36								
11/9/2019 11:42	Dunwoody ES							Option 1.
11/9/2019 11:55	Kingsley ES		- Just moves kids around - Violates DSCC Geographic location policy - No substantial reduction in trailers		- Just moves kids around - Violates DSCC Geographic location policy	- More long term solution with relief across cluster - Follows DSCC Geographic location policy - Reduction in trailers across the cluster		Option 3
11/9/2019 11:59	Dunwoody ES	Potential to move students to make a quick fix for 2020-2021 but not really.	How does this help DES? We will still be overcrowded and our students will still be in trailers. Our enrollment in 2021 will still be over capacity. I'm wondering why we think these two options are good when clearly they are not.	There really are no pros to your options given.	Moving students from DES to Vanderlyn is not good. Isn't Vanderlyn overcrowded and in trailers? Why not give Austin all of the apartment complex that they share with DES. We need to think about how we are spending money and decide what to do. Clearly Vanderlyn needs to be expanded and so does chestnut and Kingsley if you are not going to build another elementary in our cluster.		By expanding the three smallest elementary school VES, KES, and CES we could move to a balanced enrollment.	Balanced enrollment. DES does an amazing job with their students. Sure their CCRPI score might be lower than some of the other schools in our cluster but they are teaching a lot more students than any other. Maybe we need to see why DES is so successful given their double size compared to others and their overcrowding. Expanding the small elementary schools might be our best option.
11/9/2019 12:13	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option #2.
11/9/2019 12:38	Austin ES							Option 1
11/9/2019 13:45	Austin ES							Option 1
11/9/2019 13:52	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/9/2019 14:13	Austin ES	Vanderlyn stays the same	Too many students at Austin	Austin's enrollment is much more manageable			Way too many students at Austin Property value for current Vanderlyn and Dunwoody residents now in Kingsley will go way down	The balance enrollment is probably the most fair, but selfishly, as an Austin parent, I prefer option 2 because it keeps numbers much more manageable for the new school and seems to create the least turnover for Dunwoody residents as a whole. We also have several friends who would be affected negatively by option 3. They are currently at Vanderlyn and would have to switch to Kingsley
11/9/2019 14:16	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/9/2019 14:30	Kingsley ES					Pros for option 3 map	Map 3	Option 3
11/9/2019 14:32	Chesnut ES							
11/9/2019 14:50	Austin ES	B1 and A makes sense for location and traffic pattern to go to Austin. There is currently only 1 student living in that town home complex? It is still being built. There will be many more than 1 child.	All schools remain over crowded with portables	B and A make sense to move to Austin. DES would also get some relief. New AUstin would have room for future growth. Fully utilized kingsley		Gives Hightower significant relief. Puts the most students in new facilities by using both new austin and Doraville. Also provides significant relief to DES. Less trailers at AUstin.	Requires the most number of student moves.	I feel Option 3 serves all of dunwoody in the best way. It provides the most wide spread relief while allowing the most number of kids to benefit from new facilities.
11/9/2019 15:02	Kingsley ES	None	Bad idea	None	Not a good plsn	This is the best plan I support it,	None	Plan 3
11/9/2019 15:15	Austin ES							
11/9/2019 15:19	Kingsley ES							
11/9/2019 15:23	Kingsley ES							
11/9/2019 15:38	Vanderlyn ES			Kids are used to the environment, facility, After School Daycare Program, etc				
11/9/2019 16:05	Kingsley ES	Option #1 does best to balance geographic enrollment. Currently there is too much gerrymandering (maybe important prior to cityhood) to benefit all of Dunwoody in future. Kingsley ES (our 3 kids attended) would benefit from mix of students better representing geographic proximity. More apartment kids spread around would least impact academic standards while ensuring highest overall property values						
11/9/2019 16:32	Austin ES	Keeps current Austin population intact and relieves DES over crowding	Starting a brand new school with 5 portables	Fewest number of students moving schools, good reduction in portables, keep Austin population intact	Strain on Vanderlyn and Chestnut	Serious relief to area enrollment; current Austin stays intact	Families living a few blocks from Hightower get redirected to Doraville; portables at Austin (brand new school)	I prefer option 2 as least disruptive to the overall community at this time.
11/9/2019 17:11	Dunwoody ES		still leaves DES too overcrowded and doesn't account for expected growth at DES. does not decrease the use of trailers enough		NOT equitable to leave Austin at or under capacity with no trailers when all other schools are significantly overcrowded. leaves DES too overcrowded and does not account for the significant growth expected at DES.	Decreases utilization for DES the most which is important since DES also has the most projected growth in the coming years. Whereas all of other Schools are expecting to stable in terms of growth. DES needs as much relief as possible or else we will be redistricting again in a couple of years. this option also reduces overall portables in all dunwoody schools the most which is more equitable This map would most help overcrowding for des and would benefit my neighborhood	still leaves DES overcrowded with ALL projected growth areas	Option 3. However I still think DES needs more relief as we also have the most projected growth and ALL of the areas of projected growth. If we do not receive significant relief or redrawing of lines to give Austin some of the growth areas near perimeter mall, we will be here again in a couple of years needing to redistrict again. Thank you for considering.
11/9/2019 17:23	Dunwoody ES							Map 3 please
11/9/2019 17:34								
11/9/2019 17:36	Dunwoody ES							
11/9/2019 17:55	Dunwoody ES	Not enough relief for DES - but moving area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria will help some. Cherring and Shenandoah Estates neighborhoods remain at DES (they are in walking distance to DES).	DES is projected to keep growing. 2021 estimates for growth is around the corner. DES is already overcrowded. There is speculated growth projected for Austin - but none for DES. There is no relief for the Dunwoody Cluster overall because no students are being moved from Hightower to the new DUES.	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn. This is in line with geography proximity criteria.	DES doesn't receive the imperative relief it needs. DES is overcrowded and continues to grow. This map does not address this issue - and the projected growth for 2021 is too short of a timeline to do so. There is also no relief to the Dunwoody cluster - 0 students are moved to Doraville. There is an inequitable distribution of trailers across the cluster.	This map provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster by moving some students from Hightower to DUES. It helps DES (some) by including area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	The growth planned for the perimeter area is not factored in to the projections for DES. DES projected growth is for 2021 - that's right around the corner. Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin for the new "school bump".	# 3 is the best of these three choices but I would like to see Map #4 considered.#3 relieves the Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to DUES & has the least amount of students in trailers.Let's IMPROVE this map. Zone all property west of Ashford Dunwoody to Austin. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods & addresses projected growth at DES.
11/9/2019 19:06	Kingsley ES							
11/9/2019 19:54	Austin ES	All Austin Students remain in Austin ES	Portables at Austin upon inception of new school. Potential for area B1 to grow rapidly and overwhelm Austin in addition to areas A & B2. Unnecessarily moving students from all schools (Vanderlyn to AES, Dunwoody to Vanderlyn, etc).	Limited movement of students. Least disruptive to existing district. No portables at AES. All current AES students remain at Austin.		All current AES students remain at Austin.	Too much shifting of students and disrupting education/ social. Portables at AES.	Option #2.
11/9/2019 19:57								

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/9/2019 20:08	Austin ES	all of Austin stays together	A lot of movement between the schools that doesn't have an impact on the already over crowded schools; portable classroom for a brand new school.	no portable classrooms. least movement of students as well as least disruptive in the students education. All of Austin and Vanderlyn stay together.		certain schools don't have movement of students and can remain in current learning environment	disruptive to student at Hightower. New Austin will open as a new school with over 100 students over capacity. use of trailers	Option 2
11/9/2019 20:14	Vanderlyn ES		I am against the arbitrary movement of students in and out of Vanderlyn with no net change to enrollment. If there is no net change in enrollment there is no reason to impact students at both Vanderlyn and Dunwoody. Those students should remain at their existing schools.		I am against any option that divides Dunwoody North between Chestnut and Kingsley. Neighborhoods should remain intact.	I support cross cluster redistricting. PCMS and DHS should be able to get some relief from these changes.	I am against any option that divides Dunwoody North between Chestnut and Kingsley. Intact, established neighborhoods should not be divided.	I think minimizing student moves best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster.
11/9/2019 20:31	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	None	Option 3
11/9/2019 20:59	Austin ES							
11/9/2019 21:16								
11/9/2019 21:17	Austin ES							No trailers for Austin. Build new schools or increase capacity at other schools to resolve issue. It's ridiculous that we are even having to consider this. Do the right thing. Our Austin district is already overcrowded and will continue to grow with young families in the current zoning as elderly sell their homes. Increased traffic puts our kids lives in danger / decreases quality of education
11/9/2019 21:58	Kingsley ES	None for Kingsley	Does not reduce the number of portables as much as the other options. The Latino population at Kingsley is over 60% and this will make it around 80%. That reduces the diversity within the Kingsley school by an additional 20%.	None for Kingsley	The Latino population at Kingsley is over 60% and this will make it around 80%. The lack of diversity at Kingsley is already an issue and this reduces the diversity by an additional 20%.	This plan increases the diversity at Kingsley, reduces the total number of trailers in the district overall, and relocates kids from the overcrowded Hightower to a brand new school that is closer to their homes.	None for Kingsley	Option 3
11/9/2019 22:11	Dunwoody ES	I like this plan best because it relieves some of the overcrowding in my elem school but doesn't accomplish it by creating a new school of almost all multi family dwellers travelling long distances which I think is bad.			There is no reason to exempt Austin ONLY from portables especially if it is accomplished by keeping multi family dwellers out of that one school. I am very FOR multi family dwellers being spread between schools. It is good for ALL of the kids to have a combo of single family & multi family in the same elem schools. I am for ALL schools sharing the pain of portable classrooms until they are gone	This is the best plan if I look at things from only my short term personal benefit. I choose not to do that so it is my second choice. I know that the people that I (and the people I love) will rely on in the future will not just be the people raised in my neighborhood so I like the balanced option better as I think it is best for the most kids.		I think the students in the Dunwoody Cluster will all be better off when the focus is on what is best for the county as a whole. Focusing only on what benefits our kids the most without regard to children elsewhere is not a recipe for a good outcome in the future. America became great because of our focus on educating all children regardless of who their parents were.
