

Comment	Response
I would like the idea of opening more theme schools, so we as parents can have more schooling options.	
#1 Overcrowding issue isn't resolved need major renovations #2 Kids that can walk shouldn't get moved #3 Never have to cross major geo bo	
(1) of the 20 kids in section F, 11 are german immersion or special program students. It would in actuality, only bring 10-student relief. Section F identifies as Ashford Park as a neighborhood. There is a townhome complex being built in Section F, but will not have enough students to make any impact on over-crowding @ Ashford Park. More difference could be made in the southern/western portions of the Ashford Park school district.	
*Bad forecasting of school enrollments.	
*Cutting through Harts Mill Rd is breaking up Disrupting neighborhoods effecting elementary students through their middle school and high school life. *Concerns of safety and traffic by dragging students out of their neighborhood to travel to more congested area by crossing dangerous roads.	
*How does this redistricting affect future redistricting? *Is this a long term solution? It seems very short term and does not address APES & MES overcrowding.	Please see FAQ# 21
*Make Oakcliff Theme a school choice for additional schools-Doraville United and Hightower. *ensure that "mobile" students are not moved because their parents don't attend these meetings.	
*My concern is how the lines are drawn. It divides neighbors and seems to be gerrymandered as it is the less expensive homes + apartment that are being moved. *What considerations are being made for the social emotional impact of moving 20 students out of their neighborhood and then back for middle and high school? *Why not a long term plan that will happen over time -for planning(so this stops happening constantly) Moving the MES students does not make a significant impact on MES #'s to justify what it will do to individual families, neighborhoods and community. Bussing makes no sense - busses would literally cross each other.	Please see FAQ# 16
*Not productive solution, especially pulling less than 50 students for same area when it makes absolutely No impact to outcome that the redistricting is trying to achieve *Splitting up neighborhood when the purpose was NOT to split up neighborhood especially cutting through Harts Mill Cutting Harts Mill Rd makes no financial or economical sense as a solution	

Comment	Response
<p>*One con of both options presented do nothing to relieve overcrowding at Ashford Park elementary School. Pulling only 18 kids is not enough to make it worth moving those kids. DCSD should consider pulling more kids that live off of Clairmont Road.</p> <p>*Apartment complexes on Peachtree Industrial should go to Doraville United. No traffic concerns.</p>	
<p>*Sexton Woods neighborhood should stay both together and in the Montgomery Elementary attendance area - these kids grow up together.</p> <p>*Keswick Village/Park should attend the same school as Sexton Woods</p> <p>*Option 1 reduces 40 trailers vs 31 in the Chamblee Cluster and gets all schools but 2 under population.</p> <p>*Hightower Elementary should rezoned along w/ Austin rezoning.</p>	
<p>&gt;Attendance #? How did they come up with?</p> <p>&gt;All the apartments going up in Brookhaven &amp; Chamblee. Will open in next year. I like the reopen of Nancy Creek as neighborhood school. Not control location for Magnet.</p>	Please see FAQ# 5
<p>1) Does this help us resolve long term goals 5 years?</p> <p>2) Why not open Nancey Creek?</p>	Please see FAQ# 28
<p>1) For Option 1 and Option 2, why are you impacting Montgomery and Ashford Park when it is not really decreasing the overcrowding? Why not leaves these communities as is for now until another neighborhood school is built in these areas?</p>	Please see FAQ# 30
<p>1) I am asking you to consider keeping area E(opt 1) or F(opt 2) and sending them still to Ashford Park. This current proposed only reduces the capacity of Ashford Park by 21. I will now be sending my child to a school 3 times further away. In addition we are geographically in part of Ashford Park. you will be separating us from our community</p> <p>2) Please think about how a bus will pick up the kids from Section E (option1) &amp; F(option2). Its a dangerous ride &amp; how will the bus get back w/ the airport. My child will have to either cross clairmont so the bus can pick him up going towards peachtree. If the bus picks him up going the other way they will either need to make a dangerous left on clairmont or go around the airport.</p>	Please see FAQ# 30
<p>1. Can we hold off on making decisions about redistricting until we have a new superintendent in place and permanent leadership. Let's make smart, long-term decisions about our future? 2. Can Doraville United relieve the over-crowding at Cary Reynolds and Dresden and keep the other school communities intact?</p>	Please see FAQ# 27
<p>1. Have property values been considered of those who invested in Montgomery districts?</p>	Please see FAQ# 22
<p>1. How often are redistricting occuring? While the new school is a unique situation, there still isn't an answer for the overcrowding at AP and MES. 2. Are you going to redistrict to fill montclair?</p>	Please see FAQ# 21