11/9/2019 22:19	Kingsley ES		Does not meet geographic proximity mandate. Requires significant new portable classrooms, especially at the smallest school in the system. Increases enrollment at Kingsley by 25%, putting it significantly over capacity. Pulls in communities that are far from school site.		Does not meet geographic proximity mandate. Requires significant new portable classrooms, especially at the smallest school in the system. Increases enrollment at Kingsley by 25%, putting it significantly over capacity. Pulls in communities that are far from school site.	Fewest portables across system. Most equitable distribution of resources and least impact on existing schools. Students being redistributed are significantly closer to new schools.	Larger number of redistricted students, but even this is minor when it results in more logical attendance zones.	Option 3, by far. It results in the fewest portables across the cluster and the most equitable redistribution of resources and attendance zones.
11/9/2019 22:57	Vanderlyn ES	Advantages of this option are kids can study in their home school.	Disadvantage of this option is about portable classrooms.	No need to build portable classrooms.	Kids can't be able to enjoy their school due to new environment	We don't know about this option	Kids can't be able to adjust	Vanderlyn school highest population can't be able to relocate. so may be another part can relocate.
11/9/2019 23:20	Austin ES	Initially balances capacity fairly well across all schools	Significant movement of student population with least amount of portable reduction. Highest growth areas within the community are zoned for Austin and will create disparate overcrowding in the future. Need to balance out future growth areas (beyond 2021). 200+ increase at Austin will negatively impact traffic patterns on Roberts Drive (which carries over into the village), divides Dunwoody North	Minimizes student movement across the cluster while resulting in a reduction of 10 portables (more than Option 1), provides overcrowding relief to DES and Hightower, allows for organic growth at Austin which is and is projected to increase at a high trajectory, best balance of future growth between DES and Austin (still need to look at attendance beyond 2021	Divides the Dunwoody North neighborhood community	Most relief to Hightower by moves to Doraville United, highest reduction in portables	Highest trajectory growth areas are zoned for Austin (need to balance between DES and Austin), largest movement of students across cluster yet still at 114% capacity (vs. 117 in other options - not significant difference), negative impact on traffic along Roberts (and into village) with 200+ increase in students at Austin, Divides the Dunwoody North neighborhood community	Of the options presented, Option 2 best serves students in the cluster.
11/9/2019 23:25	Vanderlyn ES	Seems to make little to no difference in fixing any actual problems. This is simply inconvenient for those being redistricted, but overall has zero value in handling the schools overwhelming numbers or getting rid of the unsafe unhygienic portable tin can trailers they continue to laughably call "portable classrooms" as attempt to sleep better at night.	Seems to make little to no difference in fixing any actual problems. This is simply inconvenient for those being redistricted, but overall has zero value in handling the schools overwhelming numbers or getting rid of the unsafe unhygienic portable tin can trailers they continue to laughably call "portable classrooms" as an attempt to sleep better at night.	Fewest children disrupted in redistricting. Aside from one lone student in Vanderlyn ES it keeps the rest a tight knit community. Actually seems to remove a few of the offensive unsafe unhygienic tin can trailers which is FINALLY a step in the correct direction.	Still doesn't remove enough the offensive unsafe unhygienic tin can trailers being called "classrooms". Prioritizing removing ALL of those should be the goal overall for ALL schools. If it cannot be managed then a new elementary school or additional buildings or add-on wings to the current ones should be in the works.	Overall places way to many children from a highly successful school & community into a much lower end one. In addition to screwing up the values of all properties for those communities and aggravations caused by those who moved to this area solely for those schools for their children under the expectation they'd remain for the duration of ES. Improves overall utilization of Dunwoody Cluster.	Most disruptive to students & the community relocation-wise. Splits Vanderlyn & Chestnut communities to an extreme extent. Does not benefit anyone in these communities who moved here expecting their children to stay within these schools for several more years. Adversely affects geographic proximity as well as traffic & safety issues for everyone involved in this. The tin-cans aren't a priority.	Option #2 Temporarily is the best possible outcome, but it still does not address or deal with long term issues or the much needed safety changes required for the welfare & education of Dunwoody community members students.
11/9/2019 23:38	Kingsley ES		1. Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school; 2. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around; 3. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower); 4. Does not relieve use of trailers.		1. Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school; 2. Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around; 3. Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower).	1. Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting; 2. Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster; 3. Provides the most students access to new schools (United & Austin); 4. Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster; 5. Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools; 6. Creates socio-economic divers		In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution. We favor geographic proximity over the interests of artificially "intact" neighborhoods with outside voices (who have been leaving Kingsley out of parent-led map options). There are many students who live within 2 miles of Kingsley, and would have safe access points via Mt Vernon Place from the north.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/9/2019 23:58	Vanderlyn ES	The option makes the most sense, I agree with the balanced enrollment and the sharing of portables.	21 (B2) swap from Vanderlyn to Austin and the 22 (C) swap from Dunwoody to Vanderlyn makes no sense send the 22 (C) straight to Austin and leave the 21 (B2) at Vanderlyn to impact less kids. Please leave the single family homes at Vanderlyn.	No single family housing is taken from Vanderlyn, this is very important to the school. It's important to keep all single family housing at Vanderlyn. If homes are removed it will have a negative impact on the schools PTO, financial donations, volunteers etc. The school can not afford to lose the single family homes from the current student population.	I disagree with Dekalb playing favorites and allowing Austin to be the only one without portables. Dekalb needs to balance out the portables among the schools.	None	Moving 35 kids (D) from single family homes that feed into Vanderlyn will have a negative impact on the current Vanderlyn population. The school can not afford to lose any single family homes. If it does the ratio of transient to non transient will be too high and will have a big impact on PTO, financial donations, volunteers etc. Please consider splitting up The Jefferson between the schools.	Honestly none of them. When creating the proposed map please consider leaving the single family homes that feed into Vanderlyn alone. There is a very high transient population at Vanderlyn and the school can not afford to lose any of the single family homes. Vanderlyn needs all the volunteers, donations etc it can get which typically comes from residents that are here for the long term.
11/10/2019 1:18	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible.	Option #2 or Option #1
11/10/2019 5:47	Dunwoody ES	more equitable distribution of overcrowding			not equitable or sustainable	better than option 2		option 1
11/10/2019 7:13	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>No significant reduction in trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>	OPTION 3
11/10/2019 7:38	Kingsley ES							
11/10/2019 7:47	Austin ES	None	It takes all the diversity out of DUNWOODY Elementary school. It overcrowds Austin immediately and does not allow Austin to add trailers for future growth.	Least disruptive to students. Doesn't overcrowd Austin immediately. Provides relief for other schools	None	None	Very disruptive to students. Takes all diversity away from DUNWOODY Elementary. Splits a neighborhood. Sends DUNWOODY kids to Doraville schools. Overcrowds the new Austin immediately with no room for growth. Not worth all the disruption for the minimal reduction in trailers	Geographic location, keeping neighborhoods in tact. Would hope to get some residents along with apartments so we have parents invested in the community
11/10/2019 8:15	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	NON	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	Non	= Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut	Option #2 : Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.
11/10/2019 8:17	Dunwoody ES					This seems to be the best option for all schools. Also heard there was a proposed options for that seemed to be circulating that would also work.		Option 3
11/10/2019 8:18	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Non	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Non	Non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut	Option 2
11/10/2019 8:21	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/10/2019 8:21	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	NON	NON	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut	Option 2
11/10/2019 8:24	Vanderlyn ES	option 1 has Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	NON	Non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut	option 2
11/10/2019 8:25	Kingsley ES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>Does not relieve use of trailers</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school</li> <li>Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around</li> <li>Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)</li> <li>Does not relieve use of trailers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting</li> <li>Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster</li> <li>Provides the most students access to new schools, (United &amp; Austin)</li> <li>Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster</li> <li>Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools</li> <li>Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation</li> </ul>		Option 3
11/10/2019 8:29	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact	Non	Non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut	Option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 8:30	Chesnut ES		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, this will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria.		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, this will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria.		By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, this will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria.	I don't agree with any of the 3 options. I am open to more students coming to Chenut.
11/10/2019 8:32	Vanderlyn ES	Non	Austin new school opens with trailers. Doesn't help balance	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. After for walkers	Non	Non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley . extremely not safe for walker. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut	Option 2
11/10/2019 8:37	Vanderlyn ES	Non	Austin new school opens with trailers. No balance of capacity	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Non	Non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 2
11/10/2019 8:42	Kingsley ES		creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota s 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 miles from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers		Creates lack of diversity within the Kingsley School Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody Cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley and 1.0 miles from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers		Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools (United and Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	In summary, Option 3 is the most equitable solution.