Comment	Response
1. Option D on Both is disruptive to a neighborhood, doesn't solve over-crowding. 2. ES option 2, definitely to Doraville.	
1. What are the inputs or drivers in the enrollment projections? 2. This seems like a more short-term solution. What is the long term plan? 3. Keeping neighborhoods intact should be a higher priority. Paired with traffic/safety patterns, how does this set of options weigh these? Both of the above inform geographic proximity.	Please see FAQ# 5 & 10
1. What percent capacity does new school need to open with? 2)Don't split neighborhoods?	Please see FAQ# 29
100% of our room was parents of Montgomery students and 100% of the parents wanted to keep Montgomery together.	
100% of room 2119 17 pple were montgomery elem parents and all agreed 0 impact to Montgomery is the best impact.	
According to the first option give students will beneficiate more because the majority are living close to that school. The option of building a new theme school will eliminate the necessity of trailers classrooms.	
ALL CONS(Both option) !For Montgomery ES! 1)geographic proximity - intact neighborhoods *safety & traffic patterns 2)instructional capacity 3)projected enrollment Keep MES intact none of these will help MES	
Are the enrollment #'s defendable? Please share how they came about (census @ are very outdated)	Please see FAQ# 5
Ashford Park Elem. Is currently over enrollment by 278 (more than Montgomery by almost 70). Why only taking 18 kids for both option 1 & 2.	
Ashford Park Elementary is not getting enough relief to be worth the move of 18 kids that have always been a part of the Ashford Park community and can currently walk to school w/out safety concerns of crossing major roads.(10 of 18 are moving - 8 are GI) *Why not consider Clairmont as the dividing line Option 1 2/ no change to F,E & D(do not move F,E,D)	
Ashford Park Option 1 & option 2 is isolating small groups of students in Ashford Park - separating a neighborhood & community & not relieving anything. Political move creating horrible traffic for commute vs. riding bike, neighborhood roads to school	
Ashford Park: 1) If moved, do siblings still get in to GI? 2)My son is in pre-K at APES. Does he get grandfathered in?	Please see FAQ# 2 & 12
Can Nancy Creek Elementary be reopened as a neighborhood school?	Please see FAQ# 28
Can Oakcliff take in the 42 students from Hightower?	Please see FAQ# 24

Comment	Response
Can the lines be drawn to exclude communities that have minimal movement that are not significantly reducing capacity in that community to only include communities who will represent most relief (i.e. Cary Reynolds/Dresden)?	Please see FAQ# 25
Can the Path Academy be turned back into Jim Cherry?	Please see FAQ# 28
Can we be given access to the data used to create utilization/ portable classroom positions, and demographic estimates?	Please see FAQ# 4 & 5
Can we move 50 students to John Lewis Elementary which would then put them @ 100% capacity and not move D and E on Option #1 and D, E1 and F on option #2	
Can you clarify why in the "no change" option that the overcapacity identified for Cary Reynolds is 205 and Dresden is 308, but in Option 1 shows that Cary Reynolds will get 223 capacity relief and Dresden will get 349 capacity relief. Where do the 72 additional kids come from?	Please see FAQ# 5 & 30
Can you please make the "explicit goal" clear? Hans clarified this after speaking to the general session.	Please see FAQ# 25
Can you share the forecasting Methodology?	Please see FAQ# 5
Compared to Option 1, Option 2 is less disruptive, Neither option is good for MES or Ashford Park. The kids taken from these Schools does not address the problem nor solve the issue. It just adds stress - trouble for everyone.	
Con for option 1 and 2 D having to travel much further to Doraville as well as less safe having to cross PIB. Why move 20-84 kids from Montgomery and small #s from other schools as well, when it doesn't relieve our overcrowding? Consider moving Dresden Kids to Montclair	Please see FAQ# 30
Concerns for Kitteredge Magnet *Moving Kitteredge to open a neighborhood school only satisfies the desires of one neighborhood(MES), but hurts the district as a whole. *Currently ranked as #1 elementary school in the state of Georgia, Kitteredge, as a stand alone school, brings positive press to Dekalb county school district.	
Cons for both 1 and 2: moving small amount of students from MES does <u>not</u> relieve Cary Reynolds/Dresden or Dunwoody cluster. What is the long-term plan for overcrowding relief? MES needs a plan. We've already suggested it: Re-open Nancy Creek.	Please see FAQ# 10 , 28, & 30
-Converting Nancy Creek & Oakcliff back to neighborhoods should be looked at again. -If Oakcliff is converted that shifts 140 back to Pleasantdale, which gives more seats for Cross Keys cluster ESs.	
Could Nancy Creek be reopened as a neighborhood school if Kitteredge moved to wherever in the county has room to house it.	
Criteria 2/4/5 *these don't address overcrowding at Ashford Park and Montgomery. *It does address overcrowding at Cary Reynolds & Dresden *A hole other school is needed - keep in mind during current redistricting so criteria 2 isn't triggered next yr. - Path Academy	