11/10/2019 8:46	Vanderlyn ES	no pros.	Austin new school opens with trailers. No balance of capacity	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	no con	no pro	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	option 2
11/10/2019 8:48	Vanderlyn ES	non	Austin new school opens with trailers. No balance of capacity. will overcapacity quickly	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Non	Non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), will Cause more traffic and dangers turns. and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option 2
11/10/2019 8:54	Vanderlyn ES	no pros	No balance of capacity	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. Best option for vanderlyn community	non	Non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	option 2
11/10/2019 8:57	Vanderlyn ES	non	Austin new school opens with trailers. No balance of capacity	non	less disruption and safer. Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), a few (10 ) trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Non	Most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	option 2
11/10/2019 9:21	Vanderlyn ES	non	not balanced at all	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	non	non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	option 2
11/10/2019 9:25	Vanderlyn ES	non	same congested . no balance	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	non	non	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	option 2

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 9:29	Vanderlyn ES	no pro at all	No balance of capacity.	less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions and keep vanderlyn ES intact	no	non	worst options of all. Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	option 2
11/10/2019 9:32	Kingsley ES	non	adds no value and not balanced	less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions	non	no	adds no value. breaks communities. cause more traffic and safety issues. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities.	option 2
11/10/2019 9:36	Dunwoody ES							
11/10/2019 9:37	Kingsley ES	non	lack of diversity no relief to dunwoody cluster no reduction in trailers	less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions and keep vanderlyn ES intact promotes diversity; reduces trailers	non	non	adds no value. breaks communities. cause more traffic and safety issues. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. lack of diversity	option 2
11/10/2019 9:42	Chesnut ES	non at all	lack of diversity, no relief to dunwoody cluster, no reduction in trailers; worst option	less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions. promotes diversity and growth	non	non	Most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. adds no value. breaks communities. cause more traffic and safety problem	option 2 is more reliable and addresses issues a growth.
11/10/2019 10:08	Austin ES	no pros at all	no relief to dunwoody cluster, no reduction in trailers, lack of diversity,	promotes diversity and growth, less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions and keep vanderlyn ES intact	non	no pros	a lot: lack of diversity Most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. adds no value. breaks communities. cause more traffic and safety problem	option 2: these other options are worse: no diversity; less safe; more disruptive
11/10/2019 10:09	Vanderlyn ES							
11/10/2019 10:12	Vanderlyn ES	n/a	no relief to dunwoody cluster, less reduction in trailers	fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions and keep vanderlyn ES intact	non	no pros	no relief to dunwoody cluster, no reduction in trailers, lack of diversity Most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. adds no value. breaks communities. cause more traffic and safety problem	Option 2 - best option in the listed. we need more options actually. this is still not good
11/10/2019 10:19	Other					This to me is the fairest option and also the safest		Option 3
11/10/2019 10:24	Other							Option 3 seems to make the most sense.
11/10/2019 10:30	Vanderlyn ES						This proposal makes no sense. The current Vanderlyn students that are being moved are actually closer to Vanderlyn and need to remain in Vanderlyn district.	option 1 or option 2
11/10/2019 10:39	Austin ES		Austin is over capacity before it even opens and major concerns about Roberts drive traffic and having buses that need to come even earlier (current is 637am for a 5 year old!!!!)	least kids changing schools, lowest traffic at austin, lowest number of portables in district		only solution that moves kids out of cluster	largest disruption for very little gain (~100 seats)	Option 2. Least disruption and lowest number of trailers and keeps traffic at roughly current levels
11/10/2019 11:06	Dunwoody ES							
11/10/2019 11:14	Dunwoody ES	<p>While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.</p>	<p>Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem</p> <p>Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for</p> <p>No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United</p>	<p>Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary.</p> <p>The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES</p>	<p>DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING.</p> <p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for.</p> <p>Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster.</p> <p>No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Dorav</p> <p>No trailers at Austin.</p> <p>Non-equitable load distribution. Not enough relief for DES overcrowding. DES is the only school both overcrowded and projected to keep growing.</p>	<p>Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United</p> <p>Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.</p>	<p>Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage</p> <p>Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in</p>	<p>Question 4: Which focus/option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster? Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety</p>
11/10/2019 11:44	Dunwoody ES	Provides some relief for overcrowding of DES.	Not enough relief for DES overcrowding. DES is the only school both overcrowded and projected to keep growing.	N/a		Provides some relief for DES overcrowding.	Not enough relief for DES overcrowding. DES is the only school both overcrowded and projected to keep growing. DES line should be moved back to Tilly Mill.	Option 4 or 6 that DES put forward.
11/10/2019 11:49	Kingsley ES		Kingsley would become a school with an uneven social economic and cultural balance.			This represents the fairest, most diverse option for my community.		Option 3
11/10/2019 11:51	Kingsley ES							
11/10/2019 11:53	Kingsley ES							
11/10/2019 11:54	Dunwoody ES	It is best option to decrease the number of the student in DES, I think.	No cons.			It can relieve the stress of schools in Dunwoody area.	I am sure if the parents in Hightower ES agree to transfer their kids to Doraville area if it is cross the city borders.	Option 1.
11/10/2019 12:02	Vanderlyn ES							
11/10/2019 12:03	Kingsley ES							3
11/10/2019 12:22	Austin ES							
11/10/2019 12:30	Austin ES	Although this option in the short-term is balanced, it will quickly be unbalanced with how much the growth there will be in the Austin ES area.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus, leaving no room for organic growth. Significant traffic concerns with the addition of 200 students.	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding. Moves least amount of students in our cluster and helps get rid of 10 portables. Provides Hightower ES some relief in terms of overcrowding. Reduces the impact of traffic concerns on Roberts Rd.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Moves nearly 500 students - the greatest impact on students of the 3 options. Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Will add portables to the new Austin ES leaving no room for future growth.	Option 2 because it minimizes the number of students who have to change schools, and it also has a significant impact on the number of portable classrooms. Option 1 and 3 also shift the only area in our cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate through the 2021 school year over to Austin ES. Option 2 splits up the high growth area equitably between Austin ES and Dunwoody ES.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 12:33	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. get rid of 10 portables with the least amount of students moved				
11/10/2019 12:52	Austin ES			best choice				Option 2
11/10/2019 12:57								
11/10/2019 12:59	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly	New attendance lines gives Austin ES the highest growth areas in the cluster, expected to grow at the highest trajectory for 2021 enrollment with obvious long-term attendance impacts. Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. Will add portables to the new Austin ES campus	New Austin ES starts with 0 portables, and allows for organic growth in an area that is projected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students/down 13% in utilization). Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1. Helps get rid 10 portables. better traffic situation for roberts	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to Hightower ES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Imposes most dramatic movement amongst Dunwoody Cluster (nearly 500 students being required to change schools); Negligible amount of impact on overcrowding while moving 200 more students than in Option 2. New attendance lines gives Austin ES the only area in the cluster that is expected to grow at a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Will add portables to the new Austin Roberts rd traffic	Option 2
11/10/2019 13:14	Dunwoody ES	Less displacement	Less effective, DES is still massively overcrowded for its limited staff.	Most relief for DES, anything other than solution is not realistic if the effort here is to provide relief for the school. School staff will be better allocated to student counts. Students who live closest to Austin will go to Austin.	Austin parents will not be able to hold their feet to the fire when referring to DeKalb County Schools if this is implemented, as has been mentioned in meetings. DES will benefit but Vanderlyn will continue to be overcrowded and is not benefited by this change.	Massive relief for Cluster.	Students will change friends when coming back to Peachtree Charter from Union.	Option 3 best benefits cluster, option 2 best benefits DES.
11/10/2019 13:15	Kingsley ES							
11/10/2019 13:26	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/10/2019 13:27	Kingsley ES							
11/10/2019 13:47	Dunwoody ES		No relief for Vanderlyn. Lines don't make sense, Kingsley goes over Mt Mount Vernon just to pick up a few students? South of Peeler Road through Shallowford is a clear divide for Chestnut. Northwest of Peachtree Industrial should be Kingsley and Chestnut than carving apartments out for Hightower		Is this a real question?		Relief for Hightower, but at the expense of splitting feeder schools. Is there potential to do the Hightower split at the middle and high school level?	For elementary schools, 3, however, this does not address all of the problem.
11/10/2019 14:02								
11/10/2019 14:09	Hightower ES							
11/10/2019 14:12	Dunwoody ES		This map takes active single family homes from a school that already has a lot of multi family homes which leaches their volunteers		Vanderlyn doesn't really need to be adjusted. They are fairly stable. Why takes kids from them just to add more?	We adjust the actual number of kids in the cluster by moving some to a cluster that has room. This only makes sense if they are moved for elementary-high school though. Redistricting only shuffles kids around an over crowded system balancing the amount of overcrowding in each school. This is the only option that works towards fixing the bigger overcrowding problem.	Chesnut still loses a bunch of single family homes that are strong source of volunteers.	3
11/10/2019 14:12	Dunwoody ES		41 portable classrooms		40 portable classrooms		37 portable classrooms	The lease portable class rooms option. 3 however shallow ford elementary was removed why but build it back on the empty property and solve the problem and have no portable classrooms
11/10/2019 14:14	Austin ES		No Vanderlyn students would go to Kingsley. That doesn't make any sense. Dunwoody Elementary students to Vanderlyn also makes zero sense.	None	Vanderlyn doesn't have to move any of their students. That is absurd.	At least Vanderlyn is also accepting the burden.	That is way too many for Austin to take on.	Evenly balancing the demographics so that one school doesn't have to take the burden of an entire apartment complex.