Comment	Response
D,E,F don't solve any problems. F & E break up Ashford Park neighborhood	
DCSD need to better communicate to those who will be primarily affected by Doraville United - new school. Parents of Cary Reynolds, Dresden, Montclair, huntley Hills, and Hightower. Most parents here are from Montgomery & Ashford park.	
DCSD needs to better communicate with parents of Dresden, Hightower, Cary Reynolds, Montclair, Woodward. Where 80% + are Spanish speaking and will be affect most.	
Dekalb county school should care more about Cary Reynolds and Dresden Elementary schools.	
Dekalb county school should consider the Hispanic family opinions, they should make sure the school locations are safer for students, as a matter of fact; Speed humps signs should be build it in the school neighborhood.	
Dekalb county schools should have more theme schools	
Do not move section D across 2 major roads into an area where the 20 or 47 students will be removed from their friends, activity groups, neighborhoods, and after-school programs.	
Do not trickle a small number of students from Keswick Park and Sexton Woods - leave them in Montgomery ES. These students will be transported out of their neighborhood, across Peachtree Blvd, across MARTA line and Norfolk Southern RR.	
-Does the district have a long-term plan for addressing thhe over-crowding at Montgomery and Ashford park, and if so, why move a small number how when that won't address the issue?	Please see FAQ# 10 & 30
Does the planning team actually drive the affected routes?	Please see FAQ# 9
Don't break up 47/20 students from Montgomery across Peachtree Industrial - unsafe and breaking up neighhborhood. (Take a look at whole communities i.e. apartments on Johnson Ferry that have over 20 kids that can be moved from MES, if needed. Move half of apartment instead of to Dresden, move other half to Montclair.	
Don't move any MES students now. Ther is no reason to move 20-47 students if it will give <u>no</u> relief. We want to stay a community.	
Doraville United can it be like Oakcliff Theme school.	
Eliminate classrooms trailers and consider making the new school as a theme one.	
Feedback - Please don't move KMS magnet again.	
For option 2, what is the reason for parts moving to Dresden? Part C is part of the northwoods historic district and northwoods area neighborhood assoc. It should be kept at Cary Reynolds. Part C at Chamblee Tucker would cross a very busy road to Dresden.	Please see FAQ# 25
Forcing students to Cross Peachtree Industrial is not acceptable. Breaking up established neighborhoods (Sexton Woods) is not acceptable	
Future & current feeder patterns for Middle & high school students stinks! Students in area D(Sexton Woods) will go to ES w/students who they will not go to middle & high school with - that doesn't make sense.	

Comment	Response
Gainseborough(Sec F opt !) is a whole neighborhood that has kids on both side, that play together, do sports together & share a swim tennis. Kids & parents will have a mojour adjustment in carpool plans & play plans.	
Given the underestimated growth when Nancy Creek, is the natural growth around Doraville United being underestimated?	Please see FAQ# 29
How are students in programs (for example German Immersion at Ashford Park) accounted for in counts in planning areas?	Please see FAQ# 12
How are you going to gain parents and community members trust? In the past, DCSD has not listened to parents and stakeholders in past.	Please see FAQ# 6
How do your forecast suggest that the forecast # of kids at Montgomery/Ashford will go down starting 2021?	Please see FAQ# 5
how does redistricting affect student:teacher ratio? How will this affect education? resources?	Please see FAQ# 7 & 20
How does this address new developments or any current overcrowding	
How is forecasting done? Whats the plan to address overcorwding at Ashford Park?	Please see FAQ# 5 & 10
How reliable are the projections? Does it take into account desirabiity and reputation of areas that may be percieved as "Better" Why is the opening of Kittridge not being considered? I would like to see research why opening Nancy Creek is not feasible in addressing significang overcrowding in Montgomery Ashford Park. Historically, how accurate are the population growth estimates.	Please see FAQ# 28
How Student with IEP will be affected with the DeKalb county distribution? How DeKalb county school system will guarantee the possibility of school overpopulation? Students with special needs that have been attending trail classrooms will have special accommodations?	Please see FAQ# 2 & 7
How will Assembly and other developments along the Peachtree Industrial corridor impact capacity in the coming (short-term) years?	Please see FAQ# 5
I agree with the redistribution and the idea of remove all of trail classrooms.	
I am a MES parent. Why/where does our capacity # come from? We we ran the formula (posted on DCSD's website our school's capacity was 750. We would respectfully ask that the capacity number be reassessed and published online for all to see.	Please see FAQ# 4
I am concerned about my 10 home development on Keswick park getting pulled out of our neighborhood (Keswick/Sexton Woods) in option 2. All of our neighbors are staying in Montgomery.	
I am very unpleased with both options. Why does the school deliberately ignore the people who know best about their communitis Shelving the Nancy Creek option was the most irresponsible decision EVER made. CONGRATULATION! We'll be doing this again next year. MORE KICKING THE CAN DOWN THE ROAD	
I like that most of Keswick is staying at Montgomery. It would be a traffic and safety concern for this area to be moved to Huntley Hills or Doraville U.	

Comment	Response
I prefer option 2 because it leaves more neighborhoods intact	
I suggest that Hispanic student have the same opportunities like student of other ethnicities. I definitely request to consider the idea of eliminate as many as trailer classrooms as possible.	
I would like the new school to be a theme one. I wish the new school construction will not discriminate or isolated students just for the fact of being a hispanic community.	
I would like to have more theme schools so we have more schooling choices for our children.	
I would rather to be in the Oakcliff Elementary school because it is the best theme school.	
If either option doesn't resolve the overcrowding at the clusters that are the point of the restricting effort, then why take kids from other schools? Does any of this change programs for kids who are gifted and/or have special needs?	Please see FAQ# 7
If geographic proximity is a primary criteria, it does not qualify for D,E, or F for Option 1 or D, E1, or F for Option 2	
If given the choice, the Montgomery community would choose to stay over capacity versus moving any families for relief. Not worth the sacrifice.	
If redistricted to another school, (K-5) *Is it possible for all grades to stay at their existing school by choice so long as they provide transportation for themselves and not just 5th grade?	Please see FAQ# 2
I'm concerned about the impact on instruction & education. How are class sizes in schools that are over populated impacting student advisement?	Please see FAQ# 20
I'm supportive of either option 1 or option 2 because it keeps the core of Ashford Park in tact. Dryer and Ham are only connected via Clairmont, so moving E does not concern me. AP should protect the homes within walking distance (i.e., those that don't have to cross a major road) to get to the elementary school. Thank you to listening to our feedback.	
In Option 1 Con - The Gainsborough Community will be splitting in two. With out making a large enough impact on the school numbers but a huge impact to that community. (Section F) Con - Safty and traffic patterns are a large change fromthere are 10 kids included on Savoy that are included in the F group bu I'm not sure what neighborhood that is or how the numbers are included in group F	
In option 1: Why were 10 houses chosen in Keswick to move to an elementary school that doesn't feed into Chamblee Middle or High? It breaks up the Community in Keswick & makes them leave the closest school to them(current & future school feeder alignment). It also causes safety & traffic concerns with them having to cross Peachtree Indust. Blvd. Option Not productive...removing 20 kids of all different grades does not reduce portable classrooms, class size, or # of classrooms. Neither option is a fit for Montgomery.	Please see FAQ# 15 & 30

Comment	Response
<p>In options 1 &amp; 2 the following concerns exist for Section D:                      1) dividing sexton woods neighborhood.                      2) traffic concerns - will now cross peachtree industrial, shallowford, Buford hwy                      3)geographic proximity - proposed changes are farther to school                      4)split feeder school                      similar issues exist for section E, E1, F</p>	
<p>Is it possible to remove Hightower from Option 2 to provide relief for the neighborhoods with the highest needs Hightower is a Dunwoody neighborhood and should stay in Dunwoody.</p>	
<p>it doesn't make sense to segregate out a small portion of Ashford Park (streets even have ashfor Park on street signs) and send them away from geographical neighbors. Walability of AP to APES vs. having to cross major intersections and ouse a car/bus to get to school.</p>	
<p>It is important to do this once and do it right. Please leave MES alone until it will provide <u>actual</u> relief and move more a community not small groups of kids.</p>	
<p>It is premature to engage in redistricting decisions at this time given expected leadership changes with the superintendent position. Tor relieve overcrowding at Dresden and Cary Reynolds a number of students should be pulled to be compliant with opening the new school an dthereafter after permanent leadership is in place, redistricting should be discussed. Some schools/neighborhoods have been redistricted numerous times, these kids need stability and shouldn't be changed. Neither of these options appropriately account for future growth with new families moving into Chamblee and Brookhaven.</p>	
<p>It seems against all logic to move such small quantities from Huntley Hills, Montgomery, and Ashford Park when it gives no significang relief to the overall issue of overcrowding.</p>	
<p>Keep MES community together. Should not have closed Nancy Creek. #'s did not support it.</p>	
<p>Keep Montgomery Elementary together</p>	
<p>Keep Montgomery together &amp; Sexton Woods</p>	
<p>Keep Oakcliff Elementary school as a Theme school.</p>	
<p>Keep Peachtree Industrial Blvd as a barrier fro safety concerns. Small #'s don't make sense to meove. Be more transparent with vision. Why is Montclair under enrolled in both options? Why do we split? Keep Montclair at Montclair.</p>	<p>Please see FAQ# 30</p>
<p>Kids closer to John Lewis should be redistricted not kids closer to Ashford Park. My kid can walk to Ashford Park but would have long car or bus commute to Doraville</p>	
<p>Leave Keswick Park/Sexton Woods intact at Montgomery.</p>	
<p>Leave Montgomery as is until a full solution is implemented to resolve Montgomery overcrowding. Moving 20 students in disruptive &amp; solves nothing for us.</p>	