11/10/2019 14:15	Dunwoody ES							
11/10/2019 14:26	Austin ES							
11/10/2019 14:27	Vanderlyn ES	non	no relief to dunwoody cluster, no reduction in trailers	less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions and keep vanderlyn ES intact promotes diversity and growth	non	non	lack of diversity, most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous.	option 2 - this is best from the 3 options
11/10/2019 14:31	Austin ES	non -	no relief to dunwoody cluster no reduction in trailers terrible option. who's idea?	best of 3. Fewest students being moved/disrupted, 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact. less disruptive. and balanced capacity. safer solutions and keep vanderlyn ES intact promotes diversity and growth	not too much for growth	non	lack of diversity, Most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. simply terrible. who proposed this terrible idea?	option 2 - best of the 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 14:32	Vanderlyn ES		Trailers at Austin; Not provide enough relief for growth forecast of Dunwoody ES; No cluster relief; Dunwoody Forest West is split	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids) and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact			Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids); Splits Vanderlyn ES and Chesnut ES Communities; Option #3, map D does not benefit anyone, the net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible while increasing geographic distance and traffic/safety issues for all involved in Area D	Option #2
11/10/2019 14:39	Austin ES							
11/10/2019 14:40	Kingsley ES	Temporary relief for DES & Hightower.	Does not reduce the overall population of the cluster by shifting students to the new Doraville school.	Temporary relief for DES and Hightower, but not a permanent fix.	Does not reduce the overall population of the cluster by shifting students to the new Doraville school. Gives special treatment to Austin, which already is advantaged by having a new facility, while pushing more students into older buildings.	Maximum reduction in temporary classrooms. Provides a more permanent solution to the overpopulation of the cluster as a whole. Puts the most students in new facilities and reduces stress on older ones.	It shifts a lot of kids, but frankly from what I have seen, kids handle this way better than parents.	Option 3 provides the most relief of overcrowding and make best use of the facilities available.
11/10/2019 14:48	Austin ES	little solution	little reduction in trailers, no relief to dunwoody cluster, still not enough capacity planned	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not too much capacity	no	lack of diversity; no relief to dunwoody cluster; lack of diversity Most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. adds no value. breaks communities. cause more traffic and safety problem	option 2
11/10/2019 14:52	Austin ES	intact to most communties	Austin new school opens with trailers. No balance of capacity	Austin new school opens with trailers. No balance of capacity	non	non	Most disruption. Will make congested traffic worse and more dangerous. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. Lack of diversity. Make little sense.	option #2.
11/10/2019 15:04	Dunwoody ES							
11/10/2019 15:07	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/10/2019 15:30								
11/10/2019 15:31	Kingsley ES	None	Too crowded	None	Too crowded	Better plan		Three
11/10/2019 16:07	Dunwoody ES	Everyone has portables and Kingsley must participate; largest and newest schools fully utilized	Austin looks very redlined with zero diversity; zero relief for Vanderlyn; DES breaks up neighborhoods ( e.g. perimeter center); doesn't account for creative solutions such as why must all remain an Elementary school? Should we have an intermediate school?	Looks the same as today	Austin looks redlined and zero diversity; no community burden of the portables (left to just a few schools instead of all); doesn't provide any creativity or me solution such as why must all be an elementary school? Why not an intermediate?	Everyone bears the portables; better utilization of Doraville	Vanderlyn has zero relief of portables; Austin looks very redlined and with zero diversity; Vanderlyn and Austin look like neighborhood breakups	Diversity in ALL schools. Stop redlining Austin. Roads should not serves as borders. Look the full city of Dunwoody as most do not affiliate with neighbor hoods and take their kids all over Dunwoody for activities. Second, quality of instruction and investment of extracurricular resources to align with enrollment. e.g. more portables does not mean more cafeteria, music room, auditorium seating etc
11/10/2019 16:45	Dunwoody ES		This map does not distribute areas of future residential growth across different schools. specifically the apartment and condo developments along Ashford Dunwoody. DES has the largest number of apartment complexes feeding into the school, and the enrollment from these residences is constantly changing. This variable should be spread across the cluster, so overcrowding fluctuations are shared.					
11/10/2019 16:53	Dunwoody ES	Opens Austin with trailers to provide equality in that regard across Dunwoody cluster. Best option as it provides most relief to DES.	C needs to be moved Vanderlyn or Austin but Austin is by far the closest. If C is moved to Austin then B2 would not need to move to Austin, allowing those students to remain in Vanderlyn.	Some relief for DES, but nowhere near enough. C is moved to a more sensible school (although Austin is 50% closer).	Not near enough students in new Austin it has to open with trailers to help the cluster. Not enough relief for DES. This is a terrible option.	Benefits most schools in cluster, and relieves DES and Hightower	There are too many students moving in this option. Not enough relief for DES considering growth projections.	Our family prefers option 1, as it provides trailers at Austin to provide the most equitable solution in the cluster.  We support a solution the reduces capacity at DES the most. In recent growth projections, DES is the school with the highest growth rate in the entire county. We live in "C" and would like moved to Austin (preferably) for proximity or Vanderlyn (overcrowded, but stable)
11/10/2019 17:04	Vanderlyn ES			Pros include: fewest number of students moved/disrupted (only 241 kids). 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn Elementary School community intact.	None that I can think of currently.			We strongly feel that option #2 serves the students best in the Dunwoody Cluster for the reasons indicated previously. Thank you!
11/10/2019 17:08	Other							
11/10/2019 17:22	Dunwoody ES	Dunwoody ES gets rid of some students to Austin	Not enough relief for DES	DES gets rid of some students	No portables for Austin is unfair	Gives DES the most relief		Option 3
11/10/2019 17:23	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/10/2019 17:49	Austin ES							
11/10/2019 17:55	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school. No relief to Dunwoody cluster.	None	Provides no relief to Dunwoody cluster.	Fewest number of trailers throughout the Dunwoody cluster. Provides the most students go to new schools (United and Austin). Provides some long-term relief to Dunwoody cluster.	Only a partial solution within the cluster.	Option 3
11/10/2019 18:01	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin new school opens with trailers	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated), Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there are no sidewalks/crosswalks for safe treks to Kingsley " we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. It splits Vanderlyn ES & Chesnut ES Communities. The net positive effect to Vanderlyn is negligible	Option #2
11/10/2019 18:31	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are split across all schools. Eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools, ok balanced solution.	Austin opens with trailers.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted, trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Austin opens at under-utilization.	While it may improve the overall utilization of the Dunwoody Cluster elementary schools, there are too many cons.	Most disruption (439 kids relocated) with no real relief to the school (disrupting 57 kids for 13 net gain traslated to 2 students a grade. negligible); , Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) ; no possiblity to walk to Kigsley from our neighborhood; breaking our community of neighborhoods.	option 2 - least disruptive, most equitable and provides some short term relief; the Board should concentrate on long term solutions and not disrupting few students across the district in plans that do not provide real relief. building new school is the long term solution.
11/10/2019 18:42	Kingsley ES							Option 3

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 18:54	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, Eliminates 9 of the trailers. Relieves overcrowding at Dunwoody ES, Increases enrollment at Kingsley ES, Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Austin new school opens with trailers. Doesn't provide relief for overall Dunwoody cluster.	Fewest students being moved/disrupted, 10 trailers removed from our schools, Keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	Not enough relief for Dunwoody ES. Inequitable in that Austin opens with new school and at under-utilization. No relief for overall Dunwoody cluster-All other schools get even more overcrowded.	Utilizes new Doraville ES to alleviate overcrowding and improve utilization of the overall Dunwoody Cluster. With minor change to plan of removing 3C and 3D, will provide the greatest reduction in trailers and bring utilization of most schools to approx. 110%	Most disruption of kids, but with removal of 3C and 3D, would be comparable in Numbers to Option 2. If 3C and 3D are left in, breaks apart the Vanderlyn Community. 3D would make it very difficult to get to Kingsley because of traffic patterns.	Focus: alleviate overcrowding in the overall Dunwoody cluster, reduce # of trailers, relieve Dunwoody ES for future growth, balance enrollment. Option 3 minus 3C and 3D accomplish this and by keeping the 35 students in Vanderlyn (3D) and 22 students in DES (3C), keeps Vanderlyn intact, disrupts less students, removes 14 trailers. This also brings more students to Kingsley but doesn't overdo it.