Comment	Response
Leave Montgomery as is until there's a permanent solution to the Brookhaven/Chamblee neighborhoods (i.e. re-opening Nancy Creek).	
Leave Montgomery ES alone until a full community solution (new school, open Nancy Creek) can solve MES Distribution.	
Make Doraville United and Hightower attendance lines as an option for Oakcliff Theme school choice. -This will assist w/Hightower's overcrowding and future relief for Doraville United.	
-Montgomery and Ashford Park get no relief -Split feeders - going to middle school with kids you didn't go to elementary with.	
Montgomery community does not want to be separated.	
Montgomery ES school I want to go to Go to Montgomery	
Moving section D Doraville United breaks up intact neighborhoods (Sexton Woods & Mendenhall) Solution : Keep section D in Montgomery	
Moving section D for Doraville United is less safe to cross 2 major roads (Peachtree Industrial & Peachtree Rd) Solution: Kee Section D in Montgomery	
Moving section D to Doraville United does not meet the geographical proximity criteria. Solution: Keep Section D in Montgomery or move to Huntley Hills.	
My daughter Bety Soriano attends Oakcliff and I like the fact of been a theme school,	
Need longterm solution!!! Both Option will keep Montgomery overcrowded and we will be having the same issues in a yar or two. The options should be carefully chosen to impact longterm solutions to avoid splitting up/moving kids over and over again. Children that age has already had a hard time coping socially. Thank you!	
Neighborhood D: Moving this neighborhood will hoave minimal gain while causing major <u>disruption</u> : -Crossing Peachtree, Much longer commute; - breaking up strong community;-adding traffic; feeder problems: different MS&HS; -will need further redistrictng (i.e. disruption about multiple criteria). Montgomery community is strong! We want to stay together!	
Neither option addresses the overall issue of overcrowding. This seems like a needlessly disruptive change. Why not keep things the same until a longer term plan can be established? No body wants to move now just to move again in 2 years.	Please see FAQ# 10
Neither option follows primary criteria 1,3 Secondary criteria 1, 4, 5,	
Neither Option is a fit for Montgomery families!!! Hear our VOLCE!	
Neither Option is good for MES.	

Comment	Response
<p>Neither option solve our problem{Leave MES alone}                      -Option 1 or 2 does not support Montgomery                      *Option 2 is better than Option 1                      *Traffic patterns should be considered.                      *children are leaving 3 neighborhoods before they get to the school.                      *Closest Geograph proximity.                      *MES D is being asked to cross a busy street.                      *Future and current school alignment will be impacted reg. Chamblee to HS &amp; MS.                      *Why is the MES projections changing to go DOWN in 2024 when the past 4 years the #'s have gone up by 9% or higher. *Safety &amp; traffic pattern in Opt. 1 is not good.</p>	
<p>Neither options address the real root issues - only a band aid &amp; wel will be back here in a few yrs redistricting again</p>	
<p>Not advisable to make these decisions without proper superintendent in place and county whole. What capacity does the school need to be at to open? Can will fill with Dresden and Cary Reynolds now and decide the rest next school year?</p>	<p>Please see FAQ# 27</p>
<p>Ok, with current portables at Montgomery. Both options split a small portion of sexton woods off.</p>	
<p>Only reasonable Option is Option 1 to relieve Dresden, Cary Reynolds, &amp; HH but keep AP and MES together since no relief provided and will need a new school or major renovations to address capacity in a way that stops the constant moving of kids!</p>	
<p>Open a theme school will guarantee less students attending trail classrooms</p>	
<p>Open up Nancy Creek Elementary to relief the overcrossede of Montgomery without splitting up neighborhood.                      Please put another options to choose.</p>	
<p>Option 1 - Sect D, Move yellow SF to Huntley and Red to Dorville United ES</p>	
<p>Option 1 &amp; 2 - CON                      D - LARGE TRAFFIC PATTERN &amp; SAFETY CONCERN. Have to cross over peachtree industrial and shallowford.                      D - 3+ miles to Doraville United vs. IM to Montgomery                      D - SPLITS UP SEXTON WOODS / KEWSICK                      D - should feeder alignment</p>	
<p>Option 1 areas F &amp; D option 2 area D are a better fit for Huntley Hills. Don't feel assembly (GM plant) is accurately project making this exercise pointless need an es in this area.</p>	
<p>Option 1 but leave are D at Montgomery because it's only 18 kids and you are splitting a very close neighborhood. Move AP to John Lewis</p>	
<p>Option 1 Pro - Peachtree Industrial should be a barrier for safety - "C" makes sense                      Con- Peachtree Industrial is dangerous to cross for elementary - "D" is unsafe                      "D" is closer proximity to other schools than Doraville United.</p>	

Comment	Response
<p>Option 1 cons: very disruptive w/intact neighborhoods F,D,E, safety &amp; traffic patterns -does not address the overcrowding -Divided intact neighborhood D</p> <p>Option 2 -Safety patterns D,F -School feeder alignment</p>	
<p>Option 1 We live in one of the 10 new houses on Keswick Drive. All the kids on Kewsick Drive are staying at Montgomery except my 2 kids in this option. Why are you pulling only my 2 kids out of our community?</p> <p>Option 1 &amp; 2 Community D will go to elementary school with kids that will not go to Chamblee Cluster with them for Middle/High school.</p>	
<p>Option 1E &amp; Option 2F - "Clairwood Terrace, Ham &amp; Dryer" (18 projected students with at least 8 in German Immersion, No reduction in portables for APES). 1. Geographic Proximity worsened 1.6 miles to 2.2 miles for option 1 &amp; option 2. 2. Instructional Capacity unchanged of 18 students removed from neighborhood community, &gt; 8 are German Immersion and would stay at APES...no reduction in portables. Secondary, 1-Traffic and Safety, worse from 1 4-way stop to school to 2 major 4-lane redlights and 4-way stop. 5-intact neighborhoods broken.</p>	
<p>Option 2 is a better option because it is a less disruptive plan overall however splitting sexton woods in MeES makes no sense.</p>	
<p>Option 2 Pro -achieves to Cary Reynolds Dresden 2/ less disruption larger neighborhoods than 20 at a time Con - "D" is moving only 20 kids, doesn't address issue. Peachtree Industrial is dangerous to cross "D" "D" is closer to other elementaries than Doraville.</p>	
<p>Option 2 pros and cons oakcliff student in area D would still have to cross P'tree ind. Still splits neighborhoods separates section f from rest of Ashford Park student in D, E, and F all move to a new ES but go back to their ms Does not bring enough relief. DE and F only moves 58 student</p>	
<p>Option 2 with section D kept in Montgomery is the least disruptive to neighborhood groups and helps keep disruption to traffic at a minimum.</p>	
<p>Option 2 with section D kept in Montgovery keeps the students safer because Section D would have to cross 2 major roadways to get to Doraville United</p>	
<p>Option 2, Why wouldn't you relieve Huntley Hills (37) and Hightower (42) that are over-crowded and under performing? Leave the over-performing schools with strong support intact. Relieve the schools that need the most help.</p>	Please see FAQ# 22
<p>Option 3 Have Montgomery students go to Nancy Creek "open" and run it as Montgomery for 1 year. Tear down &amp; rebuild Montgomery to gain capacity. Help w/ over crowding.</p>	