11/10/2019 18:59	Vanderlyn ES	Balanced enrollment, trailers are equitably split across all schools, and it does eliminate 9 of the trailers at our schools. Keeps Vanderlyn ES community in tact, relieves Dunwoody Elementary enrollment		Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), 10 trailers removed from our schools, most equitable and fairest solution for all and keeps Vanderlyn ES community intact.	not as much relief for Dunwoody ES as Option #1 and Austin opens at under-utilization.	Take C and D out of the equation and it seems like the most logical choice. Keeps Vanderlyn in tact if you don't move C and D.	Another reason to not move D, is the Oxford Chase buses will have a very dangerous left turn on Mt. Vernon (backing up our already congested traffic) and there is no light for safe walking to Kingsley - we can now ride/walk/bike to Vanderlyn which is closer and much safer. Keeping D in the equation would cause a bottleneck as roughly 20 additional cars would be turning left.	I believe option 3 is the best option w/o disrupting C and D and causing traffic/safety issues. D has a close bond to Dunwoody Club Forest which is in Vanderlyn. The neighborhoods are connected via trails. Parents are alums of VES, # of students is negligible. Moves the largest # of kids in A, alleviates Hightower & utilizes Doraville United. Helps Kingsley but doesn't overdo it so kids struggle
11/10/2019 19:10	Dunwoody ES	Equitable and safer for ALL kids.	No map completely solves for overcrowding. Does not help Vanderlyn but does not make it worse either.	None. Protects New Austin Elementary as a special, for no logical reason, at the expense of the safety of the other kids in the cluster.	Protects New Austin Elementary as a special for no logical reason at the expense of the safety of the other kids in the cluster.	Somewhat more equitable distribution.	Lots of moves.	Option 1 followed by option 3. Equitable distribution and safety in Dunwoody Cluster must be prioritized over the sentiment that a new school should not have portables. My daughter has lunch almost at the end of the day due to overcrowding. This makes no sense. Thank you
11/10/2019 19:14	Austin ES	None - there is no acceptable case for a *new* school to open with portable classrooms. Time to stop the madness.	*New* school opening with portable classrooms. In essence, this represents the DeKalb School Board and Superintendent admitting that they are inept and in need of replacement (maybe that's a pro).	Not opening a *new* school with portables. Alleviates some overcrowding at DES. Moves least amount of students in our cluster, while achieving greater portable reduction than Option 1.	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES. No consideration for cross-cluster utilization balancing, e.g. Montgomery and Huntley Hills. No consideration for creative solutions - like repurposing class A office space into a new school (e.g. North Atlanta High).	None - there is no acceptable case for a *new* school to open with portable classrooms. Time to stop the madness.	*New* school opening with portable classrooms. In essence, this represents the DeKalb School Board and Superintendent admitting that they are inept and in need of replacement (maybe that's a pro).	Option 2 is the best of those presented, but the fact that these are the three options presented show a complete lack of creativity in solving a system-wide problem. Perhaps I should not be surprised, but it's time for the DCSB and administration to take responsibility for the state of our school system and seek creative, long-term solutions not these temporary suggestions. Our kids deserve better
11/10/2019 19:27	Kingsley ES		Lack of diversity for Kingsley school.		No relief to Dunwoody cluster just moves students around		Fewest # of trailers throughout cluster. Long term solution providing most relief to the cluster. Creates socio economic diversity instead of consolidation.	Option 3
11/10/2019 19:43								
11/10/2019 19:50	Kingsley ES		Does not provide relief to the entire Dunwoody cluster, seems to needlessly move children around. Violates the DCS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)		Does not provide relief to the entire Dunwoody cluster, seems to needlessly move children around. Violates the DCS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 2.9 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)		Provides the most release for the entire district. Follows the DCS Geographic location policy when redistricting. Requires fewer trailers across the district.	Option 3 provides relief to the entire cluster as well as balances the need for trailers across the cluster.
11/10/2019 19:51	Austin ES		Does not relieve use of trailers		Does not relieve use of trailers			
11/10/2019 19:52	Dunwoody ES							
11/10/2019 19:52	Kingsley ES							
11/10/2019 19:54	Kingsley ES					Creates socio-economic diversity. Most equitable		Option 3
11/10/2019 20:07	Kingsley ES					lowest number of trailers throughout Dunwoody, most access to new schools, provides long term solution.		Option 3, most students in new schools
11/10/2019 20:09	Dunwoody ES	Definitely for option 1- balance enrollment, Keep neighborhoods in tact, minimize portables, relieve overcrowding in the cluster, minimize movement of students, equitable future distribution of areas for future growth!						Option #1
11/10/2019 20:09	Dunwoody ES	Some relief for DES	No consideration for growth at DES in 2021	Areas A and C go to Austin and Vanderlyn	Inadequate relief for DES	Provides relief to Dunwoody cluster as a whole, and slightly to DES.	Consideration for growth at Austin in 2021, but not for DES	Option 3
11/10/2019 20:10	Kingsley ES	Starts to resolve the need for redistricting	It makes Kingsley too strongly hispanic. If diversity is a goal, how can this be correct?	Addresses the need for redistricting	It makes Kingsley too strongly hispanic. If diversity is a goal, how can this be correct?	addresses the need for redistricting and includes far better diversity in the cluster and makes for better balance across all schools.		Keep diversity across all schools even.
11/10/2019 20:10	Dunwoody ES		Good that many students would be zoned out of Dunwoody ES from the Perimeter Mall apartments, but really not enough. That area has seen high growth and will continue in the future. Austin should also have a larger share of that area. I've seen a map that shows the whole west side of Ashford Dunwoody Rd as Austin districted. I endorse that option.	Well it seems pretty obvious that the Austin crowd would be in favor of this option. I don't see any specific pros with this option over the options.	Doesn't seem fair that one school would not have portables while the other schools would be over crowded with portables. Also doesn't seem right that the school that is closest to Perimeter Mall would not have more students from that area. Austin is closer to Perimeter Mall and I assume would have the capacity. From what I've seen also is that Dunwoody is projected to have more students than AES.	Pro that Doraville United is used to help Hightower. More students should go to DUES from Hightower. This option reduces the most portables vs other options. Gives greatest relief to DES and Hightower vs other options. Seems fair that all schools would be over capacity and have portables.	Again not enough of Perimeter Mall area is zoned for Austin. Please take into consideration the future growth of that area and at least split the area in half between Dunwoody ES and Austin.	Option 3. It's the most fair and it reduces the greatest amount of portables. I also like using Doraville United to help alleviate Hightower.
11/10/2019 20:14	Austin ES							
11/10/2019 20:17	Dunwoody ES	Less disruption	Doesn't relieve Dunwoody cluster overcrowding	None	Does not address future DES growth. Not an amiable trailer distribution amongst Dunwoody cluster	Alleviates Dunwoody cluster overcrowding Provides the most balanced student distribution across Dunwoody cluster	Does not account for future DES growth west of Ashford Dunwoody	Option three
11/10/2019 20:22	Dunwoody ES			We will be moving to a community that would be Austin Elementary if option 2 goes into effect. If not, we will still move to that community which is currently Dunwoody Elementary. If we stay at the Ashford Condos all 3 options have her going to Vanderlyn				Option 2
11/10/2019 20:22	Kingsley ES							Option 3:
11/10/2019 20:33	Kingsley ES	no significant reduction of trailers	no diversity		no diversity	fewest number of trailers diversity of students		option 3
11/10/2019 20:37	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 20:43	Vanderlyn ES		Removes Woodsong neighborhood from VES. With no positive result for Vanderlyn community	Fewest students being moved/disrupted (only 241 kids), keeps Vanderlyn ES community fully intact (no kids relocated), 10 trailers removed, and leaves room for Austin ES growth with no trailers.		Improved overall use of Dunwoody cluster, but too many cons	Most disruption, 1/10 kids relocated (439 kids), splits Vanderlyn ES community with strong heritage to VES, option 3, submap D adversely affects 9 neighborhoods and no strong positive result for Vanderlyn (making 35 kids move out, move in 22 new kids for a pretty map, 57 disruptions, with net loss benefit of only 13 kids, or 2/grade savings. No real positive result, only harm to kids.	Option 2. Less kids disrupted. Like to keep our VES kids together for social/emotional/academic well being, otherwise it can suffer during transfers; also support whole child approach, means continuity of friendships and familiarity of positive school environment. Keep historic bonds of Oxford Chase neighborhood in VES, until a long term solution is reached in future; building a new school.
11/10/2019 20:43	Dunwoody ES		Please use Option 4 which has the area south of Mt. Vernon and west of Ashford Dunwoody all districted for Austin ES. The area is geographically closer to AES, it also has the capacity with being new and can add portables, and enrollment is not projected to grow as fast as Dunwoody ES.		The enrollment within the cluster would not be balanced ...the rest of the cluster would be overcapacity with portables while Austin would not.	Portables are fairly distributed throughout the cluster. The two most overcrowded schools, DES and Hightower have the best relief with this option. Doraville ES is used to help. Get rid of 13 portables versus next best option of 10. Also by reducing students at DES it would help with traffic along Vermack in the morning.	Same as Option 1, Austin (or other schools) need to be districted for Ashford Dunwoody Rd area also besides DES. Austin makes the most sense since it is the school closest to that area. The projected growth for that area is > 3000 condos/apts in the near future. DES should not and can not bear that burden alone.	Option 3. It's not great to start a new school with portables, but what can we do in the short term? The rest of the cluster is severely overcrowded. We HAVE to balance it out across the cluster and use portables at AES. It would actually reduce the use of portables across entire cluster. Using Dorville ES is good as well.
11/10/2019 20:48	Austin ES			I believe option 2 would be most suitable for the Austin District.				Option 2
11/10/2019 20:48	Kingsley ES							3
11/10/2019 20:49	Kingsley ES	none	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	none	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows DCS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools Creates socio-economic diversity instead of consolidation	none	Of the given choices - option 3. It is the only option that comes close to cascading redistricting from the overcrowded top part of DeKalb County. It allows kids in the more southern part of Dunwoody cluster access to a brand new school (Doraville United.)
11/10/2019 20:51	Kingsley ES							3
11/10/2019 20:53	Austin ES		underestimates number of children in B1 underestimates future growth for Austin as new families move into area for new school overcrowds Austin from day one does not resolve overall overcrowding in cluster	plans for increased enrollment that will naturally occur at Austin as families move to take advantage of the new school relieves some overcrowding overall avoids need for school district to add new portable classrooms and maintain them at a brand new elementary			does not plan for natural increase in enrollment that will occur at Austin as a result of people moving to take advantage of new school requires county to pay for and maintain new portables at a new school Moves kids of the cluster only to have them move back in later in middle school which is not the best experience for children	Option 2 Provides improvements to overcrowding while leaving some ability for growth that will come at Austin naturally as families move to take advantage of the new school.