Comment	Response
Our entire breakout session (room 2119) was comprised of parents from MES. This speaks volumes about the level of concern within our community. We do not want our school population to be disrupted at all. Please keep our school community together. Alternatively, we are open to having a second school opened (move Kittredge Magnet) that would be a second campus for MES to be split into a lower and upper school -- this would still keep MES together.	
Please consider long term solution for MES & Ashford Park.	
Please do not split up Sexton Woods Neighborhood (Sexton Wood Dr., Teal, Vanet). It is not worth splitting up an extremely strong neighborhood for less than 12 students. This is not all of section D but a small part of it.	
Please don't disrupt Ashford Park & Montgomery for a few kids if you aren't addressing our overcrowding. Leave us alone until you can re-open Nancy Creek or find a solution for us. No need to move a few kids to them do it again in a year or two. Enough is enough!	
Please don't do partial options that do not really help with relief. Keep community together and move big chunk of Montgomery Elementary instead of moving just a few people.	
Prefers offering and focusing a larger scale redistricting for schools Cary Reynolds, Dresden, and Hightower, rather than piecemeal approach.	
Provide more time to communities to consider the lines you have drawn. One day notice does not allow for thoughtful input. Splitting MES does not make sense in either plan!	
question: If we are currently in a school district that is not up for change in either Option 1 or Option 2, is there still a chance we may be included/presented for change in round 3? (We are right on the edge) If so, will we have a chance to provide comments or feedback?	Please see FAQ# 6
Re-open Nancy Creek	
School Feeder Alignment - Options 1 and 2 section D will not be eligible for Cross Keys HS and Middle School Why would we redistrict kids into feeder schools for different middle and high schools! Seems disruptive. What is the best solution for feeder schools?	Please see FAQ# 15
Section D The apartments on Peachtree Industrial should be zoned for the new school. Keep Sexton Woods (Section D) together - do not split the neighborhood. Leave us at Montgomery. Keep our neighborhood intact. These plans will hurt our neighborhood.	
Sexton Woods and Ashford Park will continue to attract young families. Are we going to have to redistrict every year?	Please see FAQ# 21
Should decisions be made with a lame duck superintendent and new leadership coming in June? Taking small neighborhoods out of their existing	Please see FAQ# 27

Comment	Response
Small Group Focus Questions.1. Neither option addresses over-crowding at Montgomery Elementary. However, as Montgomery Elementary is a tight-knit community, option #2 has less impact on MES. However, it does not make sense to remove 20 kids from Mongemery. Best option = rebuild montgomery to increase its capacity.	
Thank for leaving Oakcliff Theme School and Kittredge Magent Schools. Maybe we can expand the districts feeding into Oakcliff Theme School by lottery to make full use of the Oakcliff School Bldg.	
Thank you all for your time. No plans relieve Ashford Park. They are closer in prox. To Doraville United ES pull from there. If I must chose, option 2. All options due minimal impact to MES population, so do not break up an intact, strong community of parents and studnets. Would rather be @ MES in a portable than elsewhere. Consider propulation of owner occupants affected vs. multifamily	
Thank you.	
The concentration is heavily relieving Cary Reynolds and Dresden Elementary, so why not focus on moving more from those schools. Especially when the over-crowding encompasses more multi-family as opposed to owner-occupant. What happens when and it all the development along Buford Highway and immediate area does get redeveloped more of the multi-family goes away.	
The Dunwoody Cluster issues need to be solved by the new Austin Elem. Not by Doraville United.	
The Hispanic community voice should be listened. The school should be a theme school like Oaklcliff ES .	
The new school building should be a theme school.	
The plan doesn't address overcrowding @ Ashford Park & Montgomery. Of we're going to fix ME/AP, let's fix it, otherwise we shouldn't be shuffling a few students from each (Eg. Don't touch them).	
The school district is failing to adequately plan for continued growth & over-enrollment at Ashford Park + Montgomery. You need to tackle this & develop a long term solution. Add on th Ashford Park & reopen Nancy Creek.	
Thes both seem like short term solutions to future problems. Very short sighted.	
These plans do not address over-crowding in Montgomery, while dividing the Montgomery Community. Moreover there is limited relief for Montgomery and Ashford Park.	
They should listen and help more the hispanic community and open more theme schools	
Translation of Index cards for Chamblee HS (October 16, 2019)	
Unfortunately neither option serves a positive impact on any neighborhood Both options cause major!! Traffic/safety concerns	
WE handle our over-capacity very weel. Still overcrowded and breaking up communities.	

Comment	Response
What are the LONG-TERM plans for these issues. So that we are not doing this again in 2 years??	Please see FAQ# 10 & 21
What happens to children Gifted programs in their current school? Do all schools provide Gifted programs should children move schools?	Please see FAQ# 7
What is the 5 yr/10 yr plan?	Please see FAQ# 10
What is the Plan to address overcrowding at Ashford Park and Montgomery elementary schools?	Please see FAQ# 10
-What is the purpose of filling Doraville United w/ projected growth in area in 2021? -Seems a lot of shuffling that doesn't relieve Montgomery of overcapacity.	Please see FAQ# 5
What percentage of students do you need a new school to open and be state compliant? We would rather wait to have a new administration to help?	Please see FAQ# 29
What was the original plan with regard to MS and HS builds? While these will be visited with new schools, we might be able to forecast split feeders now?	Please see FAQ# 21
What's best for students every child has a right learn!	
When considering the "moving kittredge" option, was a scenario where Dresden and Cary Reynolds was not under capacity? It may be possible to get Montgomery, Ashford Park, Cary Reynolds, and Dresden down to 105%. Seems like a win-win-win-win.	Please see FAQ# 28
-When does the county anticipate another round of Redistricting for elementary, middle, &/or high schools?	Please see FAQ# 21
When moving the kids from Huntley Hills, how do you decide? Some of the kids are in the montessori program. How will this transition into the new school?	Please see FAQ# 1 & 12
When, and how are we going to address the #1 over capacity school (Ashford) and #2 (Montgomery)? Leave alone until you address both by re-opening Nancy Creek Elementary.	Please see FAQ# 10
Where are future enrollment numbers coming from? They are wrong. As they have been every year.	Please see FAQ# 5
Where will all of the added trailers at MES go? Will it take away from activity space for students? Why would you split kids up in Sexton Woods neighborhood, that physically live on Sexton Woods Drive!	Please see FAQ# 26
While an opinion was made regarding option 1 pros addressing all 3 primary criteria, that statement only considers Cary Reynolds and Dresden. *Option 1 does not keep area F intact as a neighborhood previously associated w. MES.	
Why are we (the district) embarking on a huge redistricting plan right before a new superintendent takes over? Too many vacant positions!	Please see FAQ# 27
Why are we shuffling kids from MES & APES if we aren't making significant changes that impact the over-crowding issues & increases in development (and thus enrollment) at those two schools.	
Why are you filling a new school to max capacity when the area is growing new homes are built daily??	Please see FAQ# 29

Comment	Response
Why can't Oakcliff take in the 42 students from Hightower?	Please see FAQ# 24
Why does 44 more students at Montgomery in 2021 necessitate 5 more portable classrooms?	Please see FAQ# 26
Why does DCSD not look at future growth in all these plans? We were redistricted (my daughter got moved) when Nancy Creek closed. If DCSD listened and looked at plans and growth they would have seen this coming. And north dekalb ES would not be in the hugely over-capacity they are now.	Please see FAQ# 5
Why don't you consider re-opening nancy creek as ES. NCES would eliminate overcrowding in several schools and open another neighborhood school with strong community support.	
Why have capacity numbers changes so greatly over years but also in the last month?	Please see FAQ# 4
Why make changes to all these ES when we will get new superintendent and central office staff soon? It needs to wait till after.	Please see FAQ# 27
Why make small amounts in all these ES (minus Cary Reynolds/Dresden)? It will make zero impact on over-crowding in MES, AP, HH, and you are splitting neighborhoods and making students travel over not safe major roads. We need [less] trailers, not moving 18, 20, or 40 kids. Why?	Please see FAQ# 16 & 30
Why move Montclair to Dresden? If goal is to [lower] Dresden Move students from Dresden to Montclair. Montclair would still be below capacity.	Please see FAQ# 30
Why move small numbers of children to schools further out for 1 or 2 years if they can stay in MS & High School - is ??? A further redistricting plan that hasn't been shared that we need to know of, even a vision - it will hwp stakeholders understand the logic of redistricting.	Please see FAQ# 30
Why not relieve Dresden and Cary Reynolds to Doraville U and leave everything else as is? Move south/west Ashford Park to Woodward? Spring St. students will be relocated out of our neighborhood and then relocated again to middle school. D is not over-crowding montgomery	Please see FAQ# 30
Why touch the Montgomery and Ashford Park ES if they will not get relief? Why not come up with a long-term solution?	Please see FAQ# 25
Why would moving Kittredge not an option? If that moves and smaller volumes of students move from Cary Reynolds and Dresden - you solve multi issues and is more long-term.	Please see FAQ# 28
Why would the school district remove 18 students from the Ashford park district when that provides no relief to overcrowding breaks up an intact neighborhoods, makes children cross highways and is further away geographically	
Why would you take 11 kids from Sexton Woods to new School Small number but "intact community"	
Why wouldn't kittredge re-opened as a 4th and 5th grade relief for Montgomery and Ashford Park because both of those elementaries feed into Chamblee Middle. Keep the communities together. Rebuilding of Montgomery and Ashford Park should be considered and not a short term move.	

Comment	Response
Will external classrooms be removed or left for alternate uses (stem lab etc.)?	Please see FAQ# 26
Will Montessori program/German Program be affected by the students moved to DUE?	Please see FAQ# 29
Will Montgomery be faces with another redistricting soon since this doesn't alleviate overcrowding at all?	Please see FAQ# 10 & 21
Will the dividing map lines for the re-districting plans remain the same in round 3 of the process?	Please see FAQ# 6
Would like to explore Nancey Creek reopening to relieve Ashford Park & Montgomery	
Would the county consider not completely filling Doraville United for year 1 or year 2? The area seems to be exponentially growing in a few years, Doraville could be overcrowded.	Please see FAQ# 29