11/10/2019 20:57	Dunwoody ES	More balance of students across the cluster Relief for Dunwoody Elementary	Zero relief for Vanderlyn Hurting Chesnut population by taking active parental/financial involvement Splitting Dunwoody North neighborhood No plan for projected growth past 2021 hurts DES Asia has high growth Perimeter area All schools still overcapacity - affects student experience. Shared spaces can't handle (cafeteria, gym)	NOT A SINGLE ONE	Minimal relief for Vanderlyn Hurting Chesnut population by taking active parental/financial involvement Splitting Dunwoody North neighborhood No plan for projected growth past 2021 hurts DES as a high growth Perimeter area All schools still overcapacity (EXCEPT Austin)-affects student experience. Shared spaces can't handle (cafeteria, gym) Austin not helping projected enrollment of the ENTIRE	Most relief to DES More distribution of student population	Splitting Dunwoody North neighborhood Taking students with parental support financially and other from Chesnut All schools in cluster still overcrowded	Not a fan of any, really. But feel as if options 3 or 1 at least attempt to more evenly distribute students so that all schools can share the burden of our cluster's overcrowded conditions. Our children all deserve better. This impacts the educational experience for all of them. And impacts quality of life for families. WE NEED ANOTHER SCHOOL! And better planning. Projected growth is hurting us!
11/10/2019 21:01	Austin ES							
11/10/2019 21:03	Dunwoody ES							
11/10/2019 21:06	Austin ES	All schools get a reduction in portables	Overall there is less reduction in portables and new Austin will require portables despite having just been built	Overall there is a greater reduction in portables and new Austin has no portables	None	Overall portables are less	new Austin has portables, despite just being built. Too much redistricting and shuffling of families that won't be happy	Option 2- least amount of change with greatest outcome in terms of reducing portables. Eventually Vanderlyn will need a larger building and will allow for further reduction in portables from Vanderlyn and Dunwoody Elementary without too much redistricting in the future.
11/10/2019 21:07	Kingsley ES							Option 3
11/10/2019 21:11	Dunwoody ES	There is some relief provided to DES when slice C goes to Vanderlyn. The is a slight sliver of A group that goes to Austin and aligns with the geographical proximity criteria.	This option does not even come close providing the amount of relief that DES needs to address the overcrowding and keeps all of the major areas of growth within DES. Also, there is no major relief provide to the overall Dunwoody cluster. No Hightower students are being moved to DUES.	There are no pros to this option unless you live in the Austin District.	The cons are this option does not provide relief to the Dunwoody cluster, does not provide DES the overcrowding relief it needs, and it does not look at the projected growth in this area. Trailers are inequitably distributed across the cluster. This option meets zero of the criteria the committee was supposed to look at. I am very disappointed this is even being considered.	This option provides relief to the overall Dunwoody cluster by shifting students from Hightower to Dunwoody United. Areas A, B & E shift out of DES providing some relief (but not enough).	This option does not use the projected growth past 2021. It does not provide enough relief to the overcrowding at DES.	While none of the options presented are good choices, Option 3 addresses the overcrowding and trailer issue better than Option 1 or 2. During the community meetings, residents were told if you have something better, submit it. A few Dunwoody residents have created an Option 4 map which is by far the best one for the community. I strongly urge you to consider this option.
11/10/2019 21:12	Dunwoody ES	Significant relief for DES Evenly distributes the student enrollment at each school	No relief for Vanderlyn Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood Effects student population of involved parents at Chesnut All schools still overcrowded, affecting student experience (Shared spaces are too stressed - cafeteria, gym, parking)	None	Austin not sharing in overcrowding DES has highest projected enrollment growth Minimal relief for Vanderlyn Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood Effects student population of involved parents at Chesnut All schools still overcrowded, affecting student experience (Shared spaces are too stressed - cafeteria, gym, parking)	Greatest relief for DES	Minimal relief for Vanderlyn Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood Effects student population of involved parents at Chesnut All schools still overcrowded, affecting student experience (Shared spaces are too stressed - cafeteria, gym, parking)	Options 3 and 1 give most relief to DES who has most enrollment, most overcrowded, and projects highest amount of growth in coming years with construction in the Perimeter area specifically. The education experience is negatively impacted due to over crowding and will continue to be the case even after fair distribution until another school is built or other solutions that do not rely on trailers.
11/10/2019 21:17	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elem Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	CONS: Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth planned around the Peri	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 21:18	Kingsley ES	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	None	Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school Provides no relief to entire Dunwoody cluster, just moves children around Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) No significant reduction in trailers	Follows the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting Fewest number of trailers throughout the entire cluster Provides the most students access to new schools, (United & Austin) Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster Balances special programs, spreads ESOL resources across schools	None	Option 3 as it keeps most students within the closest geographical range of their schools and also has the largest reduction in portable classrooms.
11/10/2019 21:27	Dunwoody ES	minimal shifting of students and children in close proximity to DES today are not shifted to a new school.	NOT ENOUGH RELIEF FOR GROWTH AND OVERCROWDING AT DES. Does not reflect the true and correct head count projections for DES for 2021 and BEYOND. We do not want to redistrict again for a long time. No relief to the cluster as a whole. DES maintains all high projected growth areas in this option.	None	NOT ENOUGH RELIEF FOR DES. We cannot have schools opening without trailers when other schools remain at over 100% capacity. No relief to the cluster as a whole (no movement to Doraville United). Unfair advantages to Austin Elementary while DES is left to face continued overcrowding and expected population from residential increases around Perimeter Mall	Provides relief to the cluster by shifting students to Doraville United. DES gets some relief.	Fails to use adequate growth projections for DES which places our school at a distinct disadvantage in the VERY near future (18 months). Area E seems out of line with criteria of school proximity.	None are great but option 3 relieves the cluster as a whole the most and has the least # of students in trailers (a top priority). However, there are unofficial maps which demonstrate a ways to improve upon this by dividing around the Perimeter Ashford Dunwoody area East to West and also keeps area E intact to DES.
11/10/2019 21:40	Dunwoody ES	Unsure of any	This is called balanced enrollment yet leaves one school at 135% capacity and another at 111%?? This map does nothing to alleviate the over crowding in the cluster as a whole and ignores the projected growth projections beyond 18 months for Areas that would remain with DES.	Good for other school clusters because they don't have to receive any Dunwoody cluster student.	Unfair and unbalanced approach to leave DES with far too little overcrowding relief and some schools up to 139% capacity while Austin opens UNDER capacity and without trailers? Not understanding why this is a valid option when one of the PRIME feedback points after round one was that while not ideal, it may not be feasible to open Austin without trailers. This should not be a valid option.	Relief for the cluster as a whole utilizing Doraville United. Better relief for DES	Does not do enough to address the population and growth projections for DES. Does not properly address the high anticipated growth areas around Ashford Dunwoody. Would be irresponsible leaving us open to another possible redistricting event in the near future.	Option 3 but with improvements to the Ashford Dunwoody area, area E and reducing the most portables overall.
11/10/2019 21:45	Austin ES		Hightower should be receiving relief from Doraville United. It is equally as close of a school and has available seats. Cross cluster redistricting needs to happen for Hightower. Cross Cluster redistricting also needs to happen around High Street. That development project is closest to Montgomery ES. Chesnut needs more students than 429. There is not enough relief in this plan for DES.	Austin opens under capacity	There is not enough relief for DES. Hightower should be cross cluster redistricted to Doraville United. The Highstreet development should be cross cluster redistricted to Montgomery ES. Kingsley should be receiving more students from DES and/or Vanderlyn.	I pretty much like this map best. It is a great idea for Hightower to get relief from Doraville United.	I believe DES and Austin need to be under 1,000 kids. That is a ton of little kids. Highstreet Development should go to Montgomery ES. I would still like to see more students at Chesnut as I don't believe 425 is overcrowded for them. I believe Kingsley can handle more than 520 kids as well.	Option 3 - Cross Cluster redistricting. Hightower getting relief through Doraville United. Move the High Street Development to Montgomery. ES. Shift more students to Kingsley and Chesnut. Get DES and new Austin to under 1,000 kids.
11/10/2019 21:47	Dunwoody ES		Please consider Option 4 (from Redistricting-DES Facebook page). It encompasses a new Section A for Austin. The area west of Ashford Dunwoody would be zoned for Austin. this would help alleviate the current strain that DES is under from overcrowding as well as help split th future growth that that area will see in the future between DUNwoody ES and Austin ES.	Please do not consider this option. It is not fair and equitable to the rest of the cluster if Austin would remain below capacity while the rest of the cluster would be over capacity and would have to use trailers.	Please do not consider this option. It is not fair and equitable to the rest of the cluster if Austin would remain below capacity while the rest of the cluster would be over capacity and would have to use trailers.	Best option that reduces the most amount of trailers throughout the cluster. Also keeps enrollment balanced and fair across the cluster. Over capacity is the same across the cluster. Use of Doraville United to relieve Hightower is good, should have more kids going to Doraville from Hightower. Pulls the most kids out of DES and Hightower which are the schools that need the most relief.	Section A should be larger for future growth and its closer to Austin. Austin has capacity. I endorse Option 4.....which is a Section A that is the whole area from west of Ashford Dunwoody Rd and south of Mt Vernon Rd.	Option 3. Best option that reduces the most amount of trailers throughout the cluster. Also keeps enrollment balanced and fair across the cluster. Over capacity is the same across the cluster. Use of Doraville United to relieve Hightower is good, should have more kids going to Doraville from Hightower. Pulls the most kids out of DES and Hightower which are the schools that need the most relief.
11/10/2019 21:47	Vanderlyn ES							
11/10/2019 21:48	Austin ES	Intended to be balanced in terms of utilization rate (initially), however with rapid forecasted growth rate area moving to Austin ES, this will become unbalanced quickly.	New attendance lines gives AES highest growth areas in cluster; expected to grow @ high trajectory for 2021 enrollment w/ obvious LT attendance impacts. Will + portables to new AES campus leaving no room for organic growth. Significant addl traffic on Roberts Rd w/ the addition of 200+ students. KES capacity is understated due to 3 Sp Ed classes moving from KES to AES creating + portable # @ KE	New AES starts w/ U portables & allows for organic growth in an area that's projected to grow @ high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Helps alleviate DES overcrowding (-132 students). Moves least amt of students in cluster & achieves greater portable reduction than Option 1. Down 10 portables. Provides HES some relief in overcrowding. Reduces traffic on Roberts Rd vs Options 1 & 2	Kingsley ES's capacity is understated due to 3 Special Ed classrooms moving from Kingsley ES to Austin ES, creating a higher-than-actual portables figure at Kingsley ES.	Gives most relief to HES by way of cross-cluster shift to Doraville United. Highest portable reduction.	Most dramatic mvmt of students in our Cluster (~500 students required to change schools); Least amt of impact on overcrowding while moving 200+ students than in Opt 2. New attendance lines gives AES the only area in the cluster that's expected to grow @ a high trajectory rate for 2021 enrollment. Adds portables to new AES campus (no room for future growth). Significant addl traffic on Roberts Rd	Opt 2 is clearly the best for the students: minimizes # of students who change schools while has the most dramatic decrease in portable units needed. Opts 1 & 3 are less favorable b/c they shift dramatically more students (Opt 3 moves ~200 more students than Opt 2) & has negligibly better or slightly worse results w/ minimizing portables. Opt 2 splits the high growth area equitably b/t DES & AES.
11/10/2019 21:49	Austin ES							Option B and build an additional school at least the size of the new Austin to accommodate the overcrowding in the additional schools and allow for additional growth in rack of the elementary schools.
11/10/2019 21:53	Dunwoody ES							This map does not distribute areas of future residential growth across different schools...specifically the apartment and condo developments along Ashford Dunwoody. DES has the largest number of apartment complexes feeding into the school, and the enrollment from these residences is constantly changing. This variable should be spread across the cluster, so overcrowding fluctuations are shared
11/10/2019 22:02	Dunwoody ES	While not enough instructional capacity relief, some relief is provided to DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn which is in alignment with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for DES. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES.	Basing on 2021 estimates (18 months away) is NOT using projected growth far enough ahead given growth projections at Dunwoody Elementary. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projections for Dunwoody Elementary are not accounted for No relief is provided to the Dunwoody Cluster overall; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville United	Areas A and C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn respectively, in line with geographic proximity criteria. However, this relief is not enough for Dunwoody Elementary. The northeast corridor (Shenandoah Estates and Cherring neighborhood) remains at DES who are in walking distance to DES	DES DOES NOT GET NEARLY ENOUGH RELIEF FROM OVERCROWDING. Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage. Speculated growth is accounted for at Austin (new school bump) but growth projection for DES is not accounted for. Inequitable distribution of trailers across cluster. No relief to Dunwoody Cluster; zero Hightower Students are moved to Doraville	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster as a whole by shifting some Hightower students to Doraville United Decompresses DES somewhat through shifting Area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to use projected growth (2021 is 18 months away), which places DES at a disadvantage Growth speculations are accounted for at Austin ("new school bump") but growth planned around the Perimeter area and DES growth projections are not factored in Area E is shifted from DES to Kingsley. Area E should remain with DES in line with proximity criteria.	Option 3 relieves Dunwoody Cluster by moving Hightower students to Doraville, and has the least # students in trailers. But to improve it, ALL property west of Ashford Dunwoody should be Austin Elem. This uses geographic proximity, relieves traffic through residential neighborhoods, improves safety and addresses instructional capacity & projected growth at DES. Also, area E should remain at DES.
11/10/2019 22:04	Dunwoody ES	Balanced distribution across all schools. Provides DES some relief.	Dunwoody Elementary still retains all of the southern end of the Perimeter area, which is where anticipated growth will come from. It would be more equitable to spread this between multiple schools. Perhaps send the area west of Ashford Dunwoody to other schools.	Less students disrupted.	All schools should be treated equally in terms of overcrowding. It is unacceptable that Austin would be at (or under) capacity while neighboring schools have 100-200 students over capacity.	Provides relief to both Hightower and Dunwoody elementary schools in general by shifting some attendance to the new Doraville United ES. Spreads overcrowding between all schools, including Austin. Provides adequate relief to DES	May not factor in the Perimeter area forecasted growth due to new developments. Would recommend that these developments be spread amongst multiple schools, with the goal of adding a new elementary school ASAP. Would like to see area stay at DES. Do not split the Dunwoody North neighborhood. Overall, this is the best concept, but I'd like to see fewer neighborhoods broken up.	Option 3 (ideally with less neighborhoods split).

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/10/2019 22:04	Dunwoody ES	Follows good rule of thumb for keeping students to the schools within closest proximity.	Not enough relief for Dunwoody Elem. nor to the Dun. Cluster as a whole. We need a new school to help solve issues. We also need to be looking at middle and high school growth projections. Does not properly address the growth projections for DES.	Unsure	This option should be removed from consideration. This is not a fair, balanced, nor smart approach to solve overcrowding problems at 5 out of 6 of the schools in the cluster.	Provides relief to Dunwoody cluster as a whole. Relieves DES by shifting area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	Fails to account for projected growth beyond 18 months which leaves DES in danger of immediate massive overcrowding. The county should take another look at the lines and consider suggested maps that have been offered up by members of the community which provide a longer term growth solution until new schools can be funded.	Option 3- relieves the cluster the most, leaves the most children out of trailers, improves traffic patterns and safety. However, great care should be given to improve the dividing of the property west of Ashford Dunwoody Road. This can be done by still honoring geographic proximity, safe traffic and maintaining neighborhood lines. Please help Dunwoody cluster with a fair and future thinking map.
11/10/2019 22:08	Vanderlyn ES	'- Includes portables for Austin	'- The B2 change seems arbitrary; why wouldn't you just move C to Austin and leave B2 at Vanderlyn? - Creates a lack of diversity within the Kingsley school - Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota is 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower) - Smallest reduction in trailers	'- Section E seems like a wise change as Perimeter Gardens is 0.6 miles closer to Chesnut than DES; it's a very direct route down Pernoshal vs. several turns to get to DES. Wonder if this could be incorporated into the other options?	- No portables at Austin is extremely inequitable - no one wants portables, but if the district is overextended, all schools should share the burden - Adds more students to overcrowded Vanderlyn; move C to Austin instead - Violates the DCSS Geographic location policy when redistricting (LaCota Apts 4 miles from Kingsley, but only 1.0 mile from Hightower)	'- Greatest decrease in trailers throughout the entire cluster! - Provides the most students access to new schools (United & Austin) - Long term solution that provides the most relief to the entire cluster - Creates socio-economic diversity at Kingsley	'- Still missing another new school in Dunwoody! - Vanderlyn has highest utilization - consider moving C to Austin instead of Vanderlyn	Option 3
11/10/2019 22:14	Vanderlyn ES	None	Little relief. Need to think beyond cluster due to poor planning.	None	Little relief	Most relief. Need to apply cross-cluster redistricting for middle and high also. Dunwoody is FULL. It is SHAMEFUL there are 730 students over at DHS with no relief in sight.	Only that you bring students back for middle and high. Dunwoody needs relief. Look at MES for relief of Ashford Dunwoody corridor. GEOGRAPHIC PROXIMITY - follow it!!!!!!!!!!!!	OPTION 3
11/10/2019 22:24	Dunwoody ES	Overcrowding spread among all Dunwoody schools. Provides Dunwoody Elementary much needed relief.	May not include enough forecasted growth for the Perimeter area.	Does not impact many students.	Does not equitably distribute overcrowding among all schools. Austin should be treated the same as other schools in that it needs to accept larger numbers and should also take portable classrooms, as have all the other schools in Dunwoody.	Provides relief to the cluster by shifting some Hightower ES students to Doraville United ES. Provides relief to Dunwoody ES by shifting area A to Austin ES and Area C to Vanderlyn ES.	May not accurately forecast growth in the Perimeter area due to new developments. Breaks up neighborhoods. Consider keeping area E with Dunwoody ES and not splitting Dunwoody North.	Option 3
11/10/2019 22:32	Dunwoody ES				Far too many students still at Dunwoody ES	Looks far more balanced		3
11/10/2019 22:40	Chesnut ES	I am against this option	By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria". Thank you so much! And please take just a few minutes to fill out the survey!	I am against this option	By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria". Thank you so much! And please take just a few minutes to fill out the survey!	I am against this option.	By re-districting portions Dunwoody North and the Madison neighborhoods to Kingsley, you will cause longer commutes, worsening traffic patterns, and separate a neighborhood. Commutes will go from 1 mile to over 2 miles, which can be dangerous for walkers, and goes against geographic proximity, a primary criteria". Thank you so much! And please take just a few minutes to fill out the survey!	I believe that the capacity numbers are inaccurate and that Chestnut has the availability to take Dunwoody students from Perimeter Gardens and not lose any of our current enrollment.
11/10/2019 23:03	Kingsley ES					Reduces portables, equitable redistribution of children.		Option 3. Promotes the best impact to the overall Dunwoody cluster, mitigating need for portables and shifting demand using all resources, including Doraville United. Minimizes extreme travel for more children than other options. Other 'neighborhood' solidarity arguments promoting other options are artificial re: their definition of community.
11/10/2019 23:18	Vanderlyn ES		B2 VES loses Woodsong impacting strong volunteer support and creates difficulty for those moved to get to Austin traffic wise. This plan moves 22 VES students out just to gain 22 more from DES. This option does not relieve Vanderlyn.		This plan moves 1 VES student out just to gain 22 more from DES. This option does not relieve Vanderlyn.	Most relief for DES and Hightower	D VES loses strong volunteers in Oxford Chase/Cedar Chase, etc, only to gain 22 more from DES, still leaving children in same number of portables at VES.	All options presented do not consider continued future growth in the cluster. It is not just an elementary overcrowding issue in the Dunwoody cluster-Peachtree Charter MS and Dunwoody HS are suffering from overcrowding. Build new schools. Under any student reallocation there should be minimal disruption/keep neighborhoods together.
11/10/2019 23:19	Dunwoody ES							Option 3
11/10/2019 23:21	Dunwoody ES		Not keeping neighborhoods intact and not showing and not offering balanced enrollment across all clusters	None	It does not offer balanced enrollment across all of the school clusters and all of the other schools Will have portable classrooms except Austin	Helps to alleviate some crowding within the cluster	Section E is a problem due to safety concerns for kids having to cross Tilly Mill road, the proximity of the school is not as close as current Dunwoody Elementary. That option also does not keep the neighborhood in tact. Options F affects Chestnut Elementary by removing single family homes from the school.	Neither map represents the students in the cluster. The DCSD proposes a 4th option that can help to alleviate overcrowding in the cluster, offer balanced enrollment across all of the schools and offers the fewest number of printable classrooms available.
11/10/2019 23:29	Vanderlyn ES	Relieves some overcrowding for DES while keeping more neighborhoods in tact if B2 is not moved to Austin as well. Moving B1 to Austin while homes are still in development, before families with children move in, will prevent Vanderlyn from becoming even more overcrowded and will prevent future displacement of children in future redistricting.	Best distribution of the more transient populations in our communities and diversifies our schools. Splits up Dunwoody North neighborhood. Unnecessarily displaces children in B2 section and hurts Vanderlyn's volunteer population.	No trailers at Austin to show BOE we as a Dunwoody Cluster are sick and tired of trailers.	Splits up Dunwoody North neighborhood.	Moving section H is the biggest step toward cascaded redistricting, but should not be moved unless these students will not be moved out of the Dunwoody cluster for middle and high school as well. If you are going to take them out, let them continue on with those peers to middle and high school.	Splits Dunwoody North neighborhood. Splits Oxford Chase and Dunwoody Club Forest neighbors who have shared space and holiday activities. More dangerous commute to school for Oxford Chase (left onto Mount Vernon) during peak traffic hours.	Maybe option 2 or combination of the 3 that moves as few single family homes as possible, while relieving overcrowding at DES because that school has the most projected growth to come. An option that tells the county we are tired of the trailers. Please build more schools and cascade redistrict more students until these schools can be built.
11/10/2019 23:30	Kingsley ES	None	All	None	Creates a lack of diversity Provides no relief to Dunwoody, just shuffling around	Follows the policy Fewest # of trailers for all of the cluster SOCIOECONOMIC DIVERSITY instead of CONSOLIDATION Provides the most students to the newest schools Balance ESOL resources We hope the district will see how to provide the BEST education for all students in the cluster	None	Option 3
11/10/2019 23:34	Dunwoody ES	None	Doesn't help to alleviate overcrowding among all clusters, doesn't address the future for potential growth for all schools, breaks up the neighborhood	Austin does not have portable classrooms	Does not utilize the fewest number of portable classroom, does not help to alleviate overcrowding in the cluster	None	Safety concerns for section E with kids crossing Tilly mill, doesn't offer balanced enrollment for all schools and does keep neighborhoods intact	The three options presented does serve the students in Dunwoody. DES PAC has proposed an option 4 that better addresses the overcrowding in clusters, offers balanced enrollment, utilizes the fewest number of portable classrooms And helps to keep the neighborhoods intact.
11/10/2019 23:38	Dunwoody ES	This option moves some capacity to Austin from Dunwoody Elementary with the area A.	This option does not shift enough growth to other schools in the district. The DES Pac submitted a better option. To redistribute capacity, especially along Ashford Dunwoody. The current options do not accurately take into account recent developments inside the current DES area.	None	This does not improve overcrowding and is not a suitable option. Area D in this option should be left in the Chesnut district since this neighborhood is very tight. They are tied together through their school and also neighborhood organizations. This option does not shift enough growth to other schools in the district. The DES Pac submitted a better option in the Nov 3rd letter.	All changes except for Area F are good adjustments but do not go far enough to counter new unplanned growth in the overcrowded DES district.	This does not improve overcrowding and is not a suitable option. Area F in this option should be left in the Chesnut district since this neighborhood is very tight. They are tied together through their school and also neighborhood organizations. This option does not shift enough growth to other schools in the district. The DES Pac submitted a better option in the Nov 3rd letter.	None of the three distinct maps will fix overcrowding at DES. Please refer to the letter and map that the DES Pac submitted on Nov 3.
11/11/2019 7:52	Dunwoody ES	As long as the move is planned from next school year, there will not be any impact to students. And the utilization per school is moderated with the option 1.	Vanderlyn school still will have to continue at 135%	Less number at Austin school will help the new school with no portables.	Both Vanderlyn and Chesnut school looks overloaded, while rest looks good.	Good reduction at Hightower.	Vanderlyn still at 132% with 11 portables.	Option 1
11/11/2019 8:07	Dunwoody ES	Will balance enrollment and reduce the number of students at DES.						
11/11/2019 8:08	Kingsley ES		Hightower kids					
11/11/2019 8:08	Vanderlyn ES		Breaking up Chestnut to go to Hightower					
11/11/2019 8:08	Austin ES	I don't see any pros for Option 1	This option would put portables at a brand new school which should not happen. This would also make traffic on Roberts Drive even more congested than it already is. This option adds over 200 students to Austin and will cause overcrowding.	This option allows for no portables at the new Austin Elementary and adds less new students to Austin while still providing some relief from overcrowding.		Option 3 would result in less portables at Austin than option 1.	This option adds just over 200 new students to Austin and still adds portables to a brand new school. This would result in more congestion on Roberts Drive which is already very congested.	

Austin Elementary Redistricting Round 2 Community Input Survey



Recorded Date	Which school community do you represent?	Pros for option 1 map	Cons for option 1 map	Pros for option 2 map	Cons for option 2 map	Pros for option 3 map	Cons for option 3 map	Which focus/ option do you feel best serves students in the Dunwoody Cluster?
11/11/2019 8:08	Dunwoody ES	Shifting area A to Austin and C to Vanderlyn	No relief to Dunwoody Cluster. Students should be moved from Hightower to DUES. Projected growth is only 2021 for DES. We're already busting at the seams and will continue to grow.	C are assigned to Austin and Vanderlyn. This is in line with geographic proximity criteria. Unfortunately, this is not enough relief for Dunwoody elementary.	Des does not get enough relief from overcrowding. There is no relief to the Dunwoody cluster. No students move from Hightower to DUES. This option fails to use projected growth for Dead. Inequality of trailers across the cluster.	Provides relief to the Dunwoody Cluster by moving some students to DUES. Helps DES (not much!) by moving area A to Austin and area C to Vanderlyn.	This map fails to use projected growth which puts Dunwoody elementary school at a disadvantage. The growth speculations are accounted for at Austin elementary, but the projected growth around the perimeter area and Dunwoody elementary school - growth projections are not factored in.	
11/11/2019 8:08	Dunwoody ES	Fewer trailers at Dunwoody						
11/11/2019 8:08	Chesnut ES	Nothing	Chesnut is a better school than Hightower. That's why we bought our house in 2013! Our home would decrease in price and our son would lose his great education he has receiving at Chesnut! There is only 6 elementary kids in our neighborhood so move them to Hightower won't make any change to Chesnut!	Keep the Hightower ES like this map is a better option!	Overcrowded classrooms decrease the process of learning!			
11/11/2019 8:08	Dunwoody ES	- Some relief to DES (but not enough) - Better than option 2 - removes growth from Chesnut	- Does not provide enough relief for GROWTH in perimeter area - Perimeter area growth needs to be split between DES and Austin - Breaks up Dunwoody North Neighborhood which should be kept together - Does not provide overall relief to cluster via Hightower to DUES					
11/11/2019 8:08	Dunwoody ES	I know DES is kind of crowded at this time and even though it doesn't affect me, I think DES's load is evenly split by option 1.						
11/11/2019 8:08	Vanderlyn ES		Doesn't help Vanderlyn capacity percentages.